

HK-Space and Separation axioms of Spectra of maximal Sub modules

فضاء HK وبديهيات الفصل لفضاء الموديولات الجزئية العظمى

Habeeb Kareem Abdullah - Eman Yahya Habeeb

University of Kufa -College of Education for Girls

Department of Mathematics

البحث مستل

Abstract:

The main purpose of this research, is to study the relationship between some the topological properties of the space $\text{Max}(M)$ and the algebraic properties of an R -module M , and vice versa.

We prove in this paper that if M is a multiplication R -module then M is semi local if and only if $\text{max}(M)$ is an HK space. Also in our study we shall prove if M is a multiplication R -module and $\text{max}(M)$ is an HK space then M is cyclic and we study Separation Axioms of the space maximal (M) which that we get the following results , If M is an M -top R -module and semi local then maximal (M) is T_0 , T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 .

الخلاصة :

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو دراسة العلاقة بين بعض الصفات التوبولوجية للفضاء $\text{max}(M)$ والصفات الجبرية للموديول M وبالعكس .

برهنا في هذا البحث انه اذا كان M موديولا جدائياً فان M موديول شبه محلي اذا فقط اذا كان $\text{max}(M)$ هو فضاء HK . وكذلك سنبرهن في الدراسة بأنه اذا كان M موديولا جدائياً وكان الفضاء $\text{max}(M)$ فضاء HK فان M يكون دائرياً . ودرسنا بديهيات الفصل للفضاء $\text{max}(M)$ والتي من خلالها حصلنا على النتائج التالية انه اذا كان M -top هو R موديول وشبه محلي فان الفضاء $\text{max}(M)$ يكون T_0 , T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 .

0.Introduction

A proper submodule N of an R module M is said to be prime if $xm \in N$ for $x \in R$ and $m \in M$ imply that either $m \in N$ or $x \in (N:M)$ [1]. The set of all prime submodules of M is called the spectrum of M and denoted by $\text{spec}M$ [1], and N is said to be a maximal submodule if does not exist as a submodule K of M such that $K \neq M$, $K \neq N$ and $N \subset K$ [2]. The set of all maximal submodule of M is called the maximal spectrum of M , and denoted by $\text{Max}(M)$.

R. L. Mc Casland , M. E. Moore and P. F. Smith in [1] defined a topology on $\text{spec}M$ for a certain class of modules in the following way : for any submodule N of an R module M , let $V(N)$ be the set of all prime submodules of M containing N and denote the collection of all subsets $V(N)$ of $\text{spec}M$ by $\lambda(M)$. Then it can be shown that $\lambda(M)$ contains the empty set and $\text{spec}M$. Moreover , $\lambda(M)$ is closed under arbitrary intersection . If $\lambda(M)$ is closed under finite union , then the topology induced by $\lambda(M)$ is called the Zariski topology on $\text{spec}M$ and in this case M is called a top module .

In this research, we will conduct similar work on $\text{Max}(M)$ compared to mention $\text{spec}M$.

1.Basic concepts

In this section we introduced definitions, propositions and theorems that are included throughout the work.

Definition (1.1) :-

For any submodule N of an R -module M , the set $\{P \in \text{Max}(M) | N \subseteq P\}$ is called 'the variety of N ' and denoted by $V(N)$.

Definition (1.2) :-

The collection of all subset $V(N)$ of $\text{Max}(M)$ will be denoted by $\lambda(M)$; i.e., $\lambda(M) = \{V(N) | N \text{ is a submodule of } M\}$.

Proposition (1.3) :-

1. $V(0) = \text{Max}(M)$, $V(M) = \Phi$.

2. For any family of submodules $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of M $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha) = V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$.

Proof :-

1- Since $0 \subseteq P$ for every maximal submodule P , then $V(0)=\text{Max}(M)$.

Let $V(M) \neq \Phi$; i.e. , there exists a maximal submodule q of M such that $M \subseteq q$.Thus $M=q$ that's a contradiction . Thus $V(M) =\Phi$.

