On Additive Mapping with Period 3 on Rings and Near-Rings

Shaima'a B. Yass

shai.bader@yahoo.com Al – Iraqia University

Abstract: In this research we introduced the definition of a map with period 3 on a ring R and on right (left) ideal \overline{I} of R, then we prove that, when R is a prime ring with char $(R) \neq 2$, and $\overline{I} \neq 0$, \overline{I} is right ideal on R, if \overline{d} is a derivation with period 3 in R, then either $\overline{d}=0$, or $u^2=0$ $\forall u\in \overline{I}$. Also we proved, when R is a domain with 1, and char $(R) \neq 6$, If δ is a right generalized derivation on R with period 3, then δ is the identity map. Lastly, we define a map with Period 3 on near-rings, and gived results for prime left nearrings with maps acts as an anti-homomorphism (or homomorphism), with period 3, to obtain commutative rings.

Keywords: prime ring, derivation, right generalized derivation, prime near-ring, semiprime near-ring, mapping of period 2, homomorphisms, anti –homomorphisms

1. Introduction

Through this paper, R is associative ring and C is the center of R. Recall R is prime if uRv = (0) implies u = 0 or v = 0. An additive map $d:R \longrightarrow R$ is derivation (respe. Jordan derivation) if d(uw) = d(u)w + ud(w) (respe. $d(w^2) = d(w)w + wd(w)$) holds $\forall w, u \in R$, see[7]. Following [6], an additive map $\delta: R \longrightarrow R$ is called generalized derivation if there is a derivation $d: R \longrightarrow R$, s.t. $\delta(uw) = \delta(u)w + ud(w)$, $\forall u, w \in R$. An additive map $\delta: R \longrightarrow R$ is called

right generalized derivation if $\delta(uw) = \delta(u)w + ud(w)$, $\forall u, w \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is called left generalized derivation if $\delta(uw) = d(u)w + u\delta(w)$, $\forall u, w \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously δ is generalized derivation if it is both right and left generalized derivation. The concept of a map of period 2 was introduced first in [5], from this concept we introduce the concept of a map with period 3 on a ring R, as a map $g:R \longrightarrow R$ is named with period 3 in R, if $g^3(u) = u, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$. And, let \overline{I} be right (left) ideal of R, a map $g:\overline{I} \longrightarrow \overline{I}$ is named with period 3 on \overline{I} if $g^3(u) = u$, $\forall u \in \overline{I}$. Also we work replacing of a map of period 2 by a map with period 3 in the results in recent reference. We know a left near-ring is a set L \check{N} with "two operations + and · " s.t. ($L\check{N}$, +) is a group & (LN, ·) is a semigroup holds the left distributive law $u.(v+h) = u \cdot v + u \cdot h$, $\forall u, v, h \in L\check{N}$, $L\check{N}$ is called zero symmetric left near-rings if $0 \cdot u = 0, \forall u \in L\check{N}$, also, we put uv = uv. An additive map $d: \check{N} \to \check{N}$ is called left derivation if satisfy d(uw) =ud(w) + d(u)w, $\forall u, w \in \check{N}$, and is called right derivation [10], if $d(uw) = d(u)w + ud(w), \forall u, w \in \check{N}$. In [4], a near-ring \check{N} is named prime if $u\check{N}w = 0, \forall u, w \in \check{N}$ gives u = 0 or w = 0. Let $\emptyset \neq \bar{I} \subseteq \check{N}$, then \bar{I} is semigroup left ideal (semigroup right ideal) if $\tilde{N}\bar{I}\subseteq\bar{I}$ $(\bar{I}\check{N} \subseteq \bar{I})$, and \bar{I} is called semigroup ideal if it is semigroup left ideal and semigroup right ideal. For terminologies concerning near-rings, we refer to Pilz [9]. An additive map h:Ň→ Ň is named (anti-homomorphism) homomorphism if h(uv)=h(u)h(v)holds, $\forall u, v \in \check{N}$ (h(uv) = h(v)h(u) $\forall u, v \in \check{N}$). Let $\emptyset \neq \bar{I} \subseteq \check{N}$, an map f:Ň→Ň is homomorphism named additive homomorphism) on \bar{I} , if f(uv)=f(u)f(v), $\forall u,v \in \bar{I}$ (f(uv)=f(v)f(u)∀u,v∈Ī).For more information about commutative near-ring with with some conditions see ([1],[2],[8]). In the second results in this paper, we introduce a map f: $\check{N} \rightarrow \check{N}$ is with period 3 on \check{N} , if $f^3(u)$ = u for all $u \in \check{N}$, and if \bar{I} is non empty subset of \check{N} , we call a map f with period 3 on \bar{I} if $f^3(u) = u$, for all $u \in \bar{I}$. Also, we give results in prime left near-rings with maps acts as a homomorphism (an antihomomorphism), with period 3, to get commutative rings.

