Some Contexts of the Syrian Crisis

Madeleine Kassab(*)
assistant professor
Aleppo University
Former assistant professor at Aleppo University. PhD candidate at Liège University in Belgium. Member of Le Lemme - *Laboratoire d'étude sur les médias et la médiation-* **(Laboratory of Media and Mediation Studies). Currently Research Guest at Lund University in Sweden.**

Abstract

The research presents some of the contexts of the Syrian crisis which started in mid-March 2011. Those contexts contributed to creating disagreements concerning the opinions and attitudes of the Syrian society. The study axes are: an overview of some features of the Syrian history; the governance in Syria from Alexander the Great to the reign of Bashar Al-Assad; the religious and ethnic diversity; the splitting of the Syrian community into supporters and opponents of the government; and some data about the Syrian opposition and the woman in Syria. The Syrian history which is full of conflicts, the religious and ethnic diversity with the absence of a sufficient awareness, Assads´ policies, the Non-unity of the opposition and the absence of a clear vision, all are factors creating and enhancing the rift in the Syrian society. The importance of research lies on discussing the fogginess of the Syrian identity to understand the behavior of the Syrians with each other, especially during the crisis time.

Key words: The Arab Spring, the Syrian crisis, Syrian war.

Introduction

By the end of 2010, a protesting anti-government movement which led to the overthrow of the former president Zine El Abidine Ben Ali had started. This movement was followed by a group of protests in many regions of the Arab Homeland some of which were Egypt, Libya, Yemen, Bahrain and Syria. There is a disagreement in the viewpoints about the structure and targets of these protests**.** Some see them as revolutions of peoples who have suffered the oppression of the rulers for a long time. Others consider them merely a conspiracy fabricated by Arab and western actors aiming at the destruction of the Arab nation, especially Syria as it is the fort of steadfastness in the face of the Zionist occupation. In mid-March 2011, no sooner did the Syrian government opponent demonstrations start than this movement turned into a crisis due to many factors some of which are the reaction of the government and the drift of the opposition towards the military struggle against the government. The geopolitical importance of Syria and the interlacing and interference of the Syrian political and military factors on the local, Arab and international levels gave the protesting movement different values. This made some people justify all the militant movements of the Syrian government against the opposition and made others legalize the armed activities of the opposition and recognize them as an important solution of the Syrian crisis (Nasr, 2013; Mahmoud, 2013; Zanbou'ah, 2014; Janabi, 2016; Hoshoud, 2017; Al-Bayoumi, 2017).

(*)**Madeleinekassab@gmail.com**

Studying the features of the Syrian crisis requires referring back to the Syrian history. The characteristics of the society, its actions and reactions towards any case are related to the cognitive accumulations of this society. The genetic, cultural, intellectual, religious, and sentimental heritage influences deciding the track of individual behaviors, whether the individual is aware or unaware of the mechanism of such influence.

The target of this study is to probe some of the factors that contributed to reinforcing the disagreement in the attitudes of the Syrians and created contradicting images of the Syrian crisis. The research discusses the following points:

Some of the civilizations which existed in Syria. The meaning of the word "Syria". The existing Syria, geographically and administratively in addition to the religious and ethnic distribution and the spoken languages. Governance in Syria from the reign of Alexander the Great to that of Bashar Al-Assad (only till 2013. The research does not discuss the events after this year when Syria entered a new stage which is that of ISIS and the open international interference in the Syrian crisis, especially militarily). Syria as a chain in the series of the Arab protests. The mistiness of the Syrian identity. The influence of the religious and ethnic diversity. The policy of "abolishing the other". The split of the Syrian community into supporters and opponents of the government. Some data about the opposition (till 2013 only). The situation of women in Syria.

The research found that many factors contributed to creating a crack in the opinions and attitudes of the Syrians and caused embodying the disagreement in a violent and intolerant way. Some of these factors are: The historical legacy which is full of conflicts. Autocracy and authoritarianism. Religion and factional affiliation. The ethnic and religious diversity in the presence of lack in awareness. The fogginess of the Syrian identity. The conflicts among those who belong to the same doctrine or trend. The deteriorating economic situation of some slices of the Syrian people in contrast to the good one of the others. Extremism of all kinds and forms. And exaggeration in believing or disbelieving in the validity of the targets and actions of the Syrian government.

Civilizations which existed in Syria

According to archaeologists, Syria is considered to be the cradle of the earliest human civilisations. Many cities and kingdoms were established over this land, including Ebla, Mari, Ugarit, Aphamea and Palmyra. Many peoples lived in Syria, whether based on peaceful means, through migration or commerce, or through wars. The most prominent of these peoples are the Sumerians, the Akkadians, the Chaldeans, the Canaanites, the Arameans, the Hittites, the Babylonians, the Persians, the Greeks, the Romans, the Nabatis, the Byzantines, and the Arabs. The Ottoman Turks ruled Syria for four centuries, then this country went under the French mandate between 1920 and 1946 (Al-Helu, 2004; Jad El-Rab, 2005; Troudi, 2006; Balanche, A, 2006; duMont, 2012)

The meaning of the word "Syria"

There are some assumptions about the origin of the name Syria. The name is derived from the Syriac word (*Sir*), which means *the master*. The feminine name is *Sara* and *Syria*, which mean *the master ground* or *the ground of the masters*. The name is derived from the Greek description; *Assur* and *Assyria*, (the land of the Assyrians). According to the

Phoenicians, the name Syria is considered to be an expression for the *land of the sun* (Al-Hariri, 2013)[†].

Syria before the Opposition control on some areas

The current Syria is considered part of the Greater Syria or the Levant which were divided into smaller countries (Syria, Lebanon, Jordan, and Palestine) according to the Sykes Picot accord; the British-French accord in 1916, which aimed at distributing shares among colonial countries. Under the patronage of the British mandate, the state of Israel was created in 1948 and Palestine was also divided (Sultan, 1987; Al-Rubaie, 2005; Troudi, 2006). The geographical location of Syria increases its importance. Its borders with Jordan, Iraq, and Turkey, and its nearness to Palestine and the locations of Israeli presence placed it in the heart of conflicts and wars (duMont, 2012).

The current Syria is made up of 14 governorates, each one is further divided into smaller administrative units: region, city, town, village, farm, and a square; 61 regions, 210 towns, 6247 villages, and 6717 farms (Qatash, 2002; Troudi, 2006).

Religious and sectarian distribution of the Syrian population

The Syrian population is estimated to be 23000000 people. The Sunni Muslims form the majority; their population is estimated to be more than 75%, when the Sunni Kurds' population is included. The population percentage of the Alawites ranges between 10% and 15%; their population is growing systematically. The Alawites are mainly found in the counties of Lattakia, Tartus, and the countryside of the two counties Homs and Hama. The Druze sect constitutes nearly 3%, mainly present in the county of Sweida and the Golan Heights. The Ismaelites constitute 1%, living in Salamiyeh, Qadmus, Alkhawabi, and Misyaf. The *Twelver* Shia constitutes 0.3%. The Christian percentage ranges between 8 and 10%; half of them are Orthodox. The Christian churches observed in Syria are the Evangelical Armenian, Evangelical National Christian, Orthodox Syriac, Catholic Syriac, Chaldean Catholic Church, Maronite Church, Orthodox Armenian, Catholic Roman Church, Assyrian Church of the East, and the Church of Jesus the King for the Chaldean Catholics in the governorateof Al-Hasaka (Troudi, 2006; S.Abdo, 2009; duMOnt, 2012).

