



Tikrit Journal of Pure Science

ISSN: 1813 – 1662 (Print) --- E-ISSN: 2415 – 1726 (Online)

Journal Homepage: <http://tjps.tu.edu.iq/index.php/j>



On a Generalized Semicommutative Ring

Mustafa D. AL-Obaidi¹, Sinan O. AL-Salihi²

¹Department of mathematics, College of Education, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

²Department of mathematics, College of Education for Woman, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.25130/tjps.24.2019.080>

ARTICLE INFO.

Article history:

-Received: 2 / 9 / 2018

-Accepted: 23 / 12 / 2018

-Available online: / / 2019

Keywords: semicommutative, abelian, reversible, NI and 2-primal rings

Corresponding Author:

Name: Mustafa D. AL-Obaidi

E-mail:

Samermustafa04@gmail.com

Tel:

ABSTRACT

Let R be a ring with identity. In this paper, we introduce some new results in a class of rings which refers to generalization of semicommutative rings called Q -semicommutative rings whenever $x^2=0$ implies $xRx=0$, for any $a \in R$ [7]. They study investigates general properties of Q -semicommutative rings and shows several results of semicommutative ring can be extended to Q -semicommutative rings.

1- Introduction

All rings in this research are associative ring with identity unless we have another state. It is better to give a ring R and used $N^*(R)$ and $N(R)$ to denote the nilradical (the sum of all nil ideal), the set of all nilpotent element in R respectively. According to H.E. Bell [1], a ring R is called the Insertion of Factor property (IFP) if $xy = 0$ implies $xRy = 0$, for $x, y \in R$. Shin[2] used the terms semicommutative and SI for IFP. And Habeb[3] used the term zero-insertive (simple ZI) for IFP. According to Mark [4], R is called NI if $N^*(R) = N(R)$, and a ring R is called 2-primal if it is prime radical which coincides with the set of nilpotent element of the ring (i.e. $P(R) = N(R)$) and a prime radical $P(R)$ of a ring R is the intersection of all prime ideal of R . In [5] Ham, a ring is called abelian if every idempotent is central. It is clear that every commutative rings are semicommutative rings. According to Cohn [6], a ring R is called reversible if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. In this paper, we will introduce our main concept namely Q -semicommutative ring which is generalization of semicommutative ring. For several years, the applications of semicommutative rings have been studied by many authors. Kim and Lee in [3] "show that if R is a reduced (a ring is reduced if it has zero element), then $S_3(R)$ in (proposition 2.15) is a semicommutative ring.

The study also focuses on various investigated various properties of these ring and their relationships with our known rings.

2- Q -semicommutative ring

Before introducing a new kind of a rings, it is mentioned that this kind of rings is called Q -semicommutative ring. It is significant to state some definitions, propositions and lemmas which will be used later to achieve our main target.

Definition 2.1 A ring R is called semicommutative ring (simply SC) if we need any $x, y \in R$, $xy=0$ implies $xRy=0$. [7]

Definition 2.2 A commutative ring is said to be a reduced ring if it has no – non zero nilpotent element. [8]

Definition 2.3 A ring R is said to be semiprime if $P(R) = 0$. [9]

Definition 2.4 A proper ideal P of a ring R is semiprime ideal if R/P is semiprime ideal. [10]

Definition 2.5 A ring R is called homomorphically semicommutative (simply HSC) if R/I is semicommutative for every proper ideal I in R . [11]

Definition 2.6 A prime ideal I of a ring R is called completely prime if R/I is a domain. i.e. if for $a, b \in R$, $ab \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. [12]

Definition 2.7 Any ideal I of a ring R is said to be completely semiprime if R/I is a reduced ring. i.e if for $x \in R$, $a^2 \in I$ implies $a \in I$. [13]

Definition 2.8 A ring R is called a strong 2-primal ring if $P(R/I) = N(R/I)$ for all proper Ideal I of R , where the term proper means only $I \neq R$. [14]

Definition 2.9 An element x of a ring R is regular (in the sense of Von Neumann) if there exists $a \in R$ such that $xax = x$. [9]

Definition 2.10 A ring R is called a left (right) duo ring if every left(right) ideal is two-sided. [15]

Definition 2.11 A ring is said to be Q-semicommutative ring (simply QSC) if $x^2 = 0$ implies $xRx = 0$. [7]

Note 2.12 : It is clear that all SC rings are QSC ring, but in general the converse is not true for example.