2. Main Results

Theorm 2-1:

Let R be a prime ring with char $(R) \neq 2$, and $\bar{I} \neq 0$, \bar{I} right ideal in R. If \bar{d} is a derivation with period 3 in R, then either $\bar{d}=0$, or $u^2=0 \ \forall u \in \bar{I}$.

Proof: Suppose \exists derivation \eth on \exists s.t.

$$\mathbf{d}^{3}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}, \forall \mathbf{u} \in \overline{\mathbf{I}} \tag{1}$$

For $u,v \in \overline{I}$, $ud(v) \in \overline{I}$, we have:

$$d^{3}(ud(v) = ud(v)$$
 (2)

 $d^2 \left(d(ud(v)) = ud(v) \right)$

$$d^2(d(u) d(v) + ud^2(v)) = u d(v)$$

$$d[d(d(u) d(v)) + d(u d^2(v))] = u d(v)$$

$$d(d^2(u) d(v) + d(u) d^2(v) + d(u) d^2(v) + ud^3(v) = ud(v)$$

$$d[d^{2}(u) d(v)] + d[d(u) d^{2}(v)] + d[d(u) d^{2}(v)] + d[ud^{3}(v)]) = ud(v)$$

$$d^{3}(u)d(v) + d^{2}(u) d^{2}(v) + d^{2}(u) d^{2}(v) + d(u) d^{3}(v) + d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + d(u)$$

$$d^3(v) + d(u) d^3(v) + ud^4(v) = ud(v)$$

$$3d^{2}(u) d^{2}(v) + 3d(u)d^{3}(v) + ud^{4}(v) = 0$$

$$3d^{2}(u) d^{2}(v) + 3d(u)v + ud(d^{3}(v)) = 0$$

That is:

$$3d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v)+3d(u)v+ud(v)=0, \forall u,v \in \bar{I}$$
(3)

Also, we have:

$$d^{4}(uv) = d(d^{3}(uv)) = d(uv) = d(u)v + ud(v), \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

On the other hand:

$$d^4(uv) = d^3(d(uv))$$

$$= d^2[d(d(u)v + ud(v))]$$

$$= d^2[(d(d(u)v) + d(ud(v))]$$

$$= d^{2} [d^{2}(u)v + d(u)d(v) + d(u) d(v) + ud^{2}(v)]$$

$$= d^2 [d^2(u)v + 2d(u) d(v) + ud^2(v)]$$

$$= d[d^{3}(u)v + d^{2}(u)d(v) + 2d^{2}(u)d(v) + 2d(u)d^{2}(v) + d(u)d^{2}(v) + ud^{3}(v)]$$

$$= d^{4}(u)v + d^{3}(u)d(v) + d^{3}(u)d(v) + d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + 2d^{3}(u)d(v)$$

$$+2d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) +2d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v)+2d(u)d^{3}(v)+d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v)$$

$$+d(u)d^{3}(v) +d(u) d^{3}(v) + ud^{4}(v)$$

$$= d(u)v + 4ud(v) + 6d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + 4d(u)v + ud(v), \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
 (5)

From (4), (5):

$$4ud(v) + 6d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + 4d(u)v = 0, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
(6)

