DOI: http://doi.org/10.32792/utq.jceps.10.02.020

The study some changes biochemical parameters in patient's renal failure in before and after haemodialysis program.

Dr. Sajedha A.H. Khalil¹

Salam Nahi Habbob²

¹Medical Laboratory Tech.Dept, College of Health and Medical Technology, Middle technical university, Baghdad, Iraq.

²Qalat Sukkar Sector Primary Health Care, The Department of Health Thiqar Governorate, Ministry of Health and Environment, Thiqar, Iraq.

Received 1/10/2019 Accepted 26/11/2020 Published 30/11/2020



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.</u>

Abstract:

This study was designed to investigate changes in some biochemical parameters (urea, creatinine, sodium, potassium) at the end stage of renal disease (ESRD) patient on convenintial haemodialysis program.

The study was included collected of one hundred and thirteen (113) samples. Eighty-three (83) patients with end stage renal disease on haemodialysis program including (47) males and (36) females with a mean age of (43.3 \pm 18.0) years and range from (20-65) years. All the patients had been on dialysis twice per week for two hours each time. In addition, thirty from apparently healthy volunteers as control.

The subjects were fasted for twelve hours and the samples were drowning 30 minutes before haemodialysis and 30 minutes after haemodialysis.

This study showed highly significant differences in urea and creatinine concentrations before and after haemodialysis and when compared with control (before haemodialysis with control and after haemodialysis with control). The significant changes exist when the patients grouped according to gender (male and female) and age [(20-35), (36-50) and (51-65) years].

The electrolytes were varied in their changes. There was non-significant difference in sodium concentration except significant change in before and after haemodialysis when compared each with control; while potassium showed a highly significant changes except when pre dialysis compared to control and in the last two age groups (36-50) and (51-65) years in which there were no significant difference.

Keywords: Haemodialysis, urea, creatinine, sodium, potassium.

Introduction:

The kidneys are bean-shaped organs, each about the size of a fist. They are located near the middle of the back, just below the rib cage, one on each side of the spine. The kidneys are sophisticated reprocessing machines. Every day, a person's kidneys process about 180 litter of blood to shift out about 1.5 litter of waste products and extra water. The wastes and extra water become urine [\]. They perform several important functions within the human body, such as cleaning the blood and waste products of the body, getting rid of the extra fluids in the body through the urine and helping in the production of red blood cells.

They also help in the processing of renin, which is used to regulate the blood pressure, and in the processing of Vitamin D, which helps in the absorption of calcium and phosphorus in the body. It is obvious that the kidneys perform a very important function in the human body, and their failure can be very disastrous for the individual. Impaired renal function has adverse effects on blood chemistry, blood pressure, fluid balance, nutrient intake, and the person's general state of health.

Renal failure is a condition in which the kidneys stop working and are not able to remove waste and extra water from the blood or keep body chemicals in balance. Acute kidney injury (AKI), previously called acute renal failure (ARF), is a rapidly progressive loss of renal function and may resolve. Chronic renal failure has a slow onset, may follow episodes of acute renal failure, and is not reversible. Chronic renal failure often results from acute glomerulonephritis or pyelonephritis. Other reasons for chronic renal failure include diabetes mellitus, atherosclerosis of the renal blood vessels, hypertension, polycystic kidney disease, and kidney stones [2].

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is the final stage of kidney failure that is marked by the complete or nearly complete irreversible loss of renal function [3].

An irreversible and usually progressive reduction in renal function in which both kidneys have been damaged by a variety of diseases to the extent that they are unable to adequately remove the metabolic products from the blood and regulate the body's electrolyte composition and acid-base balance [2].

The most common signs of chronic kidney disease are need to urinate more often or less often, feel tired, lose their appetite or experience nausea and vomiting, have swelling in their hands or feet, feel itchy or numb, have darkened skin, have muscle cramps. There is no specific treatment unequivocally shown to slow the worsening of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Severe CKD requires one of the forms of renal replacement therapy; this may be a form of dialysis, but ideally constitutes a kidney transplant [4].