Most of the Jews left Syria to Palestine. Their population was 4.4% in Damascus and 4.3% in Aleppo until the year 1943. The Kurds constitute nearly 9% of the total population; some of them are Muslims and some others are Yazidis (Ayazidis). There are no accurate statistics about the number of the Yazidis in Syria. Turkmen and Turks are 1%, as are the Circassians. The number of the Chechens ranges between 10000 – 15000 (Troudi, 2006; S.Abdo, 2009; duMOnt, 2012).

The Christians and Druze follow their own legal systems and legislative courts in relation to Personal Status issues. The other sects follow the Islamic-sharia-based law. The Syrian

---

[†] Al-Maany Dictionary. https://www.almaany.com/ar/name/%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A7/
Bashar Khakif. Syria the Civilisation and Name. Newsyrian.net.
https://newsyrian.net/ar/content/%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A7-
%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%B6%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D9%88-
%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D8%B3%D9%85

social construction was affected in recent decades because of the migration from the countryside to cities in addition to immigration abroad. The Syrian crisis also changed the demographic and numerical map of the Syrians (S.Abdo, 2009).

Languages spoken in Syria

Arabic is the official language of the country. Syrian people speak Arabic with local dialects. On a daily basis, people do not use standard Arabic. Aramaic and Syriac are still spoken by inhabitants of some small villages like Malula, Jabadeen, and a part of Saydnaya and Yabrud in the countryside of Damascus, or in the north east of Syria like Qamishli, Qahtaniyeh, Hasaka, and Khabur. There are other languages spoken by some minorities in Syria like Kurdish, Armenian, Turkic, and Circassian. English is taught in schools. French is also taught in schools but to a narrow level (Qatash, 2002; S.Abdo, 2009; duMOnt, 2012).

Rule in Syria

Syria never enjoyed a self-rule. For a long period of time Syria was subject to foreign rule (Chouet, 1995). We will go back in history to the era of occupation of Syria by Alexander the Great in 333 BC. The Macedonian Empire broke apart following his death, and Syria was ruled by the leader Seleucus who established the Seleucid Empire in the year 312 BC (Hajal, 2004). The Romans took over Syria in the year 64 BC. At the time, Syria was in state of chaos because of the Seleucid rule and the successive wars that broke out among the parties fighting for power. The western part of the Levant (*Bilad Alsham*) came under the Roman rule, while the eastern part (Mesopotamia) was under the Persian control (Al-Hariri, 2013). Syria had a pivotal importance for the Romans; it was placed under the supervision of a Roman consul who had the authority to recruit armies and declare war. This is because Syria was the confrontation line with the Persians (Hajal, 2004).

The Byzantine rule in Syria started in 330 AD. This period was marked by the recognition of Christianity by Emperor Constantine as an official religion (Hajal, 2004). The demise of the Byzantine rule in Syria started when the Muslim armies entered the Levant and defeated the Romans after Alyarmuk battle in 636 AD (Al-Helu, 2004). After the death of the Prophet Mohammad, the first caliphate launched wars against the tribes that renounced Islam. Following his victory over the apostates, this caliphate and the following caliphates had dedicated time to what is called 'Islamic conquests'[‡]. Many books about the Islamic religion heritage talk about the peacefulness of conquering many Syrian cities. The Syrians surrendered to the Muslims without fighting. The second group of cities were conquered after a long besiegement of huge Roman garrisons, like Damascus, Raqqa, and Homs. The third group was conquered after long and ferocious battles (Takkoush, 2002).

The Omayyad Muslims ruled Syria from 662 until 750 AD. After that, Syria was ruled by the Muslim Abbasids from 750 until 1258 AD. The Abbasid Caliphate ended after the Mongols, led by Hulagu, occupied Baghdad; Syria then came under the Mongol rule from 1259 until 1260. The Mamluk Sultanate (One of the Islamic states that rose in Egypt) triumphed over the Mongols, thus Syria came under the Mamluk rule until the year 1516; at that time the Ottoman armies invaded the Levant and Damascus became an Ottoman province

---

[‡]The purpose of such naming is legalising wars launched by the Muslims and giving a sublime mental image about these wars; not being out of motivation for occupying and controlling the riches of the peoples invaded by Muslim armies, rather, for the sake of spreading the religion of God.

until the year 1919 AD (Al-Helu, 2004). The Ottoman sultans used to be titled as the Muslims` caliphates (Sultan, 1987). After the defeat of the Ottoman Sultanate, Syria came under the French mandate. King Faisal Ibn Al-Hussain was crowned as a king of Syria. This country was divided following the order of the French ruler into four states: The state of Damascus, the State of Aleppo, The State of Druze, and the State of the Alawites. In the year 1946 the French forces were evacuated from all Syrian land. Syria joined the Arab League on the basis of a charter signed in the year 1945; Syria is considered a founding member of this organisation (Al-Rubaie, 2005; Mehli, 2011).

After independence from France, Syria did not enjoy political stability. Military coups were commonplace during the period between 1949 and 1954. In 1958 a union between Syria and Egypt was established under the name 'The United Arab Republic' and continued until the year 1961 under the leadership of the Egyptian leader Jamal Abdul Naser. The different inclinations, interests, and political visions led to the separation of the two countries. After that, Syria came back to the era of military coups (Qatash, 2002; Al-Hariri, 2013).

Al-Baath Party took over the power in Syria in 1963 through a military action, called by some a 'coup' and called by some others 'The Revolution of the 8th of March' conveying that it changed the nature of the political authority, where for the first time power was reached by social forces comprised mostly by the middle class in cities and the countryside. This happened thanks to the coalition between workers, peasants, the revolutionary educated, and some small bourgeois groups (Habib, 1989; Hussein, 1996; Ghalioun, 2002-2003).

However, conflicts spread among members of Al-Baath Party and the schism widened amongst the leadership following the victory of Israel over the armies of Syria, Egypt and Jordan in the year 1967; Israel took over vast areas of Arab land including the Syrian Golan Heights (Qatash, 2002). At that time, Hafez Al-Assad was minister of defence and a member of the regional leadership of Al-Baath Party. He was opposing the policies of the president and the policies of some of Al-Baath Party leaders. In 1970, Al-Assad led what is known as the 'Correctionist November Movement'; the most prominent features of the this movement are a military coup against the president of the country and the secretary general of Al-Baath Party Nour Eldin Al-Atasi and appointing Ahmad Alkhatib as a temporary president. According to the supporters of this movement, it was imperative to abolish differences among the members of Al-Baath Party, and also it was imperative unifying forces and organising priorities; the conflict with Israel had to gain the main interest (Hussein, 1996).

In 1971 Al-Assad held the position of Syria's president, backed by the army. He gained the favouring of many sectors of the Syrian society thanks to reconstructing the Syrian army and winning over Israel in the October War in 1973.

