

# Employing Ridge Regression Procedure to Remedy the Multicollinearity Problem

Hazim M. Gorgees

Bushra A. Ali

Dept. of Mathematics/College of Education for Pure Science(Ibn AL-Haitham) /  
University of Baghdad

Received in:11 September 2012 Accepted in:15 October 2012

## Abstract

In this paper we introduce many different Methods of ridge regression to solve multicollinearity problem in linear regression model. These Methods include two types of ordinary ridge regression ( $ORR_1$ ), ( $ORR_2$ ) according to the choice of ridge parameter as well as generalized ridge regression (GRR). These methods were applied on a dataset suffers from a high degree of multicollinearity, then according to the criterion of mean square error (MSE) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) it was found that (GRR) method performs better than the other two methods.

**Keywords :** Ordinary ridge regression, Generalized ridge regression, Shrinkage estimators, Singular value decomposition, Coefficient of determination.

## Introduction

In this paper we deal with the classical linear regression model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon \dots (1)$  where  $y$  is  $(n \times 1)$  vector of response variable,  $X$  is  $(n \times p)$  matrix,  $(n > p)$  of explanatory variables,  $\beta$  is  $(p \times 1)$  vector of unknown parameters and  $\varepsilon$  is  $(n \times 1)$  vector of unobservable random errors, where  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $\text{var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I$ . Considerable attention is currently being focused on biased estimation of the regression estimators of a linear regression model. This attention is due to the inability of classical least squares to provide reasonable point estimates when the matrix of regression variables is ill-conditioned. Despite possessing the very desirable property of being minimum variance in the class of linear unbiased estimators under the usual conditions imposed on the model, the least squares estimators can, nevertheless, have extremely large variances when the data are multicollinear which is one form of ill-conditioning. Much research, therefore, on obtaining biased estimators with better overall performance than the least squares estimator is being conducted. This paper discusses the ridge regression estimators for use with multicollinear data. In contrast to least squares, these estimators allow a small amount of bias in order to achieve a major reduction in the variance. A numerical example is included to illustrate the theoretical relationships.

## The Case of Multicollinearity

The problem of multicollinearity occurs when there exists a linear relationship or an approximate linear relationship among two or more explanatory variables; two types of multicollinearity may be faced in regression analysis, perfect and near multicollinearity. As an example of perfect multicollinearity assuming that the three components of a mixture are studied by including their percentages of the total  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  obviously these variables will have the perfect linear relationship  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 100$ . During regression calculations, the exact linear relationship causes a division by zero which in turn causes the calculations to be aborted. When the relationship is not exact, the division by zero does not occur and the calculations are not aborted. However the division by a very small quantity still distorts the results. Hence, one of the first steps in a regression analysis is to determine if a multicollinearity is a problem. Multicollinearity can be thought of as a situation where two or more explanatory variables in the data set move together. As a consequence it is impossible to use this data set to decide which of the explanatory variables is producing the observed change in the response variable. Moreover, multicollinearity can create inaccurate estimates of the regression coefficients. To deal with multicollinearity we must be able to identify its source. The source impacts the analysis, the corrections and the interpretation of linear model. The sources of multicollinearity may be summarized as follows: [1]

**1-** Data collection. In this case the data have been collected from a narrow subspace of the explanatory variable. The multicollinearity has been created by the sampling methodology and doesn't exist in the population. Obtaining more data on an expanded range would cure this multicollinearity problem.

**2-** Physical constraints on the linear model or population. This source will exist no matter what sampling technique is used. Many manufacturing or service processes have constraints on explanatory variables (as to their range), either physically, politically, or legally which will create multicollinearity moreover extreme values or outliers in the  $X$  space can cause multicollinearity.

