

i-Generalized Homeomorphisms and Generalized i-Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces

Sabih W. Askandar

Department of Mathematics/College of Education For Pure Science
University of Mosul
Mosul-Iraq
sabeehqaqus@yahoo.com

Received
01/03/2018

Accepted
06/05/2018

التشاكلات المعممة من النوع-i والتشاكلات من النوع-i المعممة في
الفضاءات التبولوجية

م.م. صبيح وديع اسكندر

قسم الرياضيات/كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة/جامعة الموصل
الموصل/العراق

الملخص:

في هذا البحث، تم تقديم التشاكلات المعممة من النوع-i والتشاكلات من النوع-i المعممة في الفضاءات التبولوجية. اكثر من ذلك تم ايجاد العلاقة بين هذين الصنفين وبعض الاصناف الاخرى من التشاكلات التبولوجية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: التشاكل التبولوجي.

ABSTRACT:

In this paper, topological ig-Homeomorphisms and topological gi-Homeomorphisms are introduced. Further, the relations between these two classes and some other classes of Homeomorphisms are investigated.

Keywords: Topological Homeomorphism

INTRODUCTION:

In 1970, Levine [7], has generalized the concept of closed sets to generalized closed sets [1]. In 1987, Bhattacharyya and Lahiri [3], generalized the concept of closed sets to semi-generalized closed sets with the help of semi-open sets and they obtaining various topological properties. In 1990, Arya and Nour [1], defined generalized semi-open sets with the help of semi-openness and used them to obtain some characterizations of s-normal spaces. In 1995, Devi, Balachandran and maki [°], defined two classes of maps that are called semi-generalized homeomorphisms and generalized semi-homeomorphisms. In 2006, Rajesh, N., Ekici, E. and Thivagar, M. L.[9], introduced a new class of homeomorphisms called, gs^* -homeomorphisms. In 2009, Caldas, M., Jafari, S. and Rajesh, N. [4], introduced \tilde{g} -homeomorphisms. In 2012, Mohammed, A.A. and Askandar, S.W., [8][2], introduced the concept of i-open sets. In this paper we generalize the concept of closed sets to i-generalized closed sets with the help of i-open sets and obtained various topological properties. We introduce two classes of maps are called ig-homeomorphisms and gi-homeomorphisms and we study their properties. Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (Y, δ) are always topological spaces, f is always a mapping from (X, τ) into (Y, δ) and $Cl(A)$ denotes the closure of a set A .

1. Definitions and Examples.

In this Section, we introduce important new concepts of sets and maps and use them to prove the main results. $A \subseteq X$ is called i-open set [2][8] if $A \subseteq Cl(A \cap G)$ (for some $G \neq \phi$, X is open set in X . X/A is called i-closed set [2][8]. For example if $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\tau = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$, we have: Closed sets which are: $X, \{2, 3\}, \{2\}, \phi$, i-open sets are : $\phi, \{1\}, \{1, 3\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, X$. i-closed sets are: $X, \{2, 3\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{1\}, \phi$.

Lemma 1.1. Every open set is i-open. But the converse is not necessary to be true [8][2].

Corollary 1.2. Every closed set is i-closed. But the converse is not necessary to be true [8][2].

Definition 1.3. Let τ^i be the set of all i-open sets of X and let $A \subseteq X$ then:

1. $Cl_i(A) = \bigcap_{A \subseteq F_i} F_i$. $A \subseteq F_i \quad \forall i$ (Where $F_i \subseteq X$ is i-closed set $\forall i$ and $Cl_i(A)$ is the i-closure of A [8][2]. $A = Cl_i(A)$ if and only if A is i-closed set.

2. $Int_i(A) = \bigcup_{i \in A} I_i$ $I_i \subseteq A \quad \forall i$. (Where $I_i \subseteq X$ is i-open set $\forall i$ and $Int_i(A)$ is i-interior of A [8][2].

Definition 1.4. A subset A of X is said to be:

1. *generalized closed set (g-closed)* [1] if $Cl(A) \subseteq U$ where $A \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq X$ is open set. $B \subseteq X$ is generalized open set (*g-open*) [1] if its complement is *g-closed*.

2. *generalized i-open set (gi-open)* if $F \subseteq Int_i(A)$,

Where, $F \subseteq A \subseteq X$ is closed set. $B \subseteq X$ is *generalized i-closed set (gi-closed)* if its complement is *gi-open*.

3. *i-generalized closed set (ig-closed)* if $Cl_i(A) \subseteq U$ where $A \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq X$ is an *i-open set*. $B \subseteq X$ is *i-generalized open set (ig-open)* if its complement is *ig-closed*.