President Assad was also the secretary general of the Socialist Al-Baath Party and the higher commander of the army and military forces. Al-Baath was the party 'leading the country and the society' throughout the rule of Al-Assad (Troudi, 2006). The slogan of this party is: *One Unified Arab Nation with an Eternal Message.* Its goals are: union, freedom, and socialism. That refers to the union of the Arab nation, liberation from occupation and imperialism, and establishing a socialist Arab system. The principles of the party emphasise the pioneering role of Syria in the Pan Arab Movement and the duty of the army to protect the homeland and guarantee the stability and safety of the people (Habib, 1989; Troudi, 2006; Haddad, 2007).

Syria during the time of Hafez Al-Assad

There are differences in the historical and analytical readings of the personality of president Hafez Al-Assad and the events witnessed during the period of his leadership. Kamal Deeb (2015) sees that the policies of Al-Assad laid foundations for stability after the period when the country was living time of chaos and coups; such policies also positioned Syria as a regional player within the context of conflicts inside and for the Middle East. Deeb considers the year 1970 as the year of change in Syria, since the Assad era ended the era of internal competing for positions among the leadership of Al-Baath Party (Deeb, 2015).

In the 70s and 80s of the 20th century Syria went through several internal and external crises, starting from launching the October war against Israel in 1973, through entering the Lebanese war in 1976 and the break out of a militant Islamic movement inside the country between 1979 and 1982. The Muslim Brotherhood used their Sunni sectarian position to attack the ruling regime led by Assad, being an 'Alawite rule' and that the claim for secularisation by the regime is nothing but another crime reflecting the heresy and infidelity of the Alawites. The rising popularity of Al-Baath Party amongst the Sunni circles from the 50s angered the Muslim Brothers and increased their grudge against the ruling regime. In addition to the Israeli invasion of Lebanon in 1982 and the repercussions of the Iraqi-Iranian war (1980-1988), in the year 1984 Syria witnessed an internal crisis in the ruling body, which was the conflict between President Assad and his brother Rifaat. The events of the 80s led to the rise of the role of the security forces in the Syrian state and the transfer towards the security regime and to the leadership controlling all civil and military sectors, even the economic and financial resources of the country. Syria was affected by the war in Kuwait in 1990 and by the collapse of the Soviet Union, the supporter of Syria and the major source of its weaponry. The Syrian-Israeli conflict is considered one of the most dangerous events that tear the Middle East (Seale, 1992; Chouet, 1995; Deeb, 2015**)**.

In 1972, under the patronage of Al-Baath Party, the 'Progressive National Front' was created. It included a group of founding parties: The Socialist Baath Party, The Arab Socialist Union Party, The Socialist Unionists Party, The Arab Socialists Movement, and The Syrian Communist Party (Kaddah, 1991; Ghalioun, 2002-2003). The National Front was extended and included a new group of parties and organisations including: The Democratic Socialist Party, The National Al-Ahd Movement, and The Syrian Pan-Arab Socialist Party[§]. This front is headed by a central leadership including the secretary generals of the parties. The number of the members of the Arab Socialist Baath Party is half + 1 of the seats of the Central Headquarters of the Front. The most prominent mission of this front is to establish unity, cooperation, and protecting the pan-Arabic security. The priority used to be given to the members, and those who join Al-Baath Party; they had the priority in study and work.

There is another group of parties which participate in the political activities in Syria including: The Democratic National Solidarity Party, The National Youths for Justice and Development, and The Democratic Pioneer Party. It is prohibited to belong to a group of parties, the most known of which is The Muslim Brotherhood Party (Kaddah, 1991; Ghalioun, 2002-2003**)**.

A set of economic, judicial, and social reforms and achievements were accomplished. The living circumstances got better in Damascus, the capital, which gained the biggest share

---

[§]The official website for the National Front,
http://www.pnf.org.sy/index.php?page=show_det&category_id=84&id=73&lang=ar&lang=ar
Available on 26 August 2017.

out of the country's resources. However, corruption was spread among the elite benefitting from their position in the regime. According to Deeb, Assad the father, had a wish to reform, but the malfunction was due to either the choice of the plans and executive mechanisms or to the corruption of the men in power. After the first Gulf War, a number of opposition movements appeared, asking for reform. Politics-wise, Al-Baath regime accepted the presence of independent members representing a third of the Parliament members, and some economic reforms were also put in place (Bauchard, 2008; Deeb, 2015).

The Syrian economy from the 70s until 2000 was depending of several factors including: the oil revenues, the money transfer by Syrians living abroad, Arab and foreign countries' aids, drought seasons, the structure of industry and commerce, the authority of the regime, the corruption of the state bodies, the exploitation by people of their positions for increasing personal wealth, the ineffectiveness of jurisdiction in relation to protecting personal property and adjudication of investment cases. The most serious causes of the stumbling of the Syrian economy in the 80s and 90s are related to depriving Syria of the western and international economic support because of its stances against Israel; Syria came under economic embargo repeatedly and for a long time (Deeb, 2015).

The USA and the European countries often criticised Syria, considering it as a country embracing and sponsoring terrorism through supporting the Lebanese Hezbollah, the Palestinian Hamas and Islamic Jihad, and the Iraqi resistance. The Iranian-Syrian relation and the Russian-Syrian relation fed the international rejection of the policies of the ruling regime. Iran and Syria supervise arming Hezbollah and strengthening it quantitatively a qualitatively (Heydemann, 2000; Deeb, 2015)

Syria at the time of Bashar Al-Assad

In July 2000 President Bashar Al-Assad came to power. He adopted a project which he called 'The track of advancing and modernising in all Syrian state institutions'. The phenomenon of cultural forums, which were seen as forums for free opinion, grew in number. Since then, the dominance of the one party started fading; some landmarks of political and cultural freedom started appearing (Al-Hariri, 2013). Assad the son wanted to liberate the political and economic system and to consolidate democracy inside Syria, so the start of his rule came to be known as the 'Spring of Damascus'. Many sectors of the Syrian society and intellectuals viewed Assad as having future ambitions capable of transferring Syria into the world of development and modernity. Assad cares a lot about the Syrian Informatics Society considered the most important centre for opening the country to the most advanced technologies in the electronic communication world (Ghalioun, 2002-2003; Troudi, 2006; Al-Daghidi, 2006).

Even lots of intellectuals, media people, artists, and opinion leaders in Syria and the Arab world – who later became opponents to Assad – confessed their optimism about the ability of this young president to change situations in Syria. However, the security and military policy followed to solve the Syrian crisis changed their view and stances.

