# $M_{\tau}$ - TIME AND TIME PROJECTION

Mohammed H. Saloomi

## Abstract.

In this paper we discuss new random time which is called  $M_{\tau}$  -time with some of its properties. In addition, we find the time projection associated with  $M_{\tau}$ - time. Finally we compute the supremum of increasing family  $\{M_{\tau}^{t}: t \in [0,\infty]\}$  into two cases, the first case when  $\lor q_{t} = I$ , while the second case when  $\lor q_{t} = q \neq I$ .

المستخلص في هذا البحث نناقش زمن عشوائي جديد يدعى الزمن من النمط -  $M_{\mathcal{T}}^{t}$  مع بعض خواصه كذالك سوف نجد المسقط الزمني المرفق بهذا الزمن واخيرا سوف نحسب ادنى حد اعلى للعائلة {  $M_{\tau}: t \in [0,\infty] \}$ وذالك في حالتين الاولى عندما  $|q_{\tau}| = q \vee$  بينما الثانية في حالة  $q_{\tau} = q \neq 0$ .

## **INTRODUCTION**

In this paper we develop some of the concepts in [1], [2] and [5] within the non - commutative context. It was shown in [7] that one can define the general random time  $\tau$  as a map from a subset  $[0, t] \subseteq [0, +\infty]$  into proj A, such that  $\tau(t) = q_t$ ,  $\tau(0) = q_0 = 0$  and  $\tau(s)$  is projection in A<sub>s</sub> where  $s \in (0, t)$ .

In [7] it was shown that for each general random time  $\tau = (q_t)$  the orthogonal projection  $M_{\tau}^t$  is called time projection associated with general random time, also we prove when t = o,  $t = \infty$  this implies  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^t = 0$ ,  $M_{\tau}$  respectively. Therefore we can define new time that is  $M_{\tau}$ -time as following: An increasing family of projections  $\hat{\tau} = (M_{\tau}^t)$  is called  $M_{\tau}$ - time such that  $\hat{\tau}(o)=0$ ,  $\hat{\tau} = (\infty)=M_{\tau}$  and  $\hat{\tau}(t)=M_{\tau}^t$  for each  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

This paper divided into two sections:

The first section contains a brief review of notation non - commutative stochastic base, definitions of (random time, q-time, general random time) and time projection associated by general random time with some of its properties. The second section contains the definition of  $M_{\tau}$ - time with some of its properties. Also we compute the supremum of increasing family of projections {  $M_{\tau} : t \in [0,\infty]$  } in two cases, the first case when  $\tau$  is a random time while the second case when  $\tau$  is q-time.

#### **1. Notions And Preliminaries**

Let B (H) be bounded linear operator on complex Hilbert space H, and let  $A \subset B(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. For each non – negative real t, let  $A_t$  be von Neumann sub algebra of von Neumann algebra A. A non-commutative stochastic base which is a basic object of our considerations consists of the following elements: A von Neumann algebra  $A \subset B(H)$  acting on Hilbert space H, a filtration  $\{A_t: 0 \le t \le +\infty\}$  which is an increasing ( $s \le t$  implies  $A_s \subseteq A_t$ ) family of von Neumann sub algebra of A such that:

$$A = A_{\infty} = (\bigcup_{t \ge 0} A_t)^{n} \text{ and } A_s = \bigcap_{t \ge s} A_t \text{ (right continuous)}$$

## Journal of Kerbala University, Vol. 8 No.2 Scientific . 2010

Also there is unite vector  $\Omega$  belong to Hilbert space H and separating for A.Now if we denote the closure  $A_t\Omega$  in Hilbert space H by  $H_t$ , we get that  $H_t$  is a closed subspace of H and hence  $H_t$  is a Hilbert space itself. Moreover for each  $t \in R^+$ , let  $P_t$  denote the orthogonal projection from H onto  $H_t$ . The family {  $P_t: 0 \le t \le +\infty$ } of orthogonal projection is an increasing and lies in the commutant of  $A_t$ .

