

Holomorphically Projective Mappings Equiaffine Spaces Onto Non-Kählerian Spaces

Raad J. K. Al Lamy

Abstract

General aspects of the theory of the holomorphically- projective mappings of Kählerian spaces were studied by many authors .

In this paper considered the equations of the mappings of holomorphically- projective of equiaffine spaces onto non- Kählerian spaces in the form of a system of linear Cauchy equations .

1. Introduction

For the beginning we give some basic definitions.

A Riemannian spaces H_n called *almost Hermitian* ([2] , [3])if both metric tensor $g_{ij}(x)$ and almost Hermitian affinor structure $F_i^h(x)$ are determined in it , and satisfies the conditions

$$F_\alpha^h F_i^\alpha = -\delta_i^h \quad , \quad F_{(i}^\alpha g_{j)\alpha} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where δ_i^h the Kronecker symbol , (i, j) denoted symmetrization without division .

An almost Hermitian spaces with covariantly constant structure is called *Kählerian spaces*.

A Riemannian spaces K_n is said to be *Kählerian spaces* if there exists , together with the metric tensor $g_{ij}(x)$, a structure $F_i^h(x)$, F is a tensor of type (1 , 1) , with the properties :

$$F_\alpha^h F_i^\alpha = e \delta_i^h \quad , \quad F_{(ij)} = 0 \quad , \quad F_{i,j}^h = 0 \quad , \quad e = \mu 1 \quad (2)$$

A bijective correspondence between points of Kählerian spaces K_n and \bar{K}_n is called a holomorphically- projective mappings , if any analytically planar curve in K_n is transformed into an analytically planar curve in \bar{K}_n . (see [1]-[4])

2. Holomorphically Projective Mappings of Kählerian spaces

A Kählerian space K_n admits a holomorphically projective mapping onto \bar{K}_n if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied (via diffeomorphism $K_n \rightarrow \bar{K}_n$) :

$$\bar{g}_{i,j,k} = 2\bar{g}_{ij}\varphi_k + \varphi_{(i}\bar{g}_{j)k} - e\bar{\varphi}_{(i}\bar{F}_{j)k} ; \quad (3)$$

$$a_{ij,k} = \lambda_{(i}g_{j)k} - e\bar{\lambda}_{(i}F_{j)k} , \quad (4)$$

where \bar{g}_{ij} is a metric tensor of \bar{K}_n , φ_i , λ_i are gradient vectors , a_{ij} is a tensor ,

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = e a_{\alpha\beta} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta ; \quad \det \| a_{ij} \| \neq 0 ; \quad \bar{\varphi}_i \equiv \varphi_\alpha F_i^\alpha ; \quad \bar{\lambda}_i \equiv \lambda_\alpha F_i^\alpha ;$$

$$\bar{F}_{ij} \equiv \bar{g}_{i\alpha} F_j^\alpha .$$

The vector λ_i has the following properties:

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{j,i} = -e \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta . \quad (5)$$

From (2) , where $e = \mu 1$, if $e = -1$ then K_n is called an elliptical Kählerian space , and if $e = +1$ then K_n called a hyperbolic Kählerian space.

A curve in K_n defined by the equations $x^h = x^h(t)$ and the conditions

$$d\lambda^h / dt + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^h \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \rho_1(t) \lambda^h + \rho_2(t) F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha ,$$

where $\lambda^h \equiv dx^h / dt$, ρ_1 , ρ_2 are functions of the argument t .

if $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then the holomorphically projective mapping will called non - trivial .

contracting (4) for $e = -1$, with $g^{ij} (\|g^{ij}\| = \|g_{ij}\|^{-1})$ so the vector $\bar{\lambda}_i$ is a gradient

and $\bar{\lambda}_i = 1/4 \partial_i (a_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \lambda_{,i}$. If the condition $\bar{\lambda}_{i,j} = \bar{\lambda}_{j,i}$ is hold , it follows that

$$\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha,\beta} F_i^\alpha F_j^\beta = 0 . \text{ (see [5]-[9])}$$

Theorem 1

A diffeomorphism $f : K_n \rightarrow \bar{K}_n$ is holomorphically projective mapping if and only if there exist a solution of the following linear Cauchy equations

$$a_{ij,k} = \bar{\lambda}_{(i} g_{j)k} + \lambda_{(i} F_{j)k} , \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \tau F_{ij} + a_{\alpha\beta} M_i^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (7)$$

$$\tau_{,i} = \lambda_\alpha M_i^\alpha + a_{\alpha\beta} M_i^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (8)$$

On unknown tensor a_{ij} , a vector λ_i , and a function τ , where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $a_{\alpha(i} F_{j)}^\alpha = 0$. Here $M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$, M_i^α , $M_i^{\alpha\beta}$ are tensors determined by formulas below and dependent on g_{ij} , F_i^h of the space K_n .

