

## About affine- connection spaces With

$$F^{P+1} = 0$$

Raad J.K. Al lamy

### ABSTRACT

In this paper considered generalization  $pF$ - planar mappings of affine-connected spaces  $A_n, \bar{A}_n$  with a torsion – free affine connection of affiner structure  $F$ , with  $F^{P+1} = 0$ . Finding general aspects of  $pF$ - planar mappings , these results generalize obtained for geodesic, holomorphically projective and special  $F$  &  $2F$ -planar mappings of Riemannian and Kählerian spaces by N.S.Sinyukov , J. Mikes , I.N.Kurbatova , Raad J. K. Al lamy.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with certain questions of  $F$  &  $2F$ -planar mappings, quasigeodesic and holomorphically projective. The analysis is carried out in tensor form, locally is a class of sufficiently smooth real functions.

Let us consider the space of a torsion – free affine –connection  $A_n$  with a coordinate system  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$ , where is defined an affiner structure  $F_i^h(x)$

*Definition 1* ( Raad J. K. , I. N. Kurbatova [ 5 ] ) The curve  $L$ , which is given by the equations

$$x^h = x^h(t), \quad \lambda^h \stackrel{def}{=} dx^h / dt \quad (\neq 0) \quad (1)$$

( $h = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), where  $t$  is parameter , is called  $pF$ - planar mapping if its tangent vector  $\lambda^h$  under the parallel transformation along  $L$  remains in the plane formed by the tangent vector  $\lambda^h$  and the vectors

$$\lambda^\alpha \overset{1}{F}_\alpha^h, \quad \lambda^\alpha \overset{2}{F}_\alpha^h, \quad \dots, \quad \lambda^\alpha \overset{P}{F}_\alpha^h,$$

where

$$\overset{s+1}{F}_i^h \stackrel{def}{=} \overset{s}{F}_\alpha^h \overset{s}{F}_i^\alpha, \quad \overset{0}{F}_\alpha^h \stackrel{def}{=} \delta_i^h, \quad \delta_i^h \text{ is Kronecker delta .}$$

In correspondence with this definition ,  $L$  is  $pF$ -planar if and only if the following conditions hold

$$\lambda^h{}_{,\alpha} \lambda^\alpha = \sum_{\sigma=0}^P \rho_\sigma(t) F_\alpha^\sigma \lambda^\alpha \quad (2)$$

Where the comma denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the affine connection space  $A_n$ ,  $\rho_\sigma, \dots, \rho_P$  are some ( arbitrary ) functions of the parameter  $t$ .

We note that the class of  $pF$ -planar curves of the space  $A_n$  is very broad.

Obviously through any point in each direction there passes a set of  $pF$ -planar curves depending on  $p$  arbitrary functions  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_P$ . The class of  $pF$ -planar curves contains : geodesic line , F-planar curve , quasigeodesic curves , and almost geodesic of type of  $\pi_2$  curves, analytic planar curves ( [ 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 ] ).

In the following we are going to consider the special class of  $pF$ -planar curves which distinguished :

An  $pF$ -planar curves is called  $\left( \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & P \\ \varphi_i, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_i, F \end{matrix} \right)$  - planar if the following condition hold for its tangent vector :

$$\lambda^h{}_{,\alpha} \lambda^\alpha = \rho(t) \lambda^h + 2 \sum_{\sigma=1}^P \varphi_\beta^\sigma \lambda^\beta F_\alpha^\sigma \lambda^\alpha \quad (3)$$

where  $\varphi_i^\sigma(x)$  are some vector fields which are defined above .

For given  $p$  vector fields  $\varphi_i^\sigma(x)$  and an affiner  $F_i^h(x)$  through any point of  $A_n$  in each direction there passes a unique  $pF$ -planar curve.

## 2 . $pF$ - planar mappings between two spaces with affine connection

We suppose two spaces  $A_n, \bar{A}_n$  with the affine connections  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  respectively. The affiner structure  $F_i^h$  and the vector fields  $\left( \varphi_i^1(x), \varphi_i^2(x), \dots, \varphi_i^P(x) \right)$  are define on  $\bar{A}_n$  .

*Definition 2* A mapping from  $A_n$  onto  $\bar{A}_n$  is called  $pF$ -planar if any geodesic of  $A_n$  is mapped on a  $\left( \varphi_i^1, \varphi_i^2, \dots, \varphi_i^P, F \right)$  - plane curve  $\bar{A}_n$  .