Definition 1.5. *i-Generalized Closure* of A , denoted by $Cl_{ig}(A)$: $Cl_{ig}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in A} F_i$. $A \subseteq F_i \quad \forall i$ Where $F_i \subseteq X$ is *ig-closed set* $\forall i$, $A = Cl_{ig}(A)$ if and only if A is *ig-closed set*.

Example 1.6. Let $X = \{4, 5, 6\}$, $\tau = \{\phi, \{4\}, X\}$.

Therefore, Closed sets are: $X, \{5, 6\}, \phi$.

i-open sets are: $\phi, \{4\}, \{4, 5\}, \{4, 6\}, X$, *i-closed sets* are: $X, \{5, 6\}, \{6\}, \{5\}, \phi$.

gi-open sets are: $\phi, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{4, 5\}, \{4, 6\}, X$,

gi-closed sets are: $X, \{5, 6\}, \{4, 6\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6\}, \{5\}, \phi$.

ig-closed sets are: $\phi, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{5, 6\}, X$,

ig-open sets are: $X, \{4, 6\}, \{4, 5\}, \{4\}, \phi$.

Example 1.7. Let $X = \{7, 8, 9\}$, $\tau = \{\phi, \{7\}, \{7, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, X\}$.

Therefore, Closed sets are: $X, \{8, 9\}, \{9\}, \{8\}, \phi$.

i-open sets are: $\phi, \{7\}, \{8\}, \{9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, X$,

i-closed sets are: $X, \{8, 9\}, \{7, 9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9\}, \{8\}, \phi$.

gi-open sets are: $\phi, \{7\}, \{8\}, \{9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, \{8, 9\}, X$,

gi-closed sets are: $X, \{8, 9\}, \{7, 9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9\}, \{8\}, \{7\}, \phi$.

ig-closed sets are: $\phi, \{7\}, \{8\}, \{9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, \{8, 9\}, X$,

ig-open sets are: $X, \{8, 9\}, \{7, 9\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9\}, \{8\}, \{7\}, \phi$.

Theorem 1.8. Every *i-closed set* is *i-generalized-closed*. [6]

Proof: Let $A \subseteq X$ be i -closed. Then $A = Cl_i(A)$. It is clear that $A \subseteq Cl_i(A)$ and $Cl_i(A) \subseteq A$.

Suppose that $A \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq X$ are i -open. Therefore, $Cl_i(A) \subseteq U$. Hence A is i -generalized closed. Also since $A \subseteq Cl_{ig}(A) \subseteq Cl_i(A) \subseteq Cl(A)$ we have, every closed and i -closed sets are i -generalized-closed (where $Cl(A)$ denotes the closure of a set A). ■

In (example 1.7) it is clear that $A = \{7\}$ is i -generalized closed, A is not i -closed.

Theorem 1.9. Every i -generalized closed set is generalized i -closed.[6]

Proof: suppose that $A \subseteq X$ is i -generalized closed. We have, $Cl_i(A) \subseteq U$ where $A \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq X$ is i -open. Now $(Cl_i(A) \subseteq U)^c = U^c \subseteq Int_i(A^c)$, we have U^c is i -closed set in X , we have A^c is generalized i -open. Hence, A is generalized i -closed. ■

In (example 1.6) $A = \{4, 5\}$ is gi -closed set but it is not ig -closed.

Corollary 1.10. Every closed set (respectively i -closed, ig -closed set) is gi -closed. But the converse is not necessary to be true (see corollary (1.2.), theorem (1.8) and theorem (1.9)).

Definition 1.11. A mapping f is said to be:

1. Closed mapping (resp. open mapping) if $f(F)$ is closed set (resp. open set) in Y For each closed set (resp. open set) F in X .
2. i -closed mapping (resp. i -open mapping) if the $f(F)$ is i -closed (resp. i -open) in Y [8][2] for each closed set (resp. open set) F in X .
3. i -generalized closed mapping (resp. i -generalized open mapping) if $f(F)$ i -generalized closed (resp. i -generalized open set) in Y for each closed set (resp. open set) F in X .
4. Generalized i -open mapping (resp. generalized i -closed mapping) if $f(F)$ is gi -open (resp. gi -closed set) in Y for each open set (resp. closed set) F in X .

Definition 1.12. A mapping f is said to be:

1. Continuous if and only if the inverse of I^* is closed (resp. open set) in X for all closed (resp. open sets) I^* in Y .
2. i -continuous[8] [2] if and only if the inverse of I^* is i -closed (resp. i -open set) in X for every closed (resp. open sets) I^* in Y .
3. i -generalized continuous if and only if the inverse of I^* is ig -closed (resp. ig -open set) in X for all closed (resp. open sets) I^* in Y .

