Tikrit Journal for Dental Sciences (2015)

The correlation between root resorption and some immune parameters in well- controlled type I diabetic patients during orthodontic treatment

Dr. Ayam Alihassoon Taha B.D.S , M.Sc(orthodontics) .⁽¹⁾

Key words. Diabetes mellitus type I; Immune parameter; Root resorption ; Orthodontic treatment.

Abstract

Background:Type 1 diabetes mellitus is the result of a breakdown in immune regulation that leads to expansion of auto reactive CD_4 and CD_8T - cells, autoantibody-producing B lymphocytes and activation of the innate immune system, so disturbances of immune system may be the cause and/or associated with diabetes mellitus. Some of these diabetic patients seek an orthodontic care. The orthodontist must remain vigilant as they may be the only health care professional seen by otherwise fit, young patients on a regular basis, and it is also important to implement risk control procedures during and after orthodontic treatment.

Aims: The aim of this study was to analyze serum levels of immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA, and IgM), and complement components (C3, and C4) after 6 months (T6) of orthodontic treatment in well-controlled type I diabetic patients wearing orthodontic appliance comparing with non-wearing group and to correlate these immune parameters with grade of root resorption which sometimes happen during orthodontic treatment.

Materials and methods: Sixty well- controlled type I diabetic patients (HbA1c <8.5), were participating in this study. Thirty cases of them(16 male and 14 females) were wearing fixed orthodontic appliance, and the other thirty cases didn't wear orthodontic appliances and considered as the controls (12 male and 18 females). The mean age of study groups was $(15\pm 1SD)$ years. Periapical radiographs of the upper central incisors were obtained of all patients before(T0) and 6 months after(T6) orthodontic treatment. At (T6), root resorption was classified as grade 0 (no resorption), grade 1 (slight resorption), and grade 2 (moderate to severe resorption). Serum level of IgG, IgA, IgM ,C3, and C4 were analyzed after 6 months orthodontic treatment were determined using single radial immunodiffusion method (SRID) to the two study groups. Chi square test and T- test were used to assess the association between qualitative and quantitative results respectively, while paired t- test was used to analyze the results after 6 months (T6) orthodontic treatment, differences were considered significant at P<0.05.

Results: There was statistical significant difference in the serum level of IgG , IgA, IgM ,C3, and C4 after 6 months orthodontic

Assistant lecturer , Department of Pedodontic , Prevention, and Orthodontic /College of Dentistry/Al-mustansiriya University



treatment between the two study groups , there were an increase in the serum level of (IgG ,and IgA) ,and a significant decrease in the serum level of (IgM, C3,and C4) in the wearing group comparing with non- wearing group; moreover, a high mean of serum level of IgG and IgA in root resorption grade 1 (R1) than in grade 0 (R0) ,while low mean of serum level of IgM,C3, and C4 were reported in (R1) grade than (R0) grade.

Conclusions :There were significant differences in the mean of serum levels of IgG , IgA, IgM ,C3, and C4 between wearing orthodontic appliance and non- wearing groups of well controlled type I diabetic patients and also between root resorption grade 1 comparing with root resorption grade 0 in the wearing group .

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes mellitus is an autoimmune disease that involves the progressive destruction of the insulin-producing beta cells in the islets of langerhans. It is a complex process that results from the loss of tolerance to insulin and other beta-cell-specific antigens. Various genetic and environmental factors have been studied so far, but precise causation has yet to be established. Numerous studies in rodents and human subjects have been performed in order to elucidate the role of B and T cells, which determine the risk of development and progression of diabetes. These studies have demonstrated that while this disease is fundamentally a T-cell-mediated autoimmune response, the development of it results from complex interactions between the adaptive and innate immune systems, with numerous cell types thought to contribute to pathogenesis. Like any complex disease, the variation in severity and incidence of this disease can be attributed to a combination of genetic and environmental factors1,2. Some of these diabetic patients seek an orthodontic treatment which is not restricted for healthy patients.3

Orthodontic treatment, like many other interventions, has inherent risks and complications; but the advantages it offers should outweigh any possible damage .One of these adverse effects is root resorption4, which is a common iatrogenic consequence in the field of orthodontics .With this in mind, orthodontists should take all known measures to reduce its occurrence5.6.