*Theorem 1* A  $pF$ -planar mapping from  $A_n$  onto  $\bar{A}_n$  is characterized by the following conditions

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h(x) = \Gamma_{ij}^h(x) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^p \bar{\varphi}_{(i}^{\sigma} \bar{F}_{j)}^{\sigma h}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{\varphi}_i^0(x)$  is some vector,  $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h, \Gamma_{ij}^h$  are the objects of affine connections of  $\bar{A}_n$  and  $A_n$  respectively,  $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$  is general coordinates system with respect to a  $pF$ -planar mapping and  $(ij)$  denoted a symmetrization of indices.

*Proof:* If a geodesic in a  $A_n$ , which satisfies the equations (1) and

$$\lambda^h{}_{,\alpha} \lambda^\alpha = \rho(t) \lambda^h, \text{ is mapped on a } \left( \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & P \\ \varphi_i & \varphi_i & \varphi_i, F \end{matrix} \right) \text{-planar curve } \bar{A}_n, \text{ therefore}$$

hold

$$(\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^h(x) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^h(x) - 2 \sum_{\sigma=1}^p \bar{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \bar{F}_{\beta}^{\sigma h}) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \left( \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \sigma(t) - \rho(t) \end{matrix} \right) \lambda^h \quad (5)$$

Because (5) hold for any tangent vector  $\lambda^h$  therefore the validity (4) implies from (5). The sufficiency of formulas (4) is obvious.

*Remark:* For this  $pF$ -planar mappings hold that:

- $\left( \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & P \\ \varphi_i & \varphi_i & \varphi_i, F \end{matrix} \right)$ -planar curve  $A_n$  is mapped on  $\left( \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & P \\ \varphi_i & \varphi_i & \varphi_i, F \end{matrix} \right)$ -planar curve  $\bar{A}_n$ .
- $pF$ -planar curves  $A_n$  is mapped on  $pF$ -planar curves  $\bar{A}_n$ .

Generally from the condition, that  $pF$ -planar curves  $A_n$  is mapped on  $pF$ -planar curves  $\bar{A}_n$ , the equations (4) fail to satisfy, thus this mapping is not  $pF$ -planar in our conception.

### 3. $pF$ -planar mappings with structure $F^{P+1} = 0$

We have following

*Theorem 2* Suppose  $f: A_n \rightarrow \bar{A}_n$  is a diffeomorphism and  $F$  is affine structure on  $A_n$  for which is satisfied

$$F^{P+1} = 0, \quad \text{Rank} \|F^P\| > 1 \quad (6)$$

If any  $pF$ -planar curves  $A_n$  is mapped on a  $pF$ -planar curves  $\bar{A}_n$ , then  $f$  is a  $pF$ -planar mapping.

At first we prove

*Lemma 1* If for any vector  $\lambda^h$  holds

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}^h \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \rho B_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha, \quad (7)$$

where  $Q_{ij}^h (\equiv Q_{ji}^h)$ ,  $B_i^h$  ( $\text{Rank} \|B_i^h\| > 1$ ) do not depend on  $\lambda^h$ , therefore exist  $\rho_i$  so that

$$Q_{ij}^h = \rho_i B_j^h + \rho_j B_i^h \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = 2\rho_\alpha \lambda^\alpha, \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* Let condition (7) hold for any vector. We multiply (7) with  $B_\alpha^i \lambda^\alpha$  and then we make the alternation through indices  $h$  and  $i$ . After the adoption we obtain

$$(Q_{\alpha\beta}^h B_\gamma^i - Q_{\alpha\beta}^i B_\gamma^h) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma = 0. \quad (9)$$

The term in brackets dose not depend on  $\lambda^h$  and (9) holds for any vector  $\lambda^h$ , therefore

$$Q_{(\alpha\beta}^h B_{\gamma)}^i - Q_{(\alpha\beta}^i B_{\gamma)}^h = 0, \quad (10)$$

have to hold, where the round brackets denote symmetrization of indices. Because  $B_i^h \neq 0$ , it means, that there exist vectors  $\varepsilon^i$  and  $\nu_h$  such that  $B_i^h \varepsilon^i \nu_h = 1$ .

From the beginning we contract the formula (10) with  $\varepsilon^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta \varepsilon^\gamma \nu_i$ . we make sure of

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}^h \varepsilon^\alpha \lambda^\beta = a B^h,$$

where  $a$  is a function and  $B^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_\alpha^h \varepsilon^\alpha$ .