Dialysis is the process of cleaning wastes from the blood artificially. The two major forms of dialysis are haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. Haemodialysis removes waste and excess fluid from the blood when the kidneys cannot do so sufficiently. The blood is drawn intravenously, sent through a machine called a dialyzer, and returned to the body through a blood vessel. Inside the dialyzer, the blood is passed over a membrane that filters waste and fluid into a dialysate solution. The dialysate is then pumped out to a disposal tank and new dialysate is pumped in.

Aims of the study:

This study aims to detection biochemical changes as (urea, creatinine, sodium and potassium) in patients with haemodialysis.

Material and Method:

Blood samples were collected from patients in artificial kidney unit of Al-Abbas general hospital in Wasit governorate. A (83) blood samples were obtained from males and females and divided in males (47) and females (36).

5ml blood samples were collected in normal plain tubes. The serum separated by centrifugation at 3000g for 30 minutes. The samples were analysed in laboratory of Al Karama teaching hospital to determine biochemical parameters such as urea, creatinine, sodium and potassium in serum.

Estimation of serum creatinine

Website: jceps.utq.edu.iq

The creatinine levels in the samples were assessed by Jaffe Kinetic assay. Creatinine in the serum sample reacted with picric acid in an alkaline solution (i.e., alkaline picrate) of the reagent and developed an orange coloured complex. The quantity of creatinine in the test samples was calculated against the intensity of the colour developed during the fixed time. The intensity of the colour was measured using a spectrophotometer.

Estimation of serum urea:

Urea levels in the samples were hydrolysed by urease to form ammonium and carbonate. Next, L-glutamate was produced by the reactions between ammonium and 2-oxoglutarate in the presence of glutamate dehydrogenase and the coenzyme NADH. During this reaction, two moles of NADH were oxidized to NAD+ for each mole of urea hydrolysed. The rate of decrease in the NADH concentration was directly proportional to the urea concentration in the serum sample, which was photometrically determined.

Estimation of serum sodium:

Sodium is precipitated with Mg –uranyl acetate, the uranyl ions remaining in suspension form a yellowbrown complex with thioglycolic acid. The difference between reagent blank (without precipitation of sodium) and analysis is proportional to the sodium concentration.

Estimation of serum potassium:

Potassium ion in a protein –free alkaline medium react with sodium tetraphenylboron to produce a finely dispersed turbid suspension of Potassium tetraphenylboron. The turbidity produced is proportional to the potassium concentration and read photometrrically.

Statistical Analysis:

The statistical analysis proceeded in this study, descriptive statistic analyzed by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed using means and standard deviation (SD) with LSD test for continuous variable (p > 0.05) was considered to be significant, and X², (p-value 0.01) was considered to be significant. All analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences SPSS software.

Results and discussions:

Result in table (1) represented the changes of urea and creatinine among patient before and after H.D. The table shows that there were a highly significant difference (decrease) (P-value<0.01) in the mean of urea (24.198 ± 7.524) before haemodialysis when comparison with urea (15.781 ± 7.987) after haemodialysis. The table also showed that there were decrease in the mean of creatinine (689.795 ± 203.992) before haemodialysis compared to creatinine (497.072 ± 246.141) after haemodialysis with a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01). These results were in agreement with the study of Abdoljalal Marjani et al (2006) [5]

Table 1: Changes of urea and creatinine among patients before and after H.D.

Parameter				Comparison of significant		
rarameter	N	Mean	SD	P - value	Sig.	
Urea before H.D	83	24.1980	7.52472		H.S	
Urea after H.D	83	15.7818	7.98778	.0005		

Journal of Education for Pure Science- University of Thi-Qar

Vol.10, No.2 (June, 2020)

Website: jceps.utq.edu.iq

Email: jceps@eps.utq.edu.iq

Creat before H.D	83	689.7952	203.99299		H.S
Creat after H.D	83	497.0723	246.14116	.0005	

Result in table (2) shows that there was a highly significant decrease (P-value<0.01) between Potassium concentration before and after haemodialysis, the mean decrease from (4.214 ± 1.726) before haemodialysis to (3.198 ± 1.357) after haemodialysis. The table also showed that there was no significant difference (P-value>0.05) between sodium concentration before and after dialysis. The above observations were in agreement with the result of Barry Kirschbaum et al (2003) [6].