The root resorption may be described as mild, moderate and severe. Usually it is mild and clinically insignificant; however it can occur in large amounts, i.e. loss of over one third of the root length, in some patients7. Close radiographic examination of orthodontically treated individuals show some loss of root length in nearly every patient8,9. The incisors are the most susceptible while the molars seem to be least affected10. These the orthodontically-induced root resorption areas after 7 weeks of treatment, verified histologically, are not visible in periapical radiographs. Thus, using film-based radiography, the diagnosis is uncertain during the first months of treatment. After 5-6 months a reliable radiographic diagnosis of apical root resorption can be performed11.

The susceptibility to root resorption may be associated with autoimmune responses against dentine matrix protein, based on evidence that anti-dentine antibodies could be detected in experimental root lesions in mice and in traumatized patients with root resorption 12,13,14.

The presence of autoantibodies may not resorption cause root .where as autoimmune aggression occurs when the tissue antigen are accessible to specific receptors of the immune system and there costimulatory stimuli15 are .During orthodontic treatment ,the compression areas and hyaline necrosis in the periodontium may damage the cementum layer and expose the dentine matrix13 .The resulting inflammation caused by damaged periodontal tissue can result in



recruitment of antigen -presenting cells16 and can also induce the expression of costimulatory molecules that favor lymphocyte activation 17which are the primary cells of the immunologic system. one of the most and developed sophisticated defense mechanisms in the biological system18; The resorption of mineralized tissues by clast cells is influenced by cytokines and comolecules stimulatory produced bv lymphocytes19,20.The immune modulation of T and B lymphocyte responses to dentine has been observed in other inflammatory diseases where clasts play a pivotal role, and this phenomenon induces bone destruction 19,20.

T lymphocytes appear in the form of two subpopulations of opposite regulative function: T-helper and T-killer of cytotoxic cells. T-helper lymphocytes stimulate the transformation of B lymphocytes into plasma cells. The plasma cells are in turn responsible for the formation of antibody which is a type of molecule, glycoprotein also called immunoglobulin (Ig) that binds to antigen, often with a high degree of specificity and affinity. Every individual has millions of different antibodies (IgA, IgG, IgM, IgD, and IgE), each with a unique antigenbinding site. Secreted antibodies perform various effectors' functions, including neutralizing antigen, promoting leukocyte - dependent destruction of microbes ,and activating complement.21

The complement system, which activated by antigen- antibody complexes, is a complex series of some 30 proteins, twothirds of which circulate in the plasma while the remainder are present on cell tissue surfaces. Complement and components are rapidly synthesized and released into the circulation following trauma or inflammation, so its functions includes control of inflammatory reactions and chemotaxis, clearance of immune cellular complexes, activation and antimicrobial defense. 21, 22

The previous studies on immunoglobulin levels in diabetic patients have revealed higher levels of IgG, IgA ,and IgM compared with those obtained from healthy controls 23,24 or between diabetic patients of different glycemic controls25, assuming that possible immuneinflammatory abnormalities were the underlying cause for the elevated immunoglobulins in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and its complication, and that these abnormalities might have either a role in the genesis of type I diabetes and its complications or may themselves be a complication thereof.24,26 While in other studies, these immunoglobulins didn't differ between diabetic patients and healthy controls.27,28

Other studies on other immune parameters were assessed in type I diabetic patients treated with insulin and the control healthy group. The complement C3 and C4, which are the major plasma proteins of the immune system complement pathways. These studies reported that synthesis of serum complement protein C3 increased in response to inflammation and metabolic disorders, diabetes C3 levels elevated in IDDM 29,30. Zhain et al. 31 reported that serum C3 and C4 of T1DM patients is significantly lower than corresponding value of healthy subjects and the lowest value of C3 was observed in patients with HbA1c >11% . The C3 levels were tended to reduce after improving glycaemia . Insulin has potential anti-inflammatory effects 32 .Insulin closely related with C3 and plays a vital role in alteration of fasting glucose metabolism 33. While in other studies plasma levels of C3 and C4 were nearly normal during insulin treatment in type 1 diabetes.27,28; thus it is of interest to see if there is any difference in the immunoglobulins levels and complemenent components (C3, C4) in type 1 diabetic patients who wearing orthodontic appliances comparing them with non- wearing group and what will happen if these patients suffer from root resorption after 6 months orthodontic treatment.