From (3), (6):

$$3ud(v) + 3d^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + d(u)v = 0, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
 (7)

From (3), (7):

$$3ud(v) + d(u)v - 3d(u)v - ud(v) = 0$$

That is:

$$2ud(v) - 2d(u)v = 0, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
(8)

Putting vr instead of v in (8):

$$2ud(v)r + 2uvd(r) - 2d(u)vr = 0, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}, r \in R$$

$$(9)$$

From (8), (9):

$$2uv\bar{d}(r)=0, \forall u, v \in \bar{I}, r \in R \tag{10}$$

Since char $(R) \neq 2$:

$$uvd(r) = 0, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}, r \in R$$
(11)

Putting rs instead of r in (11):

$$uvd(r)s + uvrd(s) = 0,$$

That is:

$$uvr\bar{d}(s)=0, \forall u,v\in\bar{I}, r,s\in R$$
(12)

Since R is prime:

Either d(s) = 0, $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, that is d=0

or,
$$uv = 0 \ \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
, that is $u^2 = 0, \forall u \in \overline{I}$.

Theorm 2-2:

Let R be a prime ring with char $(R) \neq 6$ and let \bar{d} be a derivation on R. If δ is right generalized derivation given by $\delta(u) = u + \bar{d}(u) \ \forall \ u \in \bar{I}$ is with period 3 on R. Then δ is the identity map.

Proof: Consider:

$$\delta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}), \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R} \tag{13}$$

Since δ is with period 3:

$$\delta^{2}(\delta(u) = u + d(u))$$

$$\delta^{3}(u) = \delta^{2}(u + d(u))$$

$$= \delta[\delta(u + d(u))]$$

$$= \delta[(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}))]$$

$$= \delta[(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{d}^2(\mathbf{u})]$$

= $\delta(\mathbf{u}) + \delta(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u})) + \delta(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u})) + \delta(\mathbf{d}^2(\mathbf{u}))$

$$= u + d(u) + d(u) + d^{2}(u) + d(u) + d^{2}(u) + d^{3}(u)$$

From (13):

$$u=u+3d(u)+3d^{2}(u)+d^{3}(u), \forall u \in R$$
 (14)

That is:

$$3d(u) + 3d^{2}(u) + d^{3}(u) = 0, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$
(15)

Putting uv instesd of u in (15):

$$3d(uv) + 3d^2(uv) + d^3(uv) = 0$$

$$3d(u)v + 3ud(v) + 3d[d(u)v + ud(v)] + d^{2}[d(u)v + ud(v)] = 0$$

$$3d(u)v + 3ud(v) + 3d[d(u)v] + 3d[ud(v)] + d^2[d(u)v] + d^2[ud(v)] = 0$$

$$3d(u)v + 3ud(v) + 3d^{2}(u)v + 3d(u)d(v) + 3d(u)d(v) + 3ud^{2}(v) +$$

$$d[d^{2}(u)v + d(u) d(v)] + d[d(u)d(v) + ud^{2}(v)] = 0$$

$$3d(u)v + 3ud(v) + 3d^{2}(u)v + 6d(u)d(v) + 3ud^{2}(v) + d^{3}(u)v +$$

$$d^2(u)d(v) + d^2(u)d(v) + d(u)d^2(v) + d^2(u) \ d(v) + d(u)d^2(v) +$$

$$ud^{3}(v)=0, \forall u,v \in R \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16):

$$6d(u)d(v) + 3d^{2}(u)d(v) + 3d(u)d^{2}(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in R$$
(17)

Putting vr instead of v in (17):

$$6d(u) d(vr) + 3d^2(u) d(vr) + 3d(u)d^2(vr) = 0$$

$$6 \mathtt{d}(u) \ \mathtt{d}(v) r + 6 \mathtt{d}(u) \ v \mathtt{d}(r) + 3 \mathtt{d}^2(u) \mathtt{d}(v) r + 3 \mathtt{d}^2(u) v \mathtt{d}(r) + 3 \mathtt{d}(u) \mathtt{d}^2(v) r + \\$$

$$3 \mathtt{d}(u) \mathtt{d}(v) \mathtt{d}(r) + \, 3 \mathtt{d}(u) \mathtt{d}(v) \mathtt{d}((r\,) + \, 3 \mathtt{d}(u) v \mathtt{d}^2(r\,) = 0 \;,\; \forall u,\, v,\, r \in R$$

