

A Fixed Point Theorem for L-Contraction in Generalized D-Metric Spaces

Salwa.S.Al-Bundi*

Date of acceptance 5/3/2008

Abstract

We define L -contraction mapping in the setting of D -metric spaces analogous to L -contraction mappings [1] in complete metric spaces. Also, give a definition for general D -metric spaces. And then prove the existence of fixed point for more general class of mappings in generalized D -metric spaces.

Keywords: Fixed point, L -contraction mappings, D -metric spaces.

1. Preliminaries

In [2] Dhage introduced the concept of D -metric spaces as follows

Definition 1.1 [2] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ (\mathfrak{R}^+ is the set of all non negative real numbers) is called a **D -metric spaces** on X if

- i. $D(x,y,z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$ (coincidence)
- ii. $D(x,y,z) = D(p\{x,y,z\})$, where p is a permutation of x, y, z (symmetry)
- iii. $D(x,y,z) \leq D(x,y,a) + D(x,a,z) + D(a,y,z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (tetrahedral inequality).

A nonempty set X , together with D -metric, is called D -metric space and denoted by (X, D) . Some specific examples of D -metrics appeared in [3] and [4].

Definition 1.2 [2] A sequence of points of a D -metric space X is said to be **D -convergent to a point** $x \in X$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$, $D(x_m, x_n, x) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.3 [2] A sequence of points of a D -metric space X is said to be **D -cauchy sequence** if for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n, p \geq n_0$, $D(x_m, x_n, x_p) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.4 [5] A D -metric space X is said to be **complete** every D -cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point $x \in X$.

Definition 1.5[1] Let E be a Banach space. A subset K is called a **cone** if it is

closed, convex and $tK \subset K$ for $t \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ and $K \cap (-K) = 0$.

Given a cone K in E we define a partial ordering in E by writing.

$$x < y \text{ if and only if } y - x \in K \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.6 [1] A subset K in a Banach space E is called a **normal** if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < x < y$ implies $\|x\| \leq \delta \|y\|$.

2. Main Results

Firstly we define the following

Definition 2.1 A set X is said to be a **general D -metric space** if there exists a function $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow K$, where K is a normal cone in a Banach space, such that

- i. $D(x,y,z) = 0 \in K \Leftrightarrow x = y = z$
- ii. $D(x,y,z) = D(p\{x,y,z\})$ for all x,y,z in X , (p is a permutation of x,y,z)
- iii. $D(x,y,z) < D(x,y,a) + D(x,a,z) + D(a,y,z)$ for all $x,y,z,a \in X$ "<denote the partial ordering induced by K "

Remark

If we put $K = \mathfrak{R}^+$ in the definition (2.1) then the general D -metric function will be D -metric function and then the examples (1.8),

(1.20) and (1.21) in [4] show that in general D -metric space : (a) D -metric does not always define a topology, (b) even D -metric define a topology, it need not be Hausdorff (therefore the limit need not be unique),

* Department of Mathematics/Ibn-ALheitham College of Education
University of Baghdad

and (c) even D-metric define a topology ,the D-metric function need not be continuous even in a single variable .Now for uniqueness limit in general D-metric spaces, we reform the concept of continuity of general D-metric function and then give a result which guarantee the uniqueness limit if exist

Definition 2.2

A general D-metric function is called in three variables if the sequence $\{D(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in K converges to $D(x, y, z)$ whenever $x, y, z \in X$ and $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are sequences in X converge to x, y and z , respectively with respect to general D-metric .

Proposition 2.3 Let (X, D) be a general D-metric space and D be continuous in three variables, then every convergent sequence in (X, D) has a unique limit.

Proof It is easy to prove this result since $\{D(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in K and any convergent sequence in Banach space has a unique limit .

Definition 2.4 [1] Let K be a normal cone in a Banach space E, the function $\ell: K \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is a sublinear positively homogenous functional if for any $u, v \in K$ then $\ell(u + v) \leq \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ and $\ell(tu) = t\ell(u)$, for $t \geq 0$ such that $\ell^1(0) = 0$.

Proposition 2.5 Let K be a normal cone in a Banach space E and (X, D) be a general D-metric space. If $D^*: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is the function defined by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = \ell(D(x, y, z)) \quad (2)$$

Where ℓ as in the definition(2.2) then (X, D) is D-metric space.

Proof: By conditions i ,ii and iii of definition (1.1) and definition (2.2) ,one can prove $\ell(u) = \|u\|$ Naidu[4], show that the metric function D is not continuous even in one variable, therefore, through this paper the D-metric is assumed to be continuous in three variables. Note that, if D is continuous in three variables then the limit is unique in exists [4] .

Theorem 2.6 Let X be a generalized D-metric space which is complete in the metric defined by(2) with D is continuous in three variables and ,if $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq L(D(x, y, z) + D(Tx, Ty, Tz))$$

Where L is bounded positive linear operator in E with spectral radius less than 1/2, then there is a unique fixed point, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$.

proof :

let $x_0 \in X$, put $x = T^2x_0, y = Tx_0, z = x_0$
 $D(T^3x_0, T^2x_0, Tx_0) \subseteq LD(T^3x_0, T^2x_0, Tx_0) +$
 $LD(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) \Rightarrow (I-L)D(T^3x_0, T^2x_0, Tx_0) \subseteq LD(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)$
 since $r(L) < \frac{1}{2} < 1$, then $(I-L)$ is invertible [6, pp795]

$$D(T^3x_0, T^2x_0, Tx_0) \subseteq (I-L)^{-1} L(D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0))$$

To prove that

$$D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) \subseteq (I-L)^{-(n+1)} L^{n+1}$$

$$[D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)] \quad n \geq 2 \dots 3$$

Assume that (3) is true for $n = 2$ then

$$D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) \subseteq LD(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) +$$

$$LD(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, T^{n-2}x_0) \Rightarrow$$

$$(I-L) D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) < L$$

$$D(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, T^{n-2}x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) < (I-L)^{-1} L$$

$$D(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, T^{n-2}x_0)$$

$$< (I-L)^{-1} L (I-L)^{-n} L^n D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) \quad (4)$$

Since $L(I-L)^{-n} = (I-L)^{-n} L$ (4) will be

$$D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) < (I-L)^{-(n+1)} L^{n+1}$$

$D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)$ and (3) is proved.