2- Let $P \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha)$,thus $P \in V(N_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in I$, that is $N_\alpha \subseteq P$ for

every $\alpha \in I$.Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha \subseteq P$, that is $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V(N_\alpha) \subseteq V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$.

Let $p \in V(\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha)$, thus $\sum_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha \subseteq P$. ■

Remark (1.4) :-

From above Proposition it is noted that $\lambda(M)$ contains the empty set and $\text{Max}(M)$, and $\lambda(M)$ is closed under arbitrary intersection.

Definition (1.5) :-

An R -Module M is called an M -top R -Module if $\lambda(M)$ is closed under finite unions.

Example (1.6) :-

Take Z_4 as Z -Module is a local Module thus Z_4 is an M -top Module.

Example (1.7) :-

Let $M= Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ as Z -module, then the submodules of M are $O=\langle(0,0)\rangle$, $\langle(1,1)\rangle$, $Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$, $\{0\} \oplus Z_2$ and M .

$\text{Max}(M) =\{\langle(1,1)\rangle , Z_2 \oplus \{0\} , \{0\} \oplus Z_2\}$.

$V(Z_2 \oplus \{0\})=Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$, $V(\{0\} \oplus Z_2)=\{0\} \oplus Z_2$.

$\lambda(M)=\{\Phi , \text{Max}(M) , \{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}\} , \{\{0\} \oplus Z_2\} , \{\langle(1,1)\rangle\}\}$.

note that $V(Z_2 \oplus \{0\}) \cup V(\{0\} \oplus Z_2)=\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}\} \cup \{\{0\} \oplus Z_2\}=\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus Z_2\}$.

But does not exist a submodule J of M such that $\{Z_2 \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus Z_2\}=V(J)$

Thus , $M= Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ as Z -module is not an M -top R -module .That is , not every R -module is an M -top module .

From Example(1.7) ,it is noted that the Zariski topology on $\text{Max}(M)$ does not always exist . Later , the condition which makes an R -module M is an M -top R -module will be given . Before giving this condition ,some needed definitions will be given:

Definition (1.8) :-

A submodule S of an R -module M will be called semi maximal if S is an intersection of maximal submodules .

Definition (1.9) :- [2]

A maximal submodule K of M will be called extraordinary if whenever N and L are semi maximal submodules of M with $N \cap L \subseteq K$ then $N \subseteq K$ or $L \subseteq K$.

Definition (1.10) :- [3]

For any proper submodule N of R -module M , the set of the intersection of all maximal submodule containing N is called the 'Jacobson radical of N ' and denoted by $J(N)$.

Proposition (1.11) :-

- 1- $V(N)=V(J(N))$.
- 2- $V(N)=V(K)$ if and only if $J(N)=J(K)$

Proof :-

1- Since $N \subseteq J(N)$, then $V(J(N)) \subseteq V(N)$. Let $P \in V(N)$.Thus , $N \subseteq P$.

Since $J(N) =\bigcap \{q \mid q \text{ is a maximal submodule of } M \text{ containing } N\}$,then $J(N) \subseteq P$. That is

$P \in V(J(N))$. Thus $V(N) \subseteq V(J(N))$.Thus , $V(N)=V(J(N))$.

2- In this way , it would be clear . ■

Theorem (1.12) :-

The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M .

- 1- M is an M-top module .
- 2- Every maximal submodule of M is extraordinary .
- 3- $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(N \cap L)$ for any semi maximal submodules N and L of M .

Proof :-

(1→2) Let K be any maximal submodule of M and let N and L be semi maximal submodules of M such that $N \cap L \subseteq K$, by hypothesis , there exists a submodule J of M such that $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(J)$

Now , $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$, for some collection of maximal submodules K_i ($i \in I$).

For each $i \in I$, $K_i \in V(N) \subseteq V(J)$.

So that $J \subseteq K_i$, thus , $J \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i = N$. Similarly $J \subseteq L$, thus $J \subseteq N \cap L$.

Now , $V(N) \cup V(L) \subseteq V(N \cap L) \subseteq V(J) = V(N) \cup V(L)$.

It follows that $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(N \cap L)$. But $K \in V(N \cap L)$.