Example 2.13 : Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$, where F is division ring. Then R is QSC but it is not SC ring.

Proof : Let $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in R$, suppose $\beta^2 = 0$, then $a = c = 0$.

So $\beta R \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, for all $\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \in R$.

Hence R is QSC ring.

Now if $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $y\alpha = 0$

But $y\alpha \neq 0$. So R is not SC ring.

Let's recall the definition idempotent.

An element $e \in R$ it is repeated there times to be idempotent if $e^2 = e$. [14]

Note 2.14 : We see that all SC rings are abelian, but in QSC rings it is not true, In example (2.13), we see that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is an idempotent in $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$, but it is not central.

Proposition 2.15 [3]: Let R be a reduced ring. Then

$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ 0 & x & w \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z, w \in R \right\}$ is a SC ring.

Theorem 2.16: Let R be a ring. Suppose that R/I is a SC ring for some ideal I of R . If I is reduced, then R is SC. (I here is considered as a ring with identity)

Proof : Let $xy = 0 \in I$ Then $xRx \subseteq I$ since R/I is SC. Also $(yIx)^2 = yI(xy)Ix = 0$. So $yIx = 0$ as I is reduced and $yIx \subseteq I$.

Hence $((xRy)I)^2 = xRyI \cdot xRyI = xR(yIx)RyI = 0$, Hence $(xRy)I = 0$ as $(xRy)I \subseteq I$ and I are reduced. So $(xRy)^2 \subseteq (xRy)I = 0$ which implies

$xRy = 0$. As $xRy \subseteq I$ and I is reduced. Therefore, R is SC ring.

Cohn [6] proved the following Theorem.

Let's recall the definition a domain.

A ring R is called a domain if $xy = 0$ in R , then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. [10]

Theorem 2.17[6] : Let R be a ring, then

1- R is a prime and reversible if and only if R is a domain.

2- R is a semiprime and reversible if and only if R is reduced.

Now it is significant to give special attention to the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.18: Let R be a ring, then :

1- R is prime and SC if and only if R is a domain.

2- R is semiprime and SC if and only if R is reduced.

Proof : (1). Suppose that R is prime and SC to prove that R is domain.

Let $xy = 0$, $x, y \in R$. Then $xRy = 0$, as R is SC.

So $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ since R is prime. Therefore, R is domain.

Conversely : Assume that R is domain and to prove R is prime and

SC. If $xRy = 0$, then $xy = 0$.

So $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ as R is domain. Thus R is prime.

Let $xy = 0$, then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ as R is domain.

In either case $xRy = 0$. So R is SC.

(2). Suppose that R is semiprime and SC to prove which is reduced.

Let $x^2 = 0$, then $xRx = 0$ as R is SC.

Thus $x = 0$ as R is semiprime, so R is reduced.

Conversely : Assume that R is reduced and to prove R is semiprime and SC.

Let $xRx = 0$, Then $x^2 = 0$, so $x = 0$, as R is reduced.

Therefore, R is semiprime.

So R is SC by proposition (2.15).

Definition 2.19: A sequence $a_0, a_1, \dots$ in a ring R is called an m -sequence

if $a_{k+1} \in a_k R a_k$ for each $k \geq 0$. [9]

Lemma 2.20: If a sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots$ is an m -sequence then $A = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ is m -sequence. [9]

Proof: Let $x_m, x_n \in A$, we must show that $\exists$ some $r \in R$ such that $x_m r x_n \in A$.

We can assume $m \geq n$ without loss of generality

We have $x_{n+1} \in x_n R x_n$.

So $x_{n+2} \in x_{n+1} R x_{n+1} \subseteq (x_n R x_n) R x_{n+1} \subseteq x_n R x_{n+1}$.

Again $x_{n+3} \in x_{n+2} R x_{n+2} \subseteq (x_n R x_{n+1}) R x_{n+2} \subseteq x_n R x_{n+2}$.

