

Tikrit Iournal of

**Engineering Sciences** 



ISSN: 1813-162X (Print); 2312-7589 (Online)

**Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences** 

available online at: http://www.tj-es.com

# Effect of Magnesium Oxide Nanoparticles (MgO) on **Wastewater Treatment and Electric Current Generation Using Microbial Fuel Cell Technology**

Saba A. Mahdy <sup>®a</sup>, Hayder Al-Naseri <sup>®b, c \*</sup>

a Biotechnology Division, Applied Science Department, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.

**b** Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Irag.

c Multiphase Reactors Engineering and Applications Laboratory (mFReal), Chemical and Biochemical, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Missouri USA

# *Keywords:*

Alternative Fuel; Renewable Energy; Bio-Electrical System; Generation Power.

# *Highlights:*

- possibilities • Nanotechnology provides new over conventional treatment techniques.
- More surface dependent properties due to high surface area to volume ratio.
- Nanomaterials as compared bulk materials show antibacterial activity.
- Best treatment due to their excellent adsorbent and valuable physicochemical properties.

## **A R T I C L E I N F O**



© THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY LICENSE. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>  $\circlede$  $\omega$ 

**Citation:** Mahdy SA, Al-Naseri H. **Effect of Magnesium Oxide Nanoparticles (MgO) on Wastewater Treatment and Electric Current Generation Using Microbial Fuel Cell Technology**. *Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences* 2024; **31**(2): 219-228. <http://doi.org/10.25130/tjes.31.2.21>

# \*Corresponding author: **Hayder Al-Naseri**



Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq.

**Abstract**: The present study demonstrates the effect of MgO nanoparticles concentrations on industrial wastewater treatment and electricity generation by microbial fuel cells. The MgO nanoparticles were prepared chemically using reflex methods by mixing magnesium hydroxide with ethanol. X-ray diffraction (XRD), Scan Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) were done for nanoparticle characterization. (Biological oxygen demand - BOD) and (Chemical oxygen demand - COD) were used as indicators to measure the acidity of wastewater. As a result, microbial fuel cells were proposed as a treatment method for wastewater. Nanoparticles with microbial fuel cell technology will always yield positive results in industrial water treatment. The results showed that using 0.025 mg/ml MgO nanoparticles in microbial fuel cells at pH 3 increased the COD degradation to (95.001%) through 30 min, BOD to (95.05%), and power voltage to (0.76) V. Therefore, treat the wastewater via microbial fuel cells were suggested. Nanoparticles with microbial fuel cell technology will always yield positive results in industrial water treatment.



# **تأثير أكسيد المغنيسيوم النانوي (MgO (على معالجة المياه الصرف الصحي وتوليد التيار الكهربائي باستخدام تكنولوجيا خاليا الوقود الميكروبية**

صبا عبد الها*دي* مهدي ٰ ، حيدر الناصر ي <sup>٢،٣</sup>

**1** التقنيات الحيوية/ قسم العلوم التطبيقية / الجامعة التكنولوجية/ بغداد - العراق.

**2** قسم الهندسة الكيميائية/ كلية الهندسة/ جامعة تكريت/ تكريت - العراق..

**3** مختبر هندسة وتطبيقات المفاعالت متعددة المراحل )mFReal)/ قسم الكيمياء والكيمياء الحيوية/ جامعة ميسوري للعلوم والتكنولوجيا/

ميسوري - الولايات المتحدة الأمر يكبة.

