

On Pair-Wise s^* -Compactness in Bitopological Spaces

Sabiha I. Mahmood

alzubaidy.sabiha@yahoo.com

Al-Mustansiriyah University - College of Science
Department of Mathematics

Abstract: *The main goal of this paper is to create special types of compactness on topological spaces (X, τ) and on bitopological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) , where we studied the concepts of s^* -compactness and pair-wise s^* -compactness. Also, we study the characterizations and basic properties of s^* -compact spaces and pair-wise s^* -compact spaces.*

Key words: *s^* -compact space, pair-wise s^* -open cover, pair-wise s^* -clopen set, pair-wise s^* -compact space, pair-wise s^* -irresolute function, pair-wise s^* -continuous function.*

Introduction

Levine, N. [1] introduced the concept of semi open sets. Also, Al-Meklaifi, S. [2] introduced and investigated s^* -closed sets by using the concept of semi-open sets. Khan, M. and et.al., [3] we can prove that the family of all s^* -open subsets of a topological space (X, τ) form a topology on X which is finer than τ . The concept of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) was first introduced by Kelly, J. [4], where X is a non-empty set and τ_1, τ_2 are topologies on X . Reilly, I. and Mrsevice, M. [5] introduced the concept of pair-wise compact spaces. Recall that a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a semi-open set if there exists an open subset U of

X such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq cl(U)$ [1]. The complement of a semi-open set is said to be semi-closed [1]. An s^* -closed set is also called s^* g-closed [3], \hat{g} -closed [6] and w-closed [7].

1. Preliminaries

Definition(1.1)[2]:

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called an s^* -closed set if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in (X, τ) . The complement of an s^* -closed set is said to be s^* -open. The class of all s^* -open subsets of (X, τ) is denoted by $S^*O(X, \tau)$.

Remarks (1.2):

- i) Every open (closed) set is an s^* -open (s^* -closed) set respectively, but the converse is not true.
- ii) Semi-open sets and s^* -open sets are independent.

Theorem (1.3)[8]:

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is s^* -open iff $F \subseteq int(A)$ whenever F is an semi-closed subset of X and $F \subseteq A$.

Definition (1.4):

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then:

- i) The s^* -closure of A , denoted by $s^*-cl(A)$ is the intersection of all s^* -closed subsets of X which contains A [3].
- ii) The s^* -interior of A , denoted by $s^*-int(A)$ is the union of all s^* -open subsets of X which are contained in A [3].
- iii) The semi-closure of A , denoted by $scl(A)$ is the intersection of all semi-closed subsets of X which contains A [1].

Theorem (1.5)[9]:

A topological space (X, τ) is a semi- T_1 -space iff every singleton subset of X is semi-closed.

Theorem (1.6)[8]:

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is an s^* -closed set iff $cl(A) - A$ contains no non-empty semi-closed set.

Proposition (1.7):

- (i) If A is an s^* -open set in X and B is an s^* -open set in Y . Then $A \times B$ is an s^* -open set in $X \times Y$.
- (ii) If $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. Then $A \times B$ is an s^* -closed set in $X \times Y$ iff A and B are s^* -closed sets in X and Y respectively.

Proof:

(i) Suppose that F is a semi-closed set in $X \times Y$ such that $F \subseteq A \times B$. By theorem (1.3) we will prove that $F \subseteq \text{int}(A \times B)$. Let $(x, y) \in F$. Then $(x, y) \in \text{scl}\{(x, y)\} = \text{scl}\{x\} \times \text{scl}\{y\} \subseteq \text{scl}(F) = F \subseteq A \times B$ and it follows that $\text{scl}\{x\} \subseteq A$ and $\text{scl}\{y\} \subseteq B$. Since A and B are s^* -open sets in X and Y respectively, then by theorem (1.3), we get $\text{scl}\{x\} \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ and $\text{scl}\{y\} \subseteq \text{int}(B)$. Thus $(x, y) \in \text{scl}\{x\} \times \text{scl}\{y\} \subseteq \text{int}(A) \times \text{int}(B) = \text{int}(A \times B)$. Hence $F \subseteq \text{int}(A \times B)$. Thus $A \times B$ is an s^* -open set in $X \times Y$.

(ii) Suppose that A and B are s^* -closed sets in X and Y respectively. To prove that $A \times B$ is an s^* -closed set in $X \times Y$. By theorem (1.6) is sufficient to show that $Q = cl(A \times B) - A \times B$ contains no non-empty semi-closed set. Suppose on the contrary that $\text{scl}(x, y) \subseteq Q$ for some $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. It follows that $\text{scl}(x) \subseteq cl(A)$ and $\text{scl}(y) \subseteq cl(B)$. Since $cl(A) - A$ and $cl(B) - B$ contains no non-empty semi-closed set, then by theorem (1.6) $\text{scl}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{scl}(y) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x' \in \text{scl}(x) \cap A$ and $y' \in \text{scl}(y) \cap B$. Then $(x', y') \in \text{scl}(x', y') = \text{scl}\{x'\} \times \text{scl}\{y'\} \subseteq \text{scl}\{x\} \times \text{scl}\{y\} = \text{scl}(x, y) \subseteq Q$. Thus $(x', y') \notin A \times B$ contradicting the fact that $(x', y') \in A \times B$. Conversely, it is obvious.

