

University of Mosul
College of Archaeology



Ministry of Higher
Education and Scientific
Research
ISSN 2304 - 103X (print)
ISSN 2664 - 2794 (Online)

IRAQI
Academic Scientific Journals

AL-Rafedain Archaeology

Journal



Accredited Scientific Journal It Search's in Archaeology of Iraq and Ancient Near East

Published College of Archaeology-University of Mosul Vol.9/No.1 1445 A.H. / 2024 A.D.

Athar Al-Rafedain

Journal

Accredited Scientific Journal

It Search's in Archaeology of Iraq and Ancient Near East

Published by College of Archaeology – University of Mosul

E-Mail: uom.atharalrafedain@gmail.com

Vol.9/ No. 1 Jamada Al-Akhera 1445 A.H. /1- January. 2024 A.D.

Editorial Board

Prof. Khalid Salim Ismael

Editor in Chief

University of Mosul- College of Archaeology/ Iraq

Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassanein Haydar Abdlwahed

Managing Editor

University of Mosul- College of Archaeology/ Iraq

Members

Prof. Dr. Elizabeth Stone	Stony Brook University/ New York/ USA
Prof. Dr. Adeleid Otto	Munich University/ Institute of Archaeology/ Germany
Prof. Dr. Walther Sallaberger	Munich University/ Institute of Assyriology/ Germany
Prof. Dr. Nicolo Marchetti	Bologna University/ Department of History/ Italy
Prof. Dr. Hudeeb Hayawi Abdulkareem	University of Babylon/ Department of Archaeology/ Iraq
Prof. Dr. Jawad Matar Almosawi	University of Baghdad/ Department of Archaeology/ Iraq
Prof. Dr. Rafah Jasim Hammadi	University of Baghdad/ Department of Archaeology/ Iraq
Prof. Dr. Adel Hashim Ali	University of Basra/ Department of History/ Iraq
Assist Prof. Dr. Yasamin Abdulkareem M. Ali	University of Mosul/ Department of Archaeology/ Iraq
Assist Prof. Dr. Vyan Muafak Rasheed	University of Mosul/ Department of Archaeology/ Iraq
Assist Prof. Dr. Hani Abdulghani Abdullah	University of Mosul/ Department of Civilization/ Iraq

Arabic Language Assessor
Prof. Dr. Maan Yahya Mohammed
Department of Arabic Language /College of Arts / University of
Mosul

English Language Assessor
Assist. Lect. Mushtaq Abdullah Jameel
College of Archaeology / University of Mosul

Designing and Formatting
Lecturer. Thaer Sultan Darweesh

Cover Design
Dr. Amer Al-Jumaili

Rules of Publishing in Athar al-Rafedain Journal (AARJ):

- 1- The journal accepts scientific research papers that falls in specializations of :
 - Archaeology of both branches ancient and Islamic Archaeology.
 - Ancient languages with their dialects and comparative studies.
 - Cuneiform Inscriptions and ancient inscriptions.
 - Historical and cultural studies.
 - Archaeological geology.
 - Archaeological survey techniques.
 - Anthropological studies.
 - Conservation and restoration.
- 2- The journal accepts research papers in both Arabic and English languages.
- 3- For interested researchers to publish in our journal, kindly sign up at our website (platform) through the following link:
<https://athar.mosuljournals.com>
- 4- After signing up, the researcher will receive a confirmation email of registration and password that can be used for the access to the website of the journal through using the registration email and the password sent through the following link:
<uom.atharalrafedain@gmail.com>
- 5- The platform (website) will give the researcher the permission to log on in order to submit his/ her research paper through a number of steps starting from filling some related information which can be displayed later after uploading the research paper.
- 6- The format of the paper should be designed according to the instructions of the journal as follow:
 - The research paper should be printed on (A4) paper, Microsoft Word with single spaces between lines, Simplified Arabic font for Arabic language and Times New Roman for English language.
 - The title of the research should be typed in the middle of the page, followed by the name of the researcher, his/ her academic degree, full work address, e-mail and font size is (15) for both Arabic and English.
 - The font size of the body of the research is (14) and as for the margins is (12).
 - Shapes and images are placed at the end of the research paper.
 - Margins are placed at the end of the research paper after the images and illustrations and they should be arranged in an ascending order.

- The name of the source is mentioned in full in the margin along with abbreviation of the source placed in brackets at the end of the margin.
 - Tables and shapes should be numbered consecutively and according to their place in the research paper and should have titles. They should be submitted separately and charts should be in black ink and images should be in high definition quality.
 - Arabic sources should be translated into English (Bibliography) and should be placed after margins at the end of the research paper.
 - The dimension of the A4 paper for all directions should be (2.45) for the top and bottom of the page and (3.17) for the left and right of the page.
- 7- The research paper should have an abstract in Arabic and English languages, with no less than (150) words and it shouldn't exceed (250) words.
- 8- The researcher (the writer of the paper) should provide the following information to the paper:
- The research paper should be sent to the journal without names.
 - The researcher shall send in a separate document the following information in both Arabic and English: full name, scientific degree, certificates, work place (Department/ College/ University), a brief title to the research paper which includes the most prominent foundations, and an ORCID number to the researcher.
- 9- The researcher should take into consideration the following scientific conditions in writing the research paper since they are going to be the basis of accepting the paper. These conditions are:
- The researcher should identify the importance of his/ her research paper and the objectives he/ she are seeking to achieve as well as mentioning the purpose of its application.
 - The research paper should have a scope of study and the community that the researcher wishes to study in his/ her paper.
 - The researcher should take into consideration the selection of the appropriate methodology that is in harmony with the topic of the paper. In addition, the researcher should consider the tools of data collection which are in harmony with the research paper and the adopted methodology.
 - The researcher should consider the selection of the relevant and updated sources of information that the researcher depends as well as the accuracy in quotations and reference to the related sources.

- The researcher should consider writing the results that he/ she reach and making sure of their validity and relation to the research questions or the hypothesis that was place at the body of the paper.
 - The research paper has not been previously published or submitted for the purpose of obtaining a scientific degree or extracted from the intellectual property of another researcher, and the researcher must pledge in writing during the submission process.
 - The number of pages of the paper should not exceed (25) pages and in case of exceeding this number, the researcher shall pay an additional amount of (3000 Iraqi Dinars IQD) for each additional page.
 - The submitted copies of the research paper are not going to be returned to the researcher whether it is accepted for publishing or not.
 - The researcher should edit any of linguistic or typing mistakes.
 - The researcher should submit a hard (printed) copy along with a soft copy on (CD) after editing it and notifying him of the acceptance to publish.
10. The journal is functioning according to self-funding. Therefore, the researcher bears the publication and plagiarism fees of (115.000 IQD) one hundred and fifteen thousand Iraqi dinars only.
11. Each researcher is provided with a copy of his/ her research. As for the full copy of the journal, it is requested from the journal's secretariat in return for a fee set by the editorial board.