**الخالصة** 

الهدف من البحث إظهار تأثير أكسيد المغنيسيوم )MgO )النانوي على معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي وإنتاج التيار الكهربائي. تم تحضير أكسيد المغنيسيوم (MgO) النانوي كيميائيا باستخدام هيدروكسيد المغنيسيوم. وحددت خصائص الفيزيوكيميائية للمركب النانوي باستخدام مطياف الأشعة فوق البنفسجية (UV)، حيود الأشعة السينية (XRD), المسح الضوئي المجهر الإلكتروني (SEM) والتحليل الطيفي للأشعة تحت الحمراء بتحويل فورييه )FTIR). وقد أظهرت النتائج أن جزيئات أكسيد المغنيسيوم )MgO )النانوي المحضر كروية الشكل وبحجم 27.38 nm. تم دراسة تأثير استخدام أكسيد المغنيسيوم (MgO) النانوي عند ألاس الهيدروجيني (pH) متغير على كل من معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي، نسبة الطلب على االوكسجين الكيمياوي )COD)، نسبة الطلب على األوكسجين البيولوجي ) BOD )وانتاج التيار الكهربائي. أظهرت النتائج، أن استخدام أكسيد المغنيسيوم (MgO) النانوي بتركيز (0.025) ملي غرام/ ملي لتر عند ألاس الهيدروجيني (3) كان الأكثر فعالية في معالجة مياه الصرف. حيث ازدادت نسبة COD إلى )٪95.001( خالل 30 دقيقة، وBOD إلى )٪95.05(، والجهد الكهربائي إلى )0.76( V. لذا تعد خاليا المايكروبية طريقة واعدة لمعالجة مياه الصرف الصحي. ان استخدام المركبات النانوية مع تقنية خاليا الوقود المايكروبي تأتي دائما بنتائج ايجابية في معالجة المياه الصرف الصناعية.

**الكلمات الدالة:** الوقود البديل، الطاقة المتجددة، النظام الكهربا ئي الحيوي، توليد الطاقة.

#### **1.INTRODUCTION**

The importance of using biological processes in recovering energy from treating water and industrial wastewater can never be underestimated. Since the industrial sector has been producing high amounts of wastewater containing unhealthy organic substances, it is crucial to take the treatment seriously. It deserves to ensure that our environment is safe [1]. Even though untreated wastewater from industrial wastewater is allowed to accumulate, sometimes it causes the organic compounds to decay, which may release harmful gases in industrial wastewater treatment. The microbial fuel cells are rated attractive and useful ways to catalyze the electrical energy from carbohydrates and other organic matter in wastewater  $[2]$ . There are many advantages to applying microbial fuel cell technology in water and industrial wastewater treatment, such as producing little residue and being more stable during electricity production from wastewater treatment  $[3, 4]$ . Biological oxygen demand refers to oxidizing organic and nonorganic materials and decreasing the amount of dissolved oxygen by microorganisms in the wastewater. The higher levels of oxidizable materials in the wastewater refer to greater outcomes and biological oxygen demands [5]. Measuring the oxidation state in an aqueous solution is beneficial to notify the efficiency of biological conditions in degradation systems, like the relation between the nitrification rate and another biological process in an anaerobic state [6]. Nanoparticle focuses on studying nanoscale materials, opening a vast opportunity to comprehend physics and chemistry at the atomic level, which could aid in developing new materials that function effectively at such small sizes and regulate

various processes whose driving forces reside at the nanoscale; nanoparticles measured (1–100) nm are very effective as a reducing and reactive agent for various environmental purposes. The mixed reaction using nanoparticles comprises the following stages: (1) Surface mass transfer of nanoparticles from concentrated solution, (2) Surface adsorption of nanoparticles, (3) the chemical reaction on the nanoparticle, (4) the product adsorbing from the nanoparticles' surface, and (5) product movement to the bulk part of the solution  $[7, 8]$ . MgO is one of the most significant metal oxide nanoparticles because of multiple factors like nontoxicity and is easily prepared  $\lceil q \rceil$ . MgO nanoparticles have shown good behavior in adsorbing toxic agents [10]. They must distinguish chemical and physical characteristics like magnetic, electronic, optical, chemical, thermal, and mechanical properties [11]. The nanoparticles were differentiated using XRD, SEM, and FTIR. Meanwhile, a Multimeter was utilized to measure the voltage and current [12]. Recently, MFC technology exhibits the capability for wastewater treatment due to its numerous areas of interest  $\lceil 13 \rceil$ . However, it has not yet been used in the treatment of wastewater because of the disadvantages of this technology regarding low power production, high costs, and constraints in structure that must be overcome. Numerous outstanding investigations have already been published, addressing wastewater treatment via the MFC technology [14]. These studies investigated the most recent advancements in utilizing MFC in laboratories and industries, focusing on the integration of MFC with other processes in terms of practicability and effectiveness. Furthermore, these studies exhibited the