The experience of 'Damascus Spring' stumbled for two reasons: the first is the contention regarding the methodology; Assad wanted it planned and gradual, whilst the opposition and the civil society wanted it a quick reform. The second reason was the foreign threats which accompanied this reformist experience. Many events pushed Syria to focus on consolidating its stability and defensive capability. Threats started since the end of the year 2000 when the second Palestinian Uprising broke out, to be followed by the ramifications of September 11th

attack in 2001, in addition to the American invasion of Iraq in 2003. The assassination of the Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Al-Hariri in 2005 affected Syria to a large extent and Syria had to withdraw from Lebanon. The economic and political embargo on Syria was tightened. Moreover, the war between Israel and Hezbollah broke out in 2006. The increase of foreign threats made continuing the process of liberalisation and reform characterised by hesitation, caution, and fear that Syria might lose its internal stability. After political prisoners were set free, and freedom was given to personalities belonging to many Islamic, liberal, and communist groups, the time of arrests and clamping down on the freedom of parties and media came back (Ghalioun, 2002-2003; Troudi, 2006; Balanche, 2006; Bauchard, 2008; Al-Hariri, 2013; Deeb, 2015).

In spite of pressures and embargo, Syria managed to reach self-sufficiency, regarding food provision, living requirements and power resources. This country has no external debts. The problem of city over-crowdedness was solved via the policy of balanced developing among the rural areas, cities, and the counties. An infrastructure network was launch all around Syria: airports; sea ports; dams; electricity; water, and communication networks. However, some villages still suffered absence of water pipes, and some others did not get electricity yet. The rapid increase of population creates numerous problems. The unemployment rate increased to 11.5% in the year 2005. The presence of the more than 1.5 million Iraqi refugees in Syria aggravated the unemployment problem[**]. The individual income is still low and does not fulfil the aspirations of many Syrians (Balanche, B2006; Bauchard, 2008; Deeb 2015).

In order to achieve development and openness, Syria coordinated – on an economic level – with a group of Arab and non-Arab countries (Al-Hariri, 2013). Privatisation was allowed, especially in the communication sector. However, the first beneficiary of this openness was some relatives of Assad (Bauchard, 2008). The education sector witnessed a huge advancement since the time of Assad the father. Much farming lands were reclaimed and the industrial production grew bigger. However, the corruption spreading in the different sectors severely hinders the processes of developing and modernisation.

The Syrian crisis within its contexts

- **The events of Syria, a ring in a chain**

The Arab Spring, the Arab revolutions, the revolutions of the Arab Spring, were terms adopted by the media in describing protesting movements some of which were peaceful, the others were armed. They spread across a group of Arab countries. Their motives were similar due to the similarity of the situations and events the peoples of these countries lived. For long decades, the rulers and the kings have had hegemony over the reign subjugating the civilians to their will and command by all, direct and indirect, means. The spread of corruption hindered all the processes of development and progress. The gap between the rule and the people widened. The disappointment which resulted from the imposed censorship over all the sectors of life, especially the freedom of expression, led to the burst of the people's wrath.

[**]Syria received Palestinian refugees. The Lebanese fled to Syria during the course of the Israel-Lebanese war. Moreover, about a million and a half Iraqis took refuge in Syria following the American invasion of Iraq.

Things started with the revolution in Tunisia from December 17th2010 to January 14th2011. It burst after Mohamed Bouazizi burned himself in protest against corruption, unemployment and poverty. It succeeded in overthrowing the previous Tunisian president Zine El Abidine Ben Ali and obliged him to run away from Tunisia. This event provoked many Arab countries peoples. The revolution in Egypt started on January 25th, 2011.The demonstrator protested against the spread of corruption, the bad living situation, and security and political tightening. This revolution resulted in the stepping down of the previous president Hosni Mubarak on February 11th, 2011. After Egypt, Libya witnessed a protesting movement which started in the middle of February and led to the murder of Muammar Gaddafi and the overthrow of his reign. This movement was characterized by using weapons as from its beginning. It was not as peaceful as the Egyptian revolution. The revolution in Yemen also started in February. A group of young people in Sana'a University demonstrated demanding the stepping down of the previous president Ali Abdullah Saleh. At that time, Saleh declared that he would not nominate himself for election again and that he would not hand power to his son. However, the demonstrations went on and many demonstrators were detained. This gave rise to the armed conflict in Yemen and a number of military personnel joined the revolution. In November 2011, the Gulf initiative was signed and, in accordance with its provisions, Saleh stepped down and handed power to the vice-president: Abdrabuh Mansour Hadi. The protests in Bahrain also started in February. The Bahraini government asked the help of the Cooperation Council of the States of the Gulf (GCC). The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates sent soldiers to Bahrain in order to suppress the movements which were considered Shiite aiming to arouse *fitna* and seize power.

Some other Arab countries such as Jordan, Algeria, KSA, Sudan, Iraq, Palestine, Kuwait, Lebanon, Morocco and Mauritania intermittently witnessed protests but they had no great effect.

On March 15th 2011, Syria witnessed the start of a protesting movement. Its organizers on Facebook announced the necessity for the opponents to gather in the quarters and streets of regions to raise their demands the most prominent of which were eliminating corruption and realizing reform. A forerunner of this start was a spontaneous unplanned protesting event in Al-Hareeqa area in Damascus. It started when a policeman humiliated a Syrian citizen. The Syrians angrily gathered and began cheering "the Syrian people can't be humiliated". Later, this slogan became one of the most significant slogans of the opponents'. As from the first days of the time when the opponents came out to streets, firing took place. The Syrian government charged "infiltrating people" of shooting the regime's forces and the civilians altogether in order to shake stability and sow hatred between the state and the people. However, the opponents charged the government forces themselves of being responsible for the brutal murder operations. The conflict quickly turned into an armed one. The two sides exchanged charges about committing massacre against the civilians. The Syrian security forces continually negated their responsibility for killing the peaceful demonstrators while the opposition insisted that the regime committed the most brutal and violent crimes against the unarmed people. The slogan of overthrowing the regime became the most repeatedly cheered slogan (Al-Baiyoomi, 2017; Janabi, 2016; Mokannawi, 2015; Harahsha, 2013; Shalash, 2013; Leila Vignal, 2012).

The data of the Syrian crisis are entangled and complex, the opinions of analyzers and interpreters strongly differ (Nasr et al, 2013).The interference of many Arab and non-Arab countries in the Syrian affairs made things more complicated. Who supports whom? Who finances whom? Why? What do the revolutionaries want? Islamization of Syria or freedom? Secularism or Islamic rule? Political dictatorship or suppression and religious *takfirism*? Is what is going on in Syria a people's revolution or a proxy war which is run by the benefited

countries? The media – influenced by the attitudes of the countries towards the Syrian cause – depict different images about the events of Syria.

- **The ethnic and religious diversity**

In several research like (duMont, 2012) and even in many newspaper articles, the Syrian society is described as a 'mosaic' due to its ethnic, religious, and sectarian diversity. The term 'mosaic' indicates art and beauty, but was such diversity really bliss for the Syrian society? Could diversity without awarenessfeed advancement of peoples? Probably the most important question is: How was such diversity created?

We saw previously that Syria for a long time was occupied and governed by many peoples, eastern or western. Such peoples even fought against other peoples on the Syrian soil. This leads us to think of the volume of congestion and pressure accumulating, and possibly genetically or culturally inherited down to the current Syrian generation. How much freedom can be there under occupation or mandate? Was the Syrian people ready to exercise intellectual and political freedom following the end of the Ottoman occupation and French mandate? The number of the military coups, the huge schism among politicians and the mechanisms embodying such schism (assassinations, for example) may reveal how unready this people is for exercising a free and peaceful political activity.