Corollary(1.8):

If A and B are subsets of topological spaces (X, τ) and (Y, τ') respectively. Then:

- i) $s^*-\text{int}(A) \times s^*-\text{int}(B) \subseteq s^*-\text{int}(A \times B)$
- ii) $s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B) = s^*-\text{cl}(A \times B)$.

Proof:

(i) Let $(x, y) \in s^*-\text{int}(A) \times s^*-\text{int}(B) \Rightarrow x \in s^*-\text{int}(A)$ and $y \in s^*-\text{int}(B)$. Hence there are s^* -open sets U in X and V in Y such that $x \in U \subseteq A$ and $y \in V \subseteq B$. Therefore $(x, y) \in U \times V \subseteq A \times B$. But by proposition ((1.7),(i)), $U \times V$ is an s^* -open set in $X \times Y$. Hence $(x, y) \in s^*-\text{int}(A \times B)$, thus $s^*-\text{int}(A) \times s^*-\text{int}(B) \subseteq s^*-\text{int}(A \times B)$.

(ii) Since $s^*-\text{cl}(A)$ and $s^*-\text{cl}(B)$ are s^* -closed sets, then by proposition ((1.7),(ii)), $s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B)$ is an s^* -closed set in $X \times Y$. Since $A \times B \subseteq s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B)$, then $s^*-\text{cl}(A \times B) \subseteq s^*-\text{cl}[s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B)] = s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B)$. Hence $s^*-\text{cl}(A \times B) \subseteq s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B)$. By the same way we can prove that $s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B) \subseteq s^*-\text{cl}(A \times B)$. Therefore $s^*-\text{cl}(A) \times s^*-\text{cl}(B) = s^*-\text{cl}(A \times B)$.

Definition (1.9):

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from a topological space (X, τ) into a topological space (Y, τ') is called.

- (i) s^* -continuous [8] if the inverse image of every open set in Y is s^* -open in X .
- (ii) s^* -irresolute [6] if the inverse image of every s^* -open set in Y is s^* -open in X .

Corollary (1.10):

Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. Then the projection functions $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are s^* -irresolute functions.

Proof:

Let U be an s^* -open set in X , then $\pi_X^{-1}(U) = U \times Y$. Since U is s^* -open in X and Y is s^* -open in Y , then by proposition ((1.7),(i)), $U \times Y$ is an s^* -open set in $X \times Y$. Thus $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is s^* -irresolute. Similarly we can prove that $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is s^* -irresolute.

Definition (1.11)[8]:

A topological space (X, τ) is called an s^* - T_2 -space if for any two distinct points x and y of X , there are two s^* -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition (1.12)[8]:

A topological space (X, τ) is called an s^* -regular space if for any closed subset F of X and any point x of X which is not in F , there are two s^* -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, F \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition (1.13)[10]:

Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in a topological space (X, τ) . Then $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ s^* -converges to $x \in X$ (written $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*} x$) iff for each s^* -neighborhood U of x , there is some $d_0 \in D$ such that $d \geq d_0$ implies $x_d \in U$. Thus $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ s^* -converges to x iff it is eventually in every s^* -neighborhood of x . The point x is called an s^* -limit point of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$.

Definition (1.14)[10]:

Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in a topological space (X, τ) . Then $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is said to have $x \in X$ as an s^* -cluster point (written $x_d \overset{s^*}{\infty} x$) iff for each s^* -neighborhood U of x and for each $d \in D$, there is some $d_0 \geq d$ such

that $x_{d_0} \in U$. Thus $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ has x as an s^* -cluster point iff $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is frequently in every s^* -neighborhood of x .

Theorem (1.15)[10]:

Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in a topological space (X, τ) and for each d in D let A_d be the set of all points x_{d_0} for $d_0 \geq d$. Then x is an s^* -cluster point of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ if and only if x belongs to the s^* -closure of A_d for each d in D .

Theorem (1.16)[10]:

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. If x is a point of X , then $x \in s^*cl(A)$ if and only if there exists a net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in A such that $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*} x$.

2. s^* -compactness

In this section we study a new type of compactness (to the best of our knowledge), namely s^* -compactness. We will introduce new definitions, theorems, corollaries, remarks and examples.

Definition (2.1):

A collection $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of s^* -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) is called an s^* -open cover of a subset A of X if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$.

Definition (2.2):

A topological space (X, τ) is said to be s^* -compact if every s^* -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Definition (2.3):

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be s^* -compact if every cover of A by s^* -open subsets of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem (2.4):

Every s^* -compact space is a compact space.

Proof:

This is obvious since every open set is s^* -open.

The converse of theorem (2.4) is not true in general. As in the following example:

Example (2.5):

Let X be any infinite set with indiscrete topology (X, I) , then (X, I) is a compact space, but (X, I) is not s^* -compact, since $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is an s^* -open cover of X which has no finite subcover.

Examples (2.6):

- i) It is clear that any finite topological space is s^* -compact.
- ii) Any infinite set X with the co-finite topology $(X, \tau_{cof.})$ is s^* -compact.