Material and Methods

Sixty well- controlled type I diabetic patients(HbA1c <8.5), who were attending to Al-mustansiriya National Diabetes Center from April to October in 2012,were participating in this study. none of these participants reported history of other autoimmune diseases or chronic



inflammatory diseases, or the use of steroidal and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs for at least one month before sampling. They didn't have history of previous orthodontic treatment or previous trauma of dentition, and they also didn't show clinical or radiogrphical signs of periapical diseases, periapical lesions ,or root resorption before starting this study .Patients with active caries or oral mucosa lesions were excluded. Thirty cases of them(16 male and 14 females) were wearing fixed orthodontic appliance because these patients had class I malocclusion (crowding in the upper anterior teeth need to be treated without premolar extraction) by using straight wire orthodontic appliances fixed with 0.018×0.025 inch bracket slots .and the other thirty cases didn't wear orthodontic appliances and considered as the controls(12 male and 18 females).The mean age of study groups was(15±1 SD)year.

The degree of upper central incisor root resorption of all patients were recorded before(T0) and 6 months after(T6) orthodontic treatment through using periapical radiographs (70 kV,10 mA, exposure time 0.7 seconds) with long cone paralleling technique .The most resorbed incisor was considered for analysis .The degree of root resorption was classified using the criteria described by Malmgren et al.(1982)34. Tooth length was measured from the incisal edge to the apex. Root and crown length was measured from the incisal edge to the apex using cemento-enamel junction as the limit. Image distortion was determined by comparing the image length to the real length of a radiopaque object placed on the film, and image distortion between T0 and T6 radiographs was determined by comparing crown length .The maximum acceptable distortion was 5% . Root resorption was graded from 0 to 2, where 0=no discernible root resorption; 1=slight root resorption (less than 2mm); 2 moderate to severe resorption (more than or equal to 2 mm).

The level of IgG, IgA, IgM, C3, and C4 in serum were analyzed after 6 months orthodontic treatment. (5 Ml) of blood samples were collected from wellcontrolled type I diabetic patients of both groups by venipuncture after an overnight fast, allowed to clot ,then these blood samples centrifuged at 30 rpm for 10 minutes to obtain serum samples to asses FBS(fasting blood sugar level) and HbA1c(glycoslyted hemoglobulin)and then stored at -20°C until using.

The quantitative estimation of serum immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA, and IgM), and complement components (C3,C4) was done using single radial immunodiffusion (SRID) method as follows35,36:-

1-Principle of the test:

The concentration of serum immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA , and IgM) and complement components (C3,C4) were measured by a single radial immunodiffusion method (SRID) in which equal volumes of reference sera and test samples were added to wells in a garose containing monospecific anti- sera. The sample diffuse radially through this gel and the substance being assayed from precipitin ring with the monospecific antisera. Ring diameter were measured and a reference curve is constructed on a graph Unknown concentration is paper. determined from the reference standard work curve .This was done in Immunology Unit at Alkaramah teaching Hospital in October 2012.

2- The procedure:-

Before starting the procedure, the plates were opened and left for 5 minutes at room temperature for evaporation of any water (if present in the wells due to storage at 4°C). (5µl) of each serum sample was dispensed by Hamnilton syringe into one well of each plate (containing 16 wells) for three classes of immunoglobulins and two types of complement components. The plates were left opened for (10-20) minutes then covered and left at $(10^{\circ} - 20)^{\circ}$ C for three to four days for precipitin ring to be formed. The diameter at each immune precipitating using formed around each well was measured in millimeter by immune viewer and the concentration of each class of immunoglobulins and complement level was calculated from standard curve. All



results were in mg/dl for serum concentration of immunoglobulins , and complement components.

Statistical analysis:

The data were entered and analyzed on SPSS version-20, and were summarized using frequency and proportions. Chisquare test ,T-test and paired t-test were used for assessing significance of association .P-value of equal or less than 0.05 was used as the level of significance.37,38

Results

The results of this study showed that there were no statistical significant differences between the two study groups according to age and gender as seen in Table-1.

The results also showed that there were significant statistical differences between the two study groups(wearing and non-wearing groups) in the mean serum level of study immune parameters (IgG ,IgA, IgM ,C3), there were an increase in the serum level of (IgG ,IgA), and a decrease in the serum level of (IgG ,IgA), and a decrease in the serum level of (IgM, C3,and C4)in the wearing group comparing with non-wearing group as shown in Table-2.