Hafez Al-Assad, who belongs to the Alawite sect, was the first Alawite president to rule Syria. For centuries, Syria was ruled by the Sunni commerce bourgeois who were totally subject to the Ottoman rule (Chouet, 1995). The Alawite sect was persecuted and marginalised politically and socially. The sect was also excluded, geographically and administratively, from the centre and mechanism of power. Many other minorities suffered the same, but the volume of Alawite sufferings was bigger; their women used to be sold or hired by Sunni families, particularly the wealthy families, until the sixties of last century. The Alawites ran away to the mountains and lived where there were no health services. Poverty was their main trait (Chouet, 1995; Troudi, 2006). For the Sunnis and for the Twelve-Imams-Shia, the Alawites were viewed as the heretics of Islam; disbelievers, infidels, traitors, and apostates. They were recognised as a Shia Muslim sect after Hafez Al-Assad came to power (Chouet, 1995; Balanche, A, 2006).

The name 'Alawite' means the supporters or followers of *Imam Ali*, the cousin of the Prophet Mohammad. They are sometimes called *Nusayris*, after *Ibn Nusayr*; an Iraqi from Basra. His followers fled from Iraq and came mostly to Aleppo in Syria (Balanche, C, 2006; duMOnt, 2012). The Alawites are divided theologically into several groups, including: the *Haddadis* and *Khay-yatis* (Chouet, 1995). Their religious reputation among the Sunni Sect is distorted due to not having mosques, not wearing veils (*hijab*) by their women, and permitting drinking alcohol (Balanche, A, 2006**)**.

The persecution suffered by the Alawites forced them to keep their religious beliefs marked by secrecy and reservation. They even lodged to '*Taqiyah*' which means denying who they are sometimes and appearing in a way that suits and matches the trends of the dominant Sunni religion. In one of his legal opinions (Fatwas), Ibn Taymieh (the most prominent Sunni Muslim pundit) stated that the Alawites are more infidel than Jews and Christians, and that war and Jihad (religious war) are religiously legal, even mandatory against the followers of this mystic course (Chouet, 1995; Balanche, A, 2006). A question that can be asked is: Can the followers of this religious leader – who legitimises killing all those who disagree with him

in thinking, whether religious or political – spread a free culture and accept the culture of those who are at odds with them? Can their slogans calling for democracy be believed?

When the Muslim Brotherhood revolted against Al-Baath regime, their basic motive was not accepting the rule of the 'non-believer apostate infidel minority'. They bombed, killed, and destroyed. They were severely clamped down security- and military-wise. Many of them were killed. In response to this suppression and to the secular movement, the number of mosques increased considerably, women stuck by the Islamic veil (*Hijab*), and many movements appeared wanting to redistribute the rule; the Sunni majority has the right to rule the state. The conflict between the ruling regime and the Muslim brotherhood reflects the conflict between the United States and Russia; the Muslim Brotherhood are known for their loyalty to Saudi Arabia, thus obedience to the United Sates, while the socialists are loyal to Russia (Corm, 1989; Troudi, 2006).

During the rule of Assad the father, Sunni adherent men took the highest positions, the most known of which were, Mustafa Tlas, Abdulhalim Khaddam, and Abdullah Al-Ahmar. However, the security sector was largely in Alawite hands (Chouet, 1995; Deeb, 2015).

● **The obscurity of the Syrian identity**

The term 'Arab' is used even in much research – to denote the majority of the Syrian society. The Syriacs and Aramites are described as minorities, bearing in mind that they also speak Arabic and represent the origin of the Syrian people before Islam. This leads us to wonder: What is the foundation upon which this classification was done? If we assumed that the current Syriacs and Aramites adhered to their belonging, to the original nationality, what would make the Syrian Arabic in scientific descriptions? The use of Arabic which was imposed after the spread of Islam, or the politically imposed nationalism? According to item 10 in Al-Baath Party Constitution, an Arab is whoever speaks Arabic and lives on an Arabic land or aspires to live in such countries, with conviction that he belongs to such nationalism. Al-Baath viewed Islam as a unifying factor amongst the Arabic peoples (Troudi, 2006).

The on-going violence in Syria andapplied by all parties might be the result of belonging contradictions. Relating religion to politics and relating nationalism to religion are disastrous in a society which was forced for many centuries to succumb to foreign invaders; a society that needs a convalescence until it constructs its identity or at least until it purges its identity, if it already exists.

Arabism is based on Islamism; Islam is determinist and fatalist (the word of God must be obeyed; the Quran). Islam is divided into so many sects and doctrines. The Sunni-Shia conflict is not a superficial conflict as portrayed by many who call for the necessity of the convergence of religions and doctrines. Accusing the other of unbelief is commonplace among the majority of the doctrines adherents, thus legalising killing and blood shedding is not unexpected. Hence, we are experiencing a vortex of variables which encourage violence and schism, especially when politically employed, and this was what happened in Syria.

Al-Baath linked between Arabism, socialism, and secularism (Mehli, 2011), and tried to apply this approach in a society whose majority cannot apply critical thinking to the concepts in which they believe. The Sunni Muslims cannot suspect or even enquire about the credibility of the saying that 'the Quran is the speech of God', nor query the validity of the Hadith Shareef, registered sayings by the Prophet Mohammad (The Prophet Traditions). Even beyond that, many deny science when it contradicts a religious text, and permit punishing all

those who regress from Islam, as did the first caliphate Abu Bakr when he launched wars against the apostates. The religious dictatorship prevailed for many centuries, supported by the political dictatorship. Both robbed the citizen of the right to think and the right to criticise, thus the right to know.

Awareness should also be raised about the role of illiteracy in increasing the amount of fanaticism of all its aspects, tribal, religious and political. In the modern days the number of schools and universities increased, but the centuries-long-rooted beliefs still dominate that thinking of many sectors of the Syrian society. The cleric is viewed as the most important 'ideological' reference for those adhering to their doctrines. In addition to the intolerance of the cleric, his low academic and cultural degree is enough to create a *takfiri* (labelling as infidel whoever disagrees with one's opinion) society, shaken from within.

● **Denying the other; the dominant policy**

This policy is not the product of the modern era; rather it is an accumulated heritage. A lot of historical events prove this, like the Islamic-Islamic conflict and the ideological conflict following independence from France, in addition to the actions and reactions of the sectors of the Syrian people towards those who oppose their views (in the context of the studied crisis). Dialogue needs understanding and accepting the other. However, what happened in Syria may be attributed in the first place to the culture of imposition and coercion. Analysing the rhetoric of the Syrians and their stances towards each other on the social media websites proves the dominance of the culture of "radically denying the other". The most serious tool for this culture is demonising the opponent and presenting him as an internal enemy.

