

## Influence of chemical and physical conditions on the production of bacteriocin by *Aeromonas hydrophila*

*Mur'im R. Ali\**

*Hadeel A. Majeed\**

*Sinna M. Shakir\*\**

Received 2, September, 2010

Accepted 5, May, 2011

### Abstract:

*Aeromonas hydrophila* have been isolated as a cause of a acute gastroenteritis in 23 (5.6%) of 410 patients. Other bacterial enteropathogens have been isolated from 387 patients with diarrhea, were 19 different strains. *A. hydrophila* occurred more commonly in children with acute diarrhea, the results showed that 18(78.26%) isolates of *A. hydrophila* found in children under 10 years old ,distributed to 10(43.47%) in male and 8(34.78%) in female ,and in adults with diarrhea 5 (21.73%). In the other hand, we noticed frequency of isolation was higher in male 14(60.86%) when compared with 9(39.14%) in female. Six strains of *A. hydrophila* have been observed to have bacteriocin activity against 12 of 23 different *A. hydrophila* ,as well as *Staphylococcus aureas*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacea* and *Shigella dysenteria*. The results showed Bacteriocin-like substances (BLS<sub>11</sub>) had isoinhibitory activity on 10 same *A. hydrophila* species and heteroinhibitory activity effects on all pathogenic bacterial strains used, while BLS<sub>5</sub> showed isoinhibitory activity on 2 same *A. hydrophila* species and heteroinhibitory activity by effecting on gram negative only, and BLS<sub>3</sub>& BLS<sub>12</sub> showed activity on *E. coli* isolates only, and none of BLS<sub>1</sub>& BLS<sub>10</sub>(isoinhibitory activity on 1 *A. hydrophila* respectively) had effect on all pathogenic bacteria. Among the standard laboratory media used Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI) showed the maximum production and poor yields resulted from growth in Peptone Glycerol (PG) and Nutrient broth. We selected BLS<sub>11</sub> to their wide range effect on same species and enteric pathogenic strains, to study the Influence of chemical and physical conditions on the production of BLS by *A. hydrophila*. The BLS<sub>11</sub> preparations from *A. hydrophila* 11 strains of *A. hydrophila* were tolerant to all three treatments of surfactant. In the other hand, effect of organic acid on BLS production BLS<sub>11</sub> has been studied and showed no remarkable difference in zone of inhibition when used acetone as affecter element, while both of isopropanol and ethanol have narrow inhibition zone range when compared with control strain. These results indicated that most *A. hydrophila* might be harboring plasmid mediated bacteriocin like substance, and there are no relation between BLS production and number of plasmid bands present in bacteria.

**Key words:** *Aeromonas hydrophila*, Bacteriocin, Isoinhibitory activity, Heteroinhihitory acticity

### Introduction:

*Aeromonas hydrophila*, a gram-negative, nonsporing, oxidase-positive, facultative rods that produce  $\beta$ -hemolysis on blood agar and ferment a variety of carbohydrates with acid and gas production [1]. *A. hydrophila* is the

most common human pathogenic species of the *Aeromonas* genus (68%), followed by *A. sobia* (17%) and *A. caviae* (10%), according to a previous epidemiological report [2]. A variety of virulent factors have

\*University of Al-Mustansiriyah\ College of science \Department of biology

\*\*Ministry of Health \ teaching laboratory

been described among the *Aeromonas*, such as enterotoxins (heat-labile and heatstable), cytotoxins, hemolysins and hemagglutinins [3]. The cytotoxin has been known to have a DNA homology and immunologic cross-reactivity with cholera toxin, has long been known as an important pathogen of freshwater fishes. It is also recognized as a pathogen of warm-blooded animals, including human [4]. In humans, some *Aeromonas* species have been associated with intestinal and extraintestinal infections and enterotoxins, cytotoxins as well as invasive mechanisms have been incriminated in the development of illness in the host. These virulence determinants were involved sequentially in enabling the bacteria to colonize, gain entry, establish, replicate, and cause damage in host tissues and to evade the host defense system and spread, eventually killing the host [5]. Phenotypic characteristics of *Aeromonas* spp. have been used to differentiate between environmental strains and those strains causing gastroenteritis; including the lysine decarboxylase, Voges-Proskauer and autoagglutination positivity tests, congo red and crystal violet uptake and the production of a cell-free hemolysin and cytotoxin [6]. Bacteriocin-like substances (BLS) were protein compounds produced by some bacteria showing antagonistic activity against their own species (isoinhibitory activity - IA) or other non-related species (heteroinhibitory activity- HA), these substances have been widespread utilized in epidemiological studies as specific marker properties of bacteria, in the regulation of population dynamics in bacterial ecosystems and clinical treatment. As BLS has not been currently described in *A. hydrophila* in Iraq. The purpose of this study was to investigate their production in strains isolated from

clinical sources. Furthermore, we sought to study the effects that salinity and medium pH have as well as effect of surfactant and organic solvent on bacteriocin activity were determined and determine their antimicrobial activities against some common human enteric pathogens.

