Effect of In-Ovo inoculation with royal jelly on weight gain of chicken embryo O. A. Aljumaili Technical Institute of Al-Anbar

Abstract

Three groups of fertilized chicken eggs (10 eggs per group) were injected at 12 days of incubation; the first group were injected with diluted royal jelly (with a phosphate buffer solution at 1:1) in amount of 0,05ml in to theallantoic fluid. Second group were injected into the yolk sac with 0.05 ml of diluted royal jelly, while third group left as a control group which were divided into two groups: control 1 were injected with phosphate buffer solution in allantois and control 2 were injected with phosphate buffer solution in the yolk sac. Eggs were weighed before and after injection. We concluded that injection via allantoic have significant (P<0.05) effect on hatched chick as compared to other group.

حقن الهلام الملكي في أجنة الدجاج وتأثيره على وزن الأجنة عدي عبد الرزاق الجميلي معهد التقني/ الأنبار الخلاصة

تم حقن ثلاث مجموعات من بيض الدجاج المخصب (10 بيضات في كل مجموعة) في اليوم 12 من الحضن، حقنت المجموعة الأولى مع غذاء ملكات النحل المخفف (بنسبة 1:1 مع محلول الملحي الدارئ الفوسفاتي) وبكمية 0.05 مل في سائل الالنتويس. أما المجموعة الثانية حقنت في كيس الصفار مع 0.05 مل من غذاء ملكات النحل المجموعة الثانية حقنت في كيس الصفار مع 0.05 مل من غذاء ملكات النوسفاتي) وبكمية معات المحفوف، بينما المجموعة الثالثة تركت كمجموعة السيطرة التي قسمت إلى مجموعتين: تم من غذاء ملكات النحل المجموعة الثانية حقنت في كيس الصفار مع 0.05 مل من فذاء مائل الالنتويس. أما المجموعة الثانية حقنت في كيس الصفار مع 0.05 مل من غذاء ملكات النحل المخفف، بينما المجموعة الثالثة تركت كمجموعة السيطرة التي قسمت إلى مجموعتين: تم حقن الـــ(C1) مع محلول الملحي الدارئ الفوسفاتي (C2) حقنت بمحلول الملحي الدارئ الفوسفاتي في كيس الصفار. وتم وزن البيض قبل وبعد الحقن. واستنتجت من هذا العمل أن الأجنة التي حقنت في سائل الالنتويس حققت زيادة مع بقية المجموعات.

Introduction

Honey is processed into Royal jelly (RJ) in the hypopharyngeal and mandibular endocrine glands of nurse bees between 5 and 15 days old. Queen and Worker bees have the same DNA. An unknown component in RJ silences a specific methyltransferase gene which adds methyl tags to DNA in the larvae destined to be workers (1). RJ composed of water-67% ,proteins-13%,sugars-11% fructose 6%, glucose 4%, sucrose 1%, fatty Acids 5%, minerals 1% Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Na, K, Zn, Si, 7-9 different sterols-sitosterol, cortisol, cholesterol, phospholipids from which cell walls are made, 5 glycolipids which provide energy, 4 vitamins in RJ : Only trace or insignificant amounts of vit B12, vit C, and lipid soluble vitamins A, D, E and K. According to US Dept. of agriculture RJhas B1 (thiamin) 1.5-7.4 mcg/gm, B2 (riboflavin) 5.3-10 mcg/gm, B3 (niacin) 60-150 mcg/gm, B5 (pantothenic acid) 65-200 mcg/gm, B6 (pyridoxine) 2.2-10.2 mcg/gm, B7 (biotin) 0.9-0.5 mcg/gm, B9 (folic acid) 0.16-0.5 mcg/gm, B12 (cobalamin) 0.015 mcg/gm. Royal jelly is the richest natural source known for Vit B5 (2). Other components of Royal Jelly Gamma Globulinmostly immunoglobulins which powerfully strengthen the immune system, 10-HydroxyDecanoic Acid- 20-60mcg/gm. Powerful anti-bacterial and anti-fungal (3). It keeps RJ sterile, Gelatin- Precursor of collagen for skin, tendon, ligaments, etc., Acetylcholine- up to 1 mg/gram of RJ- the richest naturalsource.Important in nerve transmission and production and release of glandular secretions (4,5). 52Royal Jelly Proteins Identified, Other than the major RJ protein family and some other previously