Now we introduce the following definitions:

Definition (1.1) [7]

A random time  $\tau$ , is a map  $\tau : [0, \infty] \to \text{proj A}$  such that  $\tau(0) = q_0 = 0$ ,  $\tau(\infty) = q_{\infty} = I$  and  $\tau(t)$  is projection in  $A_t$ , and  $\tau(s) \le \tau(t)$ , whenever  $s \le t$ .

Definition (1.2) [7]

By q – time we mean a map  $\tau:[0, \infty] \to \text{proj } A$  such that  $\tau(0) = q_0 = 0$ ,  $\tau(\infty) = q$  and  $\tau(t)$  is projection in  $A_t$ , and  $\tau(s) \le \tau(t)$ , where  $s \le t$ .

Note that in more general case we introduce the following definition:

Definition (1.3)[8]

A general random time on interval [0, t] we mean a map  $\tau : [0, t] \rightarrow \text{proj. A such that } \tau(0) = q_0 = 0, \tau(t) = q_t \text{ and } \tau(s) \text{ is projection in } A_s$ , where  $s \in (0, t)$ .

Let now  $\tau = (q_t)$  be general random time for each partition  $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = t\}$ , of interval [0,t], we define an operator  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^t$  on H by the formula

$$M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{t_{i}} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta q_{t_{i}} P_{t_{i}}.$$

Its turns out that  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t}$  is projection, moreover,  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t}$  decreases as  $\theta$  refines. Thus there exist a unique orthogonal projection say  $M_{\tau}^{t}$  which is called time projection defined as

$$M_{\tau}^{t} = \lim M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t} = \bigwedge_{\theta} M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t}$$
.

The following propositions give some basic properties of linear operator  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t}$ .

Proposition (1.4)[8]

Let  $\tau = (q_t)$  be a general random time. Then

1.  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{t}$  is an orthogonal projection.

2. For  $\eta, \theta \in \theta$  which is a partition of [0,t] with  $\eta$  finer than  $\theta$ , then  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^t \ge M_{\tau(\eta)}^t$ . Proposition (1.5)[8]

1. Let  $\tau = (q_t)$  be general random time with  $s \le t$ , then  $M_{\tau}^s = q_s M_{\tau}^t$ .

2. Let  $\tau = (q_t)$  be general random time then  $M_{\tau}^s = q_s M_{\tau}$  when  $t = \infty$ , then

 $M_{\tau}^{t} = q_{t} M_{\tau}$  for all s, t  $\in [0,\infty]$ .

## $2.M_{\tau}$ -TIME

We begin this section by defined the following concepts.

## Journal of Kerbala University, Vol. 8 No.2 Scientific . 2010

#### Definition (2.1)

An increasing family of projections  $\hat{\tau} = (M_{\tau}^{t})$  is called  $M_{\tau}$ -time such that  $\hat{\tau}(0)=0$ ,  $\hat{\tau}(\infty) = M_{\tau}$  and  $\hat{\tau}(t)=M_{\tau}^{t}$  is projection in  $A_{t}$ .

#### Definition (2.2)

Let  $\theta$  denote the set of all partitions of interval  $[0,\infty]$ . Then for each partitions  $\theta$  in  $\theta$ , say  $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = +\infty\}$ , we define an operator  $M_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta)$  on H as

$$\mathbf{M} \stackrel{\wedge}{\tau}_{(\theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{t_i} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}) p_{t_i}$$

Proposition (2.3)

Let  $\hat{\tau} = (M_{\tau}^{t})$  be  $M_{\tau}$ -time. Then

- 1.  $M_{\tau}^{\uparrow}(\theta)$  is bounded linear operator.
- 2.  $M_{\tau}^{(\theta)}$  is self-adjoint projection on H for any  $\theta$  in  $\theta$ .

