# Bone marrow injection in patients with delayed union and non-union of long bone fractures

# Done by Dr.Firas T. Ismaeel

# Abstract

**Background**: In the process of bone formation and healing of fractures, the bone marrow as a source of osteoprogenitor cellswhich are the most important factor in this process. The aim of this study is to show the effect of bone marrow injection in management of delayed union and non-union.

Patients and methods: Twenty one patients with delayed union and non union were treated by bone marrow injection. Most of cases have compound fractures of the long bones. The bone marrow were aspirated from the anterior or posterior iliac crests and then injected percutaneously into the fracture site.

**Results:** Full union was achieved in 15 cases, while failed in the others. The mean time for union was 20 weeks; no major complications were seen during or after the procedure.

**Conclusion:** The usage of bone marrow injection in the treatment of delayed union and non-union is a safe, easy and a minimally invasive procedure, compared to usual open bone graft especially for cases with high risk of anesthesia or risk of infection.

Key words: Delayed union.

Non-union.

Osteogenic precursor cells .

#### Introduction

One of the major complications in fracture treatment were delayed union and non-unions, because any fracture will end either by union or non-union. Delayed union, by definition, is present when an adequate period of time has elapsed since the initial injury without achieving bone union. The fact that a bone is delayed in its union does not mean that it will become a nonunion. Nonunion is one end result of a delayed union, and the differentiation between the two is sometimes difficult to make. Classically the stated reasons for delayed union and nonunion are problems such as inadequate reduction, inadequate immobilization, distraction, loss of blood supply, and infection.

There are various factors that is used to enhance union, such as drugs, electro-magnetic fields, distraction and compression osteogenesis by illazrov, autogenous bone graft, amore specifically bone morphogenic protein injected in fracture site.

The concept of percutaneous bone graft was introduced by Herzog in 1951.he used along bone needle and small cancellous chips to graft anon- union(1) .McGaw and Habin were among the first to demonstrate the osteogenic activity of the bone marrow(2). The osteogenic precursor cells which are capable of producing bone have been demonstrated among the stromal and endosteal cells of the bone marrow which are the key element in the process of bone formation and fracture healing (3,4).

The demonstrated marrow cells supplement perosteal and primitive mesenchymal cells to form cellular component of bone healing. The capacity to heal a fracture is a latent potential of the mesenchymal tissues in around bone. Activation of this potential is a regional response to the fracture. The ability to initiate and or augment this transient osteogenic response, on demand and with safety can provide the means of accelerating the rate of fracture healing and reactivating the ineffective healing process if delayed union or non-union has occurred. (5).

#### Patient and methods

This is a clinical trial study done in Tikrit teaching hospital in the period from Feb. 2007 to Jan. 2009. 21 patients with delayed and non-union of long bones were selected for bone marrow injection. We select patients with delayed union and non union depending on the criteria that delayed union is lack of callus formation for more than 6 months, while non- union is lack of union after 9 months or no progression of healing for 3 months. The patients age ranged from (20-50) yrs. most of the cases suffered a compound communited fracture of one of the long bones.5 cases of fracture femur ,10 cases of fracture tibia,6 cases of fracture humerus. Open bone grafting technique were risky in those cases (most of the cases having multiple shells or previous history of bone infection). The bone marrow were aspirated from the anterior or posterior iliac crest and injected into the fracture site under fluoroscopic control. the procedure were done in the operative theatre ,13 patient done under local anaesthesia and the other 8 done under general anaesthesia. The marrow aspirated via special bone marrow aspiration needle, the aspirate was injected into the fracture site using a spinal needle. The procedure done under aseptic technique.

The same external fixation or plaster cast immobilization was continued after the injection antibiotics given post injection. weight bearing was not allowed in the first few days to reduce pain and oedema at injection site .the patient were followed for a mean period of 12 months by serial radiographs every 4 weeks until the appearance of callus and union. If there is no callus after the first injection the procedure were repeated. We repeated the procedure for maximum, of 3 times. The patient followed until either a full union occurred or non-union persist and other procedures were used.

# Results

The study revealed that clinical and radiological union(depending on x-ray follow up)was achieved successfully in 15 cases (71.5%), while the other 6 cases (28.5%) failed to unite.

Most of the cases needed repeated injections to achieve union. The mean time of callus to appear radiologically was 7.5 weeks, in 16 cases (76%) callus did appear after bone marrow injection yet one case failed to unite fully.

The mean time for union was 20 weeks. No major complication was seen during and after the procedure, only a few cases developed pain at the donor site that subsides within few weeks. 2 cases developed infection, one of them controlled by antibiotics while the other ended with failure.

Age in yrs	Patient	Percentage
	number	%
20-29	6	28.5
30-39	6	28.5
40-50	5	23.8
>50	4	19

# Table (1) age distribution. P>0.05

The table shows that the age group from 20-29 and from 30-39 is the most common one to be affected by fractures.

Age in yrs	Callus	appearance	In weeks	
	4 wks	8wks	12wks	non
20-29	2	3	_	1
30-39	1	2	1	1
40-50	-	3	1	2
>50	-	1	_	2

Table(2)callus appearance in relation to age. P < 0.05

The table shows that the younger the age group the earlier the callus well appear.

Type of	Callus	Appearance	In weeks	
fracture				
	4wks	8wks	12wks	non
closed	2	6	_	2
open	1	3	2	4

Table(3)relation of callus appearance and fracture type. P < 0.05

The callus appears earlier in closed fractures as shown by the table.