Note:

All ideas and opinions that are mentioned in the research papers which are published at our journal express the opinions of the researchers and their intellectual orientations directly. They do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the editorial board. Hence, it is worthy to note

Contents

Page	Research Name	Subject
1	Khalid Salim Ismael	Preface
Arabic Part		
3-28	Nael Hanoon	The Sites and Canal (Falage) of the Lower Khabur Region in Syria
29-56	Israa Ihsan Ali Safwan Sami Saeed	The Importance of Money in Supporting the Authority of the Assyrian Kings - Study in the Light of Cuneiform Sources
57-70	Yassin Ramadan Hassan Ahmed Zidan Al-Hadidi	Gifts Exchanged Between the Egyptians and the Babylonians in the Light of the Amarna Letters
71-102	Karwan S. Bekr Noman J. Ibrahim Aziz M.A. Al-Zibary	The Architecture of the Temples with the Tripartite Style in Mesopotamia from the Sixth Millennium until the end of the Second Millennium BC.
103-134	Muhammed Muhaarib Ali Ameen Abd-Anafi Ameen	The Nominal Sentence in the Akkadian Language- A Grammatical Study
135-160	Husham Sawadi Hashim	Agriculture in Bilad Al sham (Levant) in the seventeenth century through the Book of Gahan-nama by Kateb Chalabi
161-196	Adnan Ahmad Abudayyah Dalia Ilyas Zalloum	The Functions of the Shekel in Ancient Civilizations
197-226	Saad Ahmed Abed Yasmine Abdel Karim	Restoration and Conservation of an Antique Wood Shutter Door from the Safawi Period
227-256	Fatin Mouafaq Fadhel AL-Shaker	The Religious Effect on Development the Knowledge of Medicine & Drugs of the Ancient Egyptian
257-280	Omar Jassam Fathel	Archaeological Terms: A Study in Semantics and Usage
281-294	Rana Waleed Fathi	Budget Calculation in the Light of an Unpunished Cuneiform Text from the Akkadian Period
295-312	Rana waadallah Mahde	Architectural Characteristics of Vaults and their Uses in Building in the City of Mosul – Selected Models
313-340	Rakan Faraj Al-Khayyat	Legends and Tales about the Assyrian Irrigation at Nineveh Suburbs
English Part		
3-25	Saya Halko Fattah Agha Ramon Buxó Capdevila Ari Khaleel Kamil	Macrobotanical Remains in Archaeology: Preservation Modes and Method

1st – January – 2024

Preface

We are pleased to announce the first part of the Ninth Volume of Athar Al-Rafedain Journal where this Volume coincides with the journal's success in obtaining the Standards for Accreditation of the Arab Reference Impact Factor and Citations "ARCIF" for the year 2023, which are compatible with international standards, according to the email sent to the editor-in-chief of the journal on 8/10/2023. This step is considered as another important achievement added to the series of achievements that we have striven to achieve. This Volume included a valuable collection of articles and studies in the disciplines of Archaeology and Ancient Languages as well as studies in Ancient History and Civilization by a group of researchers who submitted their articles to the Athar Al-Rafedain Journal which is issued by the College of Archaeology at the University of Mosul.

We wish you all the best

Prof. Khalid Salim Ismael

Editor-in-Chief

1- January- 2024

Macrobotanical Remains in Archaeology: Preservation Modes and Method

Saya Halko Fattah Agha^(*)

Ramon Buxó Capdevila^(**)

Ari Khaleel Kamil^(***)

Received Date: 11/7/2023

Reviewed Date: 25/9/2023

Accepted Date: 1/10/2023

Available Online: 1/1/2024

Abstract:

During excavation, archaeologists sometimes notice the presence of plant remains in the archaeological sediment. These more visible macrobotanical remains include wood, seeds, fruits and floral parts. Depending on the region and conditions, they can be preserved by various modes, including dessication, waterlogging and charring; the latter being the most common especially in modern Iraq.

Thanks to the development of research on this organic material, our knowledge on the way of life of ancient societies was greatly improved. They would be able to provide important knowledge about earlier vegetation, the plant exploitation including cultivation and domestication process as well as the diet. In addition, plant remains may help us to reconstruct activities and help us to interpret the function of spaces and/or structures. However, before being able to provide such information, the archaeobotanical study requires to apply strategies and methods from sampling to interpretation. Our paper presents these different steps.

Keywords: Archaeobotany , Flotation , Charred Plants , Mineralisation, Dry Sieving

^(*) MA. Student/ University of Salaheddin/ College of Arts/ Department of Archaeology

E-Mail: saya.agha@su.edu.krd

^(**)Prof.Catalunya Archaeology Museum / Girona / Catalunya.

E-Mail: rbuxo@gencat.cat

ORCID: 0000-0003-1428-3673

^(***)Asst.Prof.Dr. University of Salaheddin / Collage of Arts/ Department of Archaeology

E-mail: ari.kamil@su.edu.krd

ORCID: 0009-0003-3599-9117

بقايا النباتات الكبيرة في علم الآثار: أنماط وطرق الحفظ

سايها هلكو فتاح آغا(*) رامون بوكسو كابديفيلا(**)

ناري خليل كامل(***)

تاريخ التقديم: ٢٠٢٣/٧/١١ تاريخ المراجعة: ٢٠٢٣/٩/٢٥
تاريخ القبول: ٢٠٢٣/١٠/١ تاريخ النشر الإلكتروني: ٢٠٢٤/١/١

الملخص:

خلال التنقيبات، يلاحظ علماء الآثار أحياناً وجود بقايا نباتية بين الرواسب الأثرية. تشمل هذه البقايا النباتات الكبيرة التي ترى بالعين المجردة كالخشب والبذور والفواكه وأجزاء من الزهور. وكل هذا يعتمد على الظروف المناخية للمنطقة، عند اكتشافها حيث يمكن الحفاظ عليها باستعمال طرق مختلفة، بما في ذلك التجفيف والتشبع بالمياه فضلاً عن التخمير؛ والطريقة الأخيرة هي الأكثر شيوعاً في العراق.

حيث ظهرت دراسات حديثة بفضل التطور العلمي في البحث عن المواد العضوية، ومن خلالها تحسنت معرفتنا عن ماهية وطرق الحياة لدى المجتمعات القديمة بشكل كبير. إذ يمكنها تقديم معلومات مهمة حول مدى الغطاء النباتي في العصور القديمة، ومدى تطور المفاهيم الزراعية وعمليات التدجين فضلاً عن النظام الغذائي.. فضلاً على ذلك، قد تساعدنا بقايا النباتات في إعادة بناء الأنشطة والحصول على تفسير لوظائف المساحات والهياكل. للحصول على المزيد من المعلومات، تتطلب الدراسة الأثرية تطبيق استراتيجيات وطرق مختلفة من خلال أخذ العينات المختلفة وتقديم التفسير المختلفة لها، وهدفنا في هذا البحث هو معرفة الطرق المختلفة في الكشف عن النباتات الكبيرة المكتشفة خلال التنقيبات الأثرية ودراستها بصورة علمية دقيقة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: علم النبات الأثري، التعويم، النباتات المتفحمة، التمعدن، الغريلة الجافة.

(*) كلية الاداب/ قسم الآثار/ جامعة صلاح الدين

(**) متحف كتالونيا للآثار/ جيرونا/ كتالونيا.

(***) كلية الآداب/ قسم الآثار/ جامعة صلاح الدين

Introduction

During archaeological fieldwork, archaeologists sometime notice changes in the colour or texture of the sediment. Dark burnt and white soft ashy layers are among the most easily recognisable deposits. They can be associated to a structure such as a hearth, oven or kiln and be spread within the site, in internal or external spaces. These specific layers have a high probability to contain plant remains, preserved by charring. Whereas other preservation modes exists in the world depending on the region and conditions of deposit (dessication, waterlogging), in Iraq plant remains are mostly recovered in the charred form. Additional modes of preservation in the region includes (bio) mineralisation and plant impressions.

