# **On Some Concepts of Metric in S\*-Orlicz Spaces**

**\_**

## **Ali Hussain Battor Dhuha Abdul-Ameer Kadhim**

University of Kufa, College of Education for Girls, Department of **Mathematics** 

## **Abstract**

The main purpose of this paper is to study some concepts of metric in  $S^*$ -Orlicz spaces and we give some definitions that is related to it, where  $S^* = S^* [0,1]$  is the ring of all real measurable functions on [0,1].

Keywords: metric space, S<sup>\*</sup>-Orlicz Spaces, Banach space

**الملخص :**

∗ انهدف انزئيسي نهبحث هى دراسة بعض انمفاهيم انمتزيه نفضاء S Orlicz- وقد اعطينا بعض انتعاريف انمتعهقه بهذا S <sup>∗</sup> = انفضاء في حانة كىن S ∗ [0,1] وانتي تمثم حهقه نكم اندوال انقياسيه انحقيقه في .[0,1]

## **1.Introduction and Preliminaries**

 The notion of the Orlicz space is generalized to spaces of the Banach space of valued functions. A well-known generalization is based on N-functions of a real variable.

 A metric space need not have any kind of algebraic structure defined on it. In many applications, however, the metric space is a linear space with a metric derived linear spaces.

We shall denote by L<sub>F</sub> the S<sup>\*</sup>-Orlicz class,  $C_{\infty}(Q(\nabla))$  the set of all continuous functions on the Stone compactum  $Q(\nabla)$ , P the Lebesgue measure and L<sub>1</sub>(m) the set of all integrable by the measure m elements from  $C_{\infty}(Q(\nabla))$ .

## **Definition 1.1: [12]**

A pair (X,  $\leq$ ) consisting of a real vector space X and a partial order  $\leq$  defined on X is called a vector lattice if the following conditions are satisfied for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all real numbers  $\alpha > 0$ .

1. If  $x \leq y$  then  $x + z \leq y + z$ .

2. If  $x \leq y$  then  $\alpha x \leq \alpha y$ .

3. X is a lattice.

## **Remark 1.2:[11]**

For a vector lattice X and  $x \in X$ , we make use of the following notation :

The positive part  $x_+$  and the negative part  $x_$  of X are given respectively by  $x_+ = x \vee 0$ ,  $x_-= (-x) \vee 0.$ 

The modulus |x| of X is defined to be  $|x| = (-x) \vee x$ . It is obvious that  $-x_0 = x \wedge 0$  and for any  $x \in X$  we have

 $x = x_{+} - x_{-}$ ,  $x_{+} \wedge x_{-} = 0$ ,  $|x| = x_{+} + x_{-}$ .

The positive cone of a vector lattice is denoted  $X_+$ , that is,  $X_+ = \{x \in X : 0 \le x\}.$ 

#### **Example 1.3:[13]**

 The most obvious example of a vector lattice is the reals with all usual operations. The usual or standard order on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is that in which  $(x_1)$ ,  $x_2, ..., x_n$ )  $\leq (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ means that  $x_k \leq y_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, ..., n$ . This order makes  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into a vector lattice in which  $(x_k) \vee (y_k) = (x_k \vee y_k)$  and  $(x_k) \wedge (y_k) = (x_k \wedge y_k)$ . Hence  $(x_k)^+ = (x_k^+)$ ,  $(x_k)^- =$  $(x_k^{-})$  and  $|(x_k)| = (|x_k|).$ 

## **Definition 1.4: [11]**

An element from  $X_+$  is called a Freudenthal unit and denoted by  $\hat{1}$ , if it follows from  $x \in X$ ,  $x \wedge \hat{1} = 0$ , that  $x = 0$ . If  $x_{\alpha} \stackrel{(o)}{\rightarrow} x$  and  $\{x_{\alpha}\}\$ is increasing(decreasing) then, we write  $x_{\alpha} \uparrow x$ , (respectively,  $x_{\alpha} \downarrow x$ ).[5]

#### **Remark 1.5: [11]**

 If a vector lattice X has a Freudenthal unit, then we will consider that this unit is chosen and fixed. This unit will be exactly denoted by  $\hat{1}$ .