Containing we have $x_{n+k} \in x_n R x_{n+k-1}$, $\forall k \geq 1$

Taking $k = m - n + 1$, then we have $x_{m+1} \in x_n R x_m$, and $x_{m+1} \in A$.

So $\exists$ some $r \in R$ such that $x_m r x_n \in A$. thus A is m -system.

Definition 2.21 : The nilradical $N^*(R)$ of the ring R is the sum of all nil ideals of R , which is the largest nil ideal in R . [16]

Definition 2.22: An element $x \in R$ is called **strongly nilpotent** if for any m -sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots$ with $x_0 = x$, $\exists$ some n such that $x_n = 0$. [11]

Lemma 2.23: Every element in the prime radical $P(R)$ of a ring R is strongly nilpotent. Hence $P(R)$ is a nil ideal, so for every R we have

$P(R) \subseteq N^*(R) \subseteq N(R)$. [11]

Lemma 2.24: Let R be a ring then.

1- $P(R) = N(R) \Leftrightarrow R/P(R)$ is reduced.

2- $N^*(R) = N(R) \Leftrightarrow N(R)$ is an ideal of R .

Proof (1): $P(R) \subseteq N(R)$, it is held by Lemma(2.23) with equality because R/P is reduced $\Leftrightarrow N(R) \subseteq P(R)$.

Proof (2): The nilradical of a ring R is the largest nil ideal by Definition (2.21) and $N^*(R) \subseteq N(R)$, so the result follows because $N(R)$ is an ideal if and only if $N(R) \subseteq N^*(R)$.

Theorem 2.25: Let R be a ring: reduced $\Rightarrow$ reversible $\Rightarrow$ SC $\Rightarrow$ abelian . [17]

Proof : Let R be reduced and let $xy=0$ in R .

Then $(xy)^2 = yxyx = y(xy)x = 0$

So $yx=0$ since R has no nonzero nilpotent. Hence R is reversible .

Let R be reversible and let $xy=0$ in R . Then $yx=0$ and

$y(xr) = (yx)r = 0$ for any $r \in R$. So $xry = 0$ as R is reversible

Hence R is SC .

Suppose R is SC . Let $0 \neq e = e^2 \in R$.

Then $e(1-e) = e - e^2 = 0$

Therefore, $eR(1-e) = (1-e)Re = 0$

Since R is SC . So $er(1-e) = 0 = (1-e)re$ for each $r \in R$.

Therefore, e is central .

Hence R is abelian .

Note that the implications of above are not true in general, .We see the example (1.10) in [3]

Theorem 2.26: Any left or right duo ring is HSC ring . [16]

Proof : Let R be a left duo ring. Suppose P is any ideal of R ,

let $xy \in P$, then $xR \subseteq Rx$ since R is left duo, and so

$xRy \subseteq Rx y \subseteq P$, this shows that R/P is SC.

So R is HSC .

(The right case is similarly proved) .

Lemma 2.27: If for each $x \in N(R)$, $(RxR)^m = 0$ for some positive integer m , then R is 2-primal

Proof : Let $x \in N(R)$, $(RxR)^m = 0 \subseteq P(R) \Rightarrow RxR \subseteq P(R)$ as $P(R)$ is semiprime. Therefore, $x \in P(R)$ and $N(P) \subseteq P(R)$ since $P(R)$ is nil ideal of R , we have $P(R) \subseteq N(R)$. Hence $P(R) = N(R)$.

So R is 2-primal .

Theorem 2.28: Every semicommutative ring R is 2-primal .

Proof: Let $x \in N(R)$ suppose $x^n = 0$, for some positive integer n .

Since R is SC, we have $xRx^{n-1} = 0$.

And so $xRxRx^{n-2} = 0$, continue by inductively, we get $xRxR \dots Rx = 0$,

Hence $(RxR)^n = 0$ by **Lemma (2.27)** therefore, R is 2-primal . Now if R is a Von Neumann regular ring, we get the following Theorem .

Theorem 2.29: Let R be a Von Neumann regular ring . The following are equivalent :

- 1- R is abelian.
- 2- R is right(left).
- 3- R is reduced.
- 4- R is reversible.
- 5- R is SC ring.
- 6- R is 2-primal ring.
- 7- R is NI ring.
- 8- R is HSC ring.