(18)

From (17) and (18):

$$6d(u)vd(r) + 3d^{2}(u)vd(r) + 3d(u)d(v)d(r) + 3d(u)d(v)d(r)$$

$$+3\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{u})\mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{d}^2(\mathfrak{r})=0,\ \forall \mathfrak{u},\,\mathfrak{v},\,\mathfrak{r}\in\mathbb{R}$$

Putting d(v) instead of v in (19):

$$6d(u)d(v)d(r) + 3d^2(u)d(v)d(r) + 3d(u)d^2(v)d(r) + 3d(u)d^2(v)d(r)$$

$$+ 3d(u)d(v)d^{2}(r) = 0, \forall u, v, r \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (20)

From (17) and (20):

$$3d(u)d^{2}(v)d(r) + 3d(u)d(v)d^{2}(r) = 0, \forall u, v, r \in \mathbb{R}$$
(21)

That is:

$$3d(u)[d^{2}(v)d(r)+d(v)d^{2}(r)]=0, \forall u,v,r \in \mathbb{R}$$
(22)

Putting us instead of u in (22):

$$3[d(u)s+ud(s)][d^{2}(v)d(r)+d(v)d^{2}(r)]=0, \forall u,v,r,s \in \mathbb{R}$$
(23)

From (22) and (23):

$$3d(u) s [d^2(v)d(r) + d(v)d^2(r)] = 0$$

$$d(u) s [3d^2(v)d(r) + 3 d(v) d^2(r)] = 0, \forall u, v, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since R is prime, either d = 0, or,

$$3d^{2}(v)d(r)+3d(v)d^{2}(r)=0, \forall v,r \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (24)

From (17) and (24):

$$-6d(u)d(v)=0, \forall u,v \in R$$
Since char (R) \neq 6:
$$(25)$$

$$d(u)d(v)=0, \forall u, v \in R$$
(26)

Putting vr instead of v in (26):

$$d(u)d(v)r+d(u)vd(r)=0, \forall u,v,r \in R$$
(27)

From (26) and (27):

$$d(u)vd(r)=0, \forall u, v, r \in \mathbb{R}$$
(28)

Since R is prime, (28) gives d=0.

Theorem 2-3:

Let R be prime ring with char $(R) \neq 6$, and let δ be right generalized derivation on R with associated derivation δ . If δ is with period 3 on R, then $\delta(C) = \{0\}$.

Proof:

$$uv = \delta^3(uv)$$
, $\forall u, v \in R$

That is:

$$uv = \delta^2 (\delta(uv))$$

$$= \delta^2 (\delta(u)v + ud(v))$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(u)v + \delta(u)d(v) + \delta(u)d(v) + ud^{2}(v))$$

$$= \delta^{3}(u)v + \delta^{2}(u)d(v) + \delta^{2}(u)d(v) + \delta(u)d^{2}(v) + \delta^{2}(u)d(v) + \delta(u)d^{2}(v) + \delta(u)d^{2}(v) + u d^{3}(v)$$

=
$$\delta^3(u)v + 3\delta^2(u)d(v) + 3\delta(u)d^2(v) + ud^3(v)$$
, $\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$
Since δ is period 3:

$$uv = uv + 3\delta^2(u)d(v) + 3\delta(u)d^2(v) + ud^3(v), \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$$

That is:

$$3\delta^{2}(u)d(v) + 3\delta(u)d^{2}(v) + ud^{3}(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (29)

Putting $\delta^2(u)$ instead of u in (29):

$$3\delta(u)d(v) + 3ud^{2}(v) + \delta^{2}(u)d^{3}(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$$
(30)