Proof:

Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any s^* -open cover of $X \Rightarrow X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ and U_α is an s^* -open set in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Choose $U_{\alpha_0} \in \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, then $U_{\alpha_0}^c = U'$ is an s^* -closed set in X . To prove that U' is closed. Now, let $x \in cl(U')$. Since X is a semi- T_1 -space (because X is a T_1 -space), then by theorem (1.5), $\{x\}$ is a semi-closed set in X , if $x \notin U'$, then $\{x\} \subseteq cl(U') \cap (X - U') = cl(U') - U'$. Hence $cl(U') - U'$ contains a non-empty semi-closed set $\{x\}$. This is a contradiction since U' is s^* -closed and according to the theorem (1.6) this is not possible. That is $x \in U'$, it follows that $U_{\alpha_0}^c = U'$ is closed, hence U_{α_0} is open. Therefore $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact, then $\exists \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=0}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Thus $(X, \tau_{cof.})$ is an s^* -compact space.

- iii) The real line \mathfrak{R} with usual topology (\mathfrak{R}, μ) is not s^* -compact, since it is not compact.
- iv) Any infinite set X with discrete topology (X, D) is not s^* -compact, since $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is an s^* -open cover of X which has no finite subcover.

Theorem (2.7):

A topological space (X, τ) is s^* -compact if and only if given any family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of s^* -closed subsets of X such that the intersection of any finite number of the F_α is non-empty, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \neq \phi$.

Proof:

\Rightarrow Suppose that (X, τ) is s^* -compact and $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any family of s^* -closed subsets of X such that the intersection of any finite number of the F_α is non-empty. To prove that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \neq \phi$, if not $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \phi \Rightarrow (\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha)^c = \phi^c \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X - F_\alpha) = X$. Set $U_\alpha = X - F_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha = X$. Each U_α is the complement of an s^* -closed set and hence is s^* -open, therefore $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an s^* -open cover of X . Since (X, τ) is s^* -compact $\Rightarrow \exists \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} = \phi$, this is a contradiction. Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \neq \phi$.

Conversely, to prove that (X, τ) is s^* -compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any s^* -open cover of $X \Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ and U_α is an s^* -open set in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow X^c = (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha)^c \Rightarrow \phi = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha^c$, where $U_\alpha^c = F_\alpha$ is an s^* -closed set in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a family of s^* -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \phi$. Hence we can

find finitely many of the F_α , say $F_{\alpha_1}, F_{\alpha_2}, \dots, F_{\alpha_n}$ such that

$\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} = \phi \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} = X \Rightarrow \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite sub cover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Thus (X, τ) is an s^* -compact space.

Theorem (2.8):

Any s^* -closed subset of an s^* -compact space (X, τ) is s^* -compact.

Proof:

Let (X, τ) be an s^* -compact space and A be any s^* -closed subset of X . To prove that A is s^* -compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any cover of A by s^* -open subsets of $X \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$. Since A is s^* -closed in X ,

then A^c is s^* -open in X . Since $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \Rightarrow A \cup A^c \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \cup A^c \Rightarrow X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \cup A^c \Rightarrow \{\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, A^c\}$ is an s^* -open cover of X .

Since X is s^* -compact, then $\exists \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n, A^c$ is a finite sub cover of X . Since $A \cup A^c = X$ & $A \cap A^c = \phi \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite sub cover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Thus A is s^* -compact in X .

Theorem (2.9):

Any s^* -compact subset of an s^*-T_2 -space (X, τ) is s^* -closed.

Proof:

Let A be any s^* -compact subset of an s^*-T_2 -space X . To prove that A is s^* -closed. Let $x \in A^c$, then $\forall y \in A \Rightarrow y \notin A^c \Rightarrow x \neq y$. Since X is an s^*-T_2 -space, then by definition (1.11) there are two s^* -open sets U_x and V_y of x and y respectively such that $U_x \cap V_y = \phi$. Hence

$A \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in A} V_y \Rightarrow \{V_y\}_{y \in A}$ is an s^* -open cover of A . But A is s^* -compact, and then $\exists \{V_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{V_y\}_{y \in A}$. Now, let

$V = V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{y_n}$ and $U = U_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{x_n}$, then U and V are s*-open since there are respectively the union and finite intersection of s*-open sets. Furthermore, $A \subseteq V$ and $x \in U$ since x belongs to each U_{x_i} . Since $U_{x_i} \cap V_{y_i} = \phi, \forall i = 1, \dots, n \Rightarrow U \cap V_{y_i} = \phi, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $U \cap V = \phi$. Since $A \subseteq V$, then $U \cap A = \phi$, therefore $x \in U \subseteq A^c$, thus A^c is s*-open. Hence A is s*-closed.

Remark (2.10):

If a topological space (X, τ) is not s*- T_2 -space, then s*-compact subset in general is not s*-closed. As in the following example:

Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ & $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$. Since $S^*O(X, \tau) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$, then (X, τ) is not s*- T_2 -space. Observe that $\{a\}$ is s*-compact, but is not s*-closed.

Corollary (2.11):

A subset of an s*-compact s*- T_2 -space (X, τ) is s*-compact iff it is s*-closed.

Proof:

It is obvious.

Corollary (2.12):

Any s*-compact s*- T_2 -space (X, τ) is an s*-regular space.