Some multicollinearity is nearly always present, but the important point is whether the multicollinearity is serious enough to cause appreciable damage to the regression analysis. Indicators of multicollinearity include a low determinant of the information matrix  $X'X$ , a very high correlation among two or more explanatory variables, very high correlation among two or more estimated coefficients a very small (near zero) eigenvalues of the correlation matrix of the explanatory variables. Moreover the Farrar-Glauber test based on Chi square

statistic may be used to detect multicollinearity. Accordingly the null hypothesis to be tested is:

$$H_0 : X_j \text{ are orthogonal , } j= 1,2,\dots,p$$

Against an alternative

$$H_1 : X_j \text{ are not orthogonal.}$$

The test statistic is

$$\chi^2 = - [(n-1) - \frac{1}{6} (2p+5)] \ln |D| \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Where n is the number of observations, p is the number of explanatory variables, |D| is the determinant of correlation matrix. Comparing the calculated value of  $\chi^2$  with theoretical value at  $p(p-1)/2$  degrees of freedom and specified level of significant, we reject  $H_0$  if the calculated value is more than the theoretical value which means that the dataset suffers from a multicollinearity problem, otherwise the null hypothesis  $H_0$  can not be rejected.

### The Shrinkage Estimators

Applying the singular value decomposition we can decompose an  $(n \times p)$  matrix into three matrices as follows:

$$X=H D^{1/2} G' \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

Where H is an  $n \times p$  semi orthogonal matrix satisfying  $H'H = I_p$ ,  $D^{1/2}$  is a  $(p \times p)$  diagonal matrix of ordered singular values of X

$d_1^{1/2} \geq d_2^{1/2} \geq \dots \geq d_p^{1/2} > 0$ , G is a  $(p \times p)$  orthogonal matrix whose columns represent the eigenvectors of  $X'X$ .

Accordingly, the ordinary least squares estimator of the regression parameter vector  $\beta$  can be written as:

$$b_{OLS} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y \\ = GC$$

Where  $C = D^{-1/2} H'Y$  is a  $(p \times 1)$  vector containing the uncorrelated components of  $b_{OLS}$  [2]

The generalized shrinkage estimators will be denoted by  $b_{SH}$  may be defined as: [1]

$$b_{SH} = G\Delta C = \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{g}_j \delta_j c_j \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

where  $\bar{g}_j$  is the j-th column of the matrix G,  $\delta_j$  is the j-th diagonal element of the shrinkage factors diagonal matrix  $\Delta$ ,  $0 \leq \delta_j \leq 1$ ,  $j = 1,2,\dots,p$ ,  $c_j$  is the j-th element of the uncorrelated component vector C.

### Ordinary Ridge Regression Estimators

One of several methods that have been proposed to remedy multicollinearity problem by modifying the method of least squares to allow biased estimators of the regression coefficients, is the ridge regression method. The ridge estimator depends crucially upon an exogenous parameter, say k called the ridge parameter or the biasing parameter of the estimator. For any  $k \geq 0$ , the corresponding ridge estimator denoted by  $b_{RR}$  is defined as:

$$b_{RR} = (X'X + kI)^{-1} X'Y \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Where  $k \geq 0$  is a constant chosen by the statistician on the basis of some intuitively plausible criteria put forward by Hoerl and Kennard.[3]

It can be shown that the ridge regression estimator given in (5) is a member of the class of shrinkage estimators as follows:[2]

By using Matrix algebra and singular value decomposition approach of matrix X we get:

$$b_{RR} = (X'X + kI)^{-1} X'Y = [G(D+kI)G']^{-1} GD^{1/2} H'Y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= G(D+kI)^{-1}G'GD^{1/2} H'Y \\
 &= G(D+kI)^{-1}D^{1/2}H'Y \\
 &= G[(D+kI)^{-1}D] D^{-1/2}H'Y \\
 &= G\Delta C \dots\dots\dots (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\Delta=(D+kI)^{-1}D$ . Equivalently, the shrinkage factors  $\delta_j, j=1,\dots,p$  of the ridge estimator have the form

$$\delta_j = \frac{d_j}{d_j+k}, \quad j= 1,2,\dots,p \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

where  $d_j$  is the  $j^{th}$  element (eigenvalues) of the diagonal matrix  $D$ , and  $k$  is the ridge parameter.