Plant macroremains refers to items that are, in theory, large enough to be visible by eyes. However, since the earliest archaeological research carried out in Iraq, little attention was carried out on The retrieval of plant remains. The field of study, the archaeobotany, The study of plant remains is a relatively new field that originated towards the end of XIXth century and was developed by european researchers in western Europe, but also in Anatolia, Egypt and Peru. Plant remains include wood, seeds, fruits, tubers and other floral parts. Their analysis and identification may help archaeologists to understand and reconstruct activities carried out by the inhabitants of the site. Indeed, this organic material can provide information on 1) the vegetation that was surrounding the occupation, 2) the seasonality of the settlement (camp versus permanent village), 3) strategies of plant exploitation, and it especially highlights if people were gathering or cultivating plants and how and 4) the uses of the plants, as food, fuel, architecture, craft etc.

Information obtained through archaeobotanical analysis can (and should) be confronted to other material and fields of study to answer specific questions. As an example, if the plant remains can provide information about the crops consumed by an ancient community, the type of pottery associated to it may allow us to further investigate storage and/or cooking practices. Similarly, the study of lithic industry may provide additional information about plant harvesting strategies. Interdisciplinary allow archaeologists to cross and check data obtained from various disciplines. The archaeobotanical results can thus, be discussed and compared to other available data to reconstruct the history of an archaeological site. This paper, deriving from the master thesis of S.H. Agha carried under the direction of prof. A.KH. Kamil and R. Buxo Capdevila, The objective of this paper is to provide an overview of the fundamental principles of archaeobotany and to present the methodologies employed in the retrieval and identification of macrobotanical remains.

1. The macrobotanical remains

Plants can be divided into different groups or categories, depending on the scientific perspective (anatomy, botany etc). We can, for example, distinguish the vascular from the non-vascular plants ; the first one being subdivided into the gymnosperms and the angiosperms. But plants can also be classified into trees, shrubs and herbs according to the degree of lignification.

Plant macro remains are fossilized plants that are visible at a distance and that can be handled by hand. A macrofossil may range in size from 0.5 mm to an enormous trunk of a tree, there is a median size of 0.5 mm to 2.0 m. ¹

There are many types of plant macro remains, including fruits, seeds, wood, tubers, fibers, leaf fragments, etc.² Identifying fragmented fruit, nut, and large seed remains is therefore largely a matter of detailed study of comparative material. To recognize the charred Macroremains , To effectively compare and identify archaeological materials, it is necessary to char and fragment reference materials in a manner that closely matches the size and composition of the archaeological specimens.³

a. Wood (and wood-like)

The term of « wood » usually refers to lignified plants. However, some plants such as the date palm are often considered as trees whereas, botanically speaking, they are not.⁴

Wood remains provide information about the vegetation surrounding a site at the time of its occupation, its exploitation and evolution including degradation related to human activities.⁵

The identification of charred wood is one of the primary tasks undertaken by paleoethnobotanists.⁶ Through the charring process, certain characteristics that are typically helpful in identifying fresh wood, such as color and odor, are eliminated.⁷ Wood can be used as construction material to build houses but also ships, as fuel and to produce objects (such as hunting or agricultural tools, vessels, etc). However, the recovery of wood can also reflect wood management associated to cultivation activities.⁸

b. Seeds, fruits, underground storage organs and floral parts

Seeds are reproductive structures. The seeds of the gymnosperms are naked whereas those of the angiosperms are enclosed and protected by an ovary. In angiosperm seeds, there are three major components: the

embryo, the endosperm, and the seed coat (testa). Archaeological seeds may not preserve all distinguishing characteristics. Charring, for example, can alter the size and shape of the seeds, or the seeds may be broken. Seed coats provide important diagnostic characteristics, such as color for uncharred seeds, texture, attachments, and scars. The ability to recognize and distinguish seeds significantly declines when the protective outer layer of the seed, known as the seed coat, is either lost through charring or eroded in dried-out materials.⁹ Typically, when large seeds are found, they often present a fragmented challenge. To successfully identify charred specimens, it becomes crucial to deliberately char and fragment comparable materials that resemble the archaeological samples. Additionally, a thorough examination of distinct tissue features is required¹⁰ The accurate identification of archaeological seeds to botanical taxa is largely based on comparing archaeological specimens to known varieties of seeds.¹¹

Furthermore the Fruit is a matured ovary and its attaching parts. Depending on fruit structure, the ovary wall, or pericarp, can be soft, fleshy, leathery, rigid, or thin.¹² Fruits derive from the development of the ovary and can consequently only be produced by angiosperms.¹³ Seed arrangement in fruit can also vary. Certain fruits exhibit the characteristic of a solitary seed that is united with the wall of the ovary.¹⁴ Other fruits contain multiple seeds. While archaeologists occasionally discover intact whole fruits, it is more typical to find fragments of edible portions of the fruit.¹⁵ Additionally Nuts are hard and bony fruits that are indehiscent, one-celled, and one-seeded. There are some nuts that are covered by a leathery husk, such as those in the hickory family. There may be archaeological evidence of husk fragments, hard pericarps, and

embryos of nuts.¹⁶ Identifying many roots and tubers based on their form and structure can be challenging due to the variable nature of these characteristics. However, the degree of preservation and fragmentation of macro remains can further limit the success of identification.¹⁷ When preservation is through charring, recovering tuber or root peelings is very unlikely, because such remains are quite fragile. However, it is possible to find finds both in dry and waterlogged preservation settings.¹⁸ It was also attempted to detect tuber exploitation via tool useware and residue analysis¹⁹

1.1 The preservation modes and states in Iraq

In normal conditions, organic material would desintegrate through time.²⁰ Specific favorable conditions must be met for plant remains to be preserved in archaeological deposits.²¹ Natural and cultural processes have a significant impact on the preservation state of archaeobotanical material. Each preservation mode alters differently the plant remains and the preservation potential of plants varies from species to species.²²

1.1.1 Charring

From an archaeological standpoint, charring is perhaps the most beneficial outcome for a seed, even though it may appear counterintuitive. Charring renders a seed highly resistant to decomposition as charred plants become inedible to insects, animals, bacteria, and fungi.²³ Charred plant remains survive in most environments, and charring (carbonisation) is the most frequent mode of preservation encountered on archaeological sites in Iraq. In most cases, open fires such as in hearths, parching ovens or house fires, exposed plant remains to high temperatures leading to their carbonisation.²⁴ The fire

can be accidental or voluntary.²⁵ The organic molecules in the plant are transformed into charcoal by this heating (when there is a restricted supply of oxygen).²⁶ Carbonised plant remains can persist in most conditions because charcoal is unaffected by bacteria, fungus, or other organisms that break down organic matter. Consequently, plant materials that are utilized as fuel, discarded into fires, or handled in close proximity to open flames have a higher probability of undergoing charring.²⁷

Charring can alter the morphology and the morphometry of the plant part. It can lead to a shrinkage (size decrease) or conversely contribute to create puffy macrobotanical remains. The identification of plant specimens significantly decreases when they are fragmented or when the seed coat is damaged or poorly preserved. Furthermore, different plant parts and taxa exhibit distinct responses to charring. The hard nutshell fragments (for example pistachio fruit endocarp) are usually better survive charring than fragile seeds like oily seeds of flax or poppy (that tend to explode when exposed to fire).²⁸