Letting $c \in C$, $u \in R$ and Putting uc instead of u in (30):

$$3\delta(uc)d(v) + 3ucd^{2}(v) + \delta^{2}(uc)d^{3}(v) = 0$$

$$3\delta(u)c\delta(v) + 3u\delta(c)\delta(v) + 3uc\delta^{2}(v) + \delta^{2}(u)c\delta^{3}(v) +$$

$$\delta(u)d(c)d^{3}(v) + \delta(u)d(c)d^{3}(v) + ud^{2}(c)d^{3}(v) = 0,$$

 $\forall u,v \in \!\! R,c \! \in \!\! C$

That is:

From (40) and (44):

(44)

$$3ud(c)d(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(45)

Since char $(R) \neq 6$:

$$ud(c)d(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(46)

Since R is prime:

$$d(c)d(v) = 0, \forall v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(47)

Putting vr instead of v in (47), we get

$$d(c)d(v)r + d(c)vd(r) = 0, \forall r, v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(48)

From (47) and (48):

$$d(c)vd(r) = 0, \forall r, v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(49)

Putting c instead of r in (49):

$$d(c)vd(c) = 0, \forall v \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$
(50)

Since R is prime, hence $d(C)=\{0\}$

Theorem 2-4:

Let R be a domain with 1, with char $(R) \neq 6$. If δ is a right generalized derivation on R with period 3, then δ is the identity map

Proof: Note:

$$\delta(\mathbf{u}) = \delta(1, \mathbf{u}) = \delta(1)\mathbf{u} + d(\mathbf{u}), \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (51)

Taking u=1 in (29) And letting $K = \delta(1)$:

$$3\delta^{2}(1)d(v) + 3\delta(1)d^{2}(v) + d^{3}(v) = 0$$

$$3K^{2}d(v) + 3Kd^{2}(v) + d^{3}(v) = 0, \forall v \in R$$
 (52)

Putting $\delta(u)$ instead of u in (29):

$$3\delta^{3}(u)d(v) + 3\delta^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + \delta(u)d^{3}(v) = 0$$

That is:

$$3ud(v) + 3\delta^{2}(u)d^{2}(v) + \delta(u)d^{3}(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (53)

Putting u=1 in (53) and Putting K = $\delta(1)$:

$$3d(v) + 3K^2d^2(v) + Kd^3(v) = 0, \forall v \in R$$
 (54)

Left multiplication of (52) by K:

$$3K^2d(v) + 3K^2d^2(v) + Kd^3(v) = 0, \forall v \in R$$
 (55)

From (54) and (55):

$$3K^3d(v) = 3d(v)$$

$$3(K^3 - 1)d(v) = 0, \forall v \in R$$

$$(56)$$

Since R is 6-torsion free:

$$(K^3 - 1)\mathfrak{d}(v) = 0 \quad \forall \ v \in R \tag{57}$$

Since R is domain, and if $d \neq 0$, we get $K^3 = 1$

That is K = 1, so that: $\delta(u) = u + \delta(u)$ for all $u \in R$ and by Theorm 2 - 2, δ is the identity map

3. Other Results

Lemma 3-1,[3]

Let \check{N} be prime. Let $\bar{I} \neq 0$, \bar{I} is semigroup right ideal (respe, semigroup left ideal). If u is an element in \check{N} s.t. $\bar{I}u = \{0\}$ (respe. $u\bar{I} = \{0\}$), then u = 0.

Definition 3-2:

A map δ : $\check{N} \rightarrow \check{N}$ is with period 3 on \check{N} , if $\delta^3(u) = u$, $\forall u \in \check{N}$, and if \bar{I} is non empty subset of \check{N} , we call a map δ with period 3 on \bar{I} if $\delta^3(u) = u$, $\forall u \in \bar{I}$.

Example 3-3:

Let S be a zero symmetric left near-ring, and let

$$\check{N} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 0, h, k \in S \right\}$$

Define a map $f: \check{N} \rightarrow \check{N}$ as follows:

$$f\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We show that N is a zero symmetric left near-ring, and we show f is with period 3

Theorm 3.4:

Let LŇ be prime. Let $\bar{I}\neq 0$, \bar{I} be semigroup ideal of LŇ. Suppose δ is an anti-homomorphism in LŇ. If δ is with period 3 on \bar{I} , then LŇ is commutative Ring.