● **Dividing the Syrian society into proponents and opponents to the policy of the two Assads**

The difference regarding opinions is centred around several major ideas. For the proponents, Assad the father managed to establish political stability in Syria following the time when chaos prevailed. He introduced many reforms and gave lands to the farmers after feudalism controlled everything. He improved the industrial and agricultural sectors; he led Syria towards self-sufficiency; he reconstructed the Syrian army and could win over Israel (regaining honour following the defeat in the 1967 war). He protected Syria from a sectarianism-based civil war. Thanks to the Syrian support, the Lebanese resistance (Hezbollah) realized victories over Israel. The two Assads support the Palestinian resistance and allow the Palestinian organisations to resume their activities over the Syrian land. Assad the father, and later on the son, continuously sought to create a real Arabic unity that constitutes a force able to deter all colonisation attempts. Syria is facing a major conspiracy because of its stances against Israel and the United States and because of its adherence to pan Arab nationalism. What is happening in Syria is not a revolutionary public movement; rather it is an American plot aiming at handing over the rule in Syria to the Muslim Brotherhood in order to break this country up on religious and sectarian bases, considering the policy of the Muslim Brotherhood is a *takfiri* policy that views minorities as infidel groups that should declare repentance and embrace the religion of the right path (Islam). The security and military grip in the country was and still is important because of the presence of the Israeli enemy (Ababsa, 2005; Troudi, 2006; Isaac, 2014). The opponents to the regime reject the theoretical foundations of the proponents, thinking that the two Assads contributed to shaking the stability of Syria and they supported the Zionist enemy. Reform is a lie and supporting the resistance is a mask for covering alliance with Israel and the United States. The two Assads exercised absolute dictatorship over the people.

- **Some data about the opposition**

The Syrian political opposition – represented by the Syrian National Council, the National Coalition for Syrian Opposition and Revolution Forces, Syrian Revolution General Commission, the Stream of Building the Syrian State, and the pro-government opposition– could not get unified for long years in spite of "the international efforts" which tried to make the opposition go hand in hand. These leaders come from different ideological origins and doctrines. They live in a confidence crisis among each other. Their views about the decisions that should be made vary severely. They disagree about the resources and about distributing them among each other. And what is more important is their tendency to access governance or get a high rank. The missing command of the opposition made the protesting movement demagogic, anarchic and lacking a clear vision. The communication between the leaders and the demonstrators was utterly missing. Some of the leaders called for foreign interference in Syria and announced their disappointment because the countries did not take this step. For the opponents of the western policies in the Arab homeland, this was a stigma. The opposition seemed as a traitor of the national principles. The conflict and the competition among the regional supporters, such as KSA and Qatar, also contributed to the failure of the process of collaboration among the Syrian opposition leaders (Mahmoud, 2013; The Middle East, 2013; Sayegh, 2013).

The armed opposition started as combatant groups spreading in various areas of Syria which called themselves "the Free Army" and got recognized and supported by many countries. According to the military command of the Free, the officers and soldiers who defected from the government Syrian army form the core of the Free Army[††]. However, the Syrian government disproves these allegations and says that some defectors are only pretexts to cover the conspirators who send soldiers to fight the Syrian army[‡‡].

Marwan Qabalan (2013) sees that the map of the formations of the armed military opposition were not clear in 2011 and 2012; these formations lack the coherent structure and a central authority which is able to unify them. All of them have one clear aim which is the elimination of the ruling regime. However, their vision is blurred and their strategies are conflicting. They disagree about anything else other than the goal. Their main disagreement is about the identity and the political system of the future Syrian state.
Qabalan divided these formations into two main streams: the first is a stream with a secular tendency. This formation is often connected to and falls under the Free Army. The second comprises the battalions and brigades with Islamic trends, whether they are combatants from Syria or foreign ones. As to 2012, this formation contained 600 factions. The illiterate groups form the largest part of the leaders of this stream.

[††] Al-Jazeera channel, "Free Syrian Army", 02 November 2014. Available: 25 April 2017. http://www.aljazeera.net/encyclopedia/military/2014/11/2/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%8A%D8%B4-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%B1

BBC Arabic, "Free Syrian Army", 17 November 2011. Available: 29 April 2018. http://www.bbc.com/arabic/middleeast/2011/11/111116_syrian_free_army_qa

[‡‡] Al-Alam Channel, "The truth and identity of the Free Army", 10 August 2012. Available: 29 March 2013. http://www.alalam.ir/news/1246804/%D8%AD%D9%82%D9%8A%D9%82%D8%A9%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%8A%D8%B4-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%B1%D9%88%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%87%D9%8A%D8%AA%D9%87

Sama Channel, "A Game That Continues", 03 September 2014. Available: 29 April 2018. http://www.sama-tv.net/index.php?id=1593, Sama Channel,

According to Qabalan, these formations were originally formed spontaneously; they began as a reaction against the practices of the government forces against the people. He also sees that the regime was the only winner of the militarization of the revolution so as to justify its aggressive acts. Nevertheless, the supporters of the regime – from all the people's and elite slices – see that the armed opposition was formed as a part of a bigger conspiracy plotted by many countries. Some military formations were formed and trained during the events and others were ready and prepared before the wave of the Arab protests[§§].

Hereunder, we list some of the "secular" militant formations: The Temporary Military Council of the Free Syrian Army, The Supreme Military Council of the Free Syrian Army, the Joint Military Command of the Syrian Revolution, the Syrian National Army, the Joint Command of the Syrian Military Councils, the Supreme Joint Military Command Council.
Some of the features of this stream are as follows: a lot of its leaders run things from abroad, especially Turkey. It does not have the tools of communication with the people. In addition, it does not promote ideas well. It is in a state of conflict and a lack of co-ordination with and confidence in the political opposition. The military command is divided and dispersed as the visions are divergent and even blurred. This command desires to gain power on bases of the right to lead and of the precedence of defection. Some of them argued that it is necessary to stick to military hierarchy. In addition, the most prominent reason for disagreement is obeying different financing actors. The leaders more or less united when they saw that their disagreement was the reason behind the progress of the government forces. The Joint Command of the Revolution Military Councils realized progress because of the blessing of some important and effective religious figures (Qabalan, 2013)[***].
As for the formations with Islamist tendency, the demonstrators adopted a sectarian discourse as at the start of the protests. Some countries supported the Sunni Islamist tendency and the internal sectarian divisions in Syria which reinforced the Islamization of the revolution. Many forces and streams adopt the political Islam – especially the Sunni – in facing the regime (Qabalan, 2013).

The Most Significant and Effective Islamic Forces on the Syrian Arena Are:

1- **Jabhat Al-Nusra**. It advocates Jihad and sees that the overthrow of Al-Assad is the first step towards an Islamic state. It adopts extremist and offensive discourse and behavior towards the religious minorities. It considers itself the first defender of the Sunni sect against those whom it calls "Al-Nusairiyyeen" instead of Alawites, and those whom it calls "rawafed" instead of Shiites. Many of its members are experts in fighting due to their participation in what they call "Jihad arenas" in many countries some of which are Iraq and Afghanistan. It also contains many nationalities. One of the most important reasons why it appeals to the Syrians is that its external financing is very strong. This organization declared its loyalty to

---

[§§] Al Mayadeen Channel, "Western Writers Explain the Conspiracy against Syria", 20 September 2017. Available: 29 April 2018.
http://www.almayadeen.net/episodes/825067/%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%A8%D8%A9%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%85%D9%85%D9%83%D8%AA%D8%A7%D8%A8-%D8%BA%D8%B1%D8%A8%D9%8A%D9%88%D9%86-%D9%8A%D8%B4%D8%B1%D8%AD%D9%88%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%A4%D8%A7%D9%85%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D8%B9%D9%84%D9%89-%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A7

[***] Al-Jazeera, The Armed Opposition in Syria, 05 September 2013. Available on 20 May 2018.
http://www.aljazeera.net/news/reportsandinterviews/2013/9/5/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%B6%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%A9-%D9%81%D9%8A-%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A7

Al-Qaeda under the command of Aymen Al-Dhawahiri. Abu Bakr Al-Baghdadi, the leader of Al-Qaeda branch in Iraq, declared integrating his organization to Al-Nusra and announced the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria. Jabhat Al-Nusra depends on suicide bomber attacks. Its informational strategy is identical to that of Al-Qaeda.