Proof

Consider the integrability conditions of the equation (4) :

$$a_{\alpha(i} R_{j)kl}^\alpha = g_{k(i} \bar{\lambda}_{j),l} - g_{l(i} \bar{\lambda}_{j),k} - F_{k(i} \lambda_{j),l} + F_{l(i} \lambda_{j),k} . \quad (9)$$

Contracting (9) with F_k^k , and also F_l^l , we obtain two expressions . After removing primes we sum them up . Since in \bar{K}_n it holds

$$R_{i\alpha k}^h F_j^\alpha + R_{ij\alpha}^h F_k^\alpha = 0 ,$$

we get

$$F_{k((i\tau j)l)} - F_{l((i\tau j)k)} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_{i,j} + \lambda_{i,\alpha} F_j^\alpha .$$

It follows that

$$\tau_{ij} = \tau F_{ij} \quad , \text{ i.e.} \quad \bar{\lambda}_{i,j} + \lambda_{i,\alpha} F_j^\alpha = \tau F_{ij}$$

where τ is a function .

Contracting (9) with g^{jk} we then get

$$n\bar{\lambda}_{i,j} = \mu g_{ij} + \nu F_{ij} + a_{\alpha i} R_j^\alpha - a_{\alpha\beta} R_{.ij}^{\alpha.. \beta} , \quad (10)$$

where R_{ijk}^h and R_{ij} are Riemannian and Ricci tensors , respectively , the operations of lifting and lowering of indices are induced by the metric tensor , and μ, ν are certain functions .

After symmetrizing (10) we get

$$n\bar{\lambda}_{i,j} = \mu g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} a_{\alpha(i} R_{j)}^\alpha - a_{\alpha\beta} R_{.ij}^{\alpha.. \beta} , \quad (11)$$

Substituting (11) into (9) we obtain

$$a_{\alpha\beta} M_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta} = F_{li} \lambda_{j,k} + F_{lj} \lambda_{i,k} - F_{ki} \lambda_{j,l} + F_{kj} \lambda_{i,l} \quad (12)$$

Here and in what follows M are tensors determined by g_{ij} and F_i^h on K_n . More concretely

$$M_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{(i}^\alpha R_{j)kl}^\beta + M_{1|k(i}^{\alpha\beta} g_{j)l} - M_{1|l(i}^{\alpha\beta} g_{j)k} ;$$

$$nM_{1|i}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{(i}^\alpha R_{j)}^\beta - R_{.ij}^{\alpha.. \beta}$$

where δ_i^h is the Kronecker symbol.

Let ε^j and ν^k be vectors such that $\varepsilon^j \nu^k F_{jk} = 1$.

Denote $M_i = \varepsilon^\alpha F_{\alpha i}$.

Contracting (12) with $\varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j \nu^k$ we get

$$\varepsilon^\alpha \lambda_{\alpha,i} = \tau M_i + a_{\alpha\beta} M_{2|i}^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (13)$$

where $\tau = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \varepsilon^\alpha \nu^\beta$. Contracting (12) with $\varepsilon^j \nu^k$ and using (13) we arrive at

$$\lambda_{i,i} = \tau F_{ij} + T_i M_j + a_{\alpha\beta} M_{3|ij}^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (14)$$

where T_i is a vector . Substituting (14) into (9) we obtain

$$T_i(M_k F_{ij} - M_i F_{kj}) + T_j(M_k F_{li} - M_l F_{ki}) = a_{\alpha\beta} M_{4|ijkl}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (15)$$

Contracting (15) with $v^i v^j v^k \varepsilon^l$ we get $v^\alpha T_\alpha = a_{\alpha\beta} M_{5|}^{\alpha\beta}$, and contracting (15) with $v^j v^k \varepsilon^l$ we get $T_i = a_{\alpha\beta} M_{6i}^{\alpha\beta}$. Then (14) assumes the form (7).