## Materials and Methods:

### Fecal Specimens:

All diarrheic stool specimens submitted by physicians to teaching Laboratories, a clinical reference laboratory, for routine microbiologic analysis have been screened for *Aeromonas* during periods, March–November, 2008 in Baghdad hospitals (Al-kandi and welfare teaching hospital). Specimens have been plated for *Aeromonas* within 2–3 days after submission. Stool in Cary-Blair transport media has been directly streaked to Deoxycholate-Citrate agar (DC), MacConkey agar (MC), Sheep Blood agar (SBA) containing 10 µg/mL ampicillin [7] and incubated at 35°C. Presumptive *Aeromonas* isolates were screened for standard phenotypic traits ( $\beta$ -hemolysis, oxidase positive, indole positive) and species identity has been determined by using the API-20E identification system (bioMérieux, France). To discriminate *A. hydrophila* Kligler test (Appearance of alkaline surface and acid butt after 24 h. at 37°C demonstrated the presence of *A. hydrophila*) was carried out [8].

### Detection of antibacterial activity:

Antimicrobial activity has been confirmed by using the agar spot test method. Seven mL of sterile BHI soft agar has been cooled to 47°C and mixed with 10 µL of a cell suspension of bioassay strains (over night cultures). The soft agar has been poured then over the agar plates and cooled at room temperature for 30 min. After the

plates were solidified make 5 IL of culture free supernatant of test organism. The plates have been incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. and examined for the presence of clear zone of inhibition of 2mm or more around the spot.

#### **Inhibitory activity:**

The antimicrobial activity of bacteriocin has been tested against the test organisms following the method described in [9]. *A. hydrophila* inoculated into BHI broth and incubated at 37°C, without aeration until mid logarithmic phase of growth. Aliquot of 10 µl cell-free culture supernatant has been spotted on the surface of agar plate seeded with actively growing cells of the test organism. Plates have been incubated at the optimal growth temperature of the test organism.

#### **Sensitive/indicator cultures:**

The Gram negative sensitive cultures have been selected among the staphylococci from the clinical specimens obtained for bacteriocin screening. *S.aureus* has been used most of the time as sensitive culture, Gram negative bacteria used as sensitive culture were obtained from various sources. Some were obtained from clinical sources, Department of Microbiology, University of Al-Mustansiriyah. List of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria (used as sensitive cultures) is listed below.

*S.aureus*, *P.aeruginosa*, *E.coli* ,  
*E.cloacea* ,*S. dysenteria*

#### **Growth conditions:**

Almost all the bacteria (whether Gram positive or Gram negative; producers or indicators) were grown at 37°C for 24h. in their respective culture media.

#### **Preliminary screening of the isolates for bacteriocin or bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances:**

The inhibitory activity of the isolates was determined by Agar well diffusion method: Pre-poured BHI agar plates have been overlaid with 3.0mL BHI soft agar containing 0.1mL ( $2 \times 10^8$  cfu/mL) of the sensitive culture. Wells (5mm in diameter) have been cut into these agar plates and 100µL of the culture supernatants was placed into each well, and kept at 4°C for 10-12h. to allow the bacteriocin to diffuse into the agar. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24h and zones of inhibition were measured in mm diameter.

#### **Structural media for bacteriocin screening:**

MRS medium in [10] modified, containing 10 g/l glucose, , 5 g of yeast extract, 2 g of  $K_2HPO_4$ , 2 g of diammonium hydrogen citrate, 0.2 g of  $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ , 0.05 g of  $MnSO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$ , 10 g of NaCl and 1 g of Tween 80 per liter (pH 6.8).

#### **Physical Characterization Effect of pH:**

Bacteriocin preparations were adjusted to different pH levels between 3.0 to 9.0 with 10mM NaOH or 10mM HCl,. Samples were maintained for 1h. at 37°C. All the samples were then re-adjusted to neutral pH (pH 7.0) and assayed for activity by agar well diffusion assay [11].