Proof:

$$uv = \delta^{3}(uv)$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(uv))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(v)\delta(u))$$

$$= \delta(\delta(\delta(v), \delta(u)))$$

```
=\delta(\delta(\delta(u)),\delta(\delta(v)))
    =\delta(\delta^2(u).\delta^2(v))
    =\delta(\delta^2(v)).\delta(\delta^2(u))
    =\delta^3(v).\delta^3(u)
    = vu. \forall u.v. \in \overline{I}
                                                                                      (58)
\forall u, v \in \overline{I} \text{ and b, } s \in L\widetilde{N}:
uv(bs - sb) = uvbs - uvsb,
                                                                                      (59)
Since (\bar{I}L\check{N} \subset \bar{I}), and from (58):
uv(bs - sb) = vubs - vsub, \forall u, v \in \overline{I}, \forall b, s \in L\widetilde{N}
                                                                                      (60)
Since \overline{I} is semigroup ideal of LN, and from (58):
uv(bs - sb) = ubvs - ubvs, \forall u, v \in \overline{I} \ \forall b, s \in L\widetilde{N}
                                                                                      (61)
That give:
\bar{I}v(bs - sb) = \{0\}, \forall v \in \bar{I} \ \forall b, s \in L\tilde{N}
                                                                                      (62)
Lemma 3-1 give that:
v(bs - sb) = 0, \forall v \in \overline{I} \forall b, s \in LN
                                                                                      (63)
That is:
\bar{I}(bs-sb)=0, \forall b, s \in LN
                                                                                      (64)
Lemma 3-1 give that:
bs = sb, \forall b, s \in L\mathring{N}
                                                                                      (65)
By using (65):
(u + v)h = h(u + v), \forall u, v, h \in L\mathring{N}
                                                                                      (66)
That give:
(u + v)h = hu + hv, \forall u, v, h \in L\mathring{N}
                                                                                       (67)
Use (65) and (67) give:
(u + v)h = uh + vh, \forall u, v, h \in L\check{N}
                                                                                       (68)
Now, let u, v, h \in LN, applying (68):
(h + h)(u + v) = h(u + v) + h(u + v), \forall u, v, h \in LN
                                                                                      (69)
That is:
(h+h)(u+v) = hu + hv + hu + hv, \forall u, v, h \in LN
                                                                                      (70)
On the other hand:
(h+h)(u+v) = (h+h)u + (h+h)v, \forall u, v, h \in L\check{N}
                                                                                      (71)
That is:
(h+h)(u+v) = hu + hu + hv + hv, \forall u,v,h \in L\mathring{N}
                                                                                      (72)
```

Comparing (70) and (72):

$$hu + hv = hv + hu, \forall u, v, h \in L\check{N}$$
 give that: (73)

$$h(u + v - u - v) = 0 , \forall u, v, h \in L\check{N}$$
(74)

LN is left near ring, give that:

$$(u + v - u - v)L\check{N}(u + v - u - v) = \{0\}, \forall u, v \in L\check{N}$$
 (75)

LN is semiprime:

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}\check{\mathbf{N}} \tag{76}$$

Thus (65), (68), and (76) give LN is commutative ring.

Corollary 3-5:

Let LN be prime, δ is an anti-homomorphism in LN, such that δ is with period 3 on LN. Then LN is commutative ring.