#### **Definition 1.6: [14]**

The function  $F(u)$ :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an N-function if it has the following properties:

1. F is even, continuous, convex ;

2.  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F(u) > 0$  for all  $u = 0$ ;

3.  $\lim_{u\to 0} \frac{F(u)}{u}$  $\frac{u}{u} = 0$  and  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{F(u)}{u}$  $\frac{u}{u} = \infty$ .

It is well-known that F(u) is an N-function ,if and only if,  $F(u) = \int_0^{|u|} f(t) dt$ , where  $f(t)$  is the right derivative of  $F(u)$  satisfies:

1.  $f(t)$  is the right-continuous and non- decreasing;

2. f(t) > 0 whenever  $t > 0$ ; (3)  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \infty$ .

For an N-function F define  $G(v) = \sup \{||u|| v| - F(u) : u \ge 0\}$ . Then G is an Nfunction and it is called the complement of F. [1]

If F and G are two in mutually complementary N-function then  $uv \leq F(u) + G(v)$  $\forall$  u,  $\nu \in R$  (Young's Inequality ).[2]

## **Definition 1.7:[7]**

We say that X be a bimodule over  $S^* = [0,1]$ , i.e. X is abelian group with respect to addition operation  $(+)$  and right and left multiplication by element from  $S^*$  are defined on X having the properties:

1.  $\lambda(x + y) = \lambda x + \lambda y$ ,  $(x + y) \lambda = x\lambda + y\lambda$ 2.  $(\lambda + \mu)x = \lambda x + \mu x$ ,  $x(\lambda + \mu) = x\lambda + x\mu$ 3.  $\lambda(\mu x) = (\lambda \mu)x$ ,  $(x\lambda)\mu = x(\lambda \mu)$ 4.  $\hat{1} \cdot x = x \cdot \hat{1}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in S^*$ .

#### **Remark 1.8:[7]**

A bimodule X over  $S^*$  is called a normal  $S^*$ -module if :

1. For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lambda \in S^*$ , then  $\lambda x = x \lambda$ 

2. For any  $e \in \nabla(S^*)$ ,  $e \neq 0$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $xe \neq 0$ 

3. For any decomposition of the identity  $\{e_i\} \subset \nabla(S^*)$  and for any  $\{x_i\} \subset X$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x e_i = x_i e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 

**\_**

4. For any  $x \in X$  and any sequence  $\{e_n\}$  of mutually disjoint elements from  $\nabla(S^*)$  it follows the equalities  $e_n x = 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ...$  that

$$
\left(\sup_{n\geq 1}e_n\right)x=0.
$$

 It is clear that the condition 4 implies a validity of the analogous property for increasing sequences of idempotent from S<sup>\*</sup>.

#### **Definition 1.9:[11]**

A normal S<sup>\*</sup>-module is called an S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice if X is simultaneously lattice, i.e. an ordered set in which for any two elements  $x, y \in X$  there exists their supremum x  $\vee y$ , infimum x ∧ y and, in addition, the following algebraic operations and order agreement conditions are fulfilled :

1. for any  $z \in X$  it follows from  $x \leq y$  that  $x + z \leq y + z$ ;

2. if  $x \ge 0$ ,  $\lambda \in S^*$ ,  $\lambda x \ge 0$ .

It is evident that any  $S^*$ -vector lattice X is a vector lattice in a usual sense (it is sufficient to consider X as a vector space over the field  $\{\alpha \cdot \hat{1} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \approx \mathbb{R}$ ).

 $S^*$  itself consider as a bimodul over  $S^*$  is a simplest example of  $S^*$ -vector lattice.

#### **2. Basic Concepts**

In this section, we start recalling the usual definitions of  $S^*$ -valued metric and  $S^*$ -Orlicz spaces.

## **Definition 2.1:[6]**

A mapping  $\|\cdot\|$ : X → S<sup>\*</sup> from a normal S<sup>\*</sup>-module X into S<sup>\*</sup> is called an S<sup>\*</sup>-norm if 1.  $||x|| \ge 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $||x|| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

- 2.  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for any  $x \in X, \lambda \in S^*$ .
- 3.  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .

## **Definition 2.2: [11]**

We say that the  $S^*$ -vector lattice X with an  $S^*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a **normed**  $S^*$ **-vector lattice**, if  $|x| \le |y|$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$ , then  $||x|| \le ||y||$ .