#### **Effect of NaCl or\ the production of bacteriocins:**

A set of fresh Tryptone soya broth (TSB: tryptone, 1.5%; soya peptone, 0.5%) containing NaCl at a final concentrations of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.5 % has been inoculated with a fixed volume of inoculum of *A.hydrophila* culture. Broth without NaCl solution

has been taken as control. The optical density, viable bacterial count and bacteriocin activity was determined after 12h. [11 and 12].

#### **Effect of organic solvents:**

Equal volume of TSB containing *A. hydrophila* culture were mixed with different concentrations of organic solvents (listed in table 5) including: methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, acetone and chloroform in a final concentration of 1.0% pre-cooled at (4°C). All the organic solvents have been obtained from Sigma except chloroform which was obtained from BDH. Samples were stirred and incubated at 37°C, except acetone which incubated at 4°C, for 30min and evaporated in a rotary evaporator. Dried samples were dissolved in 50mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 and assayed for antimicrobial activity [11 and 12].

#### **Effect of surfactants:**

The bacteriocin preparations have been treated with different detergents: Triton X-100 (Fluka, Switzerland), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, Merck), tween 80 (BDH, London) at a final concentration of 1.0%. Controls consisted of either bacteriocin preparation or detergent in 50mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, all samples and controls have been incubated at 37°C for 6h. and titer for bacteriocin activity were determined [13].

#### **Effect of various media on the production of bacteriocin or bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances [14]:**

The antagonistic activity of the isolates has been determined by stabbing in pre-poured brain heart infusion agar (Oxoid), nutrient agar (Oxoid), and incubated at 37°C for 18-

24h. The plates were exposed to chloroform (to kill the producer cells), and 3.0mL BHI soft agar containing 0.1mL of ( $2 \times 10^8$  cfu/mL) sensitive culture was poured over the plates and incubated at 37°C. After overnight incubation, zones of inhibition around producer colonies were measured and documented.

#### **Plasmid DNA Isolation:**

The alkaline lyses method [15] has been used for plasmid DNA isolation.

#### **Agarose gel electrophoresis:**

Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed in Tris-acetate buffer, pH 8.0. Gels contained 0.7% agarose, and electrophoresis was performed at 75 V for 6 h. [16]

#### **Results and Discussion:**

Routine faces samples from a cute gastroenteritis cases have been processed on the following enteric differential media: deoxycholate-citrate agar (DC), MacConkey agar (MC). The samples have been also cultured on Blood agar with ampicillin (BA) ampicillin-resistant haemolytic colonies on BA were tested for oxidase activity. All media were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 18–24 h. colonies that were typical for *Aeromonas* and that grew on one of the agars mentioned above were cultured on nonselective medium (such as blood agar) and examined for oxidase.

*A. hydrophila* have been isolated as a cause of acute gastroenteritis in 23 (5.6%) of 410 patients. identified and their microscopic-morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics were determined. Our study demonstrated *A. hydrophila* is case gastroenteritis in Baghdad hospitals. This result is agree with Unambiguous convincing evidence suggests that some *Aeromonas* do cause gastroenteritis [17]. Andlová [18]

noticed that *Aeromonas* isolation from human faeces samples is difficult and its success depends on the culture method performed. A valid judgement as to how many *Aeromonas* are involved in diarrhoeal disease is only possible when an appropriate selective medium is used. In our study, watery stools, fever, and abdominal cramps were the most common symptoms, which is consistent with other [19].

Other bacterial enteropathogens isolated from 387 patients with diarrhea were 19 different strains. No bacterial enteropathogens were isolated in the control group of patients.

**Table 1: distribution of *A. hydrophila* according to gender and age group**

Age group (Year)	No. Of diarrhea patients		No. A. hydrophila isolation	Gender	
	Male	Female		Male	Female
1 month-10 year	Male	168	18	10	8
	Female	121			
10 -20	Male	20	-	-	-
	Female	10			
20 -30	Male	14	-	-	-
	Female	9			
30 -40	Male	15	2	2	-
	Female	12			
40 -50	Male	10	2	2	-
	Female	8			
50 -60	Male	9	1	-	1
	Female	9			
60 -70	Male	3	-	-	-
	Female	2			
<b>Total</b>		410	23	14	9

Gastroenteritis caused by *A. hydrophila* occurred more commonly in children with acute diarrhea, the results showed that 18(78.26%) isolates of *A. hydrophila* found in children under 10 years old ,distributed to 10(43.47%) in male and 8(34.78%) in female ,and in adults with diarrhea 5 (21.73%) *A. hydrophila* have been isolated, considered in high age group, 2(8.69%) in 30- 40 and 40 -50 ages group respectively .However, the frequency of isolation of *Aeromonas* spp. in adults was less (5) than in children (18). In another hand we noticed frequency of isolation was

higher in male 14(60.86%) when compared with 9(39.14%) in female. (Table 1) our results agree with [20] which confirmed the presence of pathogenic *A. hydrophila* in children with gastroenteritis in the study area. As shown previously results, *A. hydrophila* have been detected in significantly higher numbers in children with diarrhea than in adult and controls. However, others have found no significant difference in the frequency of isolation of *Aeromonas* spp. from individuals with and without diarrhea [21].