After all we contract (10) with  $\varepsilon^\beta \varepsilon^\gamma \nu_i$  and once more make sure of

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}^h \lambda^\beta = b B_\alpha^h + B^h a_\alpha,$$

where  $b$  is a function and  $a_\alpha$  is a vector.

Lastly we contract (10) with  $\varepsilon^\gamma \nu_i$  and get

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}^h = \rho_\alpha B_\beta^h + \rho_\beta B_\alpha^h + B^h a_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (11)$$

Where  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  is a tensor.

Now we substitute (11) to (10) and obtain

$$B^h a_{(\alpha\beta} B_{\gamma)}^i - B^i a_{(\alpha\beta} B_{\gamma)}^h = 0.$$

It is easy to see, from the previous formula, if  $a_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$  it implies  $\text{Rank} \|B_i^h\| \leq 1$ , but it is a contradictory proposition.

Then  $a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  and the formula (11) has the first expression (8).

If we institute this formula to (7), we will see, that  $\rho = 2\rho_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$ .

Now we start the proof of the Theorem 2.

It is obvious, that geodesics are the special case of  $pF$ -planar curves.

Let a geodesic of  $A_n$ , which satisfies the equation (1) and  $\lambda^h_{, \alpha} \lambda^\alpha = \rho(t) \lambda^h$ , is mapped on  $pF$ -planar curve  $\bar{A}_n$ , which satisfies the equation (1) and

$$\lambda^h_{/ \alpha} \lambda^\alpha = \bar{\rho}(t) \lambda^h + \sum_{\sigma=1}^p \rho_\sigma(t) F_\alpha^\sigma \lambda^\alpha,$$

Where “/” is the covariant derivative on  $\bar{A}_n$ .

Because  $\lambda^h_{/ \alpha} \lambda^\alpha - \lambda^h_{, \alpha} \lambda^\alpha = P_{\alpha\beta}^h \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta$ , where  $P_{ij}^h(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h(x) - \Gamma_{ij}^h(x)$  is the deformation tensor of the mapping  $f : A_n \rightarrow \bar{A}_n$ , then we have

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^h(x) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \sum_{\sigma=0}^p \rho_\sigma(t) F_\alpha^\sigma \lambda^\alpha, \tag{12}$$

Where  $\rho_0 = \bar{\rho} - \rho$ .

We contract (12) with  $F_h^k$ :

$$F_h^k P_{\alpha\beta}^h(x) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \rho_0 F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha. \tag{13}$$

Based on Lemma 1 it follows, that there exists a vector field  $\varphi_i^0(x)$ , for which

$$\rho_0 = 2 \varphi_\alpha^0 \lambda^\alpha. \tag{14}$$

After that we contract the term (12) with  $F_h^{p-1}$  and accept (14). Thus

$$(F_h^k P_{\alpha\beta}^h - 2 \varphi_\alpha^0 \delta_\beta^h) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \rho_1 F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha.$$

Based on Lemma 1 we obtain by analogy, that

$$\rho_1 = 2 \varphi_\alpha^1 \lambda^\alpha$$

Below we make the contraction of the formula (12) with

$$F_h^{p-2}, F_h^{p-3}, \dots, F_h^k,$$

gradually and we make sure of

$$\rho_2 = 2 \varphi_\alpha^2 \lambda^\alpha, \dots, \rho_{p-1} = 2 \varphi_\alpha^{p-1} \lambda^\alpha$$

The formula (12) will be in the following form

$$(P_{\alpha\beta}^h(x) - 2 \sum_{\sigma=0}^{p-1} \varphi_\alpha^\sigma F_\beta^\sigma) \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta = \rho_p(t) F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha.$$

Hence it follows, that  $\rho_p = 2 \varphi_\alpha^p \lambda^\alpha$  and formula (4).

Clearly the mapping  $f : A_n \rightarrow \bar{A}_n$  is  $pF$ -planar.

The Theorem was proved completely.

4.  $pF$  -planar mappings with structure  $F^{P+1} = 0$  and with preserve covariantly derivation of structure .

Finally we will ponder over the problem  $pF$  -planar mapping , in which the conditions (6) hold and

$$F_{i/j}^h = F_{i,j}^h , \tag{15}$$

i.e. the covariant derivative of the structure  $F$  is preserved .

These conditions are hold , for example , if the structure is covariantly constant as in  $A_n$  so in  $\bar{A}_n$  .