Proof:

Let $x \in X$ and A be a closed subset of X such that $x \notin A$. Hence A is an s*-closed subset of X such that $x \notin A$. Since X is s*-compact, then by theorem (2.8), A is s*-compact and $x \notin A$. Hence, $\forall y \in A \Rightarrow y \in A^c \Rightarrow x \neq y$. Since X is an s*- T_2 -space, then by definition (1.11) there are two s*-open sets U_x and V_y of x and y respectively

such that $U_x \cap V_y = \phi$. Hence $A \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in A} V_y \Rightarrow \{V_y\}_{y \in A}$ is an s^* -open cover of A. But A is s^* -compact, then $\exists \{V_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite sub cover of $\{V_y\}_{y \in A}$. Now, let $V = V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{y_n}$ and $U = U_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{x_n}$, then U and V are s^* -open since there are respectively the union and finite intersection of s^* -open sets. Furthermore, $A \subseteq V$ and $x \in U$ since x belongs to each U_{x_i} . Since $U_{x_i} \cap V_{y_i} = \phi, \forall i=1, \dots, n \Rightarrow U \cap V_{y_i} = \phi, \forall i=1, \dots, n$. Hence $U \cap V = \phi$. Therefore by definition (1.12), (X, τ) is an s^* -regular space.

Theorem (2.13):

- (i) The s^* -continuous image of an s^* -compact space is compact.
- (ii) The s^* -irresolute image of an s^* -compact space is s^* -compact.

Proof:

- (i) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s^* -continuous function from an s^* -compact space (X, τ) into a topological space (Y, τ') . To prove that $f(X)$ is compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any open cover of $f(X) \Rightarrow f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$, where U_α is open in Y for each $\alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Since f is s^* -continuous and U_α is open in Y for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then by definition ((1.9),(i)) $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is s^* -open in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, hence $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an s^* -open cover of X. Because (X, τ) is s^* -compact, then $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ has a finite subcover of X, that is $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})$. Hence $f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Thus $f(X)$ is a compact set in Y.

(ii) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s*-irresolute function from an s*-compact space (X, τ) into a topological space (Y, τ') . To prove that $f(X)$ is s*-compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any cover of $f(X)$ by s*-open subsets of $Y \Rightarrow f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$, where U_α is s*-open in Y for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Hence $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Since f is s*-irresolute and U_α is s*-open in Y for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then by definition ((1.9),(ii)) $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is s*-open in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, hence $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an s*-open cover of X . Because X is s*-compact, then $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ has a finite subcover of X , that is $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})$. Hence $f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. So, $\{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Thus $f(X)$ is an s*-compact set in Y .

Corollary (2.14):

If $X \times Y$ is s*-compact space, then each of X and Y are s*-compact space.

Proof:

By corollary (1.10) the projection functions $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are s*-irresolute functions and by theorem ((2.13),(ii)) X and Y are s*-compact spaces.

Theorem (2.15):

The union of two s*-compact subsets of a topological space (X, τ) is s*-compact.

Proof:

Let A and B be s*-compact sets. To prove that $A \cup B$ is s*-compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any cover of $A \cup B$ by s*-open subsets of

$X \Rightarrow A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$. Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$, then $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an s*-open cover of A and B respectively. Since A and B are s*-compact sets, then there exist finitely members of Λ say $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$, and finitely members of Λ say $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{\alpha_j}$. It follows that $A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+m} U_{\alpha_k}$. Thus $A \cup B$ is s*-compact.

Corollary (2.16):

The union of any finite collection of s*-compact subsets of a topological space (X, τ) is s*-compact.

Proof:

It is obvious.

Now, we need the following theorem.

Theorem (2.17):

A net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in a topological space (X, τ) has x as an s*-cluster point iff it has a subnet which s*-converges to x .

Proof:

Let x be an s*-cluster point of (x_d) . Define $M = \{(d, U) : d \in D, U \text{ is an s*-nhood of } x \text{ such that } x_d \in U\}$. Order M as follows: $(d_1, U_1) \leq (d_2, U_2)$ iff $d_1 \leq d_2$ and $U_2 \subseteq U_1$. It is clear that M is a directed set. Define $\varphi : M \rightarrow D$ by: $\varphi(d, U) = d$. Then φ is increasing and cofinal in D , so φ defines a subnet of (x_d) . Let U_0 be an s*-nhood of x . Since x is an s*-cluster point of (x_d) , then $\exists d_0 \in D$ such that $x_{d_0} \in U_0$. Hence $(d_0, U_0) \in M$ and moreover $(d, U) \geq (d_0, U_0)$ implies $U \subseteq U_0$, so that $(x \circ \varphi)(d, U) = x(d) = x_d \in U \subseteq U_0$. It follows that the subnet defined by φ s*-converges to x .