1.1.2 (Bio-)mineralisation

In classical archaeological contexts, mineralization is a frequently encountered preservation method, with calcium-phosphate replacement being the predominant form observed.²⁹ This kind of preservation is accomplished by adding minerals to the cell walls or by filling the cell voids with inorganic materials. Mineralization using calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), silica, or phosphate is the most frequent.³⁰ The prevalence of these conditions is most notable in latrine deposits, where the majority of the assemblages consist of plant remains that were consumed and subsequently excreted by humans. These deposits offer exceptional evidence of diet. However, the distribution of such assemblages in the

classical world is highly localized due to the specific microenvironment necessary for calcium-phosphate preservation.³¹ Mineralization occurs when inorganic substances take the place of the organic content in plant remains. Several plants' seed coats and fruit shells naturally undergo mineralization. These mineralized plant parts occasionally endure in archaeological deposits without requiring additional external preservation methods.³²

1.2 Recovering techniques

1.2.1 Sampling strategies

In Archaeobotany, usually archaeologists use different methods for sampling according to regions, sites, period and specialists.³³ Given that charring can arise from both intentional and unintentional burning, it may seem reasonable to adopt a sampling approach that focuses exclusively on contexts displaying evidence of burning.³⁴ Nevertheless, solely sampling hearths or visibly ashy deposits does not consistently lead to the retrieval of a macroremains sample that accurately represents the broader context in practice.³⁵ It is possible to clean hearths periodically, limiting their usefulness. Once charred material has been dispersed or relocated from its original primary deposition context to secondary contexts, it becomes challenging to visually identify and extract samples from it.³⁶ In most excavation situations, it is not feasible to recover plant macroremains of all sizes from every cubic meter of excavated soil. Even if all soil is sieved through a water separator system, selecting a mesh size that allows for efficient processing can still lead to the unintentional loss of small remains.³⁷ For all size grades of macroremains, bulk sieving is impractical; instead, samples of the excavated soil should be Gathered for the purpose of flotation or meticulous sieving.³⁸ Sampling allows for a

reduction in the number of samples collected for analysis, leading to an expedited processing time. This is achieved by processing smaller volumes of sediment during the sampling procedure, rather than processing entire contexts.^{39 40}

Moreover the sampling strategy should be in agreement with the project research and the nature of preservation. When there are several temporal components at a site, In order to optimize temporal contrasts, it is feasible to select a smaller subset of sediment samples for analysis.⁴¹

Comparing Collections of artifacts and remains from all hearth features or samples taken from the floors Over a period of time is possible. For single-component sites, it is possible to select samples that offer the greatest insights into the varying utilization of space. In essence, it is easier to choose a smaller subset of samples (potentially analyzing 25% or less of the total samples) for analysis rather than attempting to predict the optimal sampling contexts during the ongoing excavation process.⁴² Archaeobotanical samples are typically collected using three techniques: "pinch" or composite sampling, column sampling, and point sampling.⁴³

When excavating horizontally intricate regions that demand context-specific botanical information, it is advisable to take samples at shorter intervals and from more precisely defined areas. It is crucial for the sampling process to promptly adapt to changes in excavation strategy or conditions. Moreover, I suggest avoiding composite sampling over areas exceeding one meter in size; if the units are larger, they should be subdivided accordingly. Each sediment sample should be placed in a new plastic bag, promptly sealed, and labeled with relevant provenience details such as the grid number.⁴⁴ Between samples, Ensure that the

sampling tool (such as a trowel or a similar instrument) is properly cleaned.

During sampling, it is important for each sampling unit to maintain a consistent depth when cutting into the floor, avoiding any intrusion into lower strata. When excavating substantial pit features, dissecting them into sections enables the study of profiles, which helps guide sampling efforts and enhances the chances of obtaining botanical samples that accurately reflect the original purpose or function.⁴⁵

In conclusion, here are some pointers for effective archaeobotanical sampling:

- (1) Collect sediment samples of standardized sizes for the purpose of flotation or fine-sieving.⁴⁶
- (2) Handle the sediment collected for flotation or fine sieving with care. Ensure that the samples are taken from sediment that has already passed through bulk screens, while being cautious not to force the sediment through the screen.
- (3) Use sediment bags with two tags. In cases of moist sediment, paper tags placed inside flotation sample bags degrade rapidly. Writing information on the outside of plastic bags with an ink marker fades quickly when exposed to sunlight.
- (4) Evaluate the condition of the sediments. If the flotation samples are wet, leave the plastic bags open to allow the sediments to dry during processing. In instances of large wet samples, it may be necessary to spread them out or subject them to water sieving.
- (5) Float or fine sieve the sediment samples, aiming to keep pace with the fieldwork. While the recovery of macroremains often lags behind

excavation, it is advisable to commence sediment processing early in the field season.⁴⁷

1.2.2 Processing

Small seeds, on the other hand, are frequently difficult to detect with the naked eye, especially if they are waterlogged in dark organic sediment.⁴⁸

Archaeological sites provide three methods for recovering macro remains: direct retrieval from the site itself during excavation, utilization of excavation screens, and application of water-based recovery techniques such as flotation or fine-sieving.⁴⁹ Considering the significant variation in preservation conditions both within and between sites, it is crucial to formulate a comprehensive recovery plan that aligns with long-term excavation objectives. Sampling strategies must account for factors such as the quantity and distribution of samples, sample volume, and appropriate recovery techniques. These decisions depend on various factors, including the specific characteristics of the archaeological site, the sediment type, the expected preservation methods, the research inquiries at hand, and the available resources.⁵⁰

Generally Dry-sieving (dry screening), manual and machine-assisted flotation are commonly employed in Iraq for plant material recovery.

a. Dry Sieving

Dry Sieving (Screening) is one method for dealing with this problem. Dry screening is now a common method in commercial and academic excavations, allowing for the systematic recovery of tiny objects such as lithics, pottery sherds, bone, and shell.⁵¹ However, because the majority of seeds and other plant pieces are so tiny (2 mm), if the screen aperture size is too high, botanical material may be lost. Plant

remains may be crushed or have their distinctive traits removed when handled with stones and other thick materials. Dry screening is sometimes the most practical way to retrieve macro remnants, though.⁵² During extremely dry conditions, bacterial activity is reduced, resulting in dried/desiccated plant remains (e.g., in a desert environment).⁵³

Using water in other ways to extract macrobotanical remains weakens them. In spite of the fact that the plant material appears very well preserved, wetness greatly accelerates tissue breakdown and decomposition. Water can even explode carbonized plant remains from arid areas. **(figure.1)**.^{54 55}

b. Manual Flotation

The foundation for flotation equipment is grounded on the principle that combusted botanical elements possess a lower density (1 g/mL) than that of water. When sediment is shaken in water, charred plants rise to surface for collection with a skimmer or mesh container.⁵⁶ In order to capture the minute components of plant remains, commonly referred to as the light fraction, a mesh of 0.5 mm or smaller is conventionally utilized. The archaeological practice of retrieving artifacts involves segregating stone tools, ceramics, bone, and other materials with higher density than water, resulting in their individual retrieval.⁵⁷ Bucke flotation is a basic and easy-to-build system. To facilitate the collection of heavy materials, a mesh screen is affixed to the bottom of a bucket after cutting it off. The sediment-filled bucket is then emptied into a tub filled with water. Using a fine mesh hand-sieve, the lighter fraction is sieved from the water's surface.^{58 59} **(figure 2)**.

c. Flotation Assist by Machine

Machine-aided systems, in contrast to manual techniques which depend on hand-agitation or bilge pumps, commonly utilize a type of hydrodynamic force generated by a gasoline-powered pump.