enhancement in the water treatment by using MFC technology compared to other technologies. Chen et al. [15] assembled a graphene sponge with three dimensions for use as an MFC anode. They demonstrated that the graphene sponge's microporous structure allowed the bacteria to grow well and achieve a higher MFC performance, leading to a higher power density than carbon felt. Yamashita and Yokoyama [16] utilized MFCs of molybdenumbased anode. Their results revealed a power density of 1296 mW.m-2 had been reached, although corrosion problems had been met over time. Additionally, the molecular diffusion and electron transport were enhanced, due to the active surface area that is provided by the dimensional properties of metal oxides, such as vertical 3D porous-based titanium oxide nanosheets. However, a low rate of electron transfer and bacterial adhesion through bacterial respiration were reported while utilizing an anode made from metal oxide [17]. Nakamura et al. [18] suggested a new bacteria (S. lochia PV4) added with the nano-Fe2O3 colloids to MFCs to cross-link. Results exhibited increased productivity to 300% and enhanced longdistance electron transmission. Hu et al. [20]. A novel bicomponent composite of porous, selfassembling nanostructured Ni0.1Mn0.9O1.45 was created as an anode electrocatalyst for increasing power output. The electrocatalyst had a porous nanostructure shaped like an ellipse. Each ellipse consisted of multi-nanobuilding blocks arranged on top of each other. This unique construction provides the capability to improve extracellular electron transfer from the anode to bacteria, in turn, increases a substantial area for bacterial colonization. Investigations into microbial fuel cells (MFCs) exhibited that a specially devised

anode produced constant voltages exceeding 680 millivolts over prolonged periods, surpassing conventional carbon-felt anodes' performance in power generation. Previous investigations revealed that nanomaterials' geometric and structural properties significantly affect the efficiency of the extracellular electron transfer in MFCs. One study emphasized the application of MnxCulxCo2O4 spinel oxides, optimized by combining CoO2 and MnO2 with carbon substrates, used as cathodes in MFCs and created using an uncomplicated hydrothermal technique. These cathodes were evaluated compared to the traditional platinum (Pt) cathodes, in terms of their chemical oxygen demand (COD) reduction capabilities and power output density. The findings indicated that cathodes augmented with MnxCul-xCo2O4 exhibited a superior power density of about  $570$  mW.m<sup> $\textdegree$ </sup>-2, outperforming Pt cathodes by roughly 87%. Moreover, these cathodes managed to decrease approximately 56% of the COD within nearly