Proof : (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) Every principle right (left) ideal of R is generated by a Central Idempotent for a von Neumann regular ring R . Therefore all right(left) ideals are two sided ideal .

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3) let $r^2=0$. Then rR is a right ideal of R . There for is two

sided by (2). So we have $RrR \subseteq rR$. Since R is regular $\exists a \in R$ such that $r = r \times r$ and $ar \in RrR \subseteq rR$.

So $\exists$ some $y \in R$ such that $ar = rb$.

Therefore $r = r \times r = rrb = r^2b = 0$. So R is reduced .

{In fact, every regular ring is semiprime and the right duo rings

are SC ring}

Apply theorem (2.18) to get (2) $\rightarrow$ (3)

(3) $\rightarrow$ (4) $\rightarrow$ (5) is by theorem (2.25)

(5) $\rightarrow$ (6) by theorem (2.28) is clear .

(7) $\rightarrow$ (1) let e be an idempotent in R .

We have $er(1-e)$ when is nilpotent, for any $r \in R$.

$\therefore R$ is regular, $\exists e \in R$ such that $er(1-e) = (er(1-e))z(er(1-e))$.

So $er(1-e)z$ is an idempotent .

But $er(1-e)z$ is also nilpotent as R is NI(i.e $N(R) \triangle R$).

So it is 0. Hence we have $er(1-e) = 0$ so $er = ere$.

Similarly $re = ere$.

Thus we have $er = re$, for any $r \in R$.

It follows that R is abelian

$\therefore$ (1) $\rightarrow$ (7) is equal .

(8) $\rightarrow$ (5) is clear and (2) $\rightarrow$ (8) by theorem (2.26) .

Theorem 2.30: Let R be a ring, suppose R/I is QSC ring, and I is reduced(where I is considered to be a ring without identity). Then R is QSC ring .

Proof : Let $x^2 = 0 \in I$, where $x \in R$. Then $xRx \subseteq I$ as R/I is QSC ring and $(xRx)(xRx) = xRx^2Rx = 0$. So $xRx = 0$ as I is reduced. Hence R is QSC ring .

Note 2.31

It is clear that the above theorem is the analog of **Theorem (2.16)** .

Corollary 2.32: Let S be a commutative subring of R if I is a reduced ideal of R . Then $S+I$ is a QSC ring.

Proof : We see that I is also a reduced ideal of $S+I$.

Since $(S+I)/I \cong S/(S \cap I)$ and S is commutative .

We have $S+I/I$ is commutative . Therefore, $S+I/I$ is QSC ring By

Theorem (2.30) . We get $S+I$ which is QSC ring .

Corollary 2.33: Let S be a QSC subring of a ring R and let I be a reduced ideal of R such that $S \cap I = 0$, then $S+I$ is QSC ring .

Proof : we see that I is also reduced ideal of $S+I$.

Since $(S+I)/I \cong S/(S \cap I)$ and $S \cap I = 0$. We have $(S+I)/I$ which is QSC ring. By **Theorem (2.30)**, we get $S+I$ which is QSC ring .

Now we show that some Theorems of SC ring are also QSC ring .

Theorem 2.34: Let R be QSC ring and semiprime if and only if it is reduced .

Proof : $\Rightarrow$ Assume $x^2 = 0$, $x \in R$

Then $xRx = 0$ as R is QSC ring . So we have $x=0$ since R is semiprime. Hence R is reduced .

Conversely: Let $x^2 = 0$, then $x=0$ as R is reduced .

Therefore, $xRx=0$, R is QSC ring .Now let $xRx = 0$ as $1 \in R$.

This implies that $x=0$ as R is reduced . Therefore, R is semiprime.

Theorem 2.35: A ring R is QSC ring and prime if and only if it is a domain .

Proof : Assume $xy=0$, then $(yx)^2 = y(xy)x = 0$.

It has become clear that every prime ring is semiprime, focusing on R which is reduced by

Theorem (2.33) Therefore, $yx=0$.

And $(xRy)(xRy) = xR(yx)Ry = 0$. So $xRy=0$, as R is reduced. This implies that $x=0$ or $y=0$, as R is prime.