Conversely, suppose $\varphi: M \rightarrow D$ defines a subnet of (x_d) which s^* -converges to x . To prove that x is an s^* -cluster point of (x_d) . Let U be any s^* -nhood of x and $d_0 \in D$. Since φ is cofinal in D , then there is some $m_0 \in M$ such that $\varphi(m_0) \geq d_0$. Since the subnet $(x_{\varphi(m)})$ of (x_d) is s^* -converges to x , then there is also some $m_u \in M$ such that $m \geq m_u$ implies $x_{\varphi(m)} \in U$. Since M is a directed set, then there is $m^* \in M$ such that $m^* \geq m_0$ and $m^* \geq m_u$. Since φ is increasing and $m^* \geq m_0$, then $\varphi(m^*) \geq \varphi(m_0)$, hence $\varphi(m^*) = d^* \geq d_0$ and $x_{d^*} = x_{\varphi(m^*)} \in U$. Thus for each s^* -nhood U of x and each $d_0 \in D$, there is some $d^* \geq d_0$ such that $x_{d^*} \in U$. It follows that x is an s^* -cluster point of (x_d) .

Theorem (2.18):

A topological space (X, τ) is s^* -compact if and only if every net in X has an s^* -cluster point.

Proof:

Let (X, τ) be an s^* -compact space and let (x_d) be a net in X . For each d in D , let $M_d = \{x_{d_0} : d_0 \geq d\}$. Since D is directed by \geq , then the collection $\{M_d : d \in D\}$ has the finite intersection property. Hence $\{s^*cl(M_d) : d \in D\}$ also has the finite intersection property. It follows from theorem (2.7) that $\bigcap \{s^*cl(M_d) : d \in D\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \bigcap \{s^*cl(M_d) : d \in D\}$, then $x \in s^*cl(M_d) \forall d \in D$. Hence by the theorem (1.15) x is an s^* -cluster point of (x_d) .

Conversely, suppose that every net in X has an s^* -cluster point and let Ω be a collection of s^* -closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property. Let $\Omega' = \{D : D \text{ is the intersection of a finite subcollection of } \Omega\}$. Since the intersection of every two members of Ω' is a member of Ω' , then (Ω', \subseteq) is a directed set by inclusion. Since each D is non-empty, then there is a point x_D in D .

Now define the function $x: \Omega' \rightarrow X$ by: $x(D) = x_D \quad \forall D \in \Omega'$. Then $(x_D)_{D \in \Omega'}$ is a net in X . By hypothesis, $(x_D)_{D \in \Omega'}$ must have an s^* -cluster point say x_0 and by theorem (2.17) there is a subnet (x_{D_U}) of $(x_D)_{D \in \Omega'}$ which s^* -converges to x_0 . Let E be an arbitrary member of Ω' , then for each $D \geq E$ in Ω' , we have $x(D) = x_D \in D \subseteq E$. Hence $(x_D)_{D \in \Omega'}$ is eventually in the s^* -closed set E . Since (x_{D_U}) is a subnet of $(x_D)_{D \in \Omega'}$, then (x_{D_U}) is also eventually in E and by theorem (1.16), we get $x_0 \in s^* - cl(E) = E$. Since E is an arbitrary and $\Omega \subseteq \Omega'$, then we have $x_0 \in \bigcap \Omega' \subseteq \bigcap \Omega$. Hence $\bigcap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ and by theorem (2.7) (X, τ) is an s^* -compact space.

3. Pair-Wise s^* -Compactness

In this section we study a new type of compactness (to the best of our knowledge), namely pair-wise s^* -compactness. We will introduce new definitions, theorems, corollaries, remarks and examples.

Definition (3.1)[4]:

A triple (X, τ_1, τ_2) consists of a non-empty set X with two topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on X is said to be bitopological space.

Definition (3.2)[11]:

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair-wise clopen set if A is τ_1 -open and τ_2 -closed or A is τ_1 -closed and τ_2 -open, that is $(A \in \tau_1 \wedge A^c \in \tau_2)$ or $(A^c \in \tau_1 \wedge A \in \tau_2)$.

Definition (3.3)[12]:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, τ'_1, τ'_2) be two bitopological spaces, then the topology W_1 whose base is $E_1 = \{U \times V : U \in \tau_1 \text{ and } V \in \tau'_1\}$ and the topology W_2 whose base is $E_2 = \{U' \times V' : U' \in \tau_2 \text{ and } V' \in \tau'_2\}$ are called the product topologies

for $X \times Y$ and $(X \times Y, W_1, W_2)$ is called the product bitopological space of two bitopological spaces X and Y .

Definition (3.4)[4]:

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a pair-wise T_2 -space if for any two distinct points x and y of X , there are a τ_1 -open set U and a τ_2 -open set V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Definition (3.5)[5]:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and A be a subset of X . By a pair-wise open cover of A , we mean a subcollection of the family $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ which contains at least one non-empty element of τ_1 and at least one non-empty element of τ_2 and it covers A .

Definition (3.6)[5]:

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair-wise compact space if every pair-wise open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Definition (3.7):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and A be a subset of X . By a pair-wise s^* -open cover of A , we mean a subcollection of the family $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) \cup \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ which contains at least one non-empty element of $\tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and at least one non-empty element of $\tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ and it covers A .

Definition (3.8):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair-wise s^* -compact space if every pair-wise s^* -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem (3.9):

Every pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise compact space.

The converse of theorem (3.9) is not true in general as shown by the following example:

Example (3.10):

The bitopological space (N, I, D) (where N is the set of all natural numbers, and I, D are the indiscrete and discrete topologies on N respectively) is a pair-wise compact space, but is not pair-wise s^* -compact, since $\{\{x\} : x \in N\}$ is a pair-wise s^* -open cover of N which has no finite subcover.