The assays for the production of BLS were performed according to used *A. hydrophila* strains as BLS producers and BLS indicators. The culture supernatants obtained from 23 *A. hydrophila* isolates were tested for antibacterial activity against the same group of 1 *A. hydrophila*. Among them, six strains of *A. hydrophila* were observed to have bacteriocin activity against 12 of 23 different *A. hydrophila*, as well as *S. aureus*, *P.aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *E. cloacea* and *S. dysenteria*. Our results showed BLS<sub>11</sub> had isoinhibitory activity on 10 same *A. hydrophila* species and heteroinhibitory activity effects on all pathogenic bacterial strains used, while BLS<sub>5</sub> showed isoinhibitory activity on 2 same *A. hydrophila* species and heteroinhibitory activity by effecting on gram negative only, and BLS<sub>3</sub>& BLS<sub>12</sub> showed activity on *E. coli* isolates only, and non of BLS<sub>1</sub>& BLS<sub>10</sub> (isoinhibitory activity on 1 *A. hydrophila* respectively) had effect on all pathogenic bacteria (Table 2). Our result established these bacteriocins had inhibitory effects on closely related *A. hydrophila* bacteria and enteric pathogens. That agree with [22] they showed (BLS) production by *A. hydrophila* are protein compounds produced by some bacteria showing antagonistic activity against their own

species (IA) or other non-related species (HA).

**Table 2: Effects of six bacteriocins on the growth of some bacteria on agar plates (BHIagar)**

Indicator strains	BLS <sub>1</sub>	BLS <sub>3</sub>	BLS <sub>5</sub>	BLS <sub>10</sub>	BLS <sub>11</sub>	BLS <sub>12</sub>
A.hydrophila	1*/22**	3/22	2/22	1/22	10/22	3/22
S. aureas	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/1	1/1	0/1
P.aeruginosa	0/1	0/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	0/1
E. coli	0/1	1/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	1/1
E. cloacea	0/1	0/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	0/1
S. dysenteria	0/1	0/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	0/1

\* The number of sensitive strains  
 \*\* The number of strains tested

Our study focus in effect of different liquid media to induce BLS, we were tested two liquid media used extensively to induce producing bacteriocin in different bacteria, Among the standard laboratory media used (BHI broth) showed the maximum production and poor yields resulted from growth in (PG) and nutrient broth. The influence of growth media constituents on BLS production by A.hydrophila was studied. This study Similar with other [23] the used (TSB) which showed the maximum production and poor yields resulted from growth in peptone water and nutrient broth. While the highest levels of bacteriocin production (aureocins) by strains of Staphylococcus aureus occurred in BHI medium.[24]

Our result reach to use BHI broth as inducible BLS in A.hydrophila rather than PG, because their ability to induce

BLS, While PG was effect to induce BLS but in low effect in some bacteria (Table 3).Whereas bacteriocin inhibitors were not detectable in bacteriocin-inactive liquid cultures of A.hydrophila, the possibility that BHI broth represses the synthesis of such inhibitors cannot be ruled out. It should also be mentioned that not all strains of A. hydrophila produce BLS in BHI broth (Table 3). For example, other strains (17 strains) were grown in BHI broth and did not produce any detectable BLS. This failure was not due to the inability of these strains to synthesize any BLS but we believe if suitable agar media satisfactory may be producing BLS, and that is confirm when used designed structural media (MRS medium) many strain produce BLS (A.hydrophila 9, A.hydrophila 21, A.hydrophila 14) but in very low inhibition zone (9-10mm). This inhibition zone observation may be from media containing 40 or 80 g l<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl resulted in a significant increase in specific production rates of bacteriocin-like activity [25]. Presence of complex carbohydrates increase ability to produce bacteriocin [26].The study select BLS<sub>11</sub> to their wide range effect on same species and enteric pathogenic stains, to study the Influence of chemical and physical conditions on the production of BLS by A.hydrophila.