Hence R is a domain.

Conversely : Let $xRy=0$, then $xy=0$ as $1 \in R$. So $x=0$ or $y=0$ as R is a

Domain. Hence R is prime. Suppose $x^2=0$, then we have $x=0$.

As R is a domain. Therefore, $xRx=0$. And R is QSC ring.

Remark: The **Theorem (2.34)** and **Theorem (2.35)** are analogs of **Theorem (2.18)**.

Corollary 2.36: An ideal I of R is prime(semiprime) and R/I is QSC ring if and only if I is a completely prime (completely semiprime).

Corollary 2.37: Let R be a semiprime ring. Then the following are equivalent :

- 1- R is QSC ring.
- 2- R is reduced.
- 3- R is SC ring.

Proof : By Theorem (2.34), we get (1) which is equivalent to (2)

and (2) is equivalent to (3) by Theorem (2.18).

Example 2.38: There exists SC rings which are not HSC rings. [11]

Proof : Let R be the localization of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime (3)

Let Q be the ring of quaternions over R , that is, the basis $1, i,$

j, k and multiplication satisfying $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = k = -ji$. Then Q is non-commutative domain, so it is SC ring.

However, $J(Q) = 3Q$ and $Q/J(Q)$ is isomorphic to the 2×2 full matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}_3$. So $Q/J(Q)$ is not SC ring as it is not abelian. Thus Q is not HSC ring.

Definition 2.39: A ring R is called homomorphically Q- semicommutative ring (simply HQSC) if R/I is Q- semicommutative ring for every proper ideal I in R .

Note 2.40: It is clear that every HSC rings are HQSC rings.

Note 2.41: We see that every HQSC ring is QSC, but the converse is not true in general, for Example :-

Example 2.42: Let S be a ring in the **Example(2.38)**, then $S/J(S)$ is isomorphic to the 2×2 full matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_3$. S is QSC as it is domain.

We have $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0$. But $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$

Hence $S/J(S)$ is not QSC ring. Therefore, S is not HQSC ring.

Let's recall the definition of 2-primal.

A ring R is called 2-primal if $P(R) = N(R)$, where $P(R)$ used to denote the prime radical and $N(R)$ the set of all nilpotent element is R .

Theorem 2.43: If ring R is HQSC then it is 2-primal.

Proof : Let I be a prime ideal, R/I is QSC as R is

HQSC. Then I is completely prime by **corollary (2.36)**. Thus R is 2-primal by using Shin's result [5]. We get an immediate consequence of Theorem(2.34) in the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.44: Let R be a ring. The following are equivalent:

- 1- R is regular, prime and QSC.
- 2- R is a division ring.

Proof : $\Rightarrow$ Let R be a prime and QSC. Then R is a domain by Theorem (2.23). A regular domain is a division ring by [17].

Conversely : Assume that R is a division ring then it is a domain, so it is

Prime and QSC ring by theorem(2.35). It is clear that every division ring is regular.

Theorem 2.45: Let R be a regular ring then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is abelian.
- 2- R is HQSC ring.
- 3- R/P is a division ring for any prime ideal P of R .
- 4- R is 2-primal.
- 5- R is left (right) due.

Proof : (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let R be an abelian ring. For every proper ideal I of R .

Let $x^2 \in I$, since R is regular, there exists some $a \in R$ such that $x = xax$, so xa is an idempotent of R . Hence, it is central. So $x = xax = x^2a$. Thus

$x \in I$ as $x^2 \in I$. Hence $xRx \subseteq I$. So R/I is QSC ring and R is HQSC ring.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By assumption it is QSC ring. If $x^2 = 0$, then $xRx=0$. As R is regular, $x \in xRx$ and so $x=0$. Therefore, R is reduced. By theorem (2.29), we get R is abelian.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let P be a prime ideal of R , where R is HQSC ring. Then R/P is QSC ring, prime and regular. Hence, R/P is a division ring by Corollary (2.44).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Assume that R/P is division ring, for all prime ideal P of R .

Then R/P is reduced. Hence, $P/P(R)$ is reduced, where $P(R)$ is prime radical of R . Therefore, R is 2-primal by Lemma (2.24).