### The Generalized Ridge Regression (GRR)

In this section we suggest using the singular value decomposition technique in order to derive the generalized ridge regression estimator for the first time (as far as we know). Let  $G$  be a  $(p \times p)$  orthogonal matrix with columns as eigenvectors  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p)$  of  $X'X$  Hence,  $G'(X'X)G = G'(GDG')G = D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$ . Then we can rewrite the linear model as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y &= X\beta + \varepsilon \\
 &= (HD^{1/2}) G'\beta + \varepsilon = X^* \alpha + \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $X^* = HD^{1/2}$ ,  $\alpha = G'\beta$

This model is called canonical linear model or uncorrelated components model. The OLS estimate for  $\alpha$  is given as :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{OLS} &= (X^{*'}X^*)^{-1}X^{*'}Y = (D^{1/2}H'H D^{1/2})^{-1}X^{*'}Y \\
 &= D^{-1}X^{*'}Y \dots\dots\dots(9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and var}(\alpha_{OLS}) = \sigma^2 (X^{*'}X^*)^{-1} = \sigma^2 D^{-1}.$$

Which is diagonal. This shows the important property of this parameterization since the elements of  $\alpha_{OLS}$  namely  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p)_{OLS}$  are uncorrelated. The ridge estimator for  $\alpha$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{RR} &= (X^{*'}X^* + K)^{-1}X^{*'}Y = (D+K)^{-1}X^{*'}Y \dots\dots\dots(10) \\
 &= (D+K)^{-1}X^{*'}X^* \alpha_{OLS} \\
 &= (I+KD^{-1})^{-1} \alpha_{OLS} \\
 &= w_K \alpha_{OLS} = \text{diag} \left( \frac{d_i}{d_i+k_i} \right) \alpha_{OLS}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $K$  is a diagonal matrix with entries  $(k_1, k_2 \dots k_p)$ . This estimate is known as generalized ridge estimate. The mean square error of  $\alpha_{RR}$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MSE}(\alpha_{RR}) &= \text{var}(\alpha_{RR}) + (\text{bias } \alpha_{RR}) (\text{bias } \alpha_{RR})' \\
 &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(w_K D^{-1} w_K') + (w_K - I) \alpha_{OLS} \alpha_{OLS}' (w_K - I)' \\
 &= \sigma^2 \sum \frac{d_i}{(d_i + k_i)^2} + \sum \frac{k_i^2 \alpha_{(OLS)i}^2}{(d_i + k_i)^2} \dots\dots\dots(11)
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the value of  $k_i$  that minimize  $\text{MSE}(\alpha_{RR})$  we differentiate equation(11) with respect to  $k_i$  and equating the resultant derivative to zero thus

$$\frac{\partial \text{MSE}(\alpha_{RR})}{\partial k_i} = -\sigma^2 \sum \frac{d_i}{(d_i + k_i)^3} + \sum \frac{d_i k_i \alpha_{(OLS)i}^2}{(d_i + k_i)^3} = 0$$

Solving for  $k_i$  we obtain :  $k_i = \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)i}^2}$

Since the value of  $\sigma^2$  is usually unknown we use the estimate value  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ . Accordingly, when

$$\begin{aligned} \text{matrix K satisfies } \hat{k}_i &= \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)i}^2} \\ &= \text{diag} \left( \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)1}^2}, \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)2}^2}, \dots, \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)p}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then the mean square error of generalized ridge regression estimate  $\alpha_{RR}$  attains the minimum value. The original form of ridge regression estimator can be converted back from the canonical form by:

$$b_{(GRR)} = G \alpha_{(RR)} \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

All the basic results concerning the ordinary ridge regression estimator can be shown to hold for this more general formulation.