Parameter				Comparison of significant				
	Ν	Mean	SD	P - value	Sig.			
Sodium before H.D	83	126.3369	19.61099					
Sodium after H.D	83	127.2810	18.29189	.598	N.S			
Potassium before H.D	83	4.2146	1.72685					
Potassium after H.D B	83	3.1981	1.35713	.0005	H.S			

Table 2: Changes of sodium and potassium among patient before and after H.D.

Data presented in table (3) shows that there was an increase in the urea concentration before haemodialysis (24.198 ± 7.524) compared to control (5.424 ± 1.112) with a highly significant (P-value<0.01). Beside, the same table showed a highly significant (P-value<0.01) increase between creatinine concentration before haemodialysis (689.795 ±203.992) and control (74.310±13.408). These results were in agreement with the observation of Ehab Ismail Mohamed et al [7] and Narinder Maheshwari et al [8].

					Comparison of significant	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	SD	p-value	Sig.
	Before	83	24.1980	7.52472		
Urea	Control	30	5.4247	1.11261	°000.	H.S
	Before	83	689.7952	203.99299		
Creat	Control	30	74.3107	13.40813	.000°	H.S

Table 3: Changes of urea and creatinine between patient before H.D and control.

Data presented in table (4) shows that there was a significant decrease between sodium concentrations (126.336 ± 19.610) in ESRD patient before haemodialysis and control (133.377 ± 10.049) and this result were in agreement with the observation of Erkan Dervifloĝlu et al [9].However, there was no significant difference between potassium concentrations (4.214 ± 1.726) in ESRD patient before haemodialysis and control (4.183 ± 0.762) and this result were in agreement with the observation of Isa Durmaz et al [10].

Table 4: Changes of sodium and	notassium between	natient before H D and control
Table 4. Changes of soulum and	potassium between	patient before m.D and control.

					Comparison of significant	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	SD	p- value	Sig.
	Before	83	126.3369	19.61099		
Sod	Control	30	133.3770	10.04991	.014	S
	Before	83	4.2146	1.72685		

Journal of Education for Pure Science- University of Thi-Qar

Vol.10, No.2 (June, 2020)

Website: jceps.utq.edu.iq

Email: jceps@eps.utq.edu.iq

	Control	30	4.1830	.76207	.923	N.S
Pot						

Result in table (5) represented the changes of urea and creatinine among patient after H.D in comparison to control. The table shows that there was a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) in the concentration of urea (15.781 ± 7.987) after haemodialysis when comparison with control (5.424 ± 1.112). The table also showed that there was a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) in the concentration of creatinine (497.072 ± 246.141) after haemodialysis compared to control (74.310 ± 13.408). These results were in agreement with the observation of Ali Monfared et al [11].

Table 5: Changes of urea and creatinine concentration in-patient after H.D compared to control.

					Comparison of significant	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	SD	P - value	Sig.
	After	83	15.7818	7.98778		
Urea	Control	30	5.4247	1.11261	000°.	H.S
	After	83	497.0723	246.14116		
Creat	Control	30	74.3107	13.40813	000°.	H.S

Table (6) revealed that there was a significant (P-value<0.05) difference between sodium concentration (127.281±18.291) in ESRD patient after haemodialysis compared to control (133.377±10.049), whereas a highly significant (P-value<0.01) difference was observed between potassium concentration in the same patient (3.198±1.357) and control (4.183±0.762).

Table 6: Change of sodium and potassium concentration in ESRD patient after H.D compared to
control.