4. Generalized i-continuous if and only if the inverse of I^* is gi-closed (resp. gi-open set) in X for all closed (resp. open sets) I^* in Y .
5. i-generalized irresolute (in short, ig-irresolute) if and only if the inverse of I^* is ig-closed set in X for all ig-closed sets I^* in Y .
6. Generalized i-irresolute (in short, gi-irresolute) if and only if the inverse of I^* is gi-closed set in X for all gi-closed sets I^* in Y .

Definition1.13. A mapping f is said to be:

- i) Homeomorphism, if f is (1) continuous, (2) open.
- ii) i-Homeomorphism [8] [2] if f is (1) i-continuous. (2) i-open.
- iii) i-generalized Homeomorphism (in short igh) if f is (1) ig-continuous, (2) ig-open.
- iv) Generalized i-Homeomorphism (in short gih) if f is (1) gi-continuous, (2) gi-open.

2. The Main Results.

In this section we find the relation between the new concepts of mappings.

Theorem2.1. Every open-mapping is i- open. [8][2]

Example2.2. Let $X=\{10,11,12\}$, $\tau =\{\phi,\{10, 11\},X\}$, $Y=\{10,11,12\}$, $\delta=\{\phi,\{11\},\{10, 11\},Y\}$, $f(10)=11$, $f(11)=12$ $f(12)=10$, $\delta^i=\{\phi,\{11\},\{10, 11\},\{10\},\{10,12\},\{11,12\},Y\}$. f is not open mapping because $\{10,11\}$ is open set in X , $f(\{10,11\})=\{11,12\}$ is not open set in Y . Hence f is i-open mapping.

Corollary2.3. Every closed-mapping is i- closed.[8][2]

In (example 2.2) f is not closed mapping because $\{12\}$ is closed set in X but $f(\{12\})=\{10\}$ is not closed set in Y . Hence f is i-closed mapping.

Theorem2.4. Every i-closed mapping is i-generalized closed.

Proof: Suppose that f is i-closed mapping. Then by suppose, $f(F)$ is i-closed set in Y for each closed set F in X . Then $f(F)$ is ig-closed set in Y (theorem (1.8)). Hence f is ig-closed. ■

Example2.5. Let $X=Y=\{13,14,15\}$, $\tau =\{\phi,\{13\},\{13,14\},\{13,15\},X\}=\delta$, $f(13)=14$, $f(14)=13$, $f(15)=15$, f is not i-closed because $\{14,15\}$ is closed set in X , $f(\{14,15\})=\{13,15\}$ is not i-closed in Y . Hence f is ig-closed.

Corollary2.6. Every i-open mapping is ig- open.

In (example 2.5) f is not i-open because $\{13,15\}$ is open set in X , $f(\{13,15\})=\{14,15\}$ is not i-open set in Y . Hence f is ig-open.

Theorem2.7. Every ig-closed mapping is gi-closed.

Proof: Suppose that f be ig-closed mapping, F be a closed set in X , then $f(F)$ is ig-closed in Y (by suppose). $f(F)$ is gi-closed in Y (theorem 1.9). Hence f is gi-closed. ■

Example2.8. Let $X=Y=\{p,q,r\}$, $\tau =\{ \phi ,\{p\},X \}=\delta$, $f(p)=q$, $f(q)=p$, $f(r)=r$, f is not ig-closed mapping because $\{q,r\}$ is closed in X , $f(\{q,r\})=\{p,r\}$ is not ig-closed in Y . Hence f is gi-closed.

Corollary2.9. Every ig-open mapping is gi- open.

In (example 2.8) f is not ig-open because $\{p\}$ is open in X , $f(\{p\}) = \{q\}$ is not ig-open in Y . Hence f is gi-open.

Theorem2.10. Every continuous mapping is i-continuous.[8][2]

Example2.11. Let $X=\{s, t, u, v\}$, $\tau =\{ \phi ,\{t\}, \{u, v\},\{t, u, v\},X\}$, $Y=\{x, y\}$, $\delta=\{ \phi ,\{x\},\{y\},Y\}$, $f(s)=f(u)=f(v)=x$, $f(t)=y$.
 $\tau^i =\{ \phi ,\{t\},\{u, v\},\{t, u, v\},\{s, u, v\},\dots\dots\dots,X\}$. f is not continuous because $\{x\}$ is open set in Y but $f^{-1}(\{x\})=\{s, u, v\}$ is not open in X . f is i-continuous.

Theorem2.12. Every i-continuous mapping is i-generalized continuous.