Theorm 3-6:

Let LŇ be prime. Let $\bar{I} \neq 0$, \bar{I} be semigroup ideal of LŇ. Suppose δ is an anti-homomrphism in LŇ. If δ is with period 3 on \bar{I} , then (t + b)y = ty + by, \forall t, b, $y \in L$ Ň

Proof:

$$(u+v)h = \delta^{3}(u+v). \delta^{3}(h)$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(u+v)). \delta(\delta^{2}(h))$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(h). \delta^{2}(u+v))$$

$$= \delta(\delta(\delta(h)). \delta(\delta(u+v)))$$

$$= \delta(\delta(\delta(u+v). \delta(h)))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(u+v). \delta(h))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(h(u+v)))$$

$$= \delta^{3}(h(u+v))$$

$$= h(u+v), \forall u, v, h \in \bar{I}$$

$$(77)$$

That is:

$$h(u + v) = \delta^{3}(h). \delta^{3}(u + v)$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(h)). \delta(\delta^{2}(u + v))$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(u + v). \delta^{2}(h))$$

$$= \delta(\delta(\delta(u + v)). \delta(\delta(h)))$$

$$= \delta(\delta(\delta(h). \delta(u + v)))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(h). \delta(u + v))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(h). \delta(u) + (\delta(v)))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(h). \delta(u) + \delta(h). \delta(v))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(uh) + \delta(vh))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(uh) + \delta^{2}(\delta(vh)))$$

$$= \delta^{3}(uh) + \delta^{3}(vh)$$

$$= uh + vh, \forall u, v, h \in \overline{I}$$
(78)

From (77), (78):

$$(u+v)h = uh + vh, \quad \forall u, v, h \in \overline{I}$$
 (79)

Putting u = ua, and v = ub, in (60), we obtain:

$$(ua + ub)h = uah + ubh, \forall u, h \in \overline{I}, \quad a, b \in L\widetilde{N}$$

$$(80)$$

From (78), (80):

$$u(a+b)h = u(ah+bh), \forall u, h \in \overline{I}, \quad a, b \in L\widetilde{N}$$
(81)

That is mean:

$$u((a+b)h - (ah+bh)) = 0, \forall u, h \in \overline{I}, a, b \in L\widetilde{N}$$
Hence: (82)

$$\overline{I}((a+b)h - (ah+bh)) = 0, \forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b \in L\mathring{N}$$
 (83)
Use Lemma 3-1:

$$(a+b)h = ah + bh, \quad \forall h \in \overline{I}, \qquad a,b \in L\mathring{N}$$
 (84)

Now Putting yh instesd of h, $y \in LN$, in (84):

$$(a + b)yh = (ayh + byh), \forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in LN$$
 (85)
From (84), we have:

$$(ayh + byh) = (ay + by)h, \forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in L\widetilde{N}$$
 (86)
Using (85) in (86):

$$(a + b)yh = (ay + by)h, \forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in L\widetilde{N}$$
 (87)
Relation (79), give $\forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in L\widetilde{N}$:

((a + b)y - (ay + by))h = (a + b)yh + (-(ay + by))h

$$\forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in L\widetilde{N}$$
 (88)

Relations (87) and (88), give:

$$((a + b)y - (ay + by))h = (ay + by)h + (-(ay + by))h$$

$$= ((ay + by) + (-(ay + by)))h$$

$$= 0.h$$

$$= 0, \forall h \in \overline{I}, a, b, y \in L\widetilde{N}$$
(89)

Implies:

$$((a+b)y - (ay+by))\overline{I} = 0, \forall a,b,y \in L\check{N}$$
(90)

Lemma 3-1 give:

$$(a+b)y = ay + by, \quad \forall \ a, b, y \in L\check{N}$$
 (91)

Corollary 3-7:

Let LN be prime. Suppose δ is an anti-homomrphism in LN. If δ is with period 3 on LN, then:

$$(a + b)y = ay + by, \forall a, b, y \in L\check{N}$$

Theorm 3-8:

Let LŇ be prime. Let $\overline{I} \neq 0$, \overline{I} be semigroup ideal of LŇ. Suppose δ is an endomorphism in LŇ. If δ is with period 3 on \overline{I} , and $\delta(\overline{I}) \subseteq \overline{I}$, and $\delta(uv) = \delta(vu)$, $\forall u, v \in \overline{I}$, then LŇ is commutative ring.

Proof:

$$uv = \delta^{3}(uv)$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(uv))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(vu))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(v).\delta(u))$$

$$= \delta(\delta^{2}(v).\delta^{2}(u))$$

$$= \delta^{3}(v).\delta^{3}(u)$$

$$= vu \quad \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$

$$(92)$$

From (59) to the end of (76) we obtain LN is commutative.