240 hours of MFC operation [21,22]. In a distinct study, Yang and associates designed a microbial energy cell employing nitrogendoped carbon aerogels, created by a temperature-regulated pyrolysis method from polyacrylonitrile. This innovative MFC showed a power output of 52.4 mW.g^-1 and reached a peak power density of  $1048 \pm 47$  mW.m<sup> $\sim$ </sup>-2. This highlights the potential of the MFC technique for wastewater treatment due to its various beneficial aspects. Its practical use in wastewater treatment has not been achieved yet. Challenges such as low power output, expensive capital expenditures, and limited structural constraints need to be addressed. Several excellent research has been published on the applicability of MFC technology in wastewater treatment  $\lceil 14 \rceil$ . They talked about the latest advancements in Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC) technology in laboratory and industrial settings, focusing on the integration of MFC with other processes, their feasibility and efficiency, and comparing the quality of treated water with other technologies. Chen et al. [15] utilized an anode of 3D graphene sponge in MFC. A greater power density was achieved using a graphene sponge compared to carbon felt, likely due to the graphene sponge's microporous nature facilitating better bacteria growth and resulting in improved MFC performance. Yamashita and Yokoyama [16] achieved a power density of 1296 mW.m-2 in an MFC by utilizing a molybdenum-based anode, but encountered corrosion problems during extended operation. Moreover, the dimensional characteristics of metal oxides, like vertical 3D porous titanium oxide nano-sheets, enhanced the active surface area, facilitating electron transport and molecule diffusion. Metal oxides employed as anodes demonstrated reduced bacterial adherence and facilitated electron transfer via bacterial respiration [17]. Nakamura et al.  $[18]$  found that the addition of nano-Fe2O<sub>3</sub> colloids to MFCs induced S. lochia PV4 bacteria to cross-link, creating a network topology that facilitated long-distance electron transmission and increased productivity by 300%. Hu et al.  $[20]$  created a new two-part composite material consisting of porous selfassembled nanostructured Ni0.1Mn0.9O1.45 to serve as an anode electrocatalyst for enhancing power production. The electrocatalyst featured a porous nanostructure in an ellipsoidal shape, composed of several stacked nano-building pieces. The specific design offered a substantial surface area for the proliferation of bacteria and demonstrated exceptional electrocatalytic activity by promoting the exchange of electrons outside the bacterium, thus facilitating electron transfer between the anode and the bacterium. The anode created in the microbial fuel cell (MFC) continuously generated a voltage surpassing 680 millivolts for a prolonged

duration, but the carbon-felt anode yielded only a negligible quantity of energy. The impact of nanomaterial shape on the pace of extracellular electron transport has been convincingly proven [19]. A combined anode, consisting of reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and coated with nanoparticles of SnO2 was used in a MFC by Mehdinia et al [24]. Results exhibited a significant increase in the power density by five times compared to an anode of only RGO. Findings attributed to the high conductivity and the large surface area of the combined anode that in turn enhanced the growth of bacterial biofilm and improved the electron transport. Additionally, the study explored the use of MnxCul-xCo2O4 spinel oxides, created through a direct hydrothermal method, as a novel component for cathodes. These were combined with carbon materials and tested against traditional Pt cathodes. Results showed that the MnxCul-xCo2O4-based cathodes increased power output density to about 570 mW.m-2, marking an 87% improvement over Pt cathodes, and reduced the Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) by 56% after around 240 hours of operation. In a separate study, Yang et al. constructed a microbial fuel cell using nitrogendoped carbon aerogels, derived from pyrolyzed polyacrylonitrile, achieving peak power densities of  $1048 \pm 47$  mW.m−1 and a massspecific power of 52.4 mW.g−1. The main objective of this study is to prepare MgO nanoparticles and study their effect on degrading organic and inorganic compounds using Microbial fuel technology and nanoparticles to improve power generation and wastewater treatment, proving eco-friendly with renewable sources for generating electrical energy.

#### **2.METHODS AND MATERIALS**

#### *2.1.Construction of Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC)*

Two-chamber microbial continuous cathode fuel cell in which nanoparticles were used in the positive chamber for aeration, while the passive chamber was put in place to give a clear picture of wastewater treatment results in conjunction with the electricity production, which was put in place for sterilization bottles in the same experiment; each chamber was 30 mm diameter and a capacity of 100 ml. Two openings of 5.5 mm diameter were drilled in each cap to insert the salt bridge and electrodes, and 100 mL of potassium permanganate solution, 0.1 ml, was put into use. The container covers were sealed with adhesive tape. A Salt bridge was made with potassium chloride and agar in a 5 mm diameter tube. Graphite sheets with 0.2 mm thickness, a length of 50 mm, and a width of 12.5 mm as electrodes. Electrodes were submerged in one hundred percent ethanol for thirty minutes. Next, electrodes were treated with one mole of hydrochloric acid, then one sodium hydroxide for one hour, and finally stored in distilled water before use. Copper wires were used to connect the electrode conductors; the multimeter was suspended to a 10-ohm resistor was used to complete the circuits. NITYDT-830D multimeter measured the voltage and current in the experiment. The investigations were conducted at room temperature between (20 to  $25$ °C and (30-50) % humidity rate [12]. The changes in wastewater sample pH were done using  $1 N$  (HCl) and  $1 N$  (NaOH) chamber microbial fuel presented in Fig. 1.