Furthermore, by condition (3) of D-metric, we have:

$$D(T^{n+m+1}x_0, T^{n+m}x_0, T^n x_0) <$$

$$D(T^{n+m+1}x_0, T^{n+m}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{n+m+1}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^n x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^{n+m}x_0, T^n x_0)$$

$$< (I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{(m+n+1)} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^n x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0)$$

$$< (I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^n x_0) +$$

$$D(T^{m+n}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^n x_0).$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{n+m}x_0, T^n x_0) < (I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) +$$

$$(I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) + 2$$

$$D(T^{m+n}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, x_0)$$

$$(I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) + 2$$

$$D(T^{m+n}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, x_0)$$

$$D(T^{m+n}x_0, T^{m+n-1}x_0, x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow D(T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0) < (I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)$$

Continue, we get:

$$D(T^{m+n-1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0) < [(I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} + (I-L)^{-(m+n)} L^{m+n} + \dots + (I-L)^{-(n+2)} L^{n+2}] D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) + D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0) < [(I-L)^{-(m+n+1)} L^{m+n+1} + \dots + (I-L)^{-(n+2)} L^{n+2}] D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) + (I-L)^{-(n+1)} L^{n+1}$$

$$D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0) < (I-L)^{-(n+1)} L^{n+1} (\sum (I-L)^{-m} L^m D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)) = ((I-L)^{-1})^{n+1} x^1$$

where x^1 is the unique solution of the $x=(I-L)^{-1} Lx + D(T^2x_0, Tx_0, x_0)$.

By spectral mapping theorem [6, pp 798] $(I-L)^{-1} L$ is such that $r((I-L)^{-1} L) < 1$ Hence,

$$D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0) < ((I-L)^{-1})^{n+1} x^1$$

Being K is normal we have:

$$\|D(T^{m+n+1}x_0, T^{m+n}x_0, T^n x_0)\| \leq \delta \|((I-L)^{-1} L)x^1\| \quad (5)$$

Since the right-hand side of (5) going to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\{T^{n+1}x_0\}$ is Cauchy with respect to the metric D . Being (X,D) complete, we denote by y the limit of $\{T^{n+1}x_0\}$. The following inequalities hold:

$$(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, Ty) < L D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, Ty) + L D(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, y) \Rightarrow D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, Ty) < (I-L)^{-1}$$

$D(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, y)$ Finally using the normality of K :

$$\|D(T^{n+1}x_0, T^n x_0, Ty)\| \leq \delta \| (I-L)^{-1} L \| \|D(T^n x_0, T^{n-1}x_0, y)\| \quad (6)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6) we obtain

$D(y, y, Ty) \leq 0$, mean $Ty = y$, and this complete the proof.

A consequence of theorem (2.6) one can prove the following result

Corollary (2.7) Let (X,D) , ℓ and L as in theorem (2.10). If the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the condition

$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) < L(D(x,y,z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

References

- 1-Krasnosel,Skii M.A.,Vainikko G.M., Zabreiko P.P,Rutitskii Ya.B, Stetsenko V.Ya., 1972,“Approximate Solution of Operator Equations”,Wlter-Nordhoff Publishing, Groningen.
- 2-Dhage B.C.1984 ,“A Study of Some Fixed Point theorems”, Ph.D. thesis, Marathwada University, Aurangabad.
- 3-Dhage B.C.,1992,“Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings with Fixed Point”, Bull Calcutta Math.Soc. 84 (4): 329-336.
- 4-Naidu S.R.,K.P.Rao and N.S.Rao,2004, "On The Topology of D-Metric Spaces and Generation of D-metric Spaces From Metric Spaces",Int.J.Math.Sci.51:2719-274 0 .
- 5-Dhage B.C.,A.M.Pathan and B.E. Rhoades,,2000,"Ageneral Existence Principle for Fixed Point Theorms in D-Metric Spaces ",Internat. J. Math & Math. Sci. 7: 441-448
- 6-Zidler E.,"Non linear Functional Analysis and its Applications, I:Fixed Point Theorems,1986, Springer-Verlag, NewYork.

مبرهنة حول النقطة الصامدة لتطبيق L -الأنكماشية في فضاءات D -المتريّة المعممة د.سلوى البندي*

* قسم الرياضيات/كلية التربية/ابن الهيثم/جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

بأسلوب مشابه لما ورد في [1] من تعريف لتطبيق L -الأنكماشية في الفضاءات المتريّة الاعتيادية سنعرف تطبيق L -الأنكماشية في فضاءات D -المتريّة وكذلك نعطي تعريفاً لفضاءات D -المتريّة المعممة ثم نبرهن وجود نقطة صامدة لنمط من التطبيقات الأكثر عمومية في فضاءات D -المتريّة المعممة.