Fracture site	Number of	Percentage	
	patients	%	
Humerus	6	28.5	
Tibia	10	47.5	
Femur	5	23.8	

Table (4) fracture site and number of patients. P < 0.05

The tibia is most common bone to have delayed or non union.

Fracture site	Callus	Appearance	In weeks	
	4wks	8wks	12wks	Non
Humerus	1	2	1	2
Tibia	_	6	1	2
Femur	2	2	_	1

Table(5) fracture site and callus appearance. P > 0.05

The callus appeared earlier in cases of fractures of femur.

complications	Number of
	cases
infection	2
Pain	1
Poor acceptance	1
	4

Table (6) complications of procedure.p>0.05

Poor patient compliance was the most common complication.

We noticed that the earlier bone marrow injection was given from the time of fracture the earlier callus formation and the best results were observed.

# Discussion

Delayed union and nonunion have been treated by various methods such as onlay bone graft, dual onlay bone graft, cancellous insert graft with or without fixation, stimulation by various methods (electromagnetic fields and others). Despite the advances in bone grafting materials and technique open autologous bone grafting still remains the standard treatment of nonunion. Phemister in 1930 showed that the morbidity associated with these procedures is significant and the increase risks of additional open surgery can be prohibited in certain cases (7, 8, 9). In recent clinical studies percutaneous injections of BMA have achieved successful healing in 75 to 95% of non union. The differences among these healing rates may be attributed to variations in techniques and patients population to which they are applied (10,11,12).

Poor soft tissue coverage and the presence of foreign bodies (shells) was the reason to avoid extensive open surgical procedures in most of our patients that would have lead to increase risk of active infection, wound healing problems or skin sloughing.

The commoner age group to be affected by fractures is the middle age group, this explained by this age group is the worker and active one ,who most commonly liable for injuries

The bone forming capability by the body is more strong and active in young age, and this explains the earlier appearance of callus the in young patients.

In open fractures usually there is soft tissue lose and this leads to poor blood supply to fractured area ,this well leads to amore delayed time for callus appearance after the injection.

Tibia ,especially the distal part, have poor blood supply ,which make the most liable bone for non union and callus appearance after injection.

Connolly et al stated that autologous bone marrow has been most useful for the preventive treatment of non union by early injection of delayed union. He also said that the ideal for bone marrow injection should be after the initial inflammatory and osteoclastic resorption period of fracture has subsided (13).

Our finding that 71.5% of our cases successfully responded to percutaneous bone marrow injections was agreed by Siwach (11).

Paley et al stated that marrow produces optimal effect when used early in fracture healing process with the poorest results encountered when used in the treatment of established non union. This agrees with our findings that the earlier bone marrow injection after fracture the best the outcome is (5).

The technique of bone marrow grafting has many advantages in the respect that it can be done under local anesthesia, there is no soft tissue consideration, it is simple and safe procedure. We further believe that this technique of percutaneous bone marrow grafting really enhance union in cases of delayed union and non union. In addition this is a good procedure for patients with high risk for general anesthesia. More over there is nothing to be lost even if we fail to achieve union by this simple procedure.

The study reveals another advantage of this procedure that is multiple percutaneous marrow injections can be performed with out donor site complications and can be necessary to successfully heal non union.

# References

1-Herzog K. Verlangerungosteotomic unter vernen dungdes percutan gezielt verriegelten Markangels.Unfallheikunde 1951, 42:226-30.

2-McGaw WH, Harbin M. The role of bone marrow and endostium in bone regeneration. An experimental study of bone marrow and endosteal transplants .J Bone Joint Surg 1934, 14:816-21.

3-Friedenstien AJ. Determined and inducible osteogenic precursor cells .In : Hard tissue growth repair and remineralization . Ciba foundation Symposium ii, New York; 1973:169-81.

4-Gray. JC, Elves MW. Early osteogenesis in compact bone isografts. Aquantatitative study of the contributors of the different graft cells. Clacif Tissue Int 1979;29:225-30.

5-Paley D, Yound MC, Wiley AM et al. Percutaneous bone marrow grafting of fracture and bony defects. An experimental study in rabbits. Clin Orthop 1986; 208:300-12.

6-Healy JH ,Zimmerman PA, McDonnel JM. Percutaneous bone marrow grafting of delayed and non union in cancer patients. Clin Orthop 1990;256;281-5.

7-Phemister DB. Treatment of united fracture by only bone graft wiyhout screw or tie fixation and without breaking down of the fibrous union. J Bone joint surg 1947; 29 946-60.

8-Sim, R.; Liang, T.S.; and Tay, B.K.; Autologous marrow injection in the treatment of delaed and nonunion in long bones. Singapore MedJ, 34(5) :412-7.1993.

9-Connolly, J.F.; Clinical use of marrow osteoprogenitor cells to stimulate osteogenis. Clin Orth Relat Res, (355 suppl):S257-66, 1998.

10-Connolly, J.F., Guse, R.; Tiedman, J.; and Dehne, R.; Autologous marrow injection as asubstitute for operative grafting of tibial non unions .Clin Orthop Relat Res, (226):259-70,1991.

11-Goel, A.; Sangwan, S.S.; Siwach ,R.C; and Ali ,A.M.; Percutaneous bone marrow grafting for treatment of tibial non union. Injury, 36(1):203-6,2005.

12-ELLIS H. The speed of healing after fracture of the tibial shaft.J Bone joint Surg 1958;40-B:42-6.

13-Connolly JF .Guse R, Tiedman J Dehne R. Autologous marrow injection as asubstitute for operative grafting of tibial non union. Clin Orth Relat Res 1991;266:259-70.