Integrability conditions of (7) are

$$F_{ij} \tau_{,j} - F_{ik} \tau_{,j} = a_{\alpha\beta} M_{7|ijk}^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_\alpha M_{8|ijk}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (16)$$

Contracting (15) with $\varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j v^k$ we get

$$\tau_{, \alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha = a_{\alpha\beta} M_{9|}^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_\alpha M_{10|}^{\alpha\beta}$$

Then contracting (15) with $\varepsilon^j v^i$ we get finally (8).

The theorem is proved.

The system (6), (7) and (8) has all most one solution for the initial values in a point x_0 : $a_{ij}(x_0)$, $\lambda_i(x_0)$ and $\tau(x_0)$. Hence, the general solution of this system on no more than $(n+2)(n+1)/2 - m(n-m+1)$ essential parameters.

The integrability conditions of the system (6), (7) and (8) and their differential prolongation are linear algebraic equations on the components of the unknown tensors a_{ij} , λ_i , and τ with coefficients from K_n .

Thus, one can, in principle, solve the problem whether a given m -parabolically – Kählerian space K_n admits or not a holomorphically – projective mapping and its uniqueness.

3. Holomorphically Projective Mappings Equiaffine Spaces Onto Non-Kählerian Spaces

In case

$$F_{i,j}^h \neq 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$F_{i|j}^h \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

where ‘,’ and ‘/’ are covariate derivatives in affine – connection space A_n and a Riemannian space \bar{H}_n respectively.

The differentiation of (2) covariantly by x^h in A_n with unknown symmetric regular tensor a_{ij} , we obtaining

$$a^{\alpha(i} F_{\alpha,k}^{j)} = 0 \quad (19)$$

and its simplification differentiation

$$\psi^{(i} F_{\alpha}^{j)} F_{\gamma,k}^{\alpha} - \psi^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{(i} F_{\gamma,k}^{j)} + \delta_{\gamma}^{(i} F_{\beta}^{j)} F_{\alpha,k}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} - F_{\gamma}^{(i} F_{\alpha,k}^{j)} \psi^{\alpha} = a^{\alpha(i} F_{\alpha,k}^{j)} \psi^{\alpha} \quad (20)$$

First contraction (20) with respect to the indices j and γ , then contracting with F_i^τ , replace index τ by i , there

$$F_{\alpha,k}^i \psi^\alpha = \frac{-1}{n+2} (a^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha,k\beta}^\sigma + a^{\alpha\sigma} F_{\alpha,k\sigma}^\sigma) F_\sigma^i \quad (21)$$

Easily, there exist vectors such as ϕ, φ with the following properties :

$$a^i = F_{j,k}^i \phi^k \varphi^j \neq 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\text{also } a^{ij} = a^{ji}. \quad (23)$$

Theorem 2

Affine-connection spaces A_n admits a holomorphically projective mappings onto a non-Kählerian Hermitian spaces \bar{H}_n if and only if the following system of linear differential equations of type Cauchy is solve with respect to unknown function a^{ij} where

$$a^{ij,k} = \psi^\alpha F_\alpha^{(i} \delta_k^{j)} + \psi^{(i} F_k^{j)}, \quad (24)$$

$$\psi^i = a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^i. \quad (25)$$

T is a tensor depend on objects in A_n .

The system (24) and (25) dose not have more than one solution for initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a^{ij}(x_0) &= a_0^{ij} \text{ under conditions (23) and} \\ a^{ij} &= a^{\alpha\beta} F_\alpha^i F_\beta^j. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Proof

We shall investigate the differential conditions of (25) by differentiate them covariantly by x^k in A_n and applying (24) simplification them after contracting with respect to the indices j and l then contracting with $F_i^{i'}$ with replace the index i' by i . We get

$$\psi^{(i} F_\alpha^{j)} F_{l,k}^\alpha - \psi^\alpha F_\alpha^{(i} F_{l,k}^{j)} = a^{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{T_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{T_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}} \stackrel{def}{=} \delta_\alpha^i F_{\beta,kl}^j - \frac{1}{n+2} \left(F_l^i \left(F_\delta^j F_{\alpha,k\beta}^\delta + F_{\alpha,k\gamma}^\gamma F_\beta^j \right) + \delta_l^i \left(F_{\alpha,k\beta}^j + F_{\alpha,k\gamma}^\gamma F_\beta^j \right) \right)$$