Proof: let f be i-continuous mapping and let G^* be closed set in Y , then $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is i-closed in X . By (theorem 1.10) we have: $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is ig-closed in X . Hence f is i-generalized continuous. ■

In (Example 2.5) f is not i-continuous because $\{14\}$ is closed set in Y but $f^{-1}(\{14\}) = \{13\}$ is not i-closed in X . f is i-generalized continuous.

Theorem2.13. Every i-generalized continuous mapping is generalized i-continuous.

Proof: Let f be ig-continuous mapping. If G^* is closed set in Y then $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is ig-closed in X . By theorem (1.9) we have: $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is gi-closed in X . Hence f is gi-continuous. ■

In example 2.8. f is not ig-continuous because $\{q,r\}$ is closed Y , $f^{-1}(\{q,r\})=\{p,r\}$ is not ig-closed in X . f is gi-continuous.

Theorem2.14. Every topological Homeomorphism is i-Homeomorphism.[8][2]

Example 2.15. Let $X = \{g, h, i\} = Y$, $\tau = \{ \phi, \{g, h\}, X \}$, $\delta = \{ \phi, \{g\}, Y \}$, $f(g) = g$, $f(h) = i$, $f(i) = h$, f is not continuous because $\{g\}$ is open set in Y , $f^{-1}(\{g\}) = \{g\}$ is not open in X . f is one-one because $\forall x_1 \neq x_2$ and $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. f is onto because $f(X) = Y$. Hence f is not open because $\{g, h\}$ is open in X but $f(\{g, h\}) = \{g, i\}$ is not open in Y . Hence f is not a Homeomorphism.
 $\tau^i = \{ \phi, \{g, h\}, \{g\}, \{h\}, \{g, i\}, \{h, i\}, X \}$. $\delta^i = \{ \phi, \{g\}, \{g, h\}, \{g, i\}, Y \}$.
 f is i -continuous and it is i -open mapping. Hence f is i -Homeomorphism.

Theorem 2.16. Every topological i -Homeomorphism is ig -Homeomorphism.

Proof: let f be a topological i -Homeomorphism. Then f is (1) i -continuous mapping, (2) (onto, one-one), (3) i -open mapping. By (theorem 2.12) we have, f is ig -continuous and by corollary (2.6.) we have, f is ig -open. Hence f is ig -Homeomorphism. ■

In example 2.5. We have, 1. f is not i -open because $\{13, 15\}$ is open in X but $f(\{13, 15\}) = \{14, 15\}$ is not i -open in Y , f is ig -open. 2. f is not i -continuous because $\{14\}$ is closed in Y , $f^{-1}(\{14\}) = \{13\}$ is not i -closed in X . f is ig -continuous. 3. f is bijective. Therefore, f is ig -homeomorphism is not i -homeomorphism.

Theorem 2.17. Every topological ig -Homeomorphism is gi -Homeomorphism.

Proof: let f be a topological ig -Homeomorphism. We have f is (1) ig -continuous, (2) bijective (onto, one-one), (3) ig -open. By theorem (2.13) we have, f is gi -continuous mapping and by corollary (2.9.) we have, f is gi -open mapping. Hence f is gi -Homeomorphism. ■

In Example 2.8 we have 1. f is not ig -open because $\{p\}$ is open in X but $f(\{p\}) = \{q\}$ is not ig -open in Y . f is gi -open mapping. 2. f is not ig -continuous because $\{q, r\}$ is closed in Y but $f^{-1}(\{q, r\}) = \{p, r\}$ is not ig -closed in X . f is gi -continuous. 3. f is bijective. Therefore, f is gi -homeomorphism but is not ig -homeomorphism.

Corollary 2.18. Every homeomorphism (respectively i -homeomorphism, ig -homeomorphism) is gi -homeomorphism. But the converse is not necessary to be true (theorem (2.14), theorem (2.16) and theorem (2.17)).

Corollary 2.19. Every continuous (respectively i -continuous and ig -continuous mapping) is gi -continuous. But the converse is not necessary to be true (theorem (2.10), theorem (2.12) and theorem (2.13)).

Corollary 2.20. Every open (respectively i-open and ig-open mapping) is gi-open. But the converse is not necessary to be true (theorem (2.1), corollary (2.6) and corollary (2.9)).

Proposition 2.21. For any bijection (onto, one-one) f , the following statements are equivalent:

1) Its inverse map $f^{-1}(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is ig-continuous. 2) f is ig-open. 3) f is ig-closed.

Proposition 2.22. Let f be a bijective and ig-continuous map. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1) f is ig-open map.
- 2) f is ig-homeomorphism.
- 3) f is ig-closed map.