Remark (3.11):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. If (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are s^* -compact spaces, then (X, τ_1, τ_2) need not be a pair-wise s^* -compact space. As in the following example:

Example (3.12):

Let $X = \mathfrak{R}$ and $\tau_1 = \{U \subseteq \mathfrak{R} : 0 \notin U\} \cup \{\mathfrak{R}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{U \subseteq \mathfrak{R} : 1 \notin U\} \cup \{\mathfrak{R}\}$. It is clear that $\{\{x\} : x \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is a pair-wise s^* -open cover of \mathfrak{R} (since $\{\{x\} : x \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is a pair-wise open cover of \mathfrak{R}) which has no finite subcover. Therefore $(\mathfrak{R}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is not a pair-wise s^* -compact space, while (\mathfrak{R}, τ_1) and (\mathfrak{R}, τ_2) are s^* -compact spaces.

Proposition (3.13):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. If $\tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ is a subfamily of $\tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ and (X, τ_2) is an s^* -compact space or $\tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ is a subfamily of $\tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and (X, τ_1) is an s^* -compact space, then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pair-wise s^* -compact space.

Proof:

Suppose that $\tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ is a subfamily of $\tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ and (X, τ_2) is an s^* -compact space. Then $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) \cup \tau_2 - S^*O(X) = \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$, that is every pair-wise s^* -open cover of X is an s^* -open cover with respect to τ_2 . But every s^* -open cover of X with respect to τ_2 has a finite subcover, it

follows that every pair-wise s^* -open cover of X has a finite subcover. Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pair-wise s^* -compact space. Similarly we can prove the second case.

Definition(3.14):

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair-wise s^* -clopen set if $(A \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X) \wedge A^c \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X))$ or $(A^c \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X) \wedge A \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X))$.

Remark(3.15):

If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a bitopological space. Then every pair-wise clopen subset of X is a pair-wise s^* -clopen set. But the converse is not true by the following example:

Example(3.16):

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = I = \{X, \phi\}$, then $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_2 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. $\{a\}$ is a pair-wise s^* -clopen subset of X , since $\{a\} \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and $\{a\}^c = \{b, c\} \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$. But $\{a\}$ is not a pair-wise clopen set, since $\{a\} \in \tau_1$, but $\{a\}^c = \{b, c\} \notin \tau_2$.

Proposition (3.17):

A pair-wise s^* -clopen subset of a pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise s^* -compact set.

Proof:

Let A be a pair-wise s^* -clopen subset of a pair-wise s^* -compact space (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any pair-wise s^* -open cover of A . It follows by definition (3.14) that A^c is a member of the family $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) \cup \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$. Then $\{\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, A^c\}$ is a pair-wise s^* -open cover of X which is a pair-wise s^* -compact space, then there exist finitely many elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such

that $X = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}) \cup A^c$. Since $A \cup A^c = X$ & $A \cap A^c = \phi$,

then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. Therefore $\{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$.

Thus A is a pair-wise s^* -compact subspace of X .

Corollary (3.18):

A pair-wise clopen subset of a pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise s^* -compact set.

Corollary (3.19):

A pair-wise s^* -clopen (resp. pair-wise clopen) subset of a pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise compact set.

Definition (3.20):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, τ'_1, τ'_2) be two bitopological spaces. Then a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be:

- (i) Pair-wise s^* -irresolute if $f^{-1}(U) \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ for each $U \in \tau'_1 - S^*O(Y)$ and $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ for each $V \in \tau'_2 - S^*O(Y)$.
- (ii) Pair-wise s^* -continuous if $f^{-1}(U) \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ for each $U \in \tau'_1$ and $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ for each $V \in \tau'_2$.
- (iii) Pair-wise s^* -open if $f(U) \in \tau'_1 - S^*O(Y)$ for each $U \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and $f(V) \in \tau'_2 - S^*O(Y)$ for each $V \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$.

Proposition (3.21):

Let $(X \times Y, W_1, W_2)$ be the product bitopological space of bitopological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, τ'_1, τ'_2) . Then the biprojection functions $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are pair-wise s^* -irresolute.

Proof:

Let $U \in \tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and $V \in \tau_2 - S^*O(X)$, then $\pi_X^{-1}(U) = U \times Y$ and $\pi_X^{-1}(V) = V \times Y$. Since U is $\tau_1 - S^*O(X)$ and Y is $\tau'_1 - S^*O(Y)$, then by proposition ((1.7),(i)) $U \times Y$ is $W_1 - S^*O(X \times Y)$. Again since V is $\tau_2 - S^*O(X)$ and Y is $\tau'_2 - S^*O(Y)$, then by proposition ((1.7),(i)) $V \times Y$ is $W_2 - S^*O(X \times Y)$. Thus π_X is a pair-wise s^* -irresolute function. Similarly π_Y is also a pair-wise s^* -irresolute function.

Theorem (3.22):

- i) The pair-wise s^* -irresolute image of a pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise s^* -compact.
- ii) The pair-wise s^* -continuous image of a pair-wise s^* -compact space is a pair-wise compact.