**Fig. 1** Chamber Microbial Fuel Cell.

*2.2.Preparation of MgO Nanoparticles* MgO nanoparticles are prepared using reflux by dissolving 5.72 grams of magnesium hydroxide in a solution consisting of 4.5 ml of distilled water in 50 ml ethanol. 0.6 ml hydrochloric acid was added, and homogeneous stirring was done to maintain the solution till the end of the reaction. MgO nanoparticles were dried for one hour at 100°C then calcined in the oven at 900οC for 13 hrs. MgO nanoparticles were optimized [9]. The chemical reaction is listed below, Eq.  $(1)$ :

$$
Mg(OH)44 + C2H5OH +H2O \rightarrow MgO + 3C2H5OH
$$
 (1)

*2.3.Biological Oxygen Demand and Chemical Oxygen Demand Analysis* 

Chemical oxygen demand analysis was performed using the open reflux method. The % COD remaining and standard error have been calculated using Eqs.  $(2)$  and  $(3)$   $[25, 26]$ , respectively:

% *CDD remaining* = 100 –  
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\text{initialCOD-finalCOD}}{\text{initialCOD}}X100\right)
$$
 (2)

Standard error =  
\nStandard Deviation (
$$
\delta
$$
) (3)

where; 
$$
\delta =
$$
  
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) * \sum_{i=1}^{N} [(Xi - \mu)^2]}
$$
\n(4)

The BOD analysis was performed using Young's [10] procedure with five days of incubation.

# *2.4.Analytical Methods*

The microbial fuel cell's output voltage (U) was operated for a long period and computerized recording. The results used to find the density of power according to Eq. (5):

$$
\widetilde{P} = U \times j \tag{5}
$$

where (*j*) is the current density  $(A \backslash m^2)$ , which is calculated by Eq.  $(6)$ :

$$
j = \frac{U}{R \times A} \tag{6}
$$

Based on external resistance R  $(\Omega)$  and the projected surface area of the cathode, Eq. (7) was used.

Total Surface area = 
$$
2[(L \times B) + (L \times T) + (B \times T)] \times A
$$
 (7)

**3.RESULTS**

#### *3.1.Characterization of Nanoparticles*

The change of solution color to a white precipitate indicated the forming of the MgO nanoparticles. The results were confirmed through many tests, which included UV visible spectrum that showed a broadened beak at 450 nm, as shown in Fig. 2. The outcomes of (XRD) are presented in Fig. 3. SEM in Fig. 4 notifies the spherical shape and 27.38 nm size of MgO nanoparticles. The results of FTIR, which was measured by the equation of Scherrer in Fig. 5, confirm that common absorption bands listed in Table 1 formed the MgO nanoparticles. These results agreed with noticing that the hydroxyl group actively reduced metal ions [11].









**Twotheta** (degree)





**Fig. 4** SEM Images of MgO Nanoparticles.



**Fig. 5** FTIR Spectrum of MgO Nanoparticles.

*3.2.Voltage and Current Measurements* MFC (Cell 1), which constructed from the aerobic sludge, was linked to a constant source of 10  $\Omega$  and periodically monitored to follow up the cell's outputs (voltage and current) to calculate the power and current densities both at a time. In previous studies, the highest current level in a normal double-chamber MFC had been observed at  $(7)$  pH  $[27]$ . This difference could be attributed to the impact of the chemical material that added to the wastewater. Nonetheless, in the present study utilizing MgO nanoparticles, the current was raised at pH  $3$  [14], and the current and power densities additionally showed 0.76 v.