**Table 3: Effect of different broth media to induce BLS production from A. hydrophila**

Indicator strains	Broth media												
	BHI broth						PG						
	BLS <sub>1</sub>	BLS <sub>3</sub>	BLS <sub>5</sub>	BLS <sub>10</sub>	BLS <sub>11</sub>	BLS <sub>12</sub>	BLS <sub>1</sub>	BLS <sub>3</sub>	BLS <sub>5</sub>	BLS <sub>10</sub>	BLS <sub>11</sub>	BLS <sub>12</sub>	
A.hydrophila 1	R	R	R	R	R	12*	R	R	R	R	R	10	R
A.hydrophila 2	R	12	R	11	18	R	R	12	R	11	10	R	R
A.hydrophila 4	R	R	R	R	21	12	R	R	R	R	11	10	R
A.hydrophila 6	R	15	R	R	19	R	R	13	R	R	17	R	R
A.hydrophila 7	R	R	R	R	13	13	R	R	R	R	13	10	R
A.hydrophila 8	R	R	15	R	20	R	R	R	15	R	19	R	R
A.hydrophila 10	R	10	R	R	23	12	R	10	R	R	15	11	R
A.hydrophila 18	15	R	R	R	12	R	15	R	R	R	12	R	R
A.hydrophila 19	R	R	12	R	17	R	R	R	12	R	16	R	R
A.hydrophila 22	R	R	R	R	12	R	R	R	R	R	10	R	R
S. aureas	R	R	R	R	17	R	R	R	R	R	20	R	R
P.aeruginosa	R	R	13	R	20	R	R	R	18	R	11	R	R
E. coli	R	16	15	R	15	20	R	12	15	R	12	16	R
E. cloacea	R	R	21	R	17	R	R	R	18	R	11	R	R
S. dysenteria	R	R	22	R	17	R	R	R	19	R	17	R	R

\*Inhibition zone (mm) by using agar plate, R: No inhibition zone

Tables 4 show the results of antibacterial activity of BLS<sub>11</sub> at the pH values of 5.0; 5.5 and 6.0 as well as salt concentration 0.5, 1.0, 2.5. At the same time, growth intensity of *A. hydrophila* is shown in control mediums at the same pH values and salt concentration. Regarding pH, the maximum inhibitory activity has been observed at pH 3.0 followed pH 5.0 and minimum was observed at pH 7.0, while loose inhibitory activity at pH 9.0. The loss of activity at higher pH could be due to degradation of the molecule. regarding various salinity (NaCl %) tested 0.5% NaCl was found to be suitable rather than 2.5% NaCl. On the basis of these results, our study pointed that *A. hydrophila* BLS gave better effect in low pH and 0.5% salt concentration, so that it must be purifier in low pH (Acidic) to keep activity. Bacteriocin production was strongly dependent on pH, nutrient source and incubation temperature as claimed by [9]. Various physicochemical factors seemed to affect bacteriocin production as well as its activity.

BLS production was strongly dependent on pH, nutrient source and incubation temperature as claimed in [27]. Various physicochemical factors seemed to affect bacteriocin production as well as its activity. Maximum activity was noted at pH 3 and 0.5% NaCl. From the results proved that it can be used in acidic pH, as the optimum pH for activity was found to be pH 3.0. It might be secondary metabolites. BHI broth seemed to be more suitable medium compared to PG broth for the bacteriocin production. pH results consistent with those reported in [28], where the bacteriocin

characterized showed an antimicrobial activity at the acidic pH more than the basic pH.

**Table 4: Effect of different pH, Salt concentration on BLS<sub>11</sub> activity against enteric pathogens**

Enteric pathogens	NaCl (%)			pH			
	0.5	1.0	2.5	3	5	7	9
<i>S. aureus</i>	15*	16	12	13	11	10	9
<i>P.aeruginosa</i>	12	10	9	13	9	8	R
<i>E. coli</i>	20	16	10	13	10	9	R
<i>E. cloacae</i>	25	20	10	20	19	16	R
<i>S. dysenteria</i>	13	10	8	18	17	15	R