2- **The Syrian Islamic Front** includes a group of brigades and battalions some of which are: the Battalions of Ahrar Al-Sham, Al-Haq Brigadein Homs, AtbaaAl-Rasoul, Al-Fath Al-Mubeen and Islamic Al-Fajr Movementin Aleppo and its suburbs. Most of these groups belong to the salafi – fundamental – stream. They advocate Jihad in the cause of building an Islamic state against the Irani and Safawi invasion which aims at establishing a Shiite state that includes Iran, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon and Palestine. One of the principles of these combatants is that the agreements between them and other countries should not contradict Islamic Sharia; Islam shall be the compasses of any action and reaction.

4-**The Caucus of Ansar Al-Sham** consists of a number of battalions and brigades distributed among several areas. Each faction is responsible for a specific area. Some of them are: Al-Sahaba Battalions, Ahfad Al-Rasoul Brigade, Al-Furqan Battalions, Islam Brigade and Al-Habib Al-Mustafa Brigade.

5- **Syrian Islamic Liberation Front**. The most prominent characteristic of this front is its conflict even against the Sunni sect. It calls some individuals "the sick brothers" who need to be guided and forced to practice the religious obligations so that they may get the satisfaction and blessings of Allah (Qabalan, 2013)[†††].

Koran and Sunna are the reference sources for all the factions of the Islamic stream. However, the armed forces do not have a united political or military body. Intellectual differences dominate them. Some of them adopt international *Jihadi* thought similar to that of Al-Qaeda. Some of them have moderate Islamic discourse while the majority categorize themselves in the field of *salafi* thought or fundamentalism. The interface among them is that they fight a "disbelieving regime" (Qabalan, 2013).

Bloody conflicts prevail among the factions. These conflicts reach the degree of assassinating the most important leaders. For example, the flaming conflict between Jabhat Al-Nusra and Al-Farouq Battalion. The relation of this stream with the political opposition is weak. Jabhat Al-Nusra refuses to work with the political opposition considering it as a western project which aims to encircle the Islamic project (Qabalan, 2013).

The Islamic armed stream is characterized by the ability to influence people because of the factors it has: the enticing religious discourse, the robust financing, the active relief networks which are supported by large material resources, the broad social relations, and the power of media (Qabalan, 2013).

● **The woman in Syria**

[†††] Al-Masdar Site, All That You Want to Know about Jabhat Al-Nusra, 25 September 2013. Available: 26 April 2018. https://www.al-masdar.net/%D9%83%D9%84-%D9%85%D8%A7-%D8%A3%D8%B1%D8%AF%D8%AA%D9%85-%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%B1%D9%81%D8%AA%D9%87-%D8%B9%D9%86-%D8%AC%D8%A8%D9%87%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%86%D8%B5%D8%B1%D8%A9/

Al-Jazeera, Seven Syrian Factions Merge with The "Islamic Front", 22 November 2013. http://www.aljazeera.net/news/arabic/2013/11/22/%D8%B3%D8%A8%D8%B9%D8%A9-%D9%81%D8%B5%D8%A7%D8%A6%D9%84-%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A9-%D8%AA%D9%86%D8%AF%D9%85%D8%AC-%D8%A8%D9%80-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D8%A8%D9%87%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A5%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%85%D9%8A%D8%A9

In spite of the liberation level attained by the Syrian woman regarding study and work, she still lives in a strict patriarchal society in relation to many aspects (Sunier, 2010). The "Syrian rebellion" must be studied in its societal context. The males of some regions call for liberty at a time when their women are not allowed to step one meter outside the house without a man, or when the woman cannot choose her life partner. Or even more, when her husband dies the family of the husband can determine her fate for her. The woman in the Alawite society does not have the right to religious knowledge; she cannot acquire religion as the man does and she cannot discuss occult issues. In many Syrian regions a woman is killed when she marries a man who does not belong to her sect, as is the woman who makes love to a man, although belonging to her sect. However, on the other hand, the woman achieved many successes since the seventies of the last century. She managed to be involved in the political process; many ministers are females; the woman works in many sectors and obtains high academic degrees, and she teaches in universities. Based on what has been mentioned above, we see that the Syrian woman lives in an atmosphere of values-related contradictions; secularisation and openness on the one hand, and religious strictness and succumbing to habits and traditions on the other hand.

Conclusion

The Syrian crisis is a part of a long historical series connected to conflicts, disagreements fogginess of the political, religious and even ethnic affiliation. The religious diversity was accompanied to fighting, imposing doctrine and refuting the other. Even if some recognize the other, the general characteristic of the community is rejecting the other on basis of the difference in doctrine. The policy of the two Assads is accepted by a part of the Syrian community and rejected by the other part, but the political awareness is not always a reason for rejection and acceptance. The opposition could not unite in its war with the Syrian government. It was rather a reason of deepening the disagreement among the Syrians. The woman who represents half the Syrian society lives in the midst of value contradictions; on one hand, she is allowed to learn and participate in the work sectors, on the other hand, the great majority is still in a situation of insularity and compliance to the conventions and traditions which mingle with the religious instructions of many sects.

Sources

1- Ababsa Myriam, *"Frontières de développement en Syrie : l'adaptation du projet Ba`thiste aux logiques tribales dans le front pionnier de la Jazîra"* A contrario, Vol. 3/2pp. 11-25, 2005.

2- Abdo Samir *"Syria, The Curse of a Nation"* Damascus, Dar of Alaeddin, 2015.

3- Abdu Samir "*The Ethnicities in Syrian Societ"* Damascus, Dar of Malas, 2009.

4- Abdullah Mohammad "*The Role of Al-Jazeera Space Channel in Causing the Political Change in the Arab Homeland, the Egyptian Revolution as an Example*" Palestine, Al-Najah National University, Faculty of Higher Studies, 2012.

5- Al-Alam Channel *"The truth and identity of the Free Army"* 10 August 2012. Available:

6- March 2013.

7- Al-Baiyoomi Sahar "*News Treatment of the Events of the Syrian Revolution in the Arab News Space Channels*" Cairo University, Faculty of Media, 2017.

8- Balanche Fabrice, A*" Les Alaouites : une secte au pouvoir*" Outre Terre, 2 (14), pp 73-96.<halshs-00281809>2006.