Regarding the statistical analysis of association between the two study groups and root resorption grades by using chi square ,it reported (36.7%) root resorption grade 1(R1) and (63.3%) no root resorption grade 0 (R0) in the wearing group, with no root resorption (100% R0) in the non-wearing group as seen in Table -3.

The statistical analysis between the different studied parameters and two root resorption grades (R0 and R1) in wearing group which showed a high mean of serum level of IgG and IgA in R1 grade than R0 grade ,while low mean of serum level of IgM,C3,and C4 were reported in R1 grade than R0 grade as shown in Table -4.

Discussion

Disturbances of immune system may be the cause and/or associated with diabetes mellitus. Type 1 Diabetes mellitus is the result of a breakdown in immune regulation that leads to expansion of autoreactive CD_4 and CD_8T cells, autoantibody-producing B lymphocytes and activation of the innate immune system.^{39,40}

The innate and adaptive immune responses are components of an integrated system of host defense in which numerous cells and molecules function cooperatively The mechanisms of innate immunity. provide an effective initial defense against infections .However, many pathogenic microbes have evolved to resist innate immunity, and their elimination requires the more powerful mechanisms of adaptive immunity. The innate immune response to pathogen also stimulates adaptive immune responses and influences the nature of the adaptive responses which consist of lymphocytes and antibodies .The adaptive immune response is initiated by the recognition of foreign antigens by specific lymphocytes which producing antibodies in response to exposure to these foreign structures .^{21,22}

The antigen –antibody complexes activate the complement system, which is a system of serum and cell surface proteins that interact with one another and with other molecules of the immune system to generate important effectors of innate and adaptive immune responses .The complement system serves as an important mediator of the humoral responses by amplifying the response and converting it into an effective defense mechanism to destroy the invading pathogen participating in the inflammatory responses ,opsonization of antigen, and clearance of immune complexes.^{21,22}

In the present study ,it can be seen that these type I diabetic patients who wearing orthodontic appliance have high serum levels of IgG,and IgA, and low serum levels of IgM,C3,and C4 comparing with non wearing group and also in root resorption grade 1 (R1) comparing with root resorption grade 0(R0), suggesting that tooth movement may be considered an inflammatory process ,thus antibodies levels of (IgG and IgA)increased ,while the level of IgM decreased due to their short life because it is the first antibody appearing in response to initial exposure to



antigen then decreased after 5-6 days from exposure²¹. These findings agreed with exposure the patients for pathological root resorption, the presence of antibodies against dentine antigens, causing increased serum IgG, and low levels of IgM suggests that an autoimmune reaction may present and induce of primary immune response.¹⁴

The orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption is a inflammatory process that is extremely complex and composed of various disparate components including forces, tooth roots, bone, cells. surrounding matrix, and certain known biologic messengers⁴¹. It is therefore not surprising to find that terms such as individual susceptibility^{42,43}, genetics⁴⁴, and systemic factors ⁴⁵ are being discussed when damage is evident after otherwise successful orthodontic treatment.

The degree of resorption can be very variable, highlighting the importance of individual susceptibility over and above other risk factors⁴⁶. It was hypothesized that susceptibility to root resorption may be associated with autoimmune responses against dentine matrix proteins, based on evidence that anti-dentine antibodies could be detected in experimental root lesions in mice and in traumatized patients with root resorption ^{12,13,14}. Immune responses can influence the resorption of calcified tissues through interactions among immune and clast cells or through the production of cytokines and other mediators that modulate local inflammatory responses 19,20 Orthodontic forces induce an cell infiltration inflammatory on periodontal tissues that produce signals and cytokines for differentiation and activation of clast cells 47,48,49,13,50,51.

The chronic inflammatory process may aid the presentation of autoantigens to the immune system and the breakdown of immunological tolerance ^{52,53}. Leading to Migration of immunocompetent cells to the periodontal ligament, such as lymphocytes, plasma cells, and antigenpresenting cells (macrophages and dendritic cells), has been reported during orthodontic movement and stimuli for all non specific immune response including immunoglobulins and complement^{54,17}. Low serum levels of C3,C4 can be also found in high level in the wearing group comparing with non wearing group and in serum of patients with root resorption grade 1 (R1) comparing with root resorption grade 0(R0), suggesting that the complement concentration can be useful in diagnosis and monitoring progress of inflammation. When low concentrations are present indicate increased consumption or decreased synthesis of the complement components. The decrease of serum levels of C3,C4 indicated that innate and adaptive immunity are declined in type 1 diabetic patients ^{55,56}.On the other hand, the decrease of serum C4 level pointed out the existence of immune complex diseases which clearly observed in type 1 diabetes mellitus57