The Ankara Machine (**figure 3**), commonly referred to as the water separator, is regarded as one of the earliest systems to have been conceptualized and created. The methodology employed involved the utilization of water pressure derived from an elevated reservoir to effectively cleanse non-floating artifacts, disintegrate sediment, and rinse charred plant remains into a distinct flot box that was deliberately coated with fine mesh.⁶⁰

The SMAP⁶¹ device has the capability to send water directly to the primary container without requiring a raised holding structure. Additionally, it has the added benefit of being easily transportable.⁶² Various SMAP tanks in use for processing clay sediments. Settling tanks collect and remove sediment from the flotation tank's outflow, recycling clean water. Useful in limited water and conservation situations.⁶³

"Water pressure alone does not constitute the sole means of agitation for samples. " Froth flotation apparatuses employ air pressure, generated by compressed air and/or a frothing agent, to induce the formation of bubbles for the purpose of dispersing and segregating sediment into distinct light and heavy factions (**figure 4**).⁶⁴

1.2.3 Analysis

a. Sorting (large and small mesh)

During excavation, a field laboratory is required for both sample storage and post-processing tasks. The field lab should ideally feature capabilities for first analysis of light fraction samples, as well as space for sorting items collected in heavy fraction residue.

The field lab accommodates a variety of additional activities, and excavators and trench supervisors frequently work there in the evenings.⁶⁵

Basic Sorting Procedures and Equipment: Counts, weights, and frequently measures or other characteristics of items according to taxonomic grouping are recorded while analyzing plant remnants recovered by flotation or a related, fine-mesh recovery technique.⁶⁶

Selection of Samples: All samples known to be from secure stratigraphy can be studied where relatively few seeds have been recovered. However, bulk flotation of richer sites, such as those in the Near East, can yield hundreds of samples ranging from a few seeds to thousands of seeds.⁶⁷

Sorting Methods: When a sample has both light and heavy fractions, they are typically studied individually, however the numerical data can be merged when reported. Each sample (or light and heavy fraction) is weighed to the closest 0.01 g before being passed through a series of nested geological sieves, resulting in "splits" of similar-sized particles.⁶⁸

Individuals sorting through samples should be able to recognize and collect artifacts such as lithics, pottery sherds, and animal bone because heavy fraction residues may include them. (**Figure 5-6**),⁶⁹

b. Identification:

Diagnostic characteristics, such as color (for uncharred specimens), texture, attachments and scars morphologies allow to identify plants parts. While photographs and drawings can serve as useful visual aids, they cannot replace or substitute the original material itself. Comparative material (*herbarium*, seed bank) is required in order to check the identification of the plant remains. Observing comparative specimens enable the archaeobotanists to gain an understanding of the diagnostic characteristics and how it can be distinguished from other similar

specimens.⁷⁰ Sometimes, experimental charring allows to create reference collection of specimens preserved in similar conditions. This comparative material helps to understand the effects or damage induced by the specific conditions.⁷¹ It is essential to conduct complete sample analysis and identification in a laboratory that has access to a physical reference collection. However, for individuals who require on-site work, there are now printed and digital seed atlases available to assist in the process.⁷² The identification of plants necessitates a considerable duration of experiential learning and thorough acquaintance with the subject matter. Considering the uncertainties associated with the preservation of archaeobotanical specimens, and the intricate and laborious process of distinguishing and categorizing them, it is imperative to design a comprehensive sampling methodology at the outset of a research initiative.⁷³

Conclusion

In summary, this paper highlights several key points regarding archaeobotany and its significance in understanding ancient societies:

1. **Multifaceted Information Source:** Archaeobotany offers a wealth of information to delve into and reconstruct the daily lives of ancient civilizations.
2. **Interdisciplinary Insights:** The interpretations drawn from archaeobotanical data are intricately linked to broader archaeological inquiries encompassing ecological, social, economic, and political aspects.
3. **Historical Neglect:** Historically, archaeobotany did not receive the attention it merits, which explains the limited investigations in the field.

4. **Recovery Methods:** The paper explores various methods for recovering plant remains in diverse states, underscoring the importance of standardization while recognizing the need for flexibility to adapt techniques to the unique characteristics of each site.
5. **Evolution of Techniques:** Weakness recognition within the field has spurred the development of innovative recovery techniques in paleoethnobotany.
6. **Diverse Plant Uses:** Examining the complete spectrum of plant materials brought to ancient sites for purposes such as sustenance, fuel, construction, and toolmaking yields invaluable insights into ancient societies.

These points collectively emphasize the significance of archaeobotany as a multidimensional tool for exploring and comprehending the complexities of past civilizations.

Figures



(figure.1) Dry sieving process

(<https://zagoraarchaeologicalproject.org/2013/10/04/archaeological-sieving/>)



(Figure 2) Manual flotation machine

(<http://clarissacagnato.weebly.com/macrobotanical-analysis.html>)




Figure 4: Flotation System (Ankara Machine)

(Deborah M. Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany: A Handbook of Procedures* (San Diego: Academic Press, 1989), 57.)



Figure 4: Flotation assist by machine

(The photo by researcher)



(figure 5) sorting heavy residues process

(The photo by researcher)



(figure 6) sorting light residues process

<https://www.penn.museum/blog/museum/archaeobotany-in-the-gardens-of-emily-dickinson-clues-collections/>

References:

- ¹ Hilary Birks, “Plant Macrofossil Introduction,” *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science* 3 (December 31, 2013):p 2266–2288.
- ² Livingstone-Smith, Alexandre, et al., eds., *Field Manual for African Archaeology*, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, 2017, p 206.
- ³ Pearsall, Deborah M. Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany: A Handbook of Procedures* (San Diego: Academic Press, 1989), p151.
- ⁴ Aitor Moreno-Larrazabal, Mertxe Urteaga, and Lydia Zapata, “Identification of Archaeological Wood Remains from the Roman Mine of Arditurri 3 (Oiartzun, Basque Country),” *SAGVNTVM Extra-11-Materials from 5th International Meeting of Charcoal Analysis “Charcoal as Cultural and Biological Heritage” 2011* (January 1, 2011): p159–160.
- ⁵ John M. Marston, Jade d’Alpoim Guedes, and Christina Warinner, eds., *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, 1st edition (University Press of Colorado, 2015), p35.
- ⁶ Daniel Zohary, Maria Hopf, and Ehud Weiss, *Domestication of Plants in the Old World: The Origin and Spread of Domesticated Plants in Southwest Asia, Europe, and the Mediterranean Basin*, Fourth Edition, Fourth Edition (Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press, 2012), p10.
- ⁷ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p156–165.
- ⁸ Gill Campbell, Lisa Moffett, and V Straker, *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods from Sampling and Recovery to Post-Excavation*, 2011, p19–22.
- ⁹ Zohary, Hopf, and Weiss, *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, p74–84.
- ¹⁰ Deborah Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany: Understanding Ancient Lifeways through the Study of Phytoliths, Starch, Macroremains, and Pollen*, 1st edition (New York, NY: Routledge, 2018), p7.
- ¹¹ Jacomet, Stefanie, “Archaeobotany: Analyses of Plant Remains from Waterlogged Archaeological Sites,” *The Oxford Handbook of Wetland Archaeology*, 2013, p497–501.
- ¹² Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p151.
- ¹³ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p133–37.
- ¹⁴ Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany*, p7.
- ¹⁵ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p151.
- ¹⁶ *Ibid.*
- ¹⁷ *Ibid.*, p165.
- ¹⁸ Victor Joaquin Paz, “Archaeobotany and Cultural Transformation: Patterns of Early Plant Utilisation in Northern Wallacea” (PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, 2001), p33.
- ¹⁹ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p165.
- ²⁰ Avci, Mia Lempiäinen-Avci, *Plant Remains in Archaeology. A Multidisciplinary Approach to Cultivation, Consumption, Trade and Migration of Economic Plants in Southern Finland AD 1000-1900*. PhD Thesis 2019., 2019, p 10.
- ²¹ Bruno David and Julian Thomas, *Handbook of Landscape Archaeology* (Routledge, 2016), p444–460.
- ²² Zohary, Hopf, and Weiss, *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, p12.

