

**Available online at:** [www.basra-science-](http://www.basra-science-journal.org/)**journal.org**



**2695ــ 1817ــ ISSN** 

# **Nuclear Structure 0f Ce Isotopes Using IBM Results**

A. AL-Hilfy, F.H. AL-Khudair and J. M. AL-Asadi

Department of Physics, College of Education, University of Basrah, Basrah, IRAQ Accepted 7-4-1997

## Abstract:

The Ce isotopes of even mass number ( $A=130$  to  $A=136$ ) were studied by using the Interacting Boson Model (IBM) results. The electric quadruple transitions and energy levels of these isotopes were investigated for there are experimental evidences that these nuclei have a gamma soft traixialrotor O(6) like. The calculated results were compared with the available experimental data, the results were in general good agreement.

## *<sup>U</sup>***Introduction:**

 The even mass Ce isotopes are a part of interacting region, so they are a matter of many experimental and theoretical investigation. The interest in the region has been initiated by the IBM-2 nuclear models of Arima and Iachello (1978) which predict a new nuclear symmetry (limits) that vary

## *<sup>U</sup>***Theory:**

 The interacting boson approximation (IBA) Model of Arima and Iachello [1] [provides a unified description of collective nuclear states n terms of a system of interacting bosons. The bosons may have angular momentum  $L=0$  (S-boson) and  $L=2$ 

Where  $\epsilon_d$  represent the d-boson energy,  $n_d$ is the number of d- boson, P,L,Q are the pairing, angular momentum and quadruple operators respectively and the last terms are

from SU(3) for well deformed nuclei to SU(5) for spherical like and O(6) for transitional region.

 The aim of this work is to study level structure, excitation energy, gamma ray transition probability and compare the theoretical results to the experimental data.

(d-boson). In the simplest version with no distinction between proton and neutron (IBA-1)[2], the calculation of level energies of positive party is based on a computer code PHINT which diagonalise the Hamiltonian of the form:[3]

 $H = \epsilon_d n_d + a_0 P \cdot P + a_1 L \cdot L + a_2 Q \cdot Q + a_3 T_3 \cdot T_3 + a_4 T_4 \cdot T_4 \cdot \dots \cdot \dots \cdot (1)$ 

octupole and hexadecapole interaction terms.

 The quadruple electric transition probability from initial state to final state is

given by the expression [4]:

 $B(E2; I_i \rightarrow I_f) = 1/(2I_i + 1)| < B(E2; I_i \rightarrow I_f) > |^2 \dots$  (2) Where the E2 transition operator in the IBA-1 is

2 = [<sup>+</sup> × + +] (2) + [<sup>+</sup> × ] (2) …………………..(3)

And extend model that account for the independent degrees of freedom of the proton and neutron boson is the IBM-2 model. The standard Hamiltonian of this model is:

 $H = \epsilon_{\pi} n_{d\pi} + \epsilon_{\vartheta} n_{d\vartheta} + KQ_{\pi} Q_{\vartheta} + V_{\pi\pi} + V_{\vartheta\vartheta} + H_{m}$ ............(4)

Where  $\epsilon_{\pi}$  and  $\epsilon_{\vartheta}$  refer to the single dboson energies, K is the strength of quadruple - quadruple interaction between proton and neutron boson. The  $H_m$  term is known as the majorna term which is responsible for the effect of shifting mixed symmetry state. The energy level are

# **Result and Discussion:**

# **1. Excited Energy state**

 The even parity states of four Ce isotopes were studied. The study includes the ground band  $(0^+_1, 2^+_1, 4^+_1, 6^+_1, 8^+_1)$ , and quasi beta band  $(0<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 2<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 4<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>)$  and the quasi gamma band  $(2<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 3<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>)$  [6]. The results are compared with the experimental values[7]. There is a reasonable agreement between the experimental and the model results.

Fig. (1) shows the excited energy state of Ce-130. It demonstrates the agreement between the experimental values and the results of IBM-1 and IBM-2, the values of the ratio  $E4_1^+/E2_1^+$  is: 2.673 (IBM-1), 2.602 (IBM-2), 2.797 (exp.), these values enables us to locate this nucleus within O(6) limit.

The excited energy states of Ce-132 are shown in fig. (2), from which w can see that the state  $0^+_2$  has the following energies: 1.307 MeV (IBM-1) and 1.2635 MeV (IBM-2) and it is far a way from the  $4<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub>$ state whose energy is: 0.818 MeV (IBM-1) and 0.861 MeV (IBM-2). The ratio  $E4_{1}^{+}/E2_{1}^{+}$  is : 2.697 (IBM-1) and 2.609 (IBM-2) and 2.637 (exp.). This figure also shows that  $8^+_1$  state has the highest obtained by diagonalizing this Hamiltonian by NPBOS code [5]. The best fit to the experimental spectrum is obtained by using the values of the parameters in the Hamiltonian of the two models. Table (1) shows the two models parameters used in this study.

experimental energy (2.324 MeV) and 2.371 MeV, 2.494 MeV in IBM-1 and IBM-2 respectively. These results assures the correctness of the way we use in choosing the Hamiltonian parameter. They also show that Ce-132 is in O(6) limit.