Conclusion

There were significant differences in the mean of serum levels of IgG , IgA, IgM ,C3, and C4 between wearing orthodontic appliance and non- wearing groups of well controlled type I diabetic patients and also between root resorption grade 1 comparing with root resorption grade 0 in the wearing group.



		gened	p-value		
Study groups	Male				Female
	No.	%	No.	%	
Wearing orthodontic appliance	16	53.3	14	46.7	NS
wearing -Non orthodontic appliance	12	40.0	18	60.0	NS
Total	28	46.7	32	53.3	NS

Table- 1: Distribution of study groups according to gender

NS: Highly Significance differences

 Table-2: Mean level of study parameters in both wearing and non-wearing orthodontic appliance groups

Study Variables	Ortho- status	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	T Test	P value	
IgG	Non- wearing	30	1293.8333	92.88490	-9.080	*HS	
0 -	Wearing	30	1755.0200	262.23992			
IgA	Non- wearing	30	112.8067	17.39568	-13.050	*HS	
Ŭ	Wearing	30	258.6300	58.68152			
IgM	Non- wearing	30	155.8000	13.77011	3.278	*0.002	
	Wearing	30	132.9100	35.68168			
СЗ	Non- wearing	30	114.0800	8.85587	1.452	*0.152	
	Wearing	30	110.4900	10.24992			
C4	Non- wearing	30	32.2167	2.73547	3.100	*0.004	
	Wearing	30	27.0567	8.69719			

HS: Highly Significance differences (*)

 Table -3: Distribution of study groups according to root resorption grades.

Study groups		ROOTRESPRPTIONR 0R 1		Total	X2	P- VALUE
Non-Wearing orthodontic appliance group	No.	30	0	30	-	
	% within ORTHO	100.0	0.0	100.0		
Wearing orthodontic	No.	19	11	30		
appliance group	% within ORTHO	63.3	36.7	100.0	13.46	*0.001
Total	No.	49	11	60		
	% within ORTHO	81.7	18.3	100.0		



Variables	Root	No.	Mean	Std.	Т	Р
v artubles	resorption			Deviation	TEST	Value
IaC	R 0	19	1572.811	99.7344	13.260	HS
Igo	R 1	11	2069.745	97.4164		
InA	R 0	19	227.189	49.8228	-5.430	HS
IgA	R 1	11	312.936	19.8943		
IaM	R 0	19	158.984	7.6381	24.138	HS
Igw	R 1	11	87.873	8.0176		
63	R 0	19	115.316	6.1894	4.285	HS
63	R 1	11	102.155	10.7259		
C4	R 0	19	33.063	2.3538	12.709	HS
	R 1	11	16.682	4.7368		

 Table -4: Mean of study variables according to the grade of root resorption in wearing orthodontic appliance group

References

1-Christopher Ting, Vivek Bansal, Ibrahim Batal, Marwan Mounayar, Lola Chabtini, Ghania El Akiki and Jamil Azzi .Diabetes :An old disease, a new insight 2012.

2-Gamal Abdulrhman Hassan ,Hamdy Ahmad Sliem, Abousree Taha Ellethy, Mahmoud El- Sawy Salama.Role of immune system modulation in prevention of type 1 diabetes mellitus.Indian J Endocrinol Metab.2012;16(6):904-909.

3-Gowri sankar ,Venkataramana Vannala, Raja sigamani. Management of the medically compromised cases in practice. Asian Journal Of Medical Sciences 2010;1: 68-74.

4-Shaw WC, O'Brien KD, Richmond S, Brook P. Quality control in orthodontics: risk/benefit considerations. Br Dent J 1991;170 :33-7.

5-Harris EF, Butler ML. Patterns of incisor root resorption before and after orthodontic correction in cases with anterior open bites .Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992;101:112-119.

6-Harris EF, Robebson QC, Woods MA .An analysis of causes of apical root resorption in patients not treated orthodontically. Quintessence Int 1993;24:417-428.

7- Kaley J, Phillips C.Factors related to root resorption in edgewise practice. Angle Orthod. 1991;61:125–132.