-
- ²³ Mike Diehl, “Paleoethnobotanical Sampling Adequacy and Ubiquity,” *Advances in Archaeological Practice* 5 (March 29, 2017): p 5–10.
- ²⁴ Lempiäinen-Avci, *Plant Remains in Archaeology. A Multidisciplinary Approach to Cultivation, Consumption, Trade and Migration of Economic Plants in Southern Finland AD 1000-1900*. PhD Thesis 2019., p 11.
- ²⁵ Zohary, Hopf, and Weiss, *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, p10–13.
- ²⁶ Marie Kanstrup et al., “Impact of Charring on Cereal Grain Characteristics: Linking Prehistoric Manuring Practice to $\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ Signatures in Archaeobotanical Material,” *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39, no. 7 (2012): P2533–2540.
- ²⁷ *Ibid.*
- ²⁸ Marijke Van der Veen, “Formation Processes of Desiccated and Carbonized Plant Remains – the Identification of Routine Practice,” *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34 (June 1, 2007): p968–990.
- ²⁹ Lisa Lodwick and Erica Rowan, “Archaeobotanical Research in Classical Archaeology,” *American Journal of Archaeology* 126, no. 4 (October 2022), p596.
- ³⁰ Zohary, Hopf, and Weiss, *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, p12.
- ³¹ Lodwick and Rowan, “Archaeobotanical Research in Classical Archaeology,” p596.
- ³² *Ibid.*
- ³³ Avci, *Plant Remains in Archaeology*, p10.
- ³⁴ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p95.
- ³⁵ *Ibid.*
- ³⁶ Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany*, p41–43.
- ³⁷ *Ibid.*
- ³⁸ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 95.
- ³⁹ Hastorf, Christine A. Hastorf and Steven Archer, “PALEOETHNOBOTANY,” in *Encyclopedia of Archaeology* (Elsevier, 2008), p1790–1792.
- ⁴⁰ Killackey, Kathryn, “Sampling at Catalhoyuk: The Theory and Methodology of Archaeobotanical Sampling,” *UC Berkeley McCown Archaeobotany Laboratory Reports* 56 ,2002, p 5–7.
- ⁴¹ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 95.
- ⁴² *Ibid.*
- ⁴³ Lennstrom ,Heidi A and Christine A. Hastorf, “Testing Old Wives’ Tales in Palaeoethnobotany: A Comparison of Bulk and Scatter Sampling Schemes from Pancán, Peru,” *Journal of Archaeological Science* 19, no. 2 ,1992, p205–229.
- ⁴⁴ *Ibid.*
- ⁴⁵ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 95.
- ⁴⁶ Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany*, p 47.
- ⁴⁷ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 95.
- ⁴⁸ White ,Chantel White and China Shelton, “Recovering Macrobotanical Remains: Current Methods and Techniques,” 2015,p 95–114.
- ⁴⁹ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 107–9.
- ⁵⁰ Campbell ,Gill Campbell, Lisa Moffett, and V Straker, *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods from Sampling and Recovery to Post-Excavation*, 2011, p7–13.
- ⁵¹ Wagner ,Gail E, “Testing Flotation Recovery Rates,” *American Antiquity* 47, no. 1 ,1982,p127–132.
- ⁵² Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*,p 96.
- ⁵³ White and Shelton, “Recovering Macrobotanical Remains,p 95–114.

- ⁵⁴ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p 96.
- ⁵⁵ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p17–19.
- ⁵⁶ *Ibid.*, 35–45.
- ⁵⁷ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p101.
- ⁵⁸ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p 35–45.
- ⁵⁹ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p101–102.
- ⁶⁰ Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany*, 52–54.
- ⁶¹ SMAP: Shell Mound Archaeological Project
- ⁶² Sarah E. Peterson and Philip P. Betancourt, *Retrieval of Materials with Water Separation Machines*, vol. 1 (INSTAP Academic Press, 2009), p4–5.
- ⁶³ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p107–125.
- ⁶⁴ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p102–105.
- ⁶⁵ White and Shelton, “Recovering Macrobotanical Remains,” p 110.
- ⁶⁶ Marston, Guedes, and Warinner, *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*, p118–119.
- ⁶⁷ *Ibid.*
- ⁶⁸ *Ibid.*
- ⁶⁹ Pearsall, *Paleoethnobotany*, p80–82.
- ⁷⁰ Maria E. Raviele, “Experimental Assessment of Maize Phytolith and Starch Taphonomy in Carbonized Cooking Residues,” *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38, no. 10 , 2011: p2708–2713.
- ⁷¹ Pearsall, *Case Studies in Paleoethnobotany*, p 59.
- ⁷² Lodwick and Rowan, “Archaeobotanical Research in Classical Archaeology,” p597.
- ⁷³ Van der Veen, “Formation Processes of Desiccated and Carbonized Plant Remains – the Identification of Routine Practice,” p 974–82.



معامل التأثير والاستشهادات المرجعية العربي
Arab Citation & Impact Factor
Arab Online Database

Arcif
Analytics

معرفة
e-MAREFA

التاريخ: 2023/10/8

الرقم: L23/615ARCIF

سعادة أ. د. رئيس تحرير مجلة آثار الرافدين المحترم
جامعة الموصل، كلية الآثار، الموصل، العراق
تحية طيبة وبعد،،،

يسر معامل التأثير والاستشهادات المرجعية للمجلات العلمية العربية (ارسياف - ARCIF)، أحد مبادرات قاعدة بيانات 'معرفة' للإنتاج والمحتوى العلمي، إعلامكم بأنه قد أطلق التقرير السنوي الثامن للمجلات للعام 2023.

يخضع معامل التأثير 'Arcif' لإشراف 'مجلس الإشراف والتنسيق' الذي يتكون من ممثلين لعدة جهات عربية ودولية: (مكتب اليونسكو الإقليمي للتربية في الدول العربية ببيروت، لجنة الأمم المتحدة لغرب آسيا (الإسكوا)، مكتبة الإسكندرية، قاعدة بيانات معرفة). بالإضافة للجنة علمية من خبراء وأكاديميين ذوي سمعة علمية رائدة من عدة دول عربية وبريطانيا.

ومن الجدير بالذكر بأن معامل 'ارسياف Arcif' قام بالعمل على فحص ودراسة بيانات ما يقارب (5000) عنوان مجلة عربية علمية أو بحثية في مختلف التخصصات، والصادرة عن أكثر من (1400) هيئة علمية أو بحثية في العالم العربي. ونجح منها (1155) مجلة علمية فقط لتكون معتمدة ضمن المعايير العالمية لمعامل 'ارسياف Arcif' في تقرير عام 2023.

ويسرنا تهنئتم وإعلامكم بأن مجلة آثار الرافدين الصادرة عن جامعة الموصل، كلية الآثار، الموصل، العراق، قد نجحت في تحقيق معايير اعتماد معامل 'ارسياف Arcif' المتوافقة مع المعايير العالمية، والتي يبلغ عددها (32) معياراً، وللإطلاع على هذه المعايير يمكنكم الدخول إلى الرابط التالي:

<http://e-marefa.net/arcif/criteria/>

وكان معامل 'ارسياف Arcif' العام لمجلتكم لسنة 2023 (0.0857).

