العلاقة بين انواع دوال الهوية

رنا بهجت ياسين

رغد وميض فارس

اسيل علاء عوض علي

قسم الرياضيات – كلية التربية للبنات – جامعة تكريت

The relationship between types of identifications

Rana B.t Yaseen

Raghad W. Faris

Assel A. Awad

Dep. of mathematics-College of education for women University of Tikrit.

الخلاصة :

في هذا البحث تستخدم تعاريف المجموعات المفتوحة من انماط

$(\alpha-\text{open}, \text{pre}-\text{open}, b-\text{open}, \beta-\text{open})$

لتحديد تعاريف جديده لدوال الهوية في الفضاءات التبولوجية، اسميناها

$\alpha-\text{identification}, \text{pre}-\text{identification}, b-\text{identification}, \beta-\text{identification}$

وناقشنا العلاقة فيما بينهم . وايضا "بعض صفات تلك الدوال دُرست وبُرهنت .

الدالة المفتاحية :

$\boldsymbol{\alpha-\text{identification}, \text{pre}-\text{identification}, b-\text{identification}, \beta-\text{identification}}$

Abstract

In this paper , used the definitions of ($\alpha-\text{open}$, $\text{pre}-\text{open}$, $b-\text{open}$, $\beta-\text{open}$) sets in order to limit the identifications in topological space namely ($\alpha-\text{identification}$, $\text{pre}-\text{identification}$, $b-\text{identification}$, $\beta-\text{identification}$) functions and we discuss the relationship between them , as well as several properties of these functions are proved.

Keyword :

$\alpha-\text{identification}$, $\text{pre}-\text{identification}$, $b-\text{identification}$ and $\beta-\text{identification}$

Introduction and Preliminaries:

The concept of continuous($\alpha-$continuous, $\text{pre}-$continuous , $b-$continuous, $\beta-$continuous) function, irresolute($\alpha-$ irresolute , $\text{pre}-$ irresolute , $b-$ irresoljute , $\beta-$ irresolute)function and contra $-$ continouous(contra $-\alpha-$ continouous, contra pre $-$ continouous , contra $-b-$ continouous , contra $-\beta-$ continouous) have been introduced and investigated by Mashhour [12 ,13],Andrjevic [3] ,El-Monsef [5],(Maheshwair and Thakur) [10], (Jafaris and Noiri) [7, 8] and Calda [4] respectively. By using" semi-, ($\alpha-$, pre $-$, $\beta-$, $b-$) open sets " have been introduced and investigated by Levine [9],Njasted [18], Mashhour [12,13], Andrjevic [3], El-Monsef [5] respectively.

AL-kutabi [1] in 1996 , introduces and studies some week identifications , the notion of semi-identification, Mazl [14] introduces the notion of b- identification. In this work , we study the concepts of types of identifications and discuss the relation between them .Also, we investigate it's relationship with other types of identifications.

" Throughout this paper $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{M}$ *and* $\aleph$,will denote topological spaces for a subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space ($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathfrak{I}$), $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})$, denoted the interior and closure of a set $\mathcal{A}$, respectively ", and we indicate them by the following symbols : $gof = \mathcal{W}$, $\mathfrak{f}^{-1} = \mathfrak{H}$, $g^{-1} = \mathfrak{h}$, $f(\mathfrak{f}^{-1}) = \mathcal{F}$.

" A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a space $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be:

1. $\alpha-$open set [18](for short $\mathfrak{D}-$) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}\left(\text{cl}\left(\text{int}(\mathcal{A})\right)\right)$. So $\mathcal{A}^c$ called $\alpha-$ closed (for short $\mathfrak{D}=$).
2. pre $-$open set [12] (for short $\mathfrak{p}-$) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}\left(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})\right)$. So $\mathcal{A}^c$ called pre $-$ closed (for short $\mathfrak{p}=$).
3. $\beta-$Open set [5] (for short $\mathfrak{B}-$) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}\left(\text{int}\left(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})\right)\right)$. So $\mathcal{A}^c$ called $\beta-$ closed (for short $\mathfrak{B}=$).
4. $b-$open set [3] (for short $\mathfrak{b}-$) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \left(\text{cl}\left(\text{int}(\mathcal{A})\right) \cup \text{int}\left(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})\right)\right)$. So $\mathcal{A}^c$ called $b-$ closed (for short $\mathfrak{b}=$)."

The family of all ($\mathfrak{D}-$, $\mathfrak{p}-$, $\mathfrak{B}-$, $\mathfrak{b}-$)sets is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}O(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathfrak{p}O(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathfrak{B}O(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathfrak{b}O(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark : the diagram below shows the relationship between open sets .

$$\text{open} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}-$$

figure (1)

" The converse of these implications are not true in general".

Example 1 :

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{d, k, p, O, C\}$ on $\mathfrak{I} = \{\mathcal{H}, \varphi, \{p, O\}, \{d, k\}, \{d, k, p, O\}\}$.

Then

- A subset $\{d\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{p}-$ but it does not $\mathfrak{D}-$.
- A subset $\{d, k, C\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{b}-$ but it does not $\mathfrak{p}-$.
- A subset $\{p, C\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$but it does not $\mathfrak{b}-$.

"The following definitions and results were introduced and studied ".

Definition 2: "Let a function of a space $\mathcal{H}$ into a space $\mathcal{M}$ then:

1- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called open (closed) function if the image of each open (closed) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is open(closed) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [6].

2- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{D}-$ ($\mathfrak{D}=$) function if the image of each $\alpha-$ open ($\mathfrak{D}=$) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ ($\mathfrak{D}=$) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [13].

3- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{p}-$ ($\mathfrak{p}=$) function if the image of each $\mathfrak{p}-$ ($\mathfrak{p}=$) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{p}-$ ($\mathfrak{p}=$) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [12].

4- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{b}-$ ($\mathfrak{b}=$) function if the image of each $\mathfrak{b}-$ ($\mathfrak{b}=$) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{b}$ – ($\mathfrak{b}=$) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [3].

5- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{B}-$ ($\mathfrak{B}=$) function if the image of each $\mathfrak{B}-$ ($\mathfrak{B}=$) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$($\mathfrak{B}=$) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [5]. "

Remark : the diagram below holds for a functions .

$$\text{open fun.} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}-\text{fun.} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}-\text{fun.} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}-\text{fun.} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}-\text{fun.}$$

figure (2)

"Now by [3,5,12,13]and the following examples illustrate that The converse of these implication are not true in general" .

Definition 3 : A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called:

1- Acontinuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is a open set in $\mathcal{H}$ [6].

2- $\alpha-$continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$set in $\mathcal{H}$ [13].

3- pre $-$continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{p}-$ set in $\mathcal{H}$ [12].

4- b $-$continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{b}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$ [2].

5- $\beta-$continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$set in $\mathcal{H}$ [5].

Remark : Mubarki in 2013 presented the following diagram that illustrates the relationship between the types of continuous functions . [15]

$$\text{cont.} \longrightarrow \alpha-\text{cont.} \longrightarrow \text{pre}-\text{cont.} \longrightarrow \text{b}-\text{cont.} \longrightarrow \beta-\text{cont.}$$

figure (3)

"The converse of these implications are not true in general and the following examples" .

Example. 4:

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{d, k, p, O, C\}$ on $\mathfrak{I} = \{\mathcal{H}, \varphi, \{p, \text{d}\}, \{d, k\}, \{d, k, p, \text{d}\}\}$

1-Then , $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d) = k$, $\mathfrak{f}(k) = d$, $\mathfrak{f}(p) = p$, $\mathfrak{f}(O) = k$, $\mathfrak{f}(C) = C$, is pre $-$continuous function but it is not $\alpha-$ cont.

2- Then , f: $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d) = d$, $\mathfrak{f}(k) = k$, $\mathfrak{f}(p) = p$, $\mathfrak{f}(O) = O$, $\mathfrak{f}(C) = k$, is b $-$cont. but it is not pre $-$ cont.

3- Then, $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=p,\mathfrak{f}(k)=\mathcal{C},\mathfrak{f}(p)=d,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=k$, is β −cont. but it is not b − cont.

Definition 5 :

A mapping $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is called irresolute function[10] (resp.α − irresolute [10], pre − irresolute[13], b − irresolute [3]β − irresolute[5]) if $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is open($\mathfrak{D}$ −, $\mathfrak{p}$ −, $\mathfrak{b}$− , $\mathfrak{B}$−)in $\mathcal{H}$ for each open ($\mathfrak{D}$ − , $\mathfrak{p}$ − , $\mathfrak{b}$−, $\mathfrak{B}$−) in $\mathcal{M}$.

"Diagram (4)" :

irresol. → α − irresol.→ pre − irresol. → b − irresol. → β − irresol.

generally speaking ,the opposite of the implication s is not necessarily true , as follows instance .

Example 6 :

Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d,k,p,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{C}\}$ on $\mathfrak{I}=\{\mathcal{H},\varphi,\{p,\mathcal{O}\},\{d,k\},\{d,k,p,\mathcal{O}\}\}$

1- Then , the $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d,\mathfrak{f}(k)=p,\mathfrak{f}(p)=k,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$,is pre − irresol.and not α − irresol .

2- Then , the $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d,\mathfrak{f}(k)=k,\mathfrak{f}(p)=\mathcal{C},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=p$, is b − irresol. and not pre − irresol .

3- Then the $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=p,\mathfrak{f}(k)=k,\mathfrak{f}(p)=d,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{C},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{O}$, is β − irresol. and not b − irresol .

Definition 7 :

A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is called contra − continouous (resp.contra α − continouous , contra pre − continouous [6,7], contra b − continouous [2]contra β − continouous[4]) , if $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is closed ($\mathfrak{D}$ = , $\mathfrak{p}$ = , $\mathfrak{b}$ = , $\mathfrak{B}$ =) in $\mathcal{H}$,for each open set u of $\mathcal{M}$.

."Diagram (5)" :

contra − cont.⟶ contra α − cont.⟶ contra pre – cont.⟶ contra b − cot.
⟶ contra β − cot.

The examples show that the reversal of the chart is incorrect .

Example 8 :

Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d,k,p,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{C}\}$ on $\mathfrak{I}=\{\mathcal{H},\varphi,\{p,\mathcal{O}\},\{d,\mathrm{b}\},\{d,k,p,\mathcal{O}\}\}$

1-Then , $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=\mathcal{C},\mathfrak{f}(k)=k,\mathfrak{f}(p)=d,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=p$.
Iscontra pre – cont.but it is not contra α − cont.

2- Then , $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=p,\mathfrak{f}(k)=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(p)=d,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=k,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$.
Is contra b − cont .but not contra pre – cont.

3-Then , $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d,\mathfrak{f}(k)=\mathcal{O},\mathfrak{f}(p)=p,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=k,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$.
Is contra β − cont.but not contra b − cont.

A Study of some new types of identifications:

In this section, we introduce new definitions of (α − identification, pre – identification, b − identification, β − identification) functions by using ($\mathfrak{D}$ −, $\mathfrak{p}$ −, $\mathfrak{b}$− , $\mathfrak{B}$−) sets and study the relations between them .

Definition 9 : " A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is called α − identification Iff $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and one of the following condition satisfies "

--- U is $\mathfrak{D}$ − in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$(u) is $\mathfrak{D}$ − in $\mathcal{H}$.

--- U is $\mathfrak{D}$ =in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is $\mathfrak{D}$ = in $\mathcal{H}$.

For example : Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d,k,p,\mathcal{O}\}$ and $\mathcal{M}=\{1,2,3\}$ be equipped with the topologies $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{H}}=\{\mathcal{H},\varphi,\{k,p\},\{d,k\},\{k\}\}$,$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{M}}=\{\varphi,\mathcal{M},\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{2\}\}$

If $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=1,\mathfrak{f}(k)=2,\mathfrak{f}(p)=3,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=3$.

we get $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha -$ identification.

Proposition 10 :

Every $\alpha -$ irresolute and $\mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} =)$ onto functions is $\alpha -$ identification.

Proof : A $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{f}(U)$ is $\mathfrak{D} -$ since $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and $\mathfrak{D} -$,

so $(\mathcal{F}(U)) = U$ is $\mathfrak{D} -$. and $U \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

$\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha -$ irresolute hanc $\mathfrak{H}(U)$ is $\mathfrak{D} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, so $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha -$ identification.

While if :

If $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto , $\mathfrak{D} =$ and $\alpha -$ irresolute ,

Hence $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{D} -$, implies $(\mathfrak{H}(U))^c = \mathfrak{H}(U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{D} =$, which $(\mathcal{F}(U^c)) = (U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{D} =$,

Since $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha -$ irresolute by def. so $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha -$ identification. $\mathfrak{p} -$in $\mathcal{H}$".

Definition11 :

" A function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called pre $-$ identification if $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and U is $\mathfrak{p} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $f^{-1}(u)$ is $\mathfrak{p} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$ ".

Remark : from figure (1) we get every $\alpha -$ identification is pre – identification but the opposite is not true .

As follows instance .

$\mathcal{H} = \{d, k, p, O, C\}$, $\mathcal{M} = \{d, k, p, O\}$ be equipped with topologies $\mathfrak{I}_x = \{\mathcal{H}, \varphi, \{p, O\}, \{d, k\}, \{d, k, p, O\}\}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{M}} = \{\varphi, Y, \{d, k\}, \{k, p\}, \{k\}\}$.

If $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d) = d$, $\mathfrak{f}(k) = k$, $\mathfrak{f}(p) = p$,

$\mathfrak{f}(O) = O$, $\mathfrak{f}(C) = p$, we get $\mathfrak{f}$ is pre $-$ identification.

Lemma 12 : A onto function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called pre – identification , U is $\mathfrak{p} =$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{p} =$in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 13 :

Every pre $-$ irresolute and $\mathfrak{p} - (\mathfrak{p} =)$ ontofunctions is pre $-$ identification

Proof :

from " figure (1 ,4)" every $\mathfrak{D} -$ function is $\mathfrak{p} -$function and $\alpha -$ irresolute is pre – irresolute , by Proposition 10 , we get every $\mathfrak{f}$ $\alpha -$ irresolute is pre $-$ irresolute.

Definition 14:

" A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called b $-$ identification if $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and one of the following condition satisfies "

1) U is $\mathfrak{b} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is $\mathfrak{b} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

2) U is $\mathfrak{b} =$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is $\mathfrak{b} =$ in $\mathcal{H}$. [3]

"from figure (1) every $\mathfrak{p} -$ is $\mathfrak{b} -$ then for each pre – identification is b $-$ identification. "

We note from an example 1 :

A $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d) = d$, $\mathfrak{f}(k) = k$, $\mathfrak{f}(p) = C$, $\mathfrak{f}(O) = O$, $\mathfrak{f}(C) = p$,

then $\mathfrak{f}$ is b $-$ identification but not pre $-$ identification, since $\mathfrak{H}\{d, k, p\} = \{d, k, C\} \notin PO(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 15 :

If $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto , $\mathfrak{b} - (\mathfrak{b} =)$ and b $-$ irresolute then $\mathfrak{f}$ is b $-$ identification. [3].

Proposition 16 :

The composition of two, $\alpha -$identification(pre $-$ identification, b $-$ identification) functions is $\alpha -$ identification(pre $-$ identification, b $-$ identification).

Proof :

Suppose that $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \aleph$ are $\alpha -$ identifications

"Whenever The compo. of two onto functions is onto ".

Now ,if U be any $\mathfrak{D}-$in $\aleph$, by hypo. $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathfrak{f}$ are $\alpha-$ identifications then $\mathfrak{h}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and we have $\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathfrak{h}\,(U)\right)=(\mathcal{W})^{-1}(U)$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. implies U is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha-$ identification.

Similarly ,to prove $\mathcal{W}$ is (pre $-$ identification, b $-$ identification).

Proposition 17 :

A $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{G}:\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\aleph$ are functions and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha-$ identification (pre $-$ identification, b $-$ identification)

then the following statement are valid :

1- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha-$ cont. (pre $-$ cont., b $-$ cont.)then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha-$ cont. (pre $-$ cont., b $-$ cont.).
2- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha-$ irresolute (pre $-$ irresolute , b $-$ irresolute .)then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha-$ irresolute . (pre $-$ irresolute , b $-$ irresolute).
3- If $\mathcal{W}$ is contra $\alpha-$ cont. (contra pre $-$ cont., contra b $-$ cont.) then $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\alpha-$ cont. (contra pre $-$ cont.,contra b $-$ cont.).

Proof :

1) Let $\mathcal{W}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\aleph$ is $\alpha-$ cont. , Assume that k any an open set in $\aleph$, Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$ (V) , whenever $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) = $\mathfrak{H}$ ($\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha-$ identif.
then V is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ .So $\mathfrak{h}$(k) $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$, so $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha-$ cont.
2) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{D}-$ set in $\aleph$, Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$ (V) , we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) = $\mathfrak{H}$ ($\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U ,that is, U is$\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha-$ identif .
, then V is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$. whenever $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) $\mathfrak{D}-$in $\mathcal{M}$.
So $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha-$ irresolute .
3) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{D}-$ set in Z , Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$(V), we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) = $\mathfrak{H}$($\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{D}-$in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha-$ identif. , then V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) is $\mathfrak{D