## **Definition 2.3: [4]**

A mapping  $\rho: X \times X \to S^*$  is called a **metric** on a set X with values in S<sup>\*</sup> if 1.  $\rho(x, y) \ge 0$  for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ . 2.  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . 3.  $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

## **Definition 2.4:**

An S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice X with an S<sup>\*</sup>-metric *ρ* is called a **metric S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice**, if it follows from  $|x - y| \le |z - w|$ , x, y, z, w  $\in$  X, then  $\rho(x, y) \le \rho(z, w)$ .

Now, we define the  $S^*$ -**Orlicz spaces**  $L^*$ <sub>F</sub>. Let G be the complementary N-function to the N-function F.

Set

 $L_F^* = \{x \in C_\infty(Q(\nabla)) : \lambda^{-1}x \in L_F \text{ for some number } \lambda = \lambda(x) > 0\}.$ We shall denote  $\mu$  by the integral constructed by the measure m.

#### **3.The Main Results**

In this section, we investigate the important results concerning with the  $S^*$ -valued metric in S<sup>\*</sup>-Orlicz spaces.

Firstly, we need the following information.

#### **Proposition 3.1:[8]**

For every  $x \in L_F^*$ , we have

$$
\bigvee_{y\in A(G)}|\mu(xy)|<\infty,
$$

where  $A(G) = \{y \in L_G : \mu(G(y)) \le \hat{1}\}.$ 

This leads to define the following norm on  $L_F^*$  which is called the Orlicz norm:

$$
||x||_F = \bigvee_{y \in A(G)} |\mu(xy)|,
$$

for every  $x \in L_F^*$ .

#### **Proposition 3.2:[9]**

If  $x \in L^*$ , then

$$
||x||_F = |||x||_F = \bigvee_{y \in A(G)} \mu(|xy|).
$$

#### **Remark 3.3:[10]**

 $||x||_F$  is a S<sup>\*</sup>-norm on L<sub>F</sub><sup>\*</sup>. In addition  $|x| \le |z|$ , x, z  $\in L_F$ , implies, that  $||x||_F \le ||z||_F$ . Thus,  $(L_F^*, \| \cdot \|_F)$  is a normed S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice.

#### **Proposition 3.4:**

Let  $L_F^*$  be S<sup>\*</sup>-Orlicz space,  $L_F$  be S<sup>\*</sup>-Orlicz class and  $\|\cdot\|$  be an S<sup>\*</sup>-norm, then  $\|x\|_F$  is a S<sup>\*</sup>-valued metric on  $L_F^*$ . In addition  $|x-y| \le |z-w|$ ,  $x, y, z, w \in L_F$ , implies, that  $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(z, w)$ . Furthermore,  $(L_F^*, \rho)$  is a metric S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice. **Proof:**

1. for any  $x, y \in L^*$ , then

$$
\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{F} = \bigvee_{z \in A(G)} |\mu(x - y)z| \ge 0.
$$

and for any  $x, y \in L^*_{F}$ ,

$$
\rho(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow ||x - y||_F = 0 \Leftrightarrow |||x - y||_F = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{z \in A(G)} [\mu|(x - y)z|] = 0
$$
  

$$
\Leftrightarrow \mu[|xz - yz|] = 0 \Leftrightarrow xz - yz = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y.
$$

2. For any  $x, y \in L^*$ , then

$$
\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{F} = \bigvee_{z \in A(G)} |\mu(x - y)z|
$$

$$
= \bigvee_{z \in A(G)} \mu[|(y-x)z|] = ||y-x||_F = \rho(y,x).
$$
  
\n3.  $\rho(x,y) = ||x-y||_F = ||x-z+z-y||_F$   
\n
$$
= \bigvee_{w \in A(G)} \mu[|(x-z+z-y)w|]
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \bigvee_{w \in A(G)} \mu[|(x-z)w + (z-y)w|]
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \bigvee_{w \in A(G)} \mu[|(x-z)w|] + \bigvee_{w \in A(G)} \mu[|(z-y)w|]
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||x-z||_F + ||z-y||_F = \rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y).
$$
  
\nThus,  $||x||_F$  is a S<sup>\*</sup>-valued metric on L<sup>\*</sup><sub>F</sub>.  
\nNow, let x, y, z, w \in L<sub>F</sub> and  $|x-y| \leq |z-w|$ . Then  
\n
$$
\rho(x,y) = ||x-y||_F
$$
  
\n
$$
= \bigvee_{r \in A(G)} \mu[|(x-y)r|]
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||z-w||_F = \rho(z,w)
$$
  
\nTherefore,  $(1^* \, e)$  is a matrix S<sup>\*</sup> vector lattice

**\_**

Therefore,  $(L_F^*, \rho)$  is a metric S<sup>\*</sup>-vector lattice.