Proof:

- i) Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$ be a pair-wise s^* -irresolute function and X is a pair-wise s^* -compact space. To prove that $f(X)$ is pair-wise s^* -compact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any pair-wise s^* -open cover of $f(X)$, that is $f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$.

Since f is pair-wise s^* -irresolute, so $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a pair-wise s^* -open cover of X which is a pair-wise s^* -compact space, then there exist finitely many elements

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \quad \text{such that} \quad X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}). \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}, \quad \text{thus } f(X) \text{ is a pair-wise } s^* \text{-compact set.}$$

- ii) The prove is similar to part (i) hence is omitted.

Corollary (3.23):

Let $(X \times Y, W_1, W_2)$ be the product bitopological space of bitopological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, τ'_1, τ'_2) . If $X \times Y$ is a pair-wise s^* -compact space, then each of the spaces X and Y is a pair-wise s^* -compact space.

Proof:

By proposition (3.21) the biprojection functions $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are pair-wise s^* -irresolute and by theorem ((3.22),(i)), we get X and Y are pair-wise s^* -compact spaces.

Definition (3.24):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a pair-wise s^* - T_2 -space if for any two distinct points x and y of X , there are a τ_1 - s^* -open set U and a τ_2 - s^* -open set V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Remark (3.25):

Every pair-wise T_2 -space is a pair-wise s^* - T_2 - space, but the converse is not true in general. As in the following example.

Example (3.26):

Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = I = \{X, \phi\}$. Then $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) = \tau_2 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. It is clear that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pair-wise s^* - T_2 -space, but is not a pair-wise T_2 -space.

Remark (3.27):

A pair-wise s^* -compact subset of a pair-wise s^* - T_2 -space need not to be pair-wise s^* -clopen set. As in the following example.

Example (3.28):

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$ and let $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{b, c\}\}$. Then $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \phi\}$ and $\tau_2 - S^*O(X) =$

$\{X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\}$. It is clear that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pair-wise s^*-T_2 -space. But $A = \{a, c\}$ is a pair-wise s^* -compact subset of X , but it is not a pair-wise s^* -clopen set.

To define a pair-wise s^* -regular space we introduce the following definition.

Definition (3.29):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then τ_i ($i=1,2$) is called s^* -regular with respect to τ_j ($j=1,2, i \neq j$) if for each point x in X and each τ_i -closed set F such that $x \notin F$, there exist a τ_i - s^* -open set U and a τ_j - s^* -open set V such that $x \in U$, $F \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition (3.30):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a pair-wise s^* -regular space if and only if τ_1 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_2 and τ_2 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_1 .

Remark (3.31):

A pair-wise s^* -compact pair-wise s^*-T_2 -space need not to be pair-wise s^* -regular space. As in the following example.

Example (3.32):

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau_1 = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}\}$ and let $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a\}\}$. Then $\tau_1 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_2 - S^*O(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. It is clear that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pair-wise s^* -compact pair-wise s^*-T_2 -space, but is not pair-wise s^* -regular, since $\{a\}$ is a τ_2 -closed set in X and $b \notin \{a\}$, but for each τ_2 - s^* -open set U with $b \in U$, there is no τ_1 - s^* -open set containing $\{a\}$ whose intersection with U is empty.

Theorem (3.33):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -regular iff for each $x \in X$ and each τ_1 -open set U of x , there exists a τ_1 - s^* -open set W of x such that $x \in W \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq U$ and for each τ_2 -open set V of x , there exists a τ_2 - s^* -open set H of x such that $x \in H \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_1}(H) \subseteq V$.

Proof:

Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -regular and let $x \in X$ and U be a τ_1 -open set such that $x \in U$, it follows that $x \notin U^c$ where U^c is a τ_1 -closed set. But τ_1 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_2 , then there exists a τ_1 - s^* -open set W and a τ_2 - s^* -open set V such that $x \in W$ and $U^c \subseteq V$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. Hence, we get $V^c \subseteq U$ and $W \subseteq V^c$ which is a τ_2 - s^* -closed set. Thus $x \in W \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq U$. Similarly, we can prove that for each τ_2 -open set V of x , there exists a τ_2 - s^* -open set H of x such that $x \in H \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_1}(H) \subseteq V$.

Conversely, to prove that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -regular i.e. τ_1 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_2 and τ_2 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_1 .

Let $x \in X$ and F be a τ_1 -closed set in X such that $x \notin F$, it follows that $x \in F^c$ which is a τ_1 -open set. By hypotheses there exist a τ_1 - s^* -open set W of x such that $x \in W \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq F^c$. Hence $x \in W$ and $F \subseteq [s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W)]^c$ which is a τ_2 - s^* -open set and $W \cap [s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W)]^c = \emptyset$. Thus τ_1 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_2 . Similarly, we can prove that τ_2 is s^* -regular with respect to τ_1 .

Definition (3.34):

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then τ_i ($i=1,2$) is called s^* -normal with respect to τ_j ($j=1,2, i \neq j$) if for each τ_i -closed set F_1 and each τ_j -closed set F_2 such that $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, there exist a τ_i -

s^* -open set U and a τ_j - s^* -open set V such that $F_2 \subseteq U, F_1 \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Definition(3.35):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a pair-wise s^* -normal space iff τ_1 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_2 and τ_2 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_1 .