Now gives $K \in V(N)$ or $K \in V(L)$, i.e. , $N \subseteq K$ or $L \subseteq K$.

(2→3) Let G and H be semi maximal submodules of M clearly $V(G) \cup V(H) \subseteq V(G \cap H)$. Let $K \in V(G \cap H)$ then $G \cap H \subseteq K$ and hence $G \subseteq K$ or $H \subseteq K$, i.e., $K \in V(G)$ or $K \in V(H)$. This proves that $V(G \cap H) \subseteq V(G) \cup V(H)$ and hence $V(G) \cup V(H) = V(G \cap H)$.

(3→1) Let S and T be any submodules of M . If $V(S)$ is empty, then $V(S) \cup V(T) = V(T)$. Suppose that $V(S)$ and $V(T)$ are both non-empty , then $V(S) \cup V(T) = V(J(S)) \cup V(J(T)) = V(J(S) \cap J(T))$, by

(3) this proves(1) . ■

Definition (1.13) :-

A topological space (X,T) is called T_1 -space if for any two distinct points x_1 and x_2 of X , there are two open subsets Y_1, Y_2 of X such that $x_1 \in Y_1$, $x_2 \notin Y_1$ and $x_2 \in Y_2$, $x_1 \notin Y_2$, see[4] .

Proposition (1.14) :-[4]

A space (X,T) is a T_1 -space if and only if every one point subset of X is closed .

Proposition (1.15) :-

Let M be any M-top R-module , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_1 -space .

Proof :-

Since $V(P) = \{P\}$, for every $P \in \text{Max}(M)$ and that $V(P)$ is a closed subset of $\text{Max}(M)$, then $\{P\}$ is closed , for every $P \in \text{Max}(M)$. Thus , by proposition(1.14) , $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_1 -space . ■

Proposition (1.16) :-

Let M be any M-top R-module if M is semi-local , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space .

Proof :-

By proposition(1.15), $\text{Max}(M)$ is T_1 -space and since M is semi-local, thus $\text{Max}(M)$ is a finite set . Thus , $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space . ■

Definition (1.17) :-

An R-module M is Notherian (Artinian) if every ascending (descending) chain of submodules of M is finite ,[5] .

Corollary (1.18) :-

Let M be any M-top R-module if M is Notherian and Artinian , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space .

Proof :- Since M is Notherian and Artinian , M has a composition series. Thus, $\text{Max}(M)$ is a finite set; that is , M is semi-local , thus by Proposition(1.16) $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space . ■

Proposition (1.19) :-

Let M be an M -top R -module . If M is Noetherian, then $\text{Max}(M)$ is Noetherian space .

Proof :-

Let $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ be ascending chain of open subsets of $\text{Max}(M)$. Thus, there exists a submodule N_i of M such that $X_i = X(N_i)$ for every $i=1,2,\dots$ Hence $X(N_1) \subseteq X(N_2) \subseteq \dots$ Thus by Proposition(1.7) [2] , the ascending chain $J(N_1) \subseteq J(N_2) \subseteq \dots$ of submodules of M has been got , since M is Noetherian , then there exists a positive integer n such that $J(N_n) = J(N_{n+1}) = \dots$. Hence by proposition[2], $X(N_n) = X(N_{n+1}) = \dots$. This completes the proof . ■

2. HK-Spaces in Maximal Spectra of M-Top Module

Recall that a space X will be called an HK-space if given any family $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ of open subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = \Phi$, then there exists a finite subset J of I such that $\bigcap_{i \in J} X_i = \Phi$, see[6] .

In this section , we studied the algebraic properties of the Top R -module M whenever the space $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space.

Definition (2.1) :- An R -module M is a multiplication module if for each submodule N of M , there exists an ideal A of R such that $N = AM$.

Proposition (2.2):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space if and only if there exists

$P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$ such that $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$.