#### **Remark 3.5:[10]**

If  $x \in L_F^*$  and  $||x||_F \leq \hat{1}$ , then  $x \in L_F$  and  $\mu(F(x)) \leq ||x||_F$ .

## **Proposition 3.6:**

If  $x, y \in L^*$  and  $\rho(x, y) \leq \hat{1}$ , then  $x, y \in L_F$  and  $\mu(F(x, y)) \leq \rho(x, y)$ .

## **Proof:**

Clearly x,  $y \ge 0$ . Choose a sequence of simple elements  $z_n \ge 0$  such that  $z_n =$  $(x_n, y_n)$  and  $(x_n, y_n) \uparrow (x, y)$ .

Then  $(x_n, y_n) \in L^*$  and  $\rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \hat{1}$  (see Remark 1.5.1 [9] and Proposition 3.3)). Let

$$
(x_n, y_n) = z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \lambda_i^{(n)} e_i^{(n)}
$$
 and  $w_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} f(\lambda_i^{(n)}) e_i^{(n)}$ ,

where  $f(t)$  is the right-hand derivative of the N-function F. By (Lemma 1.6.1 [3]), we have  $\mu(\widehat{G}(w_n)) \leq \widehat{1}$ .

By Young's Inequality , we get

 $(x_n, y_n)w_n = F(x_n, y_n) + G(w_n)$ From this we have

 $\mu(F(x_n, y_n)) \leq \mu(F(x_n, y_n)) + \mu(G(w_n)) = \mu((x_n, y_n)w_n) \leq \rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \rho(x, y).$ Since  $(x_n, y_n) \uparrow (x, y)$ , then  $F(x_n, y_n) \uparrow F(x, y)$  (see Lemma 1.5.1 [5]). Since  $\mu(F(x_n, y_n)) \le \rho(x, y)$ , it follows from (Levi's Theorem [4]), that  $F(x, y) \in L_1(m)$ , i.e.  $x, y \in L_F$  and  $\mu(F(x, y)) \le \rho(x, y)$ .

## **References**

[1] J. Alexopoulos, D. Barcenas and V. Echandia; Some Banach Space Characterizations of the  $\Delta_2$ -Condition, Kent State Univ., Stark Campus, 2003.

[2] J. Alexopoulos; A brief Introduction to N-functions and Orlicz function Spaces , Kent State Univ., Stark Campus, (13-17) 2004.

[3] A. Battor, O. Benderski and S. Yaskolko; Measurable Fields of Orlicz Space, Thesis reports. XU All-Union school on the theory of operators in function space, Uljanovsk (Russian ), 1990.

[4] O. Ya. Benderskii, B. A. Rubshtein; Universal measurable Fields of Metric Space, Thesis of reports, International Conf. -Baku., part II, -40P. (Russian), 1987.

[5] S. L. Gubta and N. Rani; Fundamental Real Analysis, Delhi, 1970.

[6] V. Sh. Muhamedieva; Homomorphisms of Banach Modules Over Semifields, Cad. Dissertation, Tashkent (Russian), 1979.

[7] M. V. Podoroznyi; Banach Modules Over Rings of Measurable Functions, In book: Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Proc. Tashkent Univ., -N. 670, (41-43)1981.

[8] M. Stone; Applications of the Theory of the Boolean Ring to General Topology, Trans. Amer. Math., Soc. -V41, (375-481)1937.

[9] M. Stone; Algebraic Characterizations of Special Boolean Rings, Fund. Math., -V.29, (223-303)1937.

[10] D. A. Vladimirov; Boolean Algebras, Moscow: Nauka, -319p., 1969.

[11] B. Z. Vulikh; Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Space, Moscow, -407p., 1961.

[12] J. Harm Van der Walt; Order Convergence on Archimedean Vector Lattices and Applications, Univ. of Pretoria, 2006.

[13] A.W. Wickstead; Vector and Banach Lattices, Pure Mathematics Research Centre, Queen's University Belfast

[14] X. Yin, C. Qian and Y. Chen; On the Uniformly Convexity of N-Functions, Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, 2009.