					Comparison of significant	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	SD	P - value	Sig
	After	83	127.2810	18.29189		
Sod	Control	30	133.3770	10.04991	.027	S
Pot	After	83	3.1981	1.35713		
	Control	30	4.1830	.76207	۵000 م	H.S

Table (7) revealed that there was a highly significant increase (P-value<0.01) between urea concentration in male ESRD patient before (24.929 ± 7.730) and after (17.370 ± 8.298) haemodialysis compared to control (5.447±1.016). On the other hand, a highly significant increase (P-value<0.01) was observed between creatinine concentration before (715.829±205.032) and after (544.104±272.768) haemodialysis in the same patient compared to control (77.576±12.977). These results were in agreement with the study of Andrzej J. Jaroszyński et al [12].

with control.									
					ANOVA				
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign			
	Before	47	24.929	7.730					
Urea	-				.000°	HS			
	Control	20	5.447	1.016					
	After	47	17.370	8.298					
	Before	47	715.829	205.032					
Creat					.000°	HS			
	Control	20	77.576	12.977	.000	115			
	After	47	544.104	272.768					

Table 7: Urea and creatinine changes among male ESRD patient before and after HD compared with control.

Data illustrated in table (8) showed that there were no significant differences in the concentration of sodium before and after haemodialysis compared to control. These results were in agreement with the observation of HL Tang et al [13].

The table also showed that there were highly significant differences in the concentration of potassium before and after haemodialysis compared to control. These results were in agreement with the study of Reza Hekmat et al [14].

 Table 8: Electrolytes changes among male ESRD patient before and after HD compared with control.

					ANOVA	
Parameter		N	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
	Before	47	126.921	20.631		
Sod	Control	20	135.992	10.209	.199	NS
	After	47	130.555	19.983		
	Before	47	4.291	1.693		
Pot	Control	20	4.290	.820	.006	HS
	After	47	3.395	1.358		

Table (9) revealed that there was a significant increasing (P-value<0.01) between urea concentration in female ESRD patients before (23.243 ± 7.243) and after (13.707 ± 7.152) haemodialysis compared to control (5.380 ± 1.343) . On the other hand, a significant increasing (P-value<0.01) was observed between creatinine concentration before (655.805 ± 200.362) and after (435.669 ± 193.153) haemodialysis in the same patient compared to control (67.780 ± 12.355) . These results were in agreement with the observation of Luca **Gabutti** et al [15].

with control.								
					ANOVA			
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P-value	sign		
	Before	36	23.243	7.243				
Urea					.000°	HS		
orea	Control	10	5.380	1.343	.000	115		
	After	36	13.707	7.152				
Greet	Before	36	655.805	200.362	000.0			
Creat -	Control	10	67.780	12.355	°000.	HS		
	After	36	435.669	193.153				

Table 9: Urea and creatinine changes among female ESRD patient before and after HD compared with control.

Data illustrated in table (10) showed that there were no significant differences in the concentration of sodium before and after haemodialysis compared to control.

The table showed that there were highly significant differences in the concentration of potassium before and after haemodialysis compared to control, multiple comparison by LSD test revealed that the difference between before (4.114 ± 1.788) and after (2.940 ± 1.329) was a highly significant(P-value<0.01), while there was no significant difference(P-value>0.05) between before and control, after and control These results were in agreement with the result of Barry Kirschbaum et al [6].

Table 10: Electrolytes changes among female ESRD patient before and after HD compared to control.

			control			
				ANO	VA	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
	Before	36	125.5742	18.45395		
Sod					.618	NS
300	Control	10	128.1460 7.73217		.018	N3
	After	36	123.0056	15.02780		
Det	Before	36	4.1142	1.78835	004	
Pot	Control	10	3.9680	.61186	.004	HS
	After	36	2.9406	1.32954		

Data presented in table (11) shows that there was a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) in the urea concentration before (23.036 ± 6.594) and after (14.487 ± 7.031) haemodialysis compared to control (5.228 ± 1.048). Beside that the same table showed a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) between

Website: jceps.utq.edu.iq

creatinine concentration before (700.676 ± 6.594) and after (252.9445 ± 14.4879) haemodialysis compared to control (74.758 ± 13.763) .

These results were in agreement with the result of Scott T. W. Morris et al [16].

 Table 11: Urea and creatinine changes according to age group (20-35) among ESRD patient before and after HD compared to control.