كما صنفت مجلتكم في تخصص التاريخ و الآثار من إجمالي عدد المجلات (46) على المستوى العربي ضمن الفئة (Q4) وهي الفئة المنخفضة، مع العلم أن متوسط معامل ارسياف لهذا التخصص كان (0.072).

راجين العلم أن حصول أي مجلة ما على مرتبة ضمن الأعلى (10) مجلات في تقرير معامل 'ارسياف' لعام 2023 في أي تخصص، لا يعني حصول المجلة بشكل تلقائي على تصنيف مرتفع كتصنيف فئة Q1 أو Q2، حيث يرتبط ذلك بإجمالي قيمة النقاط التي حصلت عليها من المعايير الخمسة المعتمدة لتصنيف مجلات تقرير 'ارسياف' (للعام 2023) إلى فئات في مختلف التخصصات، ويمكن الاطلاع على هذه المعايير الخمسة من خلال الدخول إلى الرابط: <http://e-marefa.net/arcif/>

وبإمكانكم الإعلان عن هذه النتيجة سواء على موقعكم الإلكتروني، أو على مواقع التواصل الاجتماعي، وكذلك الإشارة في النسخة الورقية لمجلتكم إلى معامل 'ارسياف Arcif' الخاص بمجلتكم.

ختاماً، نرجو في حال رغبتكم الحصول على شهادة رسمية إلكترونية خاصة بنجاحكم في معامل 'ارسياف'، التواصل معنا مشكورين.

وتفضلوا بقبول فائق الاحترام والتقدير

أ.د. سامي الخزندار

رئيس مبادرة معامل التأثير

'ارسياف Arcif'



+962 6 5548228 -9
+ 962 6 55 19 10 7

Info@e-marefa.net
www.e-marefa.net

Amman - Jordan
2351 Amman, 11953 Jordan

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

توطئة

أ. خالد سالم إسماعيل

رئيس التحرير

يسعدنا أن نقدم الجزء الأول من المجلد التاسع من مجلة آثار الرافدين الذي يتزامن مع نجاح المجلة بالحصول على معايير اعتماد معامل التأثير والاستشهادات المرجعية العربي "ARCIIF" لعام ٢٠٢٣ المتوافقة مع المعايير العالمية بحسب الإيميل المرسل إلى رئيس تحرير المجلة بتاريخ ٢٠٢٣/١٠/٨ وهو إنجاز هام آخر يضاف إلى سلسلة الإنجازات التي سعيينا حثيثاً لتحقيقها؛ إذ تضمن هذا الجزء مجموعة قيمة من البحوث والدراسات في تخصصات علم الآثار واللغات القديمة فضلا عن دراسات في التأريخ القديم والحضارة لنخبة من الباحثين الذين تقدموا ببحوثهم لمجلة آثار الرافدين التي تصدرها كلية الآثار بجامعة الموصل.

والله ولي التوفيق

الأول من كانون الثاني سنة ٢٠٢٤

ثبت المحتويات

العنوان	اسم الباحث	الصفحة
توطئة	خالد سالم إسماعيل	١
القسم العربي		
مواقع وادي الخابور الأسفل وقلجه في سورية	نائيل حنون	٢٨-٣
أهمية المال في دعم سلطة الملوك الآشوريين - دراسة في ضوء المصادر المسمارية	اسراء احسان علي صفوان سامي سعيد	٥٦-٢٩
الهدايا المتبادلة بين المصريين والبابليين في ضوء رسائل العمارة	ياسين رمضان حسن أحمد زيدان الحديدي	٧٠-٥٧
عمارة المعابد ذات الطراز التخطيطي الثلاثي التقسيم في بلاد الرافدين من الألف السادس حتى نهاية الألف الثاني ق.م	كاروان صديق بكر نعمان جمعة ابراهيم عزيز محمد امين زيباري	١٠٢-٧١
الجملة الاسمية في اللغة الأكديّة - دراسة نحويّة	محمد محارب علي أمين عبد النافع أمين	١٣٤-١٠٣
الزراعة في بلاد الشام في القرن السابع عشر من خلال كتاب جهان نما لكاتب جلبي	هشام سوادى هاشم	١٦٠-١٣٥
الشيقل في الحضارات القديمة	عدنان أحمد أبو دية داليا إلياس زلوم	١٩٦-١٦١
ترميم وصيانة مصراع باب خشب أثري من العصر الصفوي	سعد احمد عبد مصطفى ياسمين عبد الكريم محمد علي	٢٢٦-١٩٧
الأثر الديني في تطور معارف المصريين القدماء في مجال الطب والعقاقير	فاتن موفق فاضل الشاكر	٢٥٦-٢٢٩
مصطلحات أثرية دراسة في الدلالة والاستعمال	عمر جسام فاضل	٢٨٠-٢٥٧
حساب الميزانية في ضوء نص مسماري غير منشور من العصر الاكدي	رنا وليد فتحي	٢٩٤-٢٨١
السمات المعمارية للأقبية واستخداماتها في مباني مدينة الموصل - نماذج منتخبة	رنا وعدالله مهدي	٣١٢-٢٩٥
أساطير وحكايات عن الريّ الآشوريّ في ضواحي نينوى	راكان فرج الخياط	٣٤٠-٣١٣
القسم الانكليزي		
بقايا النباتات الكبيرة في علم الآثار: أنماط وطرق الحفظ	سايا هلكو فتاح آغا رامون بوكسو كابديفيلا ناري خليل كامل	٢٥-٣

- لا تعاد اصول البحوث المقدمة للمجلة الى اصحابها سواء نشرت أم لم تنشر.
 - يتحمل الباحث تصحيح ما يرد في بحثه من اخطاء لغوية وطباعية.
 - يسلم الباحث نسخة ورقية من بحثه مع نسخة الكترونية مطبوعة على قرص (CD)، مصحح بشكل نهائي بعد إبلاغه بقبول بحثه للنشر.
- ١٢- تعمل المجلة وفق التمويل الذاتي، لذلك يتحمل الباحث اجور النشر والاستلال البالغة (١١٥٠٠٠) مائة وخمسة عشر ألف دينار عراقي فقط.
- ١٣- يزود كل باحث بمستل من بحثه، أما نسخة المجلة كاملة فتطلب من سكرتارية المجلة لقاء ثمن تحدده هيئة التحرير.

تنويه:

تعبّر جميع الافكار والآراء الواردة في متون البحوث المنشورة في مجلتنا عن آراء أصحابها بشكل مباشر وتوجهاتهم الفكرية ولا تعبّر بالضرورة عن آراء هيئة التحرير، لذلك أقتضى التنويه.