Theorem (3.36):

A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -normal iff for each τ_1 -open set U and each τ_2 -closed set F_1 such that $F_1 \subseteq U$, there exists a τ_1 - s^* -open set W such that $F_1 \subseteq W \subseteq s^*-cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq U$ and for each τ_2 -open set V and each τ_1 -closed set F_2 such that $F_2 \subseteq V$, there exists a τ_2 - s^* -open set H such that $F_2 \subseteq H \subseteq s^*-cl_{\tau_1}(H) \subseteq V$.

Proof:

Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -normal and let U be a τ_1 -open set and F_1 be a τ_2 -closed set such that $F_1 \subseteq U$, it follows that $U^c \cap F_1 = \phi$, where U^c is a τ_1 -closed set. But τ_1 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_2 , then there exists a τ_1 - s^* -open set W and τ_2 - s^* -open set V such that $F_1 \subseteq W$ and $U^c \subseteq V$ and $W \cap V = \phi$. Hence, we get $V^c \subseteq U$ and $W \subseteq V^c$ which is a τ_2 - s^* -closed set. Thus $F_1 \subseteq W \subseteq s^*-cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq U$. Similarly, we can prove that for each τ_2 -open set V and each τ_1 -closed set F_2 such that $F_2 \subseteq V$, there exists a τ_2 - s^* -open set H such that $F_2 \subseteq H \subseteq s^*-cl_{\tau_1}(H) \subseteq V$.

Conversely, to prove that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair-wise s^* -normal i.e. τ_1 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_2 and τ_2 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_1 . Let F_1 be a τ_1 -closed set and F_2 be a τ_2 -closed set such that $F_1 \cap F_2 = \phi$, it follows that $F_2 \subseteq F_1^c$ which is a τ_1 -open set. By hypotheses there exist a τ_1 - s^* -open set W such

that $F_2 \subseteq W \subseteq s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W) \subseteq F_1^c$. Hence $F_2 \subseteq W$ and $F_1 \subseteq [s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W)]^c$ which is a τ_2 - s^* -open set and $W \cap [s^* - cl_{\tau_2}(W)]^c = \phi$. Thus τ_1 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_2 .

Similarily, we can prove that τ_2 is s^* -normal with respect to τ_1 .

References

- [1] Levine N. , "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces", Amer.Math. Monthly,70 , 36-41,1963.
- [2] Al-Meklaifi S., "On new types of separation axioms", M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education, AL-Mustansiriya University, 2002.
- [3] Khan M., Noiri T. and Hussain M., "On s^* -g-closed sets and s^* -normal spaces", JNSMAC, 48 (1,2), 31-41, 2008.
- [4] Kelly J.C., "Bitopological spaces", proceedings, London, Math. Soc.,13 , 71-89, 1963.
- [5] Reilly I.L. and Mrsevice M., "Covering and connectedness properties of a topological space and its associated topology of α -subsets", Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 27 (10), 995-1004, 1996.
- [6] Veerakumar M.K., " \hat{g} -closed sets and $G\hat{L}C$ -functions", Indian J. Math., 43 (2), 231-247, 2001.
- [7] Sundaram P. and Sheik John M., "On w-closed sets in topology", Acta Ciencia Indica Math. 4, 389–392, 2000.

- [8] Mahmood S. I. and Ibraheem A. M., " S^* -Separation Axioms", Iraqi Journal of Science, University of Baghdad, 51(1), 145-153, 2010.
- [9] Maheshwari S. N. and Prasad R., "Some new separation axioms", *Annales, Soc. Scient Bruxelles*, 89, 395-402, 1975.
- [10] Mahmood S.I., "On s^* -convergence nets and filters", *J. of Al-Rafidain University, College for sciences*, 30,102-121, 2012.
- [11] AL-Maleki N.J., "Some kinds of weakly connected and pairwise connected space", M. Sc. Thesis, College of Education (Ibn IA-Haitham), University of Baghdad, 2005.
- [12] Suaad G., "On semi-P-compact spaces", M .Sc. Thesis, College of Education (Ibn IA-Haitham), University of Baghdad, 2006.

حول ثنائي s^* - متراص في الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية

أ.م. صبيحة إبراهيم محمود

alzubaidy.sabiha@yahoo.com

الجامعة المستنصرية - كلية العلوم - قسم الرياضيات

المستخلص

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو استنباط انواع خاصة من المتراص على الفضاء التبولوجي (X, τ) والفضاء التبولوجي الثنائي (X, τ_1, τ_2) حيث درسنا مفهوم المتراص من النوع s^* والمتراص الثنائي من النوع s^* . كذلك درسنا المكافئات والخواص الأساسية للفضاءات المتراصة s^* و الفضاءات الثنائية المتراصة s^* .
الكلمات الرئيسية: الفضاء المتراص- s^* ، الغطاء المفتوح الثنائي s^* ، المجموعة المغلقة - المفتوحة الثنائية s^* ، الفضاء الثنائي المتراص s^* ، الدالة المحيرة الثنائية s^* ، الدالة المستمرة الثنائية s^* .