					ANOVA		
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign	
	Before	34	23.0362	6.59461			
Urea					000°.	HS	
orea	Control	26	5.2281	1.04876	.000	115	
	After	34	14.4879	7.03103			
Great	Before	34	700.6765	213.33097	0000	LIC.	
Creat	Control	26	74.7585	13.76398	°000.	HS	
	After	34	489.3529	252.94458			

Data illustrated in table (12) showed that there were highly significant differences in the concentration of potassium before and after haemodialysis compared to control, multiple comparison by LSD test revealed that the difference between before (4.1209 ± 1.56688) and after (2.8647 ± 1.16368), and control($4.2185\pm.77324$) was a highly significant(P-value<0.01), while there was no significant difference(P-value>0.05) between before and control.

The table showed that there were no significant differences (P-value>0.05) in the concentration of sodium before and after haemodialysis compared to control in this age group. These results were in agreement with the study of Andrzej J. Jaroszyński et al[12].

					ANOVA	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
Cod	Before	34	126.1629	21.06856	100	NC
Sod	Control	26	133.7973	10.52860	.190	NS
	After	34	126.3603	18.51601		
	Before	34	4.1209	1.56688		
Pot					.000°	HS
	Control	26	4.2185	.77324		
	After	34	2.8647	1.16368		

 Table 12: Electrolytes changes according to age group (20-35) among ESRD patient before and after HD compared to control.

Data presented in table (13) shows that there was a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) in urea concentration before (27.1179 ± 9.12860) and after (18.3911 ± 9.12962) haemodialysis compared to control $(6.7025 \pm .53711)$. The same table showed a highly significant difference (P-value<0.01) between creatinine

Website: jceps.utq.edu.iq

concentration before (791.9474 \pm 145.22874) and after (600.6842 \pm 242.07507) haemodialysis compared to control (71.4000 \pm 12.08691). These results were in agreement with the result of **Jan Górski** et al [17].

Table 13: Urea and creatinine changes according to age (36-50) among ESRD patient before and
after HD compared to control.

				ANO	/Α	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
Urea	Before	19	27.1179	9.12860	٥000°.	HS
	Control	4	6.7025	.53711		
	After	19	18.3911	9.12962		
Creat	Before	19	791.9474	145.22874	٥000.	HS
	Control	4	71.4000	12.08691		
	After	19	600.6842	242.07507		

Result in table (14) represented the electrolytes changes according to age (36-50) among ESRD patient before and after HD compared to control. The data demonstrated in the table revealed that there was no significant difference (P-value>0.05) in the electrolytes concentration [sodium and potassium] compared to control.

Table 14: Electrolytes changes according to age (36-50) among ESRD patient before and after HD
compared to control.

					AN	IOVA
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
	Before	19	126.6142	14.94895		
Sod					.798	NS
500	Control	4	130.6450	6.41062	.,50	
	After	19	125.9742	10.74853		
Pot	Before	19	4.0595	1.93261	.243	NS
FOL	Control	4	3.9525	.74141	.245	NS NS
	After	19	3.1495	1.51961		

Data presented in table (15) shows that there was a highly significant decrease (P-value<0.01) in urea concentration before (23.665 ± 7.171) and after (15.5957 ± 8.12192) haemodialysis. In addition, there was a highly significant decrease (P-value<0.01) between creatinine concentration before (612.7667 ± 199.17982) and after (440.2000 ± 227.33825) haemodialysis. The above observations were in agreement with the result of Sadollah Shamsadini et al [18].

					ANOVA			
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign		
	Before	30	23.6653	7.17147				
Urea					.000°	HS		
	After	30	15.5957	8.12192				
	Before	30	612.7667	199.17982				
Creat					.003	HS		
	After	30	440.2000	227.33825				

Table 15: Urea and creatinine changes according to age (51-65) among ESRD patient before and after HD.

Result in table (16) represented the electrolytes changes according to age (51-65) among ESRD patient before and after HD. The data demonstrated in the table revealed that there was no significant difference (P-value>0.05) in the electrolytes concentration [sodium and potassium] compared to control. The above observations were in agreement with the result of Nauman <u>Tarif</u>, et al [19].