identified proteins, 42 novel proteins were identified which are about 3% of the protein in RJ but most of their functions are still unknown (6). RJ has a stimulating effect on the metabolic activity of proteins, glucose and lipids in part due to it's high vitamin B5 content (7). Vitamin B5 (Pantothenic acid) catalyses the synthesis of co-enzyme A which help synthesis and oxidation of fatty acid and helps other enzymes work. White mice have significantly increased life spans when a sufficient amount of Vit B5 is added to their food (8). RJ has a vasodillating action due to its rich acetylcholine content (9). RJ increases the oxygen consumption at tissue level. The cardioprotective action is due to its capacity to stimulate an increased secretion of adrenaline via acetylcholine.It stimulates liver to secrete glycogen raising blood sugar levels for more than 24 hrs.RJ is stimulant, Hypothalamic-pituitary functioning generally declines with aging Reportedly RJ has favorable effects on the compensation process (10). It act as Anti-oxidant and Anti-agingthrough decreasing intra-cellular oxidation by acting as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species. It also affects protein expression (11). In ration supplementation to turkey feed at the doses of 10, 15 and 20 ppm from 1 to 150 d of age, RJ has improved the weight gain (respectively + 10.50%, +12.30 percent and +16.50%) and the feed utilization (respectively + 9.50%, +12.00% and +22.00%). At the doses of 15 and 20 ppm, RJ improved also the carcass (respectively + 6.00% and +9.50%) and the meat yield (12).

Material and Methods

30 broiler egg from Rose breedwere used in the experiment .The eggs weight was measured at sensitive digital balance (DIAMOND model 500), the egg were incubated in homemade hatchery and 12 days after, the eggs divided into 4 groups (A,B,C 1, C 2). Solution of royal jellydiluted with a phosphate buffer solution 1:1. Sterilized tool insightful to eggshell, sterile solution to clear eggshell, paraffin wax to close the holes in the egg shell, and 1ml medical sterilized disposable syringes (needle gauge 21) have been used ,In order to insure the viability chicken embryo ,a specialCandle have been used. Group A: were injected with diluted royal jelly (with a phosphate buffer solution at 1:1) in amount of 0,05ml in to the allantoic fluid. Group B: were injected into the yolk sac with 0.05ml of diluted royal jelly, while the third group left as a control group which were divided into two groups: control 1 (C1) injected with phosphate buffer solution in the yolk sac (13). Eggs were weighed before injection ,afterinjection the egg were weighed every two days and the chick hatched were also weighed. Statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance by using SPSS program (14).

Results

Table 1 shows the weights of eggs before injecting. Table 2 shows the weights of eggs after injection observe that in group (a) there is a decrease in weights of eggs but less than Group (b) which were injected in to the yolk and two control groups (C1, C2) In Table 3 reveal that there is a decrease in weights of eggs, but the group (a) are also reveal a minimum weight loss in compare to remainder groups and at hatching directly found that a group (a) is the highest weight in compare to other groups.

Weight	Grams										
No. Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
А	50	49	49	50	49	49	49	50	50	49	
В	49	48	49	50	49	49	50	49	50	49	
C1	50	49	50	49	48						
C2	50	49	49	50	49						

Table (1) Eggs weight (g) prior to being placed in the hatchery

1 40	$\frac{1}{2}$	1018			<u>5) " 1</u>		ceu			<u>ui 51</u>	oups			
ROUT OF INJECTION	Group	A	No. OF EGO ay fter ijection		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	А	2 days after injection		49	48	48	49	48	48	48	49	49	48	
Allantoic injection		4 days after injection		48	47	47	48	46.5	47	46.5	48	48	47	
		6 days after injection		47	46	46	47	46	46	45.5	47	47	46	
Yolk sac injection	В	2 days after injection		47	46	47	48	47	47	48	46	48	47	
		4 days after injection		45	44	45	46	45	45	46	44	46	45.5	
		6 days after injection		43	42	43	44	43	43.5	44.5	42	44	43	
	C1		2 days after injection		47	47	48	47	46					
PBS injection in the allantoic		4 days after injection		45	45	46	45	44.5						
			6 days after injection		43	43	44	43.5	42.5					
PBS injection in the yolk sac			2 days after injection		48	46	47	48	47					
	C2		4 days after injection		46	44	45	46	45					
			6 days after injection		44	42.5	43	44	43					
Table (3) The weight of chicks (g) immediately after hatching of the four groups														
No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Mear ±SD	1	-	

Table (2) Weight of eggs (g) after injection of the four groups

No. Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Mean ±SD
А	46	45	45	46	45	45	45	46	46	45	45.45 A 0.16±
В	42	41	42	42	41.5	42	43	42	43	41.5	42.0 B 0.19±
C1	41.5	42	42.5	42	41						41.8 B 0.25±
C2	42	41	41	42	41						41.4 B 0.24±

Different Capital letters to indicate the presence of significant differences between groups at (P<0.05).