\*Inhibition zone (mm) by using agar plate

The BLS<sub>11</sub> preparations from *A. hydrophila* 11 strains of *A. hydrophila* were tolerant to all three treatments of surfactant, and gave high activity against other genera of bacteria (enteric pathogen). The best result obtain with TritonX-100 against all bacterial strains especially for *E. coli* and *E. cloacae* with inhibition zone 33mm and 32mm respectively as shown in (Table 5). We suggested that the surfactants used effect on cell membrane which lead to BLS release, which induced wide clarity of zones of growth inhibition. In the other hand effect of organic acid on BLS production (BLS<sub>11</sub>) has been studied and showed no remarkable difference in zone of inhibition when used acetone as effector element, while both of isopropanol and ethanol have narrow inhibition zone range when compared with control strain. As well as chloroform and methanol have been tested and showed no effect on BLS production. Organic solvent did not inhibit the activity of the bacteriocin, which might confirm the presence of lipid moieties in the bacteriocin structure [29]

**Table 5: Effect of organic solvents, surfactants on BLS<sub>11</sub> activity against enteric pathogens**

Enteric pathogens	Organic solvents					Surfactants		
	Isopropanol	Acetone	Chloroform	Ethanol	Methanol	SDS	TritonX-100	Tween 80
S. aureas	12	20	R	9	R	15	12	11
P.aeruginosa	11	12	R	11	R	8	11	11
E. coli	11	15	R	9	R	9	33	30
E. cloacae	13	11	R	9	R	11	32	30
S. dysenteria	15	22	R	15	R	14	25	18

All the experiments have been carried out twice, and all analyses have been carried out in duplicate.

In several pathovars, plasmid-born virulence or other traits have been reported [30]. In order to study the relationship of BLS of *A. hydrophila* strains and their indigenous plasmids, five selected *A. hydrophila* produced BLS have wide range effect on same species and enteric pathogenic stains were profiled are shown in (Table 6). All selected local isolates of *A. hydrophila* (3 isolates) harbored one plasmid except BLS<sub>11</sub> have two plasmids and BLS<sub>3</sub> have no plasmid content.

**Table 6: Plasmid number for selected *A. hydrophila***

<i>A. hydrophila</i>	Plasmid number
BLS <sub>1</sub>	1
BLS <sub>3</sub>	0
BLS <sub>5</sub>	1
BLS <sub>10</sub>	1
BLS <sub>11</sub>	2

These results indicated that most *A. hydrophila* may be harboring plasmid mediated BLS, and there are no relation between BLS production and number of plasmid bands present in bacteria. In [31] showed that extra chromosomal analysis showed the presence, in 70% of the strains, of one to five plasmids with molecular masses ranging from 2.1 to 41.5 MDa, but it was not possible to relate this result with BLS production.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A.hydrophila	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>
BLS	Bacteriocin-like Substances
BHI	Brain Heart Infusion
PG	Peptone Glycerol
S.aureus	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
P.aeruginosa	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
E.coli	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
E.cloacea	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>
S.dysenteria	<i>Shigella dysenteria</i>
IA	Isoinhibitory Activity
HA	Heteroinhibitory Activity
DC	Deoxycholate-Citrate agar
MC	MacConkey agar
SBA	Sheep Blood agar
MRS	de Man Rogosa and Sharpe media
K2HPO4	Potassium di hydrogen phosphate
MgSO4·7H2O	Magnesium Sulphate Dihydrate
MnSO4·4H2O	Tetrahydrated manganese sulphate
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
HCL	Hydrochloric Acid
TSB	Tryptone Soya Broth
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
BA	Blood agar

### References:

- McGowan J E and Del Rio C.1999. Other gram-negative bacilli. Principles and Practice of Infectious ieseases, 3rd ed. Mondell GL, Douglas RG, Bennett JE, editors. New York: Churchill Livingstone:1782-93.
- Chern-Horng Lee MD, Maw-Sen Liu MD and Sheng-Hwu Hsieh1 MD. 2003. *Aeromonas Hydrophila* Bacteremia Presenting Non-Traumatic Acute Osteomyelitis in a Cirrhotic Patient .Chang Gung Med J;26:520-4
- Rautelin H, Hanninem ML, Sivonen A, Turunen V and Valtonen Y.1995. Chronic diarrhoea due to a single strain of *Aeromonas caviae*. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis;14:51-3.