					ANOVA	
Parameter		Ν	Mean	S.D	P -value	sign
	Before	30	126.3583	21.06091		
Sod					.616	NS
500	After	30	129.1520	21.87504	.010	113
	Control					
Pot	Before	30	4.4190	1.80295	.055	NS
FOL	After	30	3.6067	1.38891	.055	CNI
	Control					

References:

- 1. William McClellan, Bessie Young. (2009) "The Kidneys and How They Work". NIH Publication.
- 2. Unified Medical Language System, (NCI Thesaurus) at the National Library of Medicine ;(2010).
- 3. Merriam-Webster's Medical Dictionary, by Merriam-Webster Inc. Published; (2010) Lister Hill National Center for Biomedical Communications
- 4. National Kidney Foundation (2002). "K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease".

- 5. Abdoljalal Marjani: 2005 Effect of Haemodialysis On Plasma Lipid Peroxidation and Erythrocyte Antioxidant Enzymes in Gorgan (South East of Caspian Sea). The Internet Journal of Nephrology. Volume 2 Number 1.
- 6. Barry Kirschbaum: (2003) "The effect of hemodialysis on electrolytes and acid–base parameters. " Clinica Chimica Acta 336:109–113.
- 7. Ehab I. Mohamed and Eman S.D. Khalil. 2008 Bone Densitometric Analysis in Egyptian Hemodialysis Patients. Int J Biomed Sci; 4(2):120-124.
- 8. Maheshwari N, Ansari MR, Laghari MS and et al. 2010 Pattern of lip- id profile in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl; 21:565-70.
- 9. Erkan Dervifloĝlu, Ahmet Yilmaz, Erce Sevin and et al. 2007 "The relationship between iron stores and corrected QT dispersion in patients undergoing hemodialysis". Anadolu Kardiyol Derg; 7: 270-4.
- 10.Isa Durmaz, Tahir Yagdi, Tanzer Calkavur and et al. 2003 "Prophylactic Dialysis in Patients with Renal Dysfunction Undergoing On-Pump Coronary ArteryBypass Surgery". Ann Thorac Surg; 75:859-864.
- 11. Ali Monfared and Ahad Jafari Ghods. 2008 "Improvement of maximum corrected qt and corrected qt dispersion in electro- cardiography after kidney transplantation". IJKD; 2:95-8.
- 12. Andrzej J. Jaroszyn´ ski, Andrzej Glowniak and et al. 2006 "Effect of haemodialysis on signalaveraged electrocardiogram P- wave parameters "Oxford Journals .Medicine.Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation.; 21: 425–430.
- 13.HL Tang, SH Wong, KH Chu and et al. 2006"Sodium ramping reduces hypotension and symptoms during haemodialysis". Hong Kong Med J; 12:10-4.
- 14.Reza Hekmat, Abddollah Bahrami, Mostafa Ahmadi and et al. 2009 "The effect of the type of hemodialysis buffer on the QTC interval in patients on chronic hemodialysis". Iran J Med Sci; 34(1): 29-35.
- 15.Luca Gabutti, Barbara Lucchini, Claudio Marone and et al. 2009 "Citrate- vs. acetate-based dialysate in bicarbonate haemoid- alysis: consequences on haemodynamics, coagulation, acid-base status and electrolytes".BMC Nephrology, 10:7.
- 16.Scott T. W. Morris, Eftychia Galiatsou, Grah A. Stewart and et al. 1999 "QT Dispers- ion Before and After Hemodialysis". J Am Soc Nephrol: 160–163.
- 17.Jan Górski, Wim T. Hermens, Jacek Borawski and et al. 1997 Increased Fatty Acid-Binding Protein Concentr ation in Plasma of Patients with Chronic Renal Failure". American Association for Cli- nical Chemistry, Inc.;43:193A-195.
- 18.Sadollah Shamsadini, S. Darvish-Moghaddam, H. Abdollahi and et al. 2006 Creatinine, blood urea nitrogen and thyroid hormone levels before and after haemodialysis. Health Journal; Volume 12 Nos 1&2.
- 19.<u>Nauman Tarif</u>, Yamani H, Bakhsh AJ and et al. 2008 Electrocardiography and Serum Potassium before and after Hemodialysis Sessions. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl; 19:47-53.