Proposition 2.23. For any bijection (onto, one-one) f , the following statements are equivalent:

1) Its inverse map $f^{-1}(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is gi-continuous. 2) f is gi-open. 3) f is gi-closed.

Proposition 2.24. Let f be a bijective and gi-continuous map. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1) f is gi-open map.
- 2) f is gi-homeomorphism.
- 3) f is gi-closed map.

Proposition 2.25. If a map f is ig-irresolute then, it is ig-continuous.

Proposition 2.26. If a map f is gi-irresolute then, it is gi-continuous.

Theorem 2.27. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is ig-irresolute if and only if the inverse of I^* is ig-open set in X for all ig-open sets I^* in Y .

Proof. Suppose that f is ig-irresolute mapping.

Let I^* be ig-open set in Y . then I^{*c} is ig-closed set in Y . we have, $f^{-1}((I^*)^c)$ is ig-closed set in X (by suppose). We have, $(f^{-1}(I^*))^c$ is ig-closed set in X . Hence $f^{-1}(I^*)$ is ig-open set in X .

Conversely, suppose that the inverse of every ig-open set in Y is ig-open in X . Let I^* be ig-closed set in Y . then I^{*c} is ig-open in Y . we have, $f^{-1}((I^*)^c)$ is ig-open set in X (by suppose). We have, $(f^{-1}(I^*))^c$ is ig-open set in X . Hence $f^{-1}(I^*)$ is ig-closed set in X . Therefore, f is ig-irresolute map. ■

Theorem2.28. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is gi-irresolute if and only if the inverse of I^* is gi-open set in X for all gi-open sets I^* in Y .

Proof. Suppose that f is gi-irresolute mapping.

Let I^* be gi-open set in Y . then I^{*c} is gi-closed in Y . we have, $f^{-1}((I^*)^c)$ is gi-closed in X (by suppose). We have, $(f^{-1}(I^*))^c$ is gi-closed in X . Hence $f^{-1}(I^*)$ is gi-open set in X .

Conversely, suppose that the inverse of every gi-open set in Y is gi-open in X . Let I^* be gi-closed set in Y . then I^{*c} is gi-open in Y . we have, $f^{-1}((I^*)^c)$ is gi-open set in X (by suppose). We have, $(f^{-1}(I^*))^c$ is gi-open in X . Hence $f^{-1}(I^*)$ is gi-closed set in X . Therefore, f is gi-irresolute map. ■

Theorem2.29. Every i-generalized irresolute mapping is generalized i-irresolute.

Proof: Let f be ig-irresolute mapping. If G^* is ig-closed set in Y then $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is ig-closed in X . By theorem (1.9) we have: $f^{-1}(G^*)$ is gi-closed in X . Hence f is gi-irresolute. ■

In example 2.8. f is not ig-irresolute because $\{q,r\}$ is ig-closed set in Y , $f^{-1}(\{q,r\}) = \{p,r\}$ is not ig-closed in X . f is not gi-irresolute because $\{q\}$ is gi-closed set in Y , $f^{-1}(\{q\}) = \{p\}$. is not gi-closed in X .

References

- [1] Arya , S. P. and Nour, T. "Characterizations of s-normal spaces" Indian J. Pure appl. Math. 21(8)(1990),717-719.
- [2] Askandar, S.W., M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education, University of Mosul (2012). (In Arabic).
- [3] Bhattacharyya, P. and Lahiri, B. K. "Semi Generalized Closed Sets in Topology." Indian J. Math 29 (1987), 376- 82.
- [4]Caldas, M., Jafari, S. and Rajesh, N., " On \tilde{g} -Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces" Universidad Cat´olica del Norte Antofagasta – Chile, Vol. 28, No 1, pp. 1—19, May 2009.

[5] Devi, R., Maki, H. and Balachandran, K. "Semi –Generalized Homeomorphism and Generalized semi-Homeomorphism in topological spaces" Indian J. Pure appl. Math 26 (3)(1995),271-284.

[6] Jardo, M.A., M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education, University of Mosul (2013). (In Arabic).

[7] Levine, N. "Generalized closed sets in topology" Rend Circ. Mat. Patemo (2) 19 (1970), 89-96.

[8] Mohammed, A.A. and Askandar, S.W., *On i-open sets*, UAE Math Day Conference, American Univ. of Sharjah, April 14, 2012.

[9] Rajesh, N., Ekici, E. and Thivagar, M. L. " On \tilde{g} -Semi-Homeomorphism in Topological Spaces" Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser. Volume 33, 2006, Pages 208-215, ISSN: 1223-6934.