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (1) $\rightarrow$ (5) are clear by **Theorem(2.29)**.

Note that (1) $\rightarrow$ (3) clear by the result in [18]

Theorem 2.46: If I is a prime(semiprime) ideal of R , and R/I is SC ring, then I is completely prime (completely semiprime) ideal. [13]

We get another version of Theorem(2.18) in the similar proof.

Proof : Assume that R/I is an SC ring. If I is a prime (semiprime) ideal of R , then R/I is a prime(semiprime) ring. So R/I is a domain (reduced) ring by Theorem(2.36). Hence, I is completely prime (completely semiprime) ideal. Thus Theorem (2.18) can be as corollary of Theorem(2.46) when $I=\{0\}$.

Definition 2.47 An ideal I of a ring R is called 2-primal if $P(R/I) = N(R/I)$. [19]

Definition 2.48: A ring is called strongly 2-primal if every proper ideal I of R is 2-primal, where the term proper means only $I \neq R$. [13]

Note 2.49: We see that a ring R is 2-primal if and only if the zero ideal is 2-primal and hence that every strongly 2-primal ring is 2-primal. But, the converse is not true by Example (2.7) in [20].

The following Theorem was proved by Shin [2].

Theorem 2.50: A ring R is strongly 2-primal if and only if every prime ideal I of R is completely prime. [2]

Theorem 2.51: A ring R is NI if and only if every minimal strongly prime ideal of R is completely prime. [21]

Corollary 2.52[21]

If R/P is SC ring for any minimal strongly prime ideal P of a ring R , then R is NI.

proof: By Theorem (2.46) and by Theorem (2.51) we get the proof.

Then we have the following result which is analog of Corollary (2.44).

Theorem 2.53

Let R be a strongly 2-primal if and only if R/P is QSC ring(SC) for all prime ideal P in R .

proof: Assume P be a prime ideal of R .

R/P is QSC ring(semicommutative) if and only if R/P is

domain by Theorem (2.30) or by Corollary (2.33).

That is, P is completely prime and R is strongly 2-primal if and only if

every prime ideal of R is completely prime by [2]. So the result follows.

Remark 2.54

By Theorem (2.53) we easily get every HQSC ring(HSC) which is strongly 2-primal.

Corollary 2.55

Let R/P be a QSC for all prime ideals in R , then $R/P(R)$ is a strongly 2-primal ring.

proof: R is a strongly 2-primal ring which is equivalent to $R/P(R)$ and it is a strongly 2-primal ring by [20] and by Theorem(2.52), we get the following result. Then the following result in [13] can be viewed as a corollary of the preceding Theorem.

Corollary 2.56

Let R be a Von Neumann regular ring then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is 2-primal.
- 2- R is strongly 2-primal.

Proof: For (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is clear.

For (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let R be a von Neumann regular 2-primal ring, then R is abelian Theorem(2.28). Therefore, R is HQSC ring by Theorem (2.44) in particular R/P is QSC ring for all prime ideals P of R by Theorem(2.53) we get R is strongly 2-primal.

Note 2.57

It is important to combine Theorem (2.29, Corollary (2.36, Theorem (2.45) and Corollary(2.52) we get the following Theorem:

Theorem 2.58: Let R is regular Von Neumann then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is abelian.
- 2- R is right(left) duo.
- 3- R is reduced.
- 4- R is revisable.
- 5- R is SC.
- 6- R is 2-primal.
- 7- R is NI.
- 8- R is QSC.
- 9- R is HSC.
- 10- R is HQSC.
- 11- R/P is a division ring for any prime ideal P of R .
- 12- R is strongly 2-primal.

Definition 2.59[9]: Let R be a ring an element x in R is called entire if it is not a zero divisor.

Definition 2.60[9]: A commutative ring R and S is multiplicatively closed subset of R with $1 \in S$ and $0 \notin S$. We define $S^{-1}R$ to be the set of all pair (r, s) , when $r \in R$, $s \in S$ modulo the equivalence $\sim$ where $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2) \Leftrightarrow r_1 s_2 s = r_2 s_1 s$ for some $s \in S$. $S^{-1}R$ is called the localization of R at S .