As we have seen the above two isotopes belong to  $O(6)$  limit of IBM-2, this enables us to use the same method of choosing the Hamiltonian parameters to Ce-134 isotopes. The  $E4_1^+/E2_1^+$  ratio result is: 2.07 (IBM-1), 2.507 (IBM-2) and 2.234 (exp.). All results of this isotopes are shown in figure (3). The disagreement between IBM-1 results and the experimental results for the states  $(2^+_2, 4^+_2, 6^+_1)$  is due to failure in choosing the correct IBM-1 parameters for these states. In opposite to this, we see a good agreement between IBM-2 results and the experimental results due to the flexibility that this model affords in choosing the parameters.

Taking into account the results of Ce-134, we can now correctly chose the parameters of IBM-1 Hamiltonian for the isotope Ce-136. In particular we account for the EPS parameter in computing the states of Ce-136 isotope since this parameter is important in U(5) limit computing [8]. The ratio of  $E4_1^+/E2_1^+$ is:  $2.001$  (IBM-1), 2.357 (IBM-2) and 2.37 (exp.). These

# **2. Quadrupole electric transition probability:**

 We have calculate the quadruple transition probability B(E2) using the parameters shown in equation (3). The parameters  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  in this equation vary smoothly from O(6) limit for the first three

#### **3. The branching ratio:**

 To support our conclusion that the Ceisotopes belong to the  $O(6)$  limit, we study the branching ratios for these isotopes. The results are summarized in table (3), were a

#### **4. Conclusion:**

 The study of Ce-isotopes performed here shows that this series of nuclei are an example of the O(6) limit that transform for high isotopes to U(5) limit. We have also presented a complete set of structure results are in the range of  $U(5)$  limit which indicates that Ce isotopes are transformable from  $O(6)$  to  $U(5)$  limit. Figure (4) confirms these results.

 $Ce-$  isotopes to  $U(5)$  limit for the other isotopes. This is in good agreement with Wanners study [9]. Table (2) shows a comparison

comparison with the corresponding experimental results is also presents. This table shows the good agreement between the calculated results and O(6) one.

calculations that are in good agreement with the experimental results. This agreement has been obtained as a computing models.



#### **Table(1): The IBM-1 and IBM-2 Hamiltonian's parameters**

		$A=130$		$A = 132$		$A=134$		$A=136$	
$I_i$	$\mathbf{r}$	Exp.	$IBM-1$	Exp.	$IBM-1$	Exp.	$IBM-1$	Exp.	$IBM-1$
2 <sub>1</sub>	0 <sub>1</sub>	0.3401	0.3453	0.3760	0.3641	0.2130	0.2042		0.1200
2 <sub>2</sub>	2 <sub>1</sub>		0.3240		0.4669		0.2722		0.1645
$2_{2}$	0 <sub>1</sub>		0.0275		0.0052		0.0003		0.0136
4 <sub>1</sub>	2 <sub>1</sub>	0.5590	0.4831	0.3500	0.4951	0.1680	0.2719		0.2131
3 <sub>1</sub>	2 <sub>2</sub>		0.3762		0.3799		0.2021		0.0205
4 <sub>2</sub>	2 <sub>2</sub>		0.3087		0.2859		0.1484		0.1434
5 <sub>1</sub>	3 <sub>1</sub>		0.2937		0.2757		0.1374		0.1239
5 <sub>1</sub>	4 <sub>1</sub>		0.1247		0.1236		0.0626		0.0628
6 <sub>1</sub>	4 <sub>1</sub>	0.3920	0.5320	0.5500	0.5342	0.075	0.2829		0.2769
6 <sub>2</sub>	6 <sub>1</sub>		0.1504		0.1633		0.0836		0.0627
6 <sub>2</sub>	4 <sub>2</sub>		0.4024		0.3614		0.1792		0.1843

**Table (2): A comparison between IBM-1 and experimental results for B(E2) values**

**Table (3) : Branching ratio of Ce isotopes using IBM-1**

$I_i$		$A=130$	$A=132$	$A = 134$	$A=136$	
2 <sub>1</sub>	0 <sub>1</sub> $12_{1}$	0.0846	0.0110	0.0010	0.0824	
4 <sub>2</sub>	4 <sub>1</sub> $'2_{2}$	0.5391	0.8603	0.8950	0.6521	
3 <sub>1</sub>	$2_{1}$ $12^{12}$	0.1010	0.0173	0.0015	0.1429	
3 <sub>1</sub>	$4_{1}$ 12 <sub>2</sub>	0.3617	0.3967	0.4008	0.2005	





**Figure: (1and2) The energy levels of Ce -130 and Ce -132.**



**Figure: ( 3and4 ) The energy levels of Ce -134 and Ce -136.**

### **Refrences:**

1. A Arima and F Iachello, Ann, phys. NY 123, 468 (1979).

2. D.D Warner, phys. Rev. Lett. Vol 1 47. No. 25 (1981).

3. O. Sholten, The program-package PHINT KV1 Repor No.36 (1979).

4. A. F. Barfield, B.R. Barrett, K.A. Sage and P.D Duval, Z phys. Atom and Nuclei 311 205-215 (1983).

5. T. Otuska program NPBOSE (1985).

6. M. Sakai, "Quasi bands in even-even nuclei" (1984), Atomic data and nuclear tables , 31, 399-432.

7. G.M Lederer, E.Browne and Snihab, Table of isotopes,  $7<sup>th</sup>$  Edition (1978).

8. D.S. Chuu, C.S Han, S.T Hsien and M.M Kingyen, Phy. Rev C Vol 30, No 4 (1984).

9. R.F Casten and D.D Warnner, Rev Mod. Phys. Vol. 60, No. 389 (1980).