4. Kanai K and Wakabayashi H. 1984. Purification and Some Properties of Protease from *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Bull Japan Soc Sci Fish; 50(8): 1367-1374.
5. Abdullah A, Hart C and Winstanley C. 2003. Molecular Characterization and Distribution of Virulence Associated Genes Amongst *Aeromonas* Isolates from Libya. J Appl Microbiol; 95: 1001-1007.
6. Valera L and Esteve C, 2002. Phenotypic Study by numerical Taxonomy of Strains Belonging to the Genus *Aeromonas*. J Appl Microbiol;93: 77-95.
7. Borchardt M A, Stemper M E and Standridge J H.2003. *Aeromonas* isolates from human diarrheic stool and groundwater compared by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Emerg Infect Dis;9(2):224-228.
8. Kaper JB, Lockman H, Colwell RR and Joseph SW.1981. *Aeromonas hydrophila*: ecology and toxigenicity of isolates from an estuary. J Appl Bacteriol; 50 : 359-77.
9. Todorov SD and Dicks LMT. 2004. Comparison of 2 methods for purification of plantaricin ST31, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST31 Enzyme and Microbial. Technol; 36: 318-326.
10. Ishiyama Yohei, Takeomi Takata, Toshihiro Nakanishi, Naomi Watanabe, Mistuoki Kaneoke, Ken-ichi Watanabe, Takaaki Tanaka and Masayuki Taniguchi 2008. Production of Bacteriocin by *Staphylococcus* sp. NPSI 38 in Koji Extract Medium with Rice Protein Hydrolyzate and Its Growth-inhibitory Activity against *Hiobacterium*. Food Sci. Technol. Re; 14 (3): 239-248.
11. Bhunia A K, M. C. Johnson and Ray B. 1988. Purification, characterization and antimicrobial spectrum of a bacteriocin produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici*. J. Appl. Bacteriol; 65:261-268.
12. Rekhif N, Atrih A, Michel M and Lefebvre G. 1995. Activity of plantaricin SA6, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* SA6 isolated from fermented sausage. J Appl Bacteriol; 78:349-358.
13. Muriana PM and Klaenhammer T R. 1991. Purification and partial characterization of lactacin F, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* 11088. Appl. Environ. Microbiol; 57:114-121.
14. Laukova A.1992. The effect of culture medium on bacteriocin production in some bacterial strains .Veter Med (praha);37(12):661-666.
15. Kado CI, and Liu ST. 1981. Rapid procedure for detection and isolation of large and small plasmids, J. Bacteriol;145: 1365-1373.
16. Sambrook J, Fritsch E and Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular cloning a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbour laboratory .New York.
17. Albert M J, Faruque A S G, Faruque S M, Sack R B and Mahalanabis D. 1999. Case-control study of enteropathogens associated with childhood diarrhea in Dhaka, Bangladesh. J Clin Microbiol ;37: 3458-3464.
18. Andlová A, Porazilová I and Krej E. 2006. *Aeromonas* agar is a useful selective medium for isolating aeromonads from faecal samples . J Med Microbiol; 55 :1605-1606.
19. Albert MJ, Ansaruzzaman M, Talukder KA, Chopra AK, Kuhn I and Rahman M. 2000. Prevalence of enterotoxin genes in *Aeromonas*

- spp. isolated from children with diarrhea, healthy controls, and the environment. *J Clin Microbiol*; 38:3785-90.
20. Subashkumar R, Thayumanavan T, Vivekanandhan G. and Lakshmanaperumalsamy P. 2006. Occurrence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in acute gastroenteritis among children. *Indian J Med Res*; 123: 61-66.
  21. Deodhar L P, Saraswathi K and Varudkar A. 1991. *Aeromonas* spp. and Their Association with Human Diarrheal Disease. *J Clin Microbiol*; 29(5): 853-856.
  22. Messi P, E. Guerrieri and Bondi M. 2003. Bacteriocin-like substance (BLS) production in *Aeromonas hydrophila* water isolates. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*; 220(1):121-125.
  23. Chhibber S. and Vadehra D V. 1989. Effect of medium on the bacteriocin production by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Folia Microbiologica*; 34(2):99-105.
  24. Nascimento J d S, Abrantes J, deMarval M G and Bastos M. d. C.D. F. 2004. Growth conditions required for bacteriocin production by strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*; 20: 941-947.
  25. Motta A. S and Brandelli A. 2003. Influence of growth conditions on bacteriocin production by *Brevibacterium linens*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*; 62:163-167
  26. Audisio M C, Oliver G and Apella M C. 2001. Effect of different complex carbon sources on growth and bacteriocin synthesis of *Enterococcus faecium*. *Int J Food Microbiol*; 63 (15): 235-241.
  27. Karthikeyan V and Santhosh S W. 2009. Study of Bacteriocin as a Food Preservative and the *L. acidophilus* Strain as Probiotic. *Pak J Nutr*; 8 (4): 335-340.
  28. Karaoglu A S, A Faruk, S S Kilig and Kilig A O. 2003. Antimicrobial activity and characteristics of bacteriocins produced by vaginal lactobacilli. *Turk J Med Sci*; 33: 7-13.
  29. Khalil R, Elbahloul Y, Djadouni F and Omar S. 2009. Isolation and Partial Characterization of a Bacteriocin Produced by a Newly Isolated *Bacillus megaterium* 19 Strain. *Pak J Nutr*; 8 (3): 242-250.
  30. Kamiunten H. 1990. Loss of a Plasmid in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *erobotryae* is Correlated with Change of Symptoms. *Ann. Phytopath. Soc. Japan*; 56: 645-650.
  31. Patrizia M, Elisa G and Moreno B. 2003. Bacteriocin-like substance (BLS) production in *Aeromonas hydrophila* water isolates. *FEMS Microbiology*; 220(1):121-125.