#### **4.DISCUSSION**

The microbial fuel cell is a very important wastewater treatment method to generate electric power due to its ability to use a greater portion of the energy produced during the degradation of organic substances in

wastewater being used for electricity. However, when used as a wastewater treatment method, the microbial fuel cells produce less sludge than the conventional aerobic method [28]. Due to this benefit, Kim et al. [29] proposed the MFC as a wastewater treatment method.

# *4.1.Effect MgO Nanoparticles*

Wastewater treatment by microbial fuel cells is a more affordable technology for developing nations worldwide because it combines wastewater treatment and electricity generation. Moreover ,microbial fuel technology with MgO nanoparticles is the simplest and most eco-friendly technique that can meet the requirements of developing nations in the current state of affairs [27]. Scherer's Eq. (1) has been used to calculate the nanoparticle that produced via a liquid-phases reduction method. The rate size of the crystallite nanoparticle ranged between 35.6 nm to 24 nm after annealing the sample at 300°C for 3hr. SEM analysis indicates that the particles are spherical. Both systems showed their substrate removal potential, indicating the function of selectively enriched mixed bacteria in carbon source metabolism as electron donors. The removal efficiency of the substrate is relatively higher than the substrate. The degradation rate was documented using a

cathode with 0.025 mg/ml MgO nanoparticles at pH 3. During the stable operation phase, COD removal efficiencies of 95.001% in 30 min were noted, and 95.05% BOD was represented in Figs. (6-8).

### *4.2.Effect Wastewater pH*

The impact of pH solution has been investigated using five levels of pH (3, 5, 7, and 7) and wastewater with 0.025 mg/ml MgO nanoparticles, which indicated that the climax in initial climate decomposition was found to be pH 3, showing the desired results for power output .In addition, pH 3 indicated the desired reduction in BOD and COD, confirming better wastewater treatment efficiency percentage and greater current and power densities [17, 18]. Therefore, a greater portion of the energy stored by the organic to toxic organic in the wastewater is turned into electric energy. Also, voltage and current, i.e., A=V/R, were recorded at regular phases and plotted for each pH. The power density was 0.76 v powers produced. More than a 50% reduction was observed. Figs. (9-12) and Table 2 exhibit that the microbial fuel cell has a significantly lower production additional sludge compared to the stander aerobic when utilized in the wastewater treatment [30, 31].



**Fig. 7** 0.2% MgO Concentration on %COD Removal at pH 3, 5, 7, and 10.



**Fig. 8** 0.2% MgO Concentration on %BOD at pH 3, 5, 7, and 10.





**Fig. 9** MgO at pH 3 and 5.











**Fig. 12** Current Density and Power Density Produced by 0.25% MgO Concentration at pH 3, 5, 7, and 10.





# **5.CONCLUSION**

The present study found that wastewater can be used to generate electricity, which presents a new opportunity of renewable energy sources from wastewater treatment, hence, it's a promising and doable technology to generate electricity for developing and developed<br>nations. The technology of combining technology of combining wastewater treatment with electricity generation is affordable and eco-friendly, making it a potential solution to fulfill the needs of developing nations in the present scenario.