**<u>Proof</u>** 1. we have  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{t_i} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}) p_{t_i}$ . It is clear that  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge}$  equal to finite sum of bounded

linear operators, therefore  $M\stackrel{^{\wedge}}{\tau}{}_{(\theta)}$  is bounded linear operator  $\blacksquare$ 

2. we must prove that  $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta)$ .  $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta) = \mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta)$   $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta)$ .  $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{u_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{u_{i-1}}) p_{t_{i}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{t_{j}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{j-1}}) p_{t_{j}}$   $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t} P_{t_{i}} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_{j}} P_{t_{j}}$  $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{t_{i}} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_{j}} P_{t_{j}}$  [since  $M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_{i}}, P_{t_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_{i}}$ ].

There are two cases:

The first one if  $i \neq j$  this implies  $\Delta M_{\tau}^{t_i} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_j} = 0$ The second case if i = j this implies  $\Delta M_{\tau}^{t_i} \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_j} = \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_j} = \Delta M_{\tau}^{t_j}$  and  $P_{t_i} P_{t_j} = P_{t_j}$ 

$$\mathbf{M}_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge}, \mathbf{M}_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}) p_{t_{i}} = \mathbf{M}_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge}.$$

Hence  $M^{\wedge}_{\tau(\theta)}$  is projection.

Now to prove  $M^{\hat{\tau}}_{\tau(\theta)}$  is a self a djoint, we must prove  $M^{\hat{\tau}}_{\tau(\theta)} = M^{\hat{\tau}}_{\tau(\theta)}$ 

$$M^{*} \overset{\wedge}{\tau}_{(\theta)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}\right) p_{t_{i}}\right)^{*} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{t_{i}}^{*} \left(M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}\right)^{*}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{t_{i}} \left(M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p_{t_{i}} M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - p_{t_{i}} M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} p_{t_{i}}^{-} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}} p_{t_{i}}\right) \text{ [since } M_{\tau}^{t_{i}}, M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_{i}}, P_{t_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_{i}}', P_{t_{i}}', P_{t_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_{i}}', P_{t_{i}}', P_{t$$

Hence M  $\stackrel{\wedge}{\tau}_{(\theta)}$  is a self adjoint

## Corollary 2.4.

Let  $\overset{\wedge}{\tau} = (M_{\tau}^{t})$  be  $M_{\tau}$ -time. Then  $M_{\tau(\theta)}^{\wedge} = M_{\tau}$  for all  $\theta \in \theta$  and  $M_{\tau}^{\wedge} = M_{\tau}$ . **Proof** : let  $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = +\infty\}$  be a partition for  $[0, +\infty]$ .

We know that  $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} - M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}}) p_{t_{i}}$ But  $M_{\tau}^{t_{i}} = q_{t_{i}} M_{\tau}$  and  $M_{\tau}^{t_{i-1}} = q_{t_{i-1}} M_{\tau}$  proposition (1.5) Thus  $\mathbf{M}_{\tau}^{\wedge}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{t_{i}} M_{\tau} - q_{t_{i-1}} M_{\tau}) p_{t_{i}}$   $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{t_{i}} - q_{t_{i-1}}) M_{\tau} p_{t_{i}}$   $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta q_{t_{i}} p_{t_{i}} M_{\tau}$  [since  $M_{\tau} p_{t_{i}} = p_{t_{i}} M_{\tau}$ ]  $= \mathbf{M}_{\tau}(\theta) M_{\tau}$ 

By taking limit to both sides to relation (1), we obtain that

 $M_{\tau}^{\hat{\tau}} = \mathbf{M}_{\tau} \blacksquare$ 

### **Remark (2.5)**

Let  $\sigma = (q_t)$  be q- time and let  $\tau = (q_t)$  be random time. Then

 $M_{\,\sigma\,(\theta)} {=}\, M_{\,\tau\,(\theta)} {-}\,(\,I {-}\,q) \ \text{and} \ M_{\,\sigma} {=}\, M_{\,\tau\,{-}}\,(\,I {-}\,q)$  .