8- Massler M, Perreault JG. Root resorption in the permanent teeth of young adults. J Dent Child. 1954;21:158–164.

9- Harry MR, Sims MR. Root resorption in bicuspid intrusion: a scanning electron microscopic study. Angle Orthod. 1982;52:235–258.

10- Baumrind S, Korn EL, Boyd RL. Apical root resorption in orthodontically treated adults. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1996;110:311–320.

11- Levander E, Bajka R, Malmgren O. Early radiographic diagnosis of apical root resorption during orthodontic treatment: a study of maxillary incisors. Eur J Orthod. 1998;16:223–228.

12-Wheeler T T, Stroup S E .Traumatic root resorption in dentine immunized mice. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1993;104: 352-357.

13-Consolaro A . Reabsorções dentárias. Dental Press 2005 , Maringa de Fretas M R ,Evaluation of root resorption after open bite treatment with and without extractions. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2007;132:143.e15-143.e22.

14-Hidalgo M M, Itano E N, Consolaro A . Humoral immune response of patients with dental trauma and consequent replacement resorption. Dental Traumatology 2005; 21: 218-221.

15-Jager A et al .Soluble cytokine receptor treatment in experimental orthodontic tooth movement in the rat .European Journal of Orthodontics 2005;27:1-11.

16-Vadevska-Radunovic V, Kvinnsland I H ,Kvinnsland S, Jonsson R .immunocompetent cells in rat periodontal ligament and their recruitment incident to experimental orthodontic tooth movement . European Jornal of Oral Sciences 1997;105:36-44.



17-Alhashimi N, Frithiof L, Brudvik P, Bakhiet M. CD40-CD40L expression during orthodontic tooth movement in rats. Angle Orthodontist 2004 ;74: 100-105.

18-Luciano Silva ,Carolina Guimaraes, Roberto Santos .Immunology and root resorption:a possible relationship. Internet Journal of Dental Science 2009; Volume 7 : Number 2.

19-Gillespie M T . Impact of cytokines and T lymphocytes upon osteoclast differentiation and function. Arthritis Research and Therapy 2007; 9: 103.

20-Takayanagi H . Osteoimmunology: shared mechanisms and crosstalk between the immune and bone systems. Nature Reviews. Immunology 2007; 7: 292-304.

21- Abul K. Abass , Andrew H. Lichtman , Shiv Pillai. Cellular and molecular immunology 2007 6^{th} edition.

22-Thomas J.Kindt, Richard A. Goldsby ,Barbara A. Osborne. Kuby immunology 2007 6th edition .

23- Pishdad GR, Hosseini JaddaeeH, Ghalambor MA. Determination of the immunoglobulins in the sera of insulin -dependent diabetic patients. Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences 1991; 16: 156-161.

24- Pishdad G R , Hosseini Jaddaee H, Ohalambor MA. Hyperimmunogiobulinemia as a possible consequence of hyperglycemia .Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences 1992;17:32-38.

25- Gholam Reza Pishdad, Zahra Faghiri. Comparison of levels of IgG, IgA, AND IgM in diabetic patients with different glycemic controls Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences. 1995;9: 123-125.

26- Eisenbarth GS. Type I diabetes mellitus: a chronic autoimmune disease. New Eng J Med 1986 ; 314: 1360-7.

27-RegoFilho EA, Mello SF, Omuro AM, Loli JO. Simultaneous onset of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome and type 1 diabetes. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2003; 79: 557-60.

28- Ebtesam, A. AL-Suhaimi , Fadwa, M. AL-Kulaifi, Vijaya Ravinayagam , Mohammad H. Al-Qahtani. Serum Adipocytokines, Metabolic and Immunological Correlations in Type 1 Diabetes mellitus (T1DM) Children .Open Endocrinology Journal 2012; 6:110-116.

29-McMillan DE. Elevation of complement components in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metab 1980; 6: 265-70.

30-Krantz S, Stelter F, Lober M, Rjasanowski I, Michaelis D, Buske B. Complement component (C

3) and diabetes mellitus. Exp Clin Endocrinol 1988; 92: 287-96.

31-Dezayee ZMI, Alnakshabandi AA. Assessment of some immunological parameters in respect to glycemic control in type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus: Comparative study. Res J Med Sci 2011; 5(3): 119-21.