### Choice of Ridge Parameter

The ridge regression estimator does not provide a unique solution to the problem of multicollinearity, but provide a family of solutions .These solutions depend on the value of k (the ridge biasing parameter). No explicit optimum value can be found for k. Yet, several stochastic choices have been proposed for this shrinkage parameter .Some of these choices may be summarized as follows:[4]

Hoerl and Kennard (1970), suggested a graphical method called ridge trace to select the value of the ridge parameter k. This plot shows the ridge regression coefficients as a function of k. When viewing the ridge trace, the analyst picks the value of k for which the regression coefficients have stabilized. Often, the regression coefficients will vary widely for small values of k and then stabilize. We have to choose the smallest value of k possible (which introduces the smallest bias) after which the regression coefficients have seem to remain constant. Hoerl, Kennard and Baldwin (1975), proposed another method to select a single k value given as:

$$\hat{k}_{(HKB)} = \frac{PS^2}{b'_{OLS} b_{OLS}} \dots\dots\dots (13)$$

Where P is the number of predictor variables,  $S^2$  is the OLS estimator for  $\sigma^2$ ,  $b_{OLS}$  is the OLS estimator for the vector of regression coefficients.

Lawless and Wang (1976) have proposed selecting the value of K by using the formula :

$$\hat{k}_{(LW)} = \frac{PS^2}{b'_{OLS} X'X b_{OLS}} \dots\dots\dots (14)$$

Hoerl and Kennard (1970) suggested the iterative method to estimate the value of K based on the formula:

$$k_{j+1} = \frac{PS^2}{[b_{RR(k_j)}]' [b_{RR(k_j)}]} \dots\dots\dots (15)$$

The first value of k assumed to be zero and hence,  $[b_{RR(k_0)}]' [b_{RR(k_0)}] = b'_{OLS} b_{OLS}$ . Substituting for  $k_0$  in the right hand side of (15) we obtain the first adjusted value  $k_1$  which will be also substituted in the right hand side of equation (15) to obtain the second adjusted value  $k_2$  then continue the iterations until the following inequality have to be satisfied :[5]

$$\frac{k_{j+1} - k_j}{k_j} \leq \epsilon \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

Where  $\epsilon$  is small positive number (close to zero). Hoerl and Kennard proposed the value of  $\epsilon$  to be [5]

$$\varepsilon = 20 \left[ \frac{\text{trace}(X'X)^{-1}}{P} \right]^{-1.3} \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

In the case of generalized ridge regression Hoerl and Kennard proposed method to select  $k_i$  as follows:

$$k_i = \frac{s^2}{\alpha_{(OLS)i}^2} \dots\dots\dots(18)$$

**Numerical Example**

In this section we apply the procedures discussed earlier employing the data obtained from Midland Refineries Company to determine the effect of six factors (explanatory variables  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_6$ ) on the productivity of labor (response variable  $y$ ). The data are given in table (1). Applying the Farrar-Glauber test given in equation (2), it was shown that the calculated  $\chi^2$  is 137.456 while the theoretical value at 15 degree of freedom and 0.05 level of significant is 24.996. Obviously, the calculated value is greater than the theoretical value of the  $\chi^2$  which implies that the data suffer from a high degree of multicollinearity. Let us assume that  $ORR_1$  represents the ordinary ridge regression estimator with the ridge parameter obtained by Hoerl-Kennard and Baldwin  $\hat{k}_{(HKB)}$ ,  $ORR_2$  represents the ordinary ridge regression estimator with the ridge parameter obtained by Lawless and Wang  $\hat{k}_{(LW)}$  and GRR represents the generalized ridge regression estimator. Applying the formulas in equations (13), (14) and (18) we obtain:

$$\hat{k}_{(HKB)} = 0.0075410, \quad \hat{k}_{(LW)} = 0.027179$$

$$\hat{k}_{(GRR)i} = [0.121641, 0.0117446, 0.0051056, 0.053459, 0.002102, 0.083658]$$

The computation results of variance inflation factors (VIF) for each explanatory variable, the mean square error (MSE) and the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  for each method are presented in tables (2), (3) and (4) respectively.