**<u>Proof</u>** : let  $\theta = \{ 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = +\infty \}$  be a partition for  $[0, +\infty]$  we define

$$M_{\sigma(\theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_i}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_i} + (q - q_{t_{n-1}}) P_{t_n}$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_i} + (I - q_{t_{n-1}}) P_{t_n} - (I - q)$   
 $M_{\sigma(\theta)} = M_{\tau(\theta)} - (I - q)$ 

By taking limit to both sides for previous relation, we obtain that

$$M_{\sigma} = M_{\tau} - (I - q) \blacksquare$$

#### Proposition (2.6)

Let  $\hat{\tau} = (M_{\tau}^{t})$  be  $M_{\tau}$ - time, where  $t \in [0, +\infty]$ . Then  $\sup M_{\tau}^{t} = M_{\tau}$  where  $\sup q_{t} = I$ , and sup  $M_{\tau}^{t} = M_{\sigma}$ , where  $\sup q_{t} = q$ , and  $q \neq I$ . **Proof** : (1) If  $\sup q_{t} = I$ , we have  $\sup M_{\tau}^{t} = \bigvee_{t \ge 0} M_{\tau}^{t}$   $= \lor q_t M_{\tau} \quad [\text{since } M_{\tau}^{t} = q_t M_{\tau}]$  $= (\lor q_t) M_{\tau} = I M_{\tau} \quad [\text{since } \lor q_t = I]$  $= M_{\tau} .$ Thus sup  $M_{\tau}^{t} = M_{\tau}.$ 

(2) If sup  $q_t=q$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sup M_{\tau}^{t} &= \bigvee_{t \ge 0} M_{\tau}^{t} \\ &= & \lor q_{t} M_{\tau} \\ &= (\lor q_{t}) M_{\tau} \text{ but sup } q_{t} = q, \text{ therefore sup } M_{\tau}^{t} = q M_{\tau}.....(1) \\ \text{Now we compute } q M_{\tau} \text{ as following:} \\ \text{Let } \theta = \{0 = t_{0} < t_{1} < \dots < t_{n} = +\infty \} \text{ be partition for } [0, +\infty] \text{ ,then} \end{split}$$

$$q M_{\tau} = q \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{t_{i}} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_{i}}$$

$$q M_{\tau} = q \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q_{t_{i}} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_{i}} + q (I - q_{t_{n-1}}) P_{\infty}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q_{t_{i}} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_{i}} + (q - q_{t_{n-1}}) \text{ [since } P_{\infty} = I]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta q_{t_{i}} P_{t_{i}} = M_{\sigma}(\theta) \text{ [by remark (2.5)]}$$

Thus q  $M_{\tau} = M_{\sigma(\theta)}$ .....(2) By the relations (1) and (2) we get that  $\bigvee_{t \ge 0} M_{\tau}^{t} = M_{\sigma}$ .

Therefore, from (1) and (2) we can say that

$$\operatorname{Sup} M_{\tau}^{t} = \bigvee_{t \ge 0} M_{\tau}^{t} = \begin{cases} M_{\tau} & \text{if sup } q_{t} = 1 \\ M_{\sigma} = M_{\tau} - (I - q) & \text{if sup } q_{t} \neq 1 \end{cases} \blacksquare$$

## REFERENCES

- Barnet, C.Streater, R.F, Wilde, I.F., Quantum stochastic integrals under standing hypothesis, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 127(1987),181-192.
- [2] Barnet, C. Thakrar, B., Time projection in Von Neumann algebra, J. Operator Theory. 18(1987),19-31.
- [3] Barnet, C. Thakrar, B., Anon commutative random stopping theorem, J.Funct.Anal.88(1990),(250-342).
- [4] Barnet, C.and Voliotis, S., Stopping and integration in aproduct structure, J. Operator Theory. 34(1995)145-175.
- [5] Barnet, C. Wilde, I. F., Random time and time projection, Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.110(1990),425-440.
- [6] Barnet, C. Wilde, I.F., quantum stopping times and Doob Meyer Decompositions, J. Operator Theory. 35(1996)85-106
- [7] Naji, S.,P- Time and time projections in Von Neumann algebras, Ph.M. Baghdad University, (2008).
- [8] Sloomi, M, H., Time algebras and time projections, Ph.M. Baghdad University, (2008).