Corollary 3-9:

Let LN be prime. Suppose δ is an endomorphism in LN. If δ is with period 3, and $\delta(uv)=\delta(vu)$ for all $u,v \in LN$, then LN is commutative ring.

Theorm 3-10:

Let $L\check{N}$ be prime, \bar{I} is a non-zero semigroup ideal of $L\check{N}$, δ is a map on \bar{I} , such that δ is with period 3 and $\delta(uv)=\delta(vu)$, $\forall \ u,v\in\bar{I}$, then $L\check{N}$ is commutative ring.

Proof:

$$uv = \delta^{3}(uv)$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(uv))$$

$$= \delta^{2}(\delta(vu))$$

$$= \delta^{3}(vu)$$

$$= vu \quad \forall u, v \in \overline{I}$$
(93)

Relations (59), (76) obtain LN is commutative ring.

Corollary 3-11:

Let LŇ be prime, δ is a map in LŇ, such that δ is with period 3 and $\delta(uv)=\delta(vu)$, \forall $u,v\in$ LŇ, then LŇ is commutative ring.

References

- [1] Atteya M. J. and Resan D. I.,"Commutativity of Left Near-Rings", J. of the College of Basic Education, University of Babylon, No.special, January 2012.
- [2] Ahmed A. M., Kamal L. and Khalid H. Al-Shaalan, "Commutativity of Rings and Near-Rings with Generalized Derivations", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 44(4), 473-496, 2013.
- [3] Bell. H. E. On Derivations in Near-Ring II, Kluwer Academic.Publisheres. Dordrecht, 426,191 197, 1997.
- [4] Bell H. E. and Mason G., "On derivations in near-rings, Near-Rings and Near-Fields" (G. Betsch, Ed.) North-Holland, Amsterdam 31-35, 1987.
- [5] Bell . H.E and Daif. M.N., "On Maps of Period 2 on Prime and Semiprime Rings", Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2014,1-5, 2014.
- [6] M. Brešar, "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations", Glasgow Math. J. 33 89-93,1991.
- [7] N. Jacobson, "Structure of rings", Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. 37, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R. I., 1956.
- [8] KOC E. "Notes on The Commutativity of Prime Near-Rings", Miskolc Math. Notes, 12(2), 193–200, 2011.
- [9] Pilz ,G. 'ear-Rings, North Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam .New York , Oxford, 1983.
- [10] Wang X. K., "Derivations in prime near-rings", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.121, 361-366, 1994.

الدالة الجمعية ذات الدورة 3 في الحلقات والحلقات المقتربة

شيماء بدر ياس shai.bader@yahoo.com الجامعة العراقية

المستخلص

في هذا البحث قدمنا تعريف الدالة ذات الدورة 3 في الحلقة R وفي المثالي الايمن (او الايسر) $\overline{1}$ في R, وبر هنا عندما R حلقة اولية مع 1 2 \pm char (R) و 1 مثالي ايمن غير صفري , اذا كان 1 اشتقاق ذات الدورة 3 في 1 فان اما 1 والله الكل 1 في Char (R) والك بر هنا عندما 1 ساحة مع وجود العنصر المحايد 1 و 1 و 1 و 1 و 1 د تعميم اشتقاق ذات الدورة 3 في 1 و فان 1 دالة محايدة والمقتربة البسرى ذوات ذات الدورة 3 في الحلقات المقتربة وبر هنا نظريات للحلقات المقتربة البسرى ذوات الدورة 3 مع الدوال ذات التشاكلات ضد و (ذات التشاكلات), ذات الدورة 3 والمقتربة المتبادلة.

الكلمات الرئيسية: الحلقة الاولية, الاشتقاق, تعميم الاشتقاق الايمن, حلقة مقتربة اولية, حلقة مقتربة شبه اولية, الدوال ذات الدورة 2, ذات التشاكلات وذات التشاكلات ضد.