In respect of the definition of the covariant derivative

$$F_{i,j}^h \stackrel{def}{=} \partial_i F_i^h + F_i^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha j}^h - F_\alpha^h \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha \quad \text{and}$$

$$F_{i/j}^h \stackrel{def}{=} \partial_i F_i^h + F_i^\alpha \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha j}^h - F_\alpha^h \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^\alpha$$

And based on formulas (4) we have

$$F_{i/j}^h = F_{i,j}^h + \sum_{\sigma=0}^p (\bar{\varphi}_\alpha^\sigma F_i^\alpha \bar{F}_j^{\sigma h} - \bar{\varphi}_i^\sigma F_j^{\sigma h}) . \tag{16}$$

It means , that on conditions (15) from (16) follows :

$$\sum_{\sigma=0}^p (\bar{\varphi}_\alpha^\sigma F_i^\alpha \bar{F}_j^{\sigma h} - \bar{\varphi}_i^\sigma F_j^{\sigma h}) = 0 . \tag{17}$$

An elementary analysis of the formulas (17) in the conditions

$$F^{P+1} = 0$$

and  $F^P \neq 0$  follows :

$$\bar{\varphi}_i^\sigma = \bar{\varphi}_\alpha^{\sigma+1} F_i^\alpha , \quad \sigma = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 .$$

If we denote  $\bar{\varphi}_i \stackrel{def}{=} \bar{\varphi}_i^p$  , then clearly

$$\bar{\varphi}_i^\sigma = \bar{\varphi}_\alpha^{p-\sigma} F_i^\alpha , \quad \sigma = 0, 1, \dots, p . \tag{18}$$

On the other hand from (18) follows (17) . We can formulate :

*Theorem 3* Suppose  $f : A_n \rightarrow \bar{A}_n$  is a  $pF$  -planar mapping and  $F$  is an affine structure on  $A_n$  for which is satisfied (6) . The covariant derivation  $F$  is preserved ( i . e . hold (15) ) , if and only if for vector  $\varphi_i^\sigma$  , in formulas (4) hold

$$\varphi_i^\sigma = \varphi_\alpha^{p-\sigma} F_i^\alpha \quad , \quad \sigma = 0,1,\dots,p \quad .$$

References:

- [1] N.S.Sinyukov . Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces . Nauka , Moscow , 1979.
- [2] K.Yano. Differential geometry on complex and almost complex Spaces. Oxford – London – New York – Paris – Frankfurt : Pergamon Press. XII , 1965 , 323 p.
- [3] J. Mikeš. Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces . J. Math. Sci., New York, 1996, 78, 3 , 311-333
- [4] Raad j. k. Al lamy . About 2F- planar mappings of affine – connection spaces . Coll.on Diff. Geom.,Eger ( Hungary ) 1989,20-25
- [5] Raad j. k. Al lamy, I.N. Kurbatova. Invariant geometric objects of 2F – planar mappings of affine connection spaces and Riemannian spaces with affine structure( $F^3 = e\delta$ ) . Dep. of Ukraine, VINIVTI ( Kiev) ,1990 , No.1004,UK90,51p.
- [6] Raad j. k. Al lamy, Some equations about theory of 2F – planar mappings Riemannian spaces with (  $F^3 = e\delta$  ); Ukraine , VINIVTI , No 1 1991 ,17 p .
- [7] Raad j. k. Al lamy, Recurrent Riemannian spaces in 2F - planar mappings Riemannian spaces with (  $F^3 = e\delta$  )”. Ukraine, VINIVTI , No 2 1991 ,14 p .
- [8] Raad j. k. Al lamy, 2F - planar mapping affine connection and

---

Riemannian spaces ; Moscow , MPGU, 1992, 108p.

- [9] Raad J.K. Al lamy,Josef Mikes,Marie Skodova , On linearly pF – planar mappings , Diff.Geom.and Its Application, Proc.Conf Prague, August 30- sept. 3 , 2004.Charles Univ.Prague ( Czech Republic ), 2005,347-353
- [10] RAAD.J.K.AL-LAMI,MARIE SKODOVA,JOSEF MIKES,  
ON HOLOMORPHICALLY PROJECTIVE MAPPINGS FROM  
EQUIAFFINE GENERALLY RECURRENT SPACES ONTO  
KAHLERIAN SPACES , ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO)  
Tomus 42 (2006), 285-293