- توضع الهوامش بنهاية البحث بعد الصور والاشكال التوضيحية، مرتبة بتسلسل تصاعدي.
- يشار الى اسم المصدر كاملا في الهامش مع وضع مختصر المصدر بين قوسين في نهاية الهامش.
- ترقم الجداول والاشكال على التوالي وبحسب ورودها في البحث، وتزود بعناوين، وتقدم بأوراق منفصلة وتقدم المخططات بالحبر الاسود والصور تكون عالية الدقة.
- تترجم المصادر العربية الواردة في البحث الى اللغة الإنكليزية (Bibliography)، وتوضع بعد الهوامش في نهاية البحث.
- تكون أبعاد الصفحة من كل الاتجاهات من الاعلى والأسفل (٢.٤٥) سم، واليمين واليسار (٣.١٧) سم.
- ٧- يجب ان يحتوي البحث ملخصاً باللغتين العربية والإنكليزية على ان لا يقل عن (١٥٠) كلمة، ولا يزيد عن (٢٥٠) كلمة.
- ٨- يجب ان يلتزم الباحث (كاتب المقالة) بتوفير المعلومات الآتية عن البحث، وهي:
 - يجب ان لا يضم البحث المرسل للتقييم الى المجلة اسم الباحث، أي يرسل البحث بدون اسماء.
 - يرسل الباحث اسمه الكامل ولقبه العلمي وشهادته ومكان عمله (القسم/ الكلية / الجامعة)، وعنوان مختصر للبحث يضم أبرز ما في العنوان من مرتكزات علمية فضلاً عن بريده الالكتروني والرقم التعريفي للباحث الـ (ORCID) بملف مستقل باللغتين العربية والإنكليزية.
 - ٩- على الباحث مراعاة الشروط العلمية الآتية في كتابة بحثه، فهي الاساس في التقييم، والشروط هي:
 - يعمل الباحث على تحديد أهمية بحثه واهدافه التي يسعى الى تحقيقها، وان يحدد الغرض من تطبيقها.
 - يجب ان يراعي الباحث اختيار المنهج الصحيح الذي يتناسب مع موضوع بحثه، كما يجب ان يراعي أدوات جمع البيانات التي تتناسب مع بحثه ومع المنهج المتبع فيه.
 - يجب على الباحث ان يراعي اختيار مصادر المعلومات التي يعتمد عليها في البحث، واختيار ما يتناسب مع بحثه مراعياً الحداثة فيها، والدقة في تسجيل الاقتباسات والإشارة الى البيانات الكاملة لهذه المصادر.
 - يجب على الباحث ان يراعي تدوين النتائج التي توصل اليها، والتأكد من موضوعيتها ومدى ترابطها مع الاسئلة البحثية أو الفرضيات التي وضعها في متن بحثه.
 - ان لا يكون البحث قد تم نشره سابقا أو كان مقدما لنيل درجة علمية أو مستلا من ملكية فكرية لباحث آخر، وعلى الباحث التعهد بذلك خطيا عند تقديمه للنشر.
 - لا تتجاوز عدد صفحات البحث عن (٢٥)، صفحة وفي حال تجاوز العدد المطلوب يتكفل الباحث بدفع مبلغا اضافيا قدره (٣٠٠٠) دينار عن كل صفحة اضافية.

قواعد النشر في مجلة آثار الرافدين

١- تقبل المجلة البحوث العلمية التي تقع في تخصصات:

- علم الآثار بفرعيه القديم والإسلامي.
- اللغات القديمة بلهجاتها والدراسات المقارنة.
- الكتابات المسمارية والخطوط القديمة.
- الدراسات التاريخية والحضارية.
- الجيولوجيا الأثرية.
- تقنيات المسح الآثري.
- الدراسات الانثروبولوجية.
- الصيانة والترميم .

٢- تقبل المجلة البحوث باللغتين العربية أو الانكليزية.

٣- على الباحث الراغب بالنشر التسجيل في المجلة على الرابط الآتي:

<https://athar.mosuljournals.com>

٤- بعد التسجيل سترسل المنصة الى بريد الباحث الذي سجل فيه رسالة مفادها أنه سجل فيها، وسيجد كلمة المرور الخاصة به ليستعملها في الولوج الى موقع المجلة بكتابة البريد الالكتروني الذي استعمله مع كلمة المرور التي وصلت اليه على الرابط الآتي:

uom.atharalrafedain@gmail.com

٥- ستمنح المنصة (الموقع) صفة الباحث لمن قام بالتسجيل، ليستطيع بهذه الصفة إدخال بحثه بمجموعة من الخطوات تبدأ بملأ بيانات ذات العلاقة ببحثه ويمكنه الاطلاع عليها عند تحميل بحثه.

٦- تكون صياغة البحث وفق تعليمات الطباعة للنشر في المجلة، وعلى النحو الآتي:

- يطبع البحث على ورق (A4)، وبرنامج (Microsoft Word)، وبمسافات مفردة بين الاسطر، وبخط Simplified Arabic للغة العربية، و Times New Roman للغة الإنكليزية.
- يطبع عنوان البحث وسط الصفحة بحجم (١٦)، يليه اسم الباحث ودرجته العلمية ومكان عمله كاملا والبريد الالكتروني (e-mail)، بحجم (١٥)، وباللغتين العربية والانكليزية.
- يطبع متن البحث بحجم (١٤)، أما الهوامش فتكون بحجم (١٢).
- توضع الاشكال والصور في نهاية البحث.

مقوم اللغة العربية
أ.د. معن يحيى محمد
قسم اللغة العربية / كلية الآداب / جامعة الموصل

مقوم اللغة الانكليزية
م.م. مشتاق عبدالله جميل
كلية الآثار / جامعة الموصل

تنضيد وتنسيق
م. ثائر سلطان درويش

تصميم الغلاف
د. عامر الجميلي

هياة التحرير

أ. خالد سالم اسماعيل

رئيس التحرير

جامعة الموصل-كلية الآثار/ العراق

أ.م.د. حسنين حيدر عبد الواحد

مدير التحرير

جامعة الموصل-كلية الآثار/ العراق

أعضاء هيئة التحرير

جامعة ستوني بروك / نيويورك / أمريكا	أ.د. اليزابيث ستون
جامعة ميونخ / معهد الآثار / ألمانيا	أ.د. ادل هايد اوتو
جامعة ميونخ / معهد الآشوريات / ألمانيا	أ.د. والتر سلابيركر
جامعة بولونيا / قسم التاريخ / إيطاليا	أ.د. نيكولو ماركيتي
جامعة بابل / قسم الآثار / العراق	أ.د. هديب حياوي عبد الكريم
جامعة بغداد / قسم التاريخ / العراق	أ.د. جواد مطر الموسوي
جامعة بغداد / قسم الآثار / العراق	أ.د. رفاه جاسم حمادي
جامعة البصرة / قسم التاريخ / العراق	أ.د. عادل هاشم علي
جامعة الموصل / قسم الآثار / العراق	أ.م.د. ياسمين عبد الكريم محمد علي
جامعة الموصل / قسم الآثار / العراق	أ.م.د. فيان موفق رشيد
جامعة الموصل / قسم الحضارة / العراق	أ.م.د. هاني عبد الغني عبد الله

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

ISSN 2304-103X (Print)

ISSN 2664-2794 (Online)

مجلة

أثـر الأرفـدين

مجلة علمية محكمة تبحث في آثار العراق و الشرق الأدنى القديم

تصدر عن كلية الآثار في جامعة الموصل

البريد الإلكتروني uom.atharalrafedain@gmail.com E-Mail:

الجزء الأول/ المجلد التاسع جمادى الآخرة ١٤٤٥ هـ / كانون الثاني ٢٠٢٤ م

رقم الايداع في دار الكتب والوثائق ببغداد

(١٧١٢) لسنة ٢٠١٢

جامعة الموصل
كلية الآثار



وزارة التعليم العالي
والبحرث العلمي

ISSN 2304 -103X (print)
ISSN 2664 - 2794 (Online)

IRAQI
Academic Scientific Journals

مجلة

سرايا وسامية

مجلة علمية محكمة تبحث في آثار العراق والشرق الأدنى القديم

تصدر عن كلية الآثار في جامعة الموصل / الجزء الاول- المجلد التاسع / ١٤٤٥ هـ / ٢٠٢٤ م