9- Balanche Fabrice, B *"Damas: chronique d'une pénurie annoncée"* Confluences Méditerranée, 3 N°58, 2006, DOI : 10.3917/come.058.0091.

10- Balanche Fabrice, C"*La région alaouite et le pouvoir syrien"* Paris, Karthala, 2006.

11- Bauchard Denis, **"La Syrie au carrefour des risques "** Ifri,Moyen-Orient/Maghreb, Mai 2008.

12- BBC Arabic, "*Free Syrian Army*" 17 November 2011. Available: 29 April 2018.

13- Chouet Alain*" l'espace tribal des alaouites à l'épreuve du pouvoir. La désintégration par le politique"* *Monde arabe* (Paris), (147), jan-mars 1995.

14- Corm Cf. Georges **"L'Europe et l'Orient. De la balkanisation à la libanisation, histoire d'une modernité inaccomplie**" Paris, Seuil, 1989.

15- Al-Daghidi Anis **"CIA, Very Confidential, Files of Arab Governors**" Damascus-Cairo, House of The Arabic Book, 2006.

16- Deeb Kamal "*The Syrian War, Contemporary Syrian History*" Lebanon, House of Al-Nahar for Publishing, 2015.

17- DuMont Gérard-François, "*Syrie : de la géopolitique des populations à des scénarios prospectifs*" *Géostratégiques,* n° 37 • *3*e trimestre 2012.

18- Ghalioun Burhan *" La fin de la «révolution» baathiste"* CONFLUENCES *Méditerranée* - N° 44 HIVER 2002-2003.

19- Al-Hariri Marwan "*The Civilizational and Political History of Syria*" Damascus, Center for Military Studies, 2013.

20- Al-Helu Abdullah "*Ancient Syria, General History*" Damascus, Dar Al-Adib, 2004.

21- Al-Jazeera channel"*Free Syrian Army*" 02 November 2014. Available: 25 April 2017.

22- Habib Youssef **" Political Power in Contemporary Syria"** Damascus University, 1989.

23- Haddad Ghassan **" Shamia Papers from the History of Contemporary Syria"** Cairo, Madbouli Library, 2007.

24- Hajal Badri **"Syria and its Cultural History"** Damascus, House of Thought for Research and Publishing, 2004.

25- Harahsha Sabah "*Analyzing the Discourse of Al-Jazeera Channel of the Events of "The Arab Spring" in Syria, the Opposite Direction program as a Pattern*" Jordan, Middle East University, 2013.

26- Heydemann Steven "*D'Assad à Assad. La politique syrienne n'est pas un théâtre d'ombres"* Critique internationale n°9 - octobre 2000.

27-
http://www.aljazeera.net/encyclopedia/military/2014/11/2/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%8A%D8%B4-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%B1

28-
http://www.almayadeen.net/episodes/825067/%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%A8%D8%A9%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%85%D9%85_%D9%83%D8%AA%D8%A7%D8%A8-%D8%BA%D8%B1%D8%A8%D9%8A%D9%88%D9%86-%D9%8A%D8%B4%D8%B1%D8%AD%D9%88%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%A4%D8%A7%D9%85%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D8%B9%D9%84%D9%89-%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A7

29-
http://www.alalam.ir/news/1246804/%D8%AD%D9%82%D9%8A%D9%82%D8%A9%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%8A%D8%B4-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD%D8%B1%D9%88%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%87%D9%8A%D8%AA%D9%87

http://www.bbc.com/arabic/middleeast/2011/11/111116_syrian_free_army_qa

30- Isaac Milad "*The Risks of the Greater Middle East on the Arab Nation*" Damascus, Ministry of Information, Directorate of Publications and Publishing, 2014.

31- Jad El-Rab Hossam **"*Geography of the Arab World*"** Cairo, House of Sciences for Publishing and Distribution, 2005.

32- Janabi Alaa **"*The Coverage of Al-Jazeera and Russia Today Channels of the Russian Military Campaign in Syria, an Analytical Comparative Study*"** Jordan, Middle East University, 2016.

33- Kaddah Sulaiman**"** *The Corrective Movement in its 20th Anniversary*" Damascus, National Leadership, Office of Information and Publication, 1991.

34- Khalid Hussein*"Contemporary Syria"* Damascus, D - N for Studies and Publishing, 1996.

Mahmoud Khaled Walid, "A Reading in the Neighboring Arab Countries Attitudes", Arab Center for Research and Study of Policies, 2013.

35- Mehli Samuel " *La Syrie, deux siècles de révolutions*" *Revue Averroes,* revue specialisee du monde arabe, Numéro 4-5, Spécial "Printemps arabe", 2011.

36- Middle East Report 146, *"Anything Except for Politics, the Situation of the Syrian Political Opposition",* International Crisis Group, 2013.

37- Mokannawi Samar "*Treatment of the Syrian Crisis by the Jordanian Al-Majd Journal*" 2011-2014*,* Jordan, Al-Batra University, 2015.

38- Al -Mayadeen Channel, "*Western Writers Explain the Conspiracy against Syria*" 20 September 2017. Available: 29 April 2018.

12- Al-Rubaie Nizar **"*Studies in the History of Contemporary Syria*"** Damascus, Dar Al-Fikr, 2005.

39- Nasr Rabee and Others, "*The Syrian Crisis, Roots and Social and Economic Effects*" Syrian Center for Policies Research, 2013.

40- Qabalan Marwan, *"Syrian Opposition, Clearness of Goals and Absence of Vision"* Arab Center for Research and Study of Policies, Issue No. 2, 2013.

41- Qatash Ali"*Syrian Reference*" Damascus, Dar Hazem for Publishing and Distribution, 2002.

42- Sama Channel *"A Game That Continues"* 03 September 2014. Available: 29 April 2018. http://www.sama-tv.net/index.php?id=1593, Sama Channel,

43- Sayegh Yazeed, *"Syrian Opposition and the Problem of Leadership"*, Carnegie Middle East, 2013.

44- Seale *" La Syrie et le processus de paix "* In: Politique étrangère N°4 - - 57e année, 1992 pp. 785-796.doi : 10.3406/polit.1992.4147.

45- Shalash Linda "*The Mutual Influence between Al-Jazeera and the Arab Revolutions*" Palestine, Birzeit University, 2013.

46- Sultan Ali "*History of Syria from 1908 to 1918*" Damascus, Dar Tlass, 1987.

47- Sunier Katia *" Le parcours militant d'une femme kurde de Syrie. De la cause kurde à la défense des droit des femmes"* *Politorbis,* Nr. 48 - 1 / 2010.

48- Takkoush Mohammed Suhail "*History of the Righteous Caliphs, Conquests and Political Achievements"* Lebanon, Beirut, Dar Al-Nafaes, 2002.

49-The official website for the National Front, http://www.pnf.org.sy/index.php?page=show_det&category_id=84&id=73&lang=ar&lang=ar Available on 26 August 2017.

50- TROUDI Mohamed Fadhel " *La Syrie : un pays mûr pour l'islamisme "* *Géostratégiques* n° 13 - Juillet 2006.

51- Vignal Leïla *" Syrie, anatomie d'une révolution"* *La vie des idees*, le 27 juillet 2012.