**Conclusion**

In addition to the Farrar-Glauber test, the large values of VIF in table (2) is another indicator that our dataset suffers from a high degree of multicollinearity [6], since the GRR estimator has smaller MSE and larger  $R^2$  than other two estimators ( $ORR_1, ORR_2$ ) as it is shown in table (3) and (4), we conclude that the GRR is better than  $ORR_1$  and  $ORR_2$  estimators for remedy the multicollinearity problem in our dataset.

**References**

1. Obenchain,R.L.(1975),"Ridge analysis following a preliminary test of the shrunken hypothesis",*Technometrics*,17. 431-445
2. Gorgees, H.M.(2009),"Using singular value decomposition method for estimating the ridge parameter", *Journal of Economics and Administrative science* ,53.1-10
3. Hoel, A.E., and Kennard, R.W.(1970), "Ridge Regression Biased Estimation for Nonorthogonal Problem",*Technometrics*,12. 55-67
4. El-Dereny, M and. Rashwan, N.I.(2011), "Solving Multicollinearity problem Using Ridge Regression Modlles",*Int.J.Contemp,Math.Sciences*, 6. 585-600 .
5. Drapper, N.R. and Smith, H.(1981), "Applied Regression Analysis", Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York .
6. Pasha,G.R and Ali Shah, M.A.(2004),"Application of ridge regression to multicollinear data", *Journal of Research(Science)*, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan ,15. 97-106

**Table (1): Effect of six explanatory variables  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_6$  on response variable Y**

Y	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$
3193	7	79	305	230	1580	337
3506	8	80	390	266	1590	358
5203	8	81	415	280	1610	416
3118	9	84	425	330	1640	454
10565	9	85	434	368	1642	465
28245	7	137	692	416	1535	470
34701	35	200	759	440	1894	574
33660	3	833	2475	1222	353	533
45240	4	1153	2480	1285	345	733
51157	4	1285	2745	1141	311	873
65085	4	1353	2854	1087	350	878
62893	4	1331	2895	1082	382	908

**Table (2): VIF for all variables**

Predictor	VIF
$X_1$	25.279
$X_2$	366.798
$X_3$	220.081
$X_4$	89.442
$X_5$	884.559
$X_6$	92.407

**Table(3): MSE for each method**

MSE	
ORR <sub>1</sub>	0.074426
ORR <sub>2</sub>	0.093867
GRR	0.069632

**Table(4): R<sup>2</sup> for each method**

R-Square	
ORR <sub>1</sub>	95.94
ORR <sub>2</sub>	94.88
GRR	96.2018

## توظيف طريقة انحدار الحرف في معالجة مشكلة التعدد الخطي

حازم منصور كوركيس

بشرى عبد الرسول علي

قسم الرياضيات / كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة (ابن الهيثم) / جامعة بغداد

استلم البحث في: 11 ايلول 2012 ، قبل البحث في: 15 تشرين الاول 2012

### الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قدمنا طرائق عديدة لانحدار الحرف لحل مشكلة التعدد الخطي في أنموذج الانحدار الخطي العام هذه الطرائق تشمل نوعين من طرائق أنحدار الحرف الاعتيادية ( $ORR_1, ORR_2$ ) بالاعتماد على طريقة اختيار معلمة الحرف وكذلك تشمل على طريقة أنحدار الحرف العامة (GRR) وقد طبقت هذه الطرائق على مجموعة من البيانات تعاني من مشكلة التعدد الخطي بدرجة عالية وبالاعتماد على معيار متوسط مربعات الخطا (MSE) ومعامل التحديد  $R^2$  لاغراض المفاضلة. تبين لنا ان طريقة أنحدار الحرف العامة (GRR) هي الافضل من الطريقتين الاخرتين .

**الكلمات المفتاحية :** أنحدار الحرف الاعتيادي، أنحدار الحرف العام، المقدرات المقلصة، تحليل القيمة الشاذة، معامل التحديد