Theorem 2.61: Let R be a ring, and let D be a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of a central entire elements where $D^{-1}R = \{d^{-1}a \mid d \in D, a \in R\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is QSC.
- 2- $D^{-1}R$ is QSC.

Proof: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $\beta^2=0$ with $\beta = y^{-1}x$, $y \in D$ and $x \in R$. Then

$y^{-1}xy^{-1}x=0$. So $x^2y^{-1}y^{-1}=0$ as y^{-1} is central.

Hence $x^2=0$. For any $d^{-1}s \in D^{-1}R$, where $s \in R$, $d \in D$.

We have $xsx=0$ as R is QSC.

Hence $y^{-1}xd^{-1}sy^{-1}x = xsxy^{-1}d^{-1}y^{-1}=0$. So $D^{-1}R$ is QSC.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). It is clear since the class of QSC ring is closed under subring.

Definition 2.62[22] The ring of Laurent polynomial in x , coefficients in a ring R , consists of all formal sums $\sum_{i=k}^n m_i x^i$ with obvious addition and multiplication, where $m_i \in R$ and k, n are (possibly negative) integer. We denote this ring by $R[x; x^{-1}]$.

Corollary 2.63

Let R be a ring, $R[x]$ is QSC if and only if the ring of Laurent polynomials

$R[x, x^{-1}]$ is QSC.

Proof: To prove the necessity as $R[x]$ is a subring of $R[x, x^{-1}]$.

Let $D = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$. Then D is a multiplicatively closed under subset of $R[x]$ consisting of central entire element and $R[x, x^{-1}] =$

$D^{-1}R[x]$. Hence $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is QSC by Theorem (2.61).

.

Theorem 2.64: Let R be a ring and e be a central idempotent. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is QSC ring.
- 2- $eRe = eR$ and $(1-e)R(1-e) = (1-e)R$ are QSC ring.

proof: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): It is clear since eR and $(1-e)R$ are subring of R . (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): For any $x \in R$, let $x^2=0$.

Then $(ex)^2 = exex = ex^2 = 0$. So $exeRx = 0$ and $exRx = 0$.

Similarly: We have $((1-e)x)^2 = (1-e)x^2 = 0$ so $(1-e)x(1-e)R(1-e)x = 0$.

Which implies $(1-e)xRx = 0$.

Hence $xRx = exRx + (1-e)xRx = 0$. R is QSC ring.

($R = eR \oplus (1-e)R$, and QSC is preserved under direct sum).

Example 2.64

Let $R = T_4(\mathbb{Z})$ then
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

References

- [1] H.E. Bell, (1970). "Near rings in which each element is a power of it self", Bull Austral. Math. Soc.2,363-368.
- [2] G. Shin, (1973). "Prime ideals and sheaf representation of a pseudo symmetric rings", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 184.
- [3] NamKyun Kim^{a,*}, Yang Lee^b, (2003). "Extensions of reversible rings", Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 185 207 – 223.
- [4] G. Marks, (2003). "A taxonomy of 2-premal ring", Journal of Algebra 266 494–520.
- [5] Kyung-Yuen Ham, Young Cheol Jeon, Jinwoo Kang, Nam Kyun Kim, Wonjae Lee, Yang Lee, Sung Ju Ryu, and Hae- Hun Yang, (2008). "IFP RINGS AND NEAR-IFP RINGS", J. Korean Math. Soc. 45, No. 3, pp. 727–740.
- [6] P.M. Cohn, (1999). "Reversible rings", Bull London Math. Soc. 31,641-648.
- [7] Li Liang, Limin Wang and Zhongkui Liu, (2007). "ON A GENERALIZATION OF SEMICOMMUTATIVE RINGS", TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, Vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 1359-1368, December.
- [8] EBEN MATLIS, (1985). "Commutative Semi-coherent a Semi-regular Rings", JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 95, 343-372.
- [9] Thomas W. Hungerford, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, © 1974 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- [10] M.F. ATIYAH, FRS, I.G MACDONALD, (1969). "Introduction to Commutative Algebra", copyright© by Addison-Wesley publishing company, Inc.
- [11] Shu-Hao Sun, (1991). "Noncommutative rings in which every prime is unique maximal ideal", Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 76 179-192.
- [12] HONG KEE KIM, NAM KYUN KIM, MUN SEOB JEONG, YANG LEE, SUNG JURYU, AND DONG EUN YEO, (2009). "ON CONDITIONS PROVIDED BY NILRADICALS", J. Korean Math. Soc. 46, No. 5, pp. 1027-1040.