32-Dandona P, Aljada A, Mohanty P, *et al.* Insulin inhibits intranuclear nuclear factor kappaB and stimulates Ikappa B in mononuclear cells in obese subjects: evidence for an anti-inflammatory effect. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001; 86: 3257-65.

33-Koistinen HA, Koivisto VA, Ebeling P. Serum complement protein C3 concentration is elevated in insulin resistance in obese men. Eur J Intern Med 2000; 11: 21-6.

34-Malmgren O, Goldson L, Hill C , Orwin A, petrini L, Lundberg M .Root resorption after orthodontic treatment of traumatized teeth. American Journal of Orthodontics 1982;82:487-491.

35-Mancini H S, Carbonara A O, Heremans J F.immunology test, Immunochemistry 1965;2:235.

36-Berne G H . immunohistochemistry .Clin Chem 1974;200:61-89.

37-Field A .Discovering statistics using SPSS .ISBN 2005; 978-7619.

38-Pallant J. SPSS Survival Manual:A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for windows,3rd Ed. Open University Press. 2007 ISB 335-978.

39-Coppieters,K.T. , M.G. von Herrath. Viruses and cytotoxic T lymphocytes in type I diabetes.Clin.Rev.Allergy Immunol. (in press) 2010 10.1007/s12016-010-8220-4.

40- Meier-Stiegen ,F, A.G. Ziegler. Prospective observational studies of the development of type I diabetes during childhood and puberty. Dtsch.Med.Wochenschr 2011;136:271-275.

41-Brezniak N, Wasserstein A. Orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption. Part I: the basic science aspects. *Angle Orthod* 2002;72

42- Owman-Moll P, Kurol J, Lundgren D. Continuous versus interrupted continuous orthodontic force related to early tooth movement and root resorption. *Angle Orthod.* 1995;65:395–401.

43- Kurol J, Owman Moll P, Lundgren D. Time related root resorption after application of controlled continuous orthodontic force. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1996;110:303–310.

44- Harris EF, Kineret SE, Tolley EA. A heritable component for external apical root resorption in



patients treated orthodontically. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1997;111:301–309.

45- McNab S, Battistutta D, Taverne A, Symons AL. External apical root resorption of posterior teeth in asthmatics after orthodontic treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1999;116:545–551

46- Paul Yan-Wan Lau ,Ricky Wing-Kit Wong . Risk and complication in orthodontic treatment . Hong Kong Dental Journal 2006; 3:15-22.

47-Hellsing E, Hammarström L. The hyaline zone and associated root surface changes in experimental orthodontics in rats: a light and scanning electron microscope study. European Journal of Orthodontics 1996; 18: 11-18.

48-Kurol J, Owman-Moll P. Hyalinization and root resorption during early orthodontic tooth movement in adolescents. Angle Orthodontist 1998;68: 161-165.

49-Lee K J, Park Y C, Yu H S, Choi S H, Yoo Y J. Effects of continuous and interrupted orthodontic force on interleukin-1beta and prostaglandin E2 production in gingival crevicular fluid. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2004; 125: 168-177.

50-Başaran G, Ozer T, Kaya F A, Hamamci O. Interleukins 2, 6, and 8 levels in human gingival sulcus during orthodontic treatment. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 2006; 130: 7.e1-7.e6. **51**-George A, Evans C A . Detection of root resorption using dentin and bone markers. Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research 2009; 12: 229-235

52-Goldsby R A, Kindt T J, Osborne B A. Kuby imunologia 2002. Revinter, São Paulo.

53-Abbas A K, Lichtman A H, Pilai S. Cellular and molecular immunology 2005. Saunders, Philadelphia

54-Haug S R, Brudvik P, Fristad I, Heyeraas K J. Sympathectomy causes increased root resorption after orthodontic tooth movement in rats: immunohistochemical study. Cell and Tissue Research 2003 ;313: 167-175.

55-Carrol M C. The complement system in regulation of adaptive immunity.Nat.Immunol 2004; 5:981-986.

56-Botto, M , M. Kirschfink, P. Macor, M C. Pickering, R. Wurzner , F. Tedesco. Complement in human diseases :Lessons from complement deficiencies .Mol.Immunol 2009;46:2774-2783.

57-Nicoloff G., A. Blazhev, C . Petrova , P. Christova .Circulating immune complexes among diabetic children .Clin.Dev.Immunol 2004;11:61-66.