Under the condition (18) we can obtain the vectors ε and η such that $a^i = F_{k,l}^i \varepsilon^l \eta^k \neq 0$. Evidently, the vector a^i is not collinear with the vector $a^\alpha F_\alpha^i$. Hence, there exist a covector λ_i such that

$$\lambda_\alpha a^\alpha = 0, \lambda_\alpha F_\beta^\alpha a^\beta = 1$$

Now , contracting (27) first with $\varepsilon^l \eta^k$ then with $\lambda_i \lambda_j$ we find

$$\psi^\alpha \lambda_\alpha = a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^{1ij} \varepsilon^l \eta^k \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{ and contracting } \lambda_j \text{ we get}$$

$$\psi^i = \varepsilon a^i + a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^2 i, \quad (28)$$

where $\varepsilon \stackrel{def}{=} \psi^\alpha F_\alpha^\beta \lambda_\beta$ and

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^2 i = \left(T_{\alpha\beta}^{(i\gamma)} - T_{\alpha\beta}^{(\gamma\delta)} \lambda_\delta F_\alpha^i a^\alpha \right) \varepsilon^k \eta^l \lambda_\gamma$$

Applying (28) to (27) we obtain

$$\psi \left(a^{(i} F_\alpha^{j)} F_{l,k}^\alpha - a^\alpha F_\alpha^{(i} F_{l,k}^{j)} \right) = a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^{3(ij)}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^{3ij} \stackrel{def}{=} T_{\alpha\beta}^{1(ij)} - T_{\alpha\beta}^2 (i F_\alpha^{j)} F_{l,k}^\gamma + T_{\alpha\beta}^2 \gamma F_\alpha^{(i} F_{l,k}^{j)}$$

The bracket on the left –hand side of (29) must be non-vanishing , otherwise there would be $F_{j,k}^i = 0$, which is in contradiction with (18) . So there exist a tensor field Q_{ij}^{kl} satisfying

$$Q_{ij}^{kl} \left(a^{(i} F_\alpha^{j)} F_{l,k}^\alpha - a^\alpha F_\alpha^{(i} F_{l,k}^{j)} \right) = 1 .$$

Hence from (29) it follows that

$$\varepsilon = a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^{3ij} Q_{ij}^{kl}$$

and further

$$\psi^i = a^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^i,$$

where

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^i \stackrel{def}{=} T_{\alpha\beta}^2 i + a^i T_{\alpha\beta}^{3\gamma\delta} Q_{\gamma\delta}^{kl} . \quad (30)$$

End of the proof.

The above theorem is a generalization of the results in [2] , [3] , [4] , [10]

References:

- [1] N.S.Sinyukov . Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces .Nauka , Moscow, 1979.
- [2] K.Yano. Differential geometry on complex and almost complex Spaces. Oxford – London – New York – Paris – Frankfurt ; Pergamon Press. XII , 1965.
- [3] J. Mikeš. Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces . J. Math. Sci., New York, 1996.
- [4] Raad j. k. Al lamy . About 2F- planar mappings of affine – connection spaces . Coll.on Diff. Geom.,Eger (Hungary) 1989.
- [5] Raad j. k. Al lamy, I.N. Kurbatova. Invariant geometric objects of 2F – planar mappings of affine connection spaces and Riemannian spaces with affine structure($F^3 = e\delta$) . Dep. f Ukraine,VINIVTI (Kiev) ,1990 , No.1004,UK90.
- [6] Raad j. k. Al lamy, Some equations about theory of 2F – Planar mappings Riemannian spaces with ($F^3 = e\delta$) ; Ukraine , VINIVTI , No 1 1991 .
- [7] Raad j. k. Al lamy, Recurrent Riemannian spaces in 2F – Planar mappings Riemannian spaces with ($F^3 = e\delta$)”. Ukraine, VINIVTI , No 2 1991 .
- [8] Raad j. k. Al lamy, 2F - planar mapping affine connection and Riemannian spaces ; Moscow , MPGU, 1992.
- [9] Raad J.K. Al lamy,Josef Mikes,Marie Skodova , On linearly pF – planar mappings , Diff.Geo.and Its Application, Proc.Conf Prague, August 30- sept. 3 , 2004.Charles Univ.Prague (Czech Republic), 2005,347-353
- [10] Raad.J.K.AL-lami,MARIE SKODOVA,JOSEF MIKES,ON HOLOMORPHICALLY PROJECTIVE MAPPINGS FROM EQUIAFFINE GENERALLY RECURRENT SPACES ONTO KAHLERIAN SPACES , ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 42 (2006).