But
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Thus R is not QSC ring.

Let $e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $eRe = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$(1-e)R(1-e) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$
 are QSC ring.

So the condition that e is central is necessary in Theorem (2.63).

Acknowledgment: The authors wish to express their indebtedness and gratitude to the referee for the helpful suggestions and valuable comments.

- [13] Nam Kyun Kima^{a,*}, Yang Leeb^{b,*}, (2002). "On rings whose prime ideals are completely prime", Journal of Pure and applied Algebra 170 255–265.
- [14] C. SELVARAJ^{1,a} AND S. PETCHIMUTHU^{1,b}, (2011). "ON PRIME SPECTRUMS OF 2-PRIMAL RINGS", Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sonica (New Series), Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 73-84.
- [15] M.Behboodi^{*,**} and G.Behboodi Eskandari, (2015). "LOCAL DUO RINGS WHOSE FINITELY GENERATED MODULES ARE DIRECT SUMS OF CYCLIC", Indian J.Pure Appl. Math.,46(1):59-72, February © Indian National science Academy.
- [16] Victor Camillo, Pace P. Nielsen, (2008). "McCoy ring and zero-divisors", Journal of Pure and Appl. Algebra 212 599-615.
- [17] pace P. Nielsen, (2006). "Semicommutative and The McCoy condition", Journal of Algebra, vol.298 (2) p.134-141.
- [18] K.R. Goodearl, (1991). "Von Neumann Regular Rings", Krieger Pub. Co.
- [19] SEO UN HWANG, YANG LEE AND KWANG SUG PARK, (2007). "ON STRONGLY 2-PRIMAL RING", Honan Mathematical J.29, No. 4, pp. 555-567.
- [20] G.F. Birkenmeier, H.E. Heatherly and Enoch K. Lee, (1992). "Completely prime ideals and associated radicals", Proc. Biennial Ohio State-Denison Conf. (World Scientific, New Jersey, 1993) 102-129.
- [21] Chan Yong Hong & Tai Keen Kwan, (2000). "On minimal strongly prime ideals", COMMUNICATION IN ALGEBRA, 28(10), 4867-4878.
- [22] Chan Huh,¹ Yang Lee,^{1,*} and Agate Smoktunowicz², (2002). "ARMENDARIZ RINGS AND SEMICOMMUTATIVE RING", COMMUNICATION IN ALGEBRA, 30(2), 751-761.

حول تعميم على الحلقات شبه ابدالية

مصطفى داود طلك العبيدي¹ ، سنان عمر الصالحي²

¹ قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

² قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للبنات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

الملخص

نحن في هذه البحث قدمنا مفهوم الحلقات شبه ابدالية من نوع Q التي هي عبارة عن تعميم للحلقات شبه ابدالية. في سنة 1973 قدم الباحث في مجال الرياضيات (Shin) مفهوم الحلقات شبه ابدالية والتي أجرى عليها العديد من التعميمات حيث ان كثير من الباحثين اجروا وقدموا دراسات على هذا المفهوم. أيضا في هذه الرسالة تمت مناقشة خصائص مختلفة على هذا المفهوم (الحلقات شبه ابدالية نوع Q) ولا سيما الخصائص والشروط التي تبدو قوية ومنها (NI , 2 -Primal) لقد تم التركيز بشكل خاص في هذه الرسالة على اوجه التشابه والاختلاف بين كل من الحلقات شبه ابدالية والحلقات شبه ابدالية من نوع Q . نحن ايضا استطعنا في هذه الرسالة ان نبين ان كل حلقة شبه ابدالية من نوع Q هي حلقة شبه ابدالية واستطعنا ان نقدم بعض الامثلة التي تبين العكس. وايضا بينا ان حلقة القسمة R/I هي حلقة شبه ابدالية من نوع Q .