Proof :-

→) Since $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup V(P)$, $P \in \text{Max}(M)$, then $\bigcap X(P) = \Phi$, $P \in \text{Max}(M)$. Hence $P_1, P_2, \dots,$

$P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n X(P_i) = \Phi$ ($\Phi = X(0)$) and since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n X(P_i) = X(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i) = \Phi$, [Theorem(1.5)]

, then $J(0) = J(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i)$ [Proposition[(1.4),2]] . Now $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i \subseteq J(0) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$, then $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$.

←) Let $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$ such that $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$ and let $\{N_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of submodules

of M such that $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(N_i)$. By theorem(1.5) , $V(\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i)$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i) =$

$\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(N_i)$. Hence $\forall 1 < i < n, \exists N_i$ such that $P_i \in V(N_i)$, then $V(P_i) \subseteq V(N_i)$. Now ,

$\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(P_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(N_i) \subseteq \text{Max}(M)$. Therefore , $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(N_i)$. ■

Proposition (2.3):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module then $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space if and only if M is a semi-local module .

Proof :- →) Notice that $\forall P \in \text{Max}(M)$, $\{P\}$ is a closed subset of $\text{Max}(M)$. So $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup \{P\}$

, $P \in \text{Max}(M)$, then $\exists P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \text{Max}(M)$ such that $\text{Max}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$. Hence $\text{Max}(M) = \{ P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \}$.

←) Let $\text{Max}(M) = \{ P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \}$, then $J(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. Hence , by Proposition(2.2) , $\text{Max}(M)$ is an

HK-space . ■

Proposition (2.4):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module , then M is Notherian and Artinian if and only if $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space .

Proof :-

→) Since M is Notherian and Artinian , then M has a composition series by Corollary (1.18) . Thus , M is semi-local .Hence , $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space .

←) Let $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space , then by proposition(2.3) , M is semi-local . That is , M has a composition series, M is Notherian and Artinian . ■

Definition (2.5) :- A topological space (X) is Artinian if every a descending chain of open subsets of X is finite ,[3] .

Corollary (2.6):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module .If $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is Notherian and Artinian space .

Proof :-

Let $\text{Max}(M)$ be an HK-space , then by Proposition(2.4) M is Notherian and Artinian , thus by proposition(1.11) , $\text{Max}(M)$ is Notherian and Artinian . ■

Definition (2.7) :- A topological space (X,T) is called locally compact if and only if every point in X has a compact neighborhood ,[7] .

Definition (2.8) :- A subspace Y of a topological space (X,T) is compact if every open cover $\delta = \{U_i \in T \mid i \in I\}$ of Y has a finite subcover . If $Y=X$, then , X is called a compact space .(see[4]) .

Remark (2.9) :-

Every finite subspace is compact .

Proposition (2.10) :-[2]

Every compact space is locally compact.

Corollary (2.11):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module .If $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space , then there is the following cases :

- 1- $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space .
- 2- $\text{Max}(M)$ is compact .
- 3- $\text{Max}(M)$ is locally compact .

Proof :-

1- Since $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space . Then , M is semi-local by Proposition(2.3) . Thus , by Proposition(1.16) , $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space .

2- Since $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space . Then , M is semi-local by Proposition(2.3) . Thus , $\text{Max}(M)$ is compact [by Remark (2.9)] .

3- Since $\text{Max}(M)$ is compact. Thus , $\text{Max}(M)$ is locally compact. [Proposition(2.10)] . ■

Proposition (2.12):-[8]

Let M be a multiplication R -module and has a finite number of maximal submodules , then M is cyclic .

Proposition (2.13):-

Let M be a multiplication R -module .If $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space then M is a cyclic module .

Proof :-

Let $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space , then by Proposition(2.3) it has been stated that M is semi-local; that is , M has a finite number of maximal submodules . Thus , by [Proposition(2.12)] , M is a cyclic module .

The converse of this proposition is not true in general and the following example has been investigated . ■

Example (2.14):-

The ring Z as a Z -module . Z is a cyclic module but $\text{Max}(Z)$ is not HK-space , since Z is not semi-local module because $\text{Max}(Z)$ is infinite .

Definition (2.15) :- An R -module M is called faithful if $rM=0$ then $r=0$.

Proposition (2.16):-

Let M be a multiplication faithful finitely generated , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space if and only if $\text{Max}(R)$ is an HK-space .