Discussion

The eggs reduced in their weight during incubation and this fact agreed with some studies conducted oneggs during incubation which found that there is loses about 13% of egg weight due to evaporation of liquids (15), In this research it was seen that the first group (A) which was injected in Allantoic fluid have a minimum lossin weight compared to the other groups, although they loss weight but less than other groups and this loss of weight is normal as a result of the evaporation of liquid during incubation. Second group (b) did not show any change as compared to the two sets of control on the weight of the eggs or chicks hatched and this is because that absorption of albumin is faster than the yolk. Within 2 week of embryonic age, growth of the mucosa through thisperiod almost exclusively represents villi, and the increased appearance of enzymes that finalize digestions correspond to surface maturation (16).

To get this result is due to the royal jelly components and bee larvae that feed it turn into queens and a size exaggerated three times in compared to the workers that do not feed RJand even a queen-life until four to six years compared to workers. It is concluded that the injection of embryos in to the allantoiscavity with RJ stimulates embryos to grow more than the group of embryos injected in to the yolk sac or other eggs which does not injected with RJ.

Reference

- 1. Kucharski, R. 2008. Nutritional Control of Reproductive Status in Honeybees via DNA Methylation, Sci., 28; 319(5871):1827-1833.
- 2. Stocker, A. 2005. Trace and Mineral Elements in Royal Jelly and Homeostatic Effects. J. Trace Lem. Med. Biol.; 19(2-3):183-189.
- Fujiwara, S.; Imai, J. & Fujiwara, M. 1990. A potent antibacterial protein in royal jelly. Purification and determination of the primary structure of royalisin. J. Biol. Chem. Jul., 5;265(19):11333-11337.
- 4. Wei, W.; Wei, M.; Kang, X. J.; Deng, H. H. & Lu, Z. H. 2009. A novel method developed for acetylcholine detection in royal jelly by using capillary electrophoresis coupled with electrogenerated chemiluminescence based on a simple reaction. Electrophoresis, 30 (11): 1949-1952.
- 5. Henschler, D. 1956. Identification of choline esters in biological material, especially acetylcholine in royal jelly of bee. Hoppe. Seylers. Z. Physiol. Chem.
- 6. J. Proteome Res. 2008.Comprehensive Royal Jelly (RJ) Proteomics Using One- and Two-Dimensional Proteomics Platforms Reveals Novel RJ Proteins and Potential Phosphor/Glycoproteins June 26.
- Guo, H.; Saiga, A.; Sato, M.; Miyazawa, I.; Shibata, M.; Takahata, Y. & Morimatsu, F. 2007. Royal jelly supplementation improves lipoprotein metabolism in humans. J. Nut. Sci. and Vitaminol., 53 (4): 345-348.
- Inoue, S.; Koya-Miyata, S.; Ushio, S.; Iwaki, K.; Ikeda, M. & Kurimoto, M. 2003. Royal jelly prolongs the life span of C3H/HeJ mice: correlation with reduced DNA damage. Exp. Gerontol., 38:965-969.
- Asafova, N.; Orlov, B. & Kozin, R. 2001. Physiologically active bee products (in Russian). Y. A. Nikolaev Nijnij Novgorod, P. 360.
- Narita, Y. & Ahta, S. 2009. Effects of Long term administration of Royal Jelly on Pituitary Weight and Gene Expression in Middle-Aged Female Rats, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem., 73: 80556-1-3.
- Honda, Y.; Fujita, Y.; Maruyama, H.; Araki, Y.; Ichihara, K.; Sato, A.; Kojima, T.; Tanaka, M.; Nozawa, Y.; Ito, M. & Honda, S. 2011. Lifespan-Extending Effects of Royal Jelly and Its Related Substances on the Nematode Caenorhabditiselegans. Plos. One., 6 (8).
- Bonomi, A.; Bonomi, B. M. & Quarantelli, A. 2001. (Parma Univ. (Italy). Dipartimento di Produzioni Animali, Biotecnologie Veterinarie, Qualita e Sicurezza Alimentare) Rivista di Scienza dell'Alimentazione (Italy) -02-01v. 30(1): 49-60.
- 13. Brian, W. J. M. & Hillar O. K. 1996. Virology Methods Manual Academic Press, London, NW, 7DX.
- 14. Snedecor, G. W. & Cochran, W. G. 1982. Statistical Methods. 7th Edition. Iowa State University Press, Ames, U.S.A.
- 15. Massaro, M. & Davis, L. S. 2005. Differences in egg size, shell thickness, pore density, pore diameter and water vapour conductance between first and second eggs of Snares penguins Eudyptes robustus and their influence on hatching asynchrony. Ibis, 147:251-258.
- 16. Uni, Z.; Ferket, P. R.; Tako, E. & Kedar, O. 2005. In ovo feeding improves energy status of late-term chicken embryos. Poult. Sci., 84:764-770.