#### **NOMENCLATURE**



**REFERENCES**

- **[1]** Mahdy S. **Microbicidal Effect of Fe2O3 Nanoparticles in Antimicrobial Agent System**. *Journal of Engineering* 2016; **22**(10):52–61.
- **[2]** Khan W, Mustaq T, Tabassum A. **Occupational Health, Safety and Risk Analysis**. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology* 2014; **3**(4);2278–3687.
- **[3]** Nawaz A, Haq I, Qaisar K, Gunes B, Raja S I, Mohyuddin K, Amin H. **Microbial Fuel Cells: Insight Into Simultaneous Wastewater Treatment and Bioelectricity Generation.** *Process Safety Environmental Protection* 2022; **161**:357–373.
- **[4]** Rojas-Flores S, Cruz-Noriega M D L, Nazario-Naveda R, Benites S M, Delfín-Narciso D, Rojas-Villacorta W, Romero C V. **Bioelectricity Through Microbial Fuel Cells Using Avocado Waste**. *Energy Reports* 2022; **8**(May): 376–382.
- **[5]** Agüero-Quiñones R, Coronado J D, Enriquez-Leon R, Zelada-Cabellos P, Rojas-Flores S. **Electricity Generation and Wastewater Treatment Using Microbial Fuel Cells with Graphite and Aluminum Electrodes**. *Proceedings of the LACCEI International Multi-conference Engineering. Education and Technology 2022* December 6-7; Bogota, DC, Colombia: 3-8.
- **[6]** Tomei M, Annesini M. **4-Nitrophenol Biodegradation in a Sequencing Batch Reactor Operating with Aerobic - Anoxic Cycles**. *Environmental Science and Technology*  2005; **39**(13): 5059–5065.
- **[7]** Fayaz A, Balaji K, Girilal M, Yadav R, Kalaichelvan P, Venketesan R. **Biogenic Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles and Their Synergistic Effect with Antibiotics: A Study Against Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria**. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine* 2021; **6**(1): 103–109.
- **[8]** Xie J, Lee J, Wang D. **Synthesis of Single-Crystalline Gold Nanoplates in Aqueous Solutions Through Biomineralization by Serum Albumin Protein**. *Journal Physical Chemistery C* 2007; **111**(28): 10226– 10232.
- **[9]** Hanish H, Edrees S, Shukur M. **The Effect of Transition Metals Incorporation on the Structural and Magnetic Properties of Magnesium Oxide Nanoparticles**. *International Journal Engineering Transactions A Basics* 2020; **33**(4): 647–656.
- **[10]** Zhang Y, Ma M, Zhang X, Wang B, Liu R. **Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Property of Nanosized MgO Flakes with Different Shapes**. *Journal Alloys Compounds* 2013; **590**: 373–379.
- **[11]** Cui H, Wu X, Chen Y, Boughton R. **Synthesis and Characterization of Mesoporous MgO by Template-Free Hydrothermal Method**. *Materials Research Bulletin* 2014; **50**: 307–311.
- **[12]** Mahmoudi M, Sant S, Wang B, Laurent S, Sen T. **Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles (SPIONs): Development, Surface Modification and Applications in Chemotherapy**. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 2011; **63**(1–2): 24–46.
- **[13]** Wang Y, Lin S, Juang R. **Removal of Heavy Metal Ions from Aqueous Solutions Using Various Low-Cost Adsorbents**. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2003; **102**(2–3): 291–302.
- **[14]** Jatoi A, Akhter F, Mazari S, Sabzoi N, Aziz S, Soomro S, Mubarak N, Baloch H, Memon A, Ahmed S*.* **Advanced Microbial Fuel Cell for Waste Water Treatment—a Review**. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 2021; **28**(5): 5005–5019.
- **[15]** Chen W, Huang Y, Li D, Yu H, Yan L. **Preparation of a Macroporous Flexible Three Dimensional Graphene Sponge Using an ice-Template as the Anode Material for Microbial Fuel Cells**. *RSC Advances*  2014; **4**(41): 21619–21624.
- **[16]** Yamashita T, Yokoyama H. **Molybdenum Anode: A Novel Electrode for Enhanced Power Generation in Microbial Fuel Cells, Identified via Extensive Screening of Metal Electrodes**. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2018; **11**(1): 1–13.
- **[17]** Yin T, Lin Z, Su L, Yuann C, Fu D. **Preparation of Vertically Oriented TiO2 Nanosheets Modified Carbon Paper Electrode and its Enhancement to the Performance of MFCs**. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces* 2015; **7**(1):400–408.
- **[18]** Nakamura R, KaivF, Okamoto A, Newton G, Hashimoto K. **Self-Constructed Electrically Conductive Bacterial Networks**. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* 2009; **48**(3): 508– 511.
- **[19]** Peng X, Yu H, Ai L, Li N, Wang X. **Time Behavior and Capacitance Analysis of Nano-Fe3O4 Added Microbial Fuel Cells**. *Bioresource Technology*  2013; **144**: 689–692.
- **[20]**Hu D, Wang H, Wang J, Zhong Q.