## دراسة تأثير العوامل الفيزيائية والكيميائية على انتاجية البكتريوسين من عزلات *Aeromonas hydrophila*

سيناء مهدي شاكرا\*\*

هديل عبد اللطيف مجيد\*

منعم رضوان علي\*

\*الجامعة المستنصرية /كلية العلوم /قسم علوم الحياة  
\*\*وزارة الصحة/ المختبرات التعليمية

### الخلاصة:

عزلت بكتريا *Aeromonas hydrophila* بنسبة ( 5.6%) من المصابين بالتهابات المعدة والأمعاء الحاد بينما عزلت 19 سلالة معوية مرضية اخرى من المصابين بالاسهال وبنسبة (94.4%). أن بكتريا *A. hydrophila* شائع عزلها من الاطفال اللذين يعانون من الاسهال الحاد، أذ اظهرت النتائج ان 18 (78.26%) عزلة من *A. hydrophila* وجدت لدى الاطفال اللذين تقل اعمارهم عن 10 سنين، موزعة بين 10 ذكور (43.47%)، 8 إناث (34.78%)، 5 (21.73%) بالغين. من جانب اخر لوحظ تكرار عزلها من الذكور 14 (60.86%) مقارنة بالاناث 9 (39.14%). ستة سلالات من *A. hydrophila* لوحظ لديها فعالية البكتريوسين ضد اثنا عشر من مجموع ثلاث وعشرون عزلة من البكتريا نفسها وكذلك على انواع بكتيرية اخرى: *S. aureus* , *P. aeruginosa* , *E. coli* , *E. cloacea* , *S. dysenteria*. وقد وجد أن البكتريوسين المفرز من العزلة رقم 11 يمتلك فعالية تثبيطية على عشرة عزلات مختلفة لنفس البكتريا وفعالية تثبيطية على كل العزلات المرضية المستخدمة بالدراسة ، بينما البكتريوسين المفرز من العزلة رقم 5 اظهر فعالية تثبيطية على اثنتان من *A. hydrophila* وفعالية تثبيطية على البكتريا السالبة لصبغة كرام ، اما البكتريوسين المنتج من العزلتين رقم 3 و 12 اظهر فعالية تثبيطية على عزلة *E. coli* فقط ولم يظهر البكتريوسين المنتج من العزلة رقم 1 والعزلة رقم 10 اي فعالية تثبيطية على *A. hydrophila* او الانواع المرضية الاخرى. لقد تم استخدام الوسط الزراعي السائل (BHI) والذي اظهر اكبر انتاج بالمقارنة مع الاوساط الزرعية السائلة الاخرى مثل (PG) و (الوسط المغذي السائل) والتي اعطت حصيللة قليلة من النمو. لقد تم اختبار البكتريوسين المنتج من قبل العزلة رقم 11 وذلك لفعاليتها الواسعة على نفس الانواع والسلالات المعوية المرضية الاخرى لدراسة تأثير الظروف الفيزيائية والكيميائية على انتاج البكتريوسين من قبل *A. hydrophila*. ان البكتريوسين المنتج من قبل عزلة *A. hydrophila* رقم 11 تحمل المعاملة بالمواد ذات الفاعلية السطحية ، اما تأثير الاحماض العضوية على انتاج البكتريوسين من العزلة رقم 11 فقد ظهر عدم وجود اختلافات ملحوظة في قطر منطقة التثبيط عند استخدام الاسيتون كعامل مؤثر وقد اعطى الكحول الازوبروبانول والايثانول مناطق تثبيط صغيرة عند مقارنتها مع عزلات السيطرة. وقد اشارت النتائج الا ان معظم عزلات *A. hydrophila* تمتلك بلازميدات تشفر لانتاج البكتريوسين ولا وجود لعلاقة بين انتاج البكتريوسين و عدد حزم البلازميدات الموجوده في البكتيريا.