Proof :-

Since M be a multiplication faithful finitely generated R -module, then $\text{Max}(M) \approx \text{Max}(R)$. Thus , $\text{Max}(M)$ is an HK-space if and only if $\text{Max}(R)$ is an HK-space. ■

3. Separation Properties of $\text{Max}(M)$

Definition (3.1) :- A space (X,T) is said to be a T_2 -space if given any two distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there are open subsets , U and V such that $x_1 \in U$, $x_2 \in V$ and $U \cap V = \Phi$, see[4] .

Proposition (3.2) :- Let M be any M -top R -module , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_2 -space if and only if for every two distinct maximal submodules P and q of M , there are two submodules N and K of M such that $N \not\subseteq P$, $K \not\subseteq q$ and $J(N) \cap J(K) = J(0)$.

Proof :-

The proof is simple and hence is omitted . ■

Proposition (3.3) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module if M is semi-local , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_2 -space .

Proof :-

Since M is semi-local . Then by Proposition(1.16) it indicates that $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space . Thus , $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_2 -space .[The discrete space is a T_2 -space] .[2] ■

Corollary (3.4) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module if M is Notherian and Artinian. Then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_2 -space.

Definition (1.3) :- A space (X,T) is said to be a T_3 -space if given any closed subset F of X and any point x of X which is not in F , there are open subsets , U and V such that $x \in U$, $F \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \Phi$, see[4] .

Proposition (3.5) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_3 -space if and only if for every maximal submodule P of M and any submodule N of M such that $N \not\subseteq P$, there exist two submodules K and L such that $L \not\subseteq P$, $J(K) \cap J(L) = J(0)$ and $J(N+K) = M$.

Proof :- The proof is simple and is left to the reader . ■

Proposition (3.6) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module if M is semi-local , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_3 -space .

Proof :-

Since M is semi-local , then by Proposition(1.16) , it is indicated that $\text{Max}(M)$ is the discrete space . Thus $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_3 -space . ■

Corollary (3.7) :- Let M be any M -top R -module if M is Notherian and Artinian. Then , $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_3 -space.

Definition (3.8) :- A topological space (X,T) is said to be a T_4 -space if given any two disjoint closed subsets F and F' of X there are disjoint open subsets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $F' \subseteq V$, see[4] .

Proposition (3.9) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_4 -space if and only if for every two submodules N and K of M such that $J(N+K) = M$ there exist two submodules I and L of M such that $J(N+I) = M$, $J(K+L) = M$ and $J(I) \cap J(L) = J(0)$.

Proof :-

The proof is simple and hence is omitted . ■

Proposition (3.10) :-

Let M be any M -top R -module if M is semi-local , then $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_4 -space .

Corollary (3.11) :-

Let M be an M -top R -module if M is Notherian and Artinian. Then , $\text{Max}(M)$ is a T_4 -space.

References

- [1] Mccasland R. L. , Moore M. E. & Smith P. F. , " On The Spectrum Of Module Over a Commutative " Comm. in Algebra , 25(1997), 79-103 .
- [2], " Some Topological Properties Of The Spectrum Of a Multiplication Module " , 2006, Journal of College of Education , 103-111 .
- [3] Atiyah M. F. & Macdonald I. G. , " Introduction To Commutative Algebra " , 1969 , Addison-Wesley Publishing , Company, London.
- [4] Gemignani M. C. , " Elementary Topology " , 1972 , Addison-Wesley Publishing , Company, London.
- [5] Abdullah H. K. , AL-Janabi S. H. & Refeeg E. , " On The Space Of Maximal Submodules Of Multiplication Modules " , 2006 , Journal of College of Education , 125-131 .
- [6], " HK-Spaces in Specra Of Top Module " , 2002, College of Education, 1-5 .
- [7] Lipschutz S. , " Schaums Outline Series Topology " , 1965 Mccraw-Ith a book Company , New york .
- [8] AL-Mothfar N. S., " Z-Regular Modules " , 1993 M.Sc. Thesis , College of Science , University of Baghdad.