**Carbon-Supported Cu-Doped Mn-Co Spinel-Type Oxides Used as Cathodic Catalysts for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Dual-Chambered Microbial Fuel Cells**. *Energy Technology* 2015; **3**(1): 48–54.

- **[21]** Ortiz-Martínez V, Salar-García M, Touati K, Hernández-Fernández F, de los Ríos A, Belhoucine F, Berrabbah A. **Assessment of Spinel-Type Mixed Valence Cu/Co and Ni/Co-Based Oxides for Power Production in Single-Chamber Microbial Fuel Cells**. *Energy* 2016; **113**: 1241–1249.
- **[22]**Yang W, Peng Y, Zhang Y, Lu J, Li J, Chen S. **Air Cathode Catalysts of Microbial Fuel Cell by Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Aerogels**. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering* 2019; **7**(4):3917–3924.
- **[23]**Zeng L, Zhang W, Xia P, Tu W, Ye C, He M. **Porous Ni0.1Mn0.9O1.45 Microellipsoids as High-Performance Anode Electrocatalyst for Microbial Fuel Cells**. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 2018; **102**(Nov.) :351– 356.
- **[24]**Mehdinia A, Ziaei E, Jabbari A. **Facile Microwave-Assisted Synthesized Reduced Graphene Oxide/Tin Oxide Nanocomposite and Using as Anode Material of Microbial Fuel Cell to Improve Power Generation**. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 2014; **39**(20):10724–10730.
- **[25]**Al-Ahmady K. **Effect of Organic Loading on Rotating Biological Contactor Efficiency**. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2005; **2**(3–4): 469–477.
- **[26]**Mahdy SA. **Biodegradability Enhancement of Oily Wastewater by an SBR Treatment Methods**. in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2023, vol. 2809, no. 1, p. 40004. doi: 10.1063/5.0148494.
- **[27]** Jadhav G, Ghangrekar M. **Performance of Microbial Fuel Cell Subjected to Variation in pH, Temperature, External Load and Substrate Concentration**. *Bioresource Technology* 2009; **100**(2):717–723.
- **[28]**Fayaz A, Girilal M, Mahdy S, Somsundar S, Venkatesan R, Kalaichelvan P. **Vancomycin Bound Biogenic Gold Nanoparticles: A Different Perspective for Development of Anti VRSA Agents**. *Process Biochemistry*  2011; **46**(3): 636–641.
- **[29]**Kim B, Park H, Kim H, Kim G, Chang I, Lee J, Phung N. **Enrichment of Microbial Community Generating Electricity Using a Fuel-Cell-Type Electrochemical Cell**. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 2004; **63**(6): 672–681.
- **[30]**Roller S, Bennetto H, Delaney G, Mason J, Stirling J, Thurston C. **Electron-Transfer Coupling in Microbial Fuel Cells: 1. Comparison of Redox-Mediator Reduction Rates and Respiratory Rates of Bacteria**. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 1984; **34B**(1): 3–12.
- **[31]** Aghababaie M, Farhadian M, Jeihanipour A, Biria D. **Effective Factors on the Performance of Microbial Fuel Cells in Wastewater Treatment – a Review**. *Environmental Technology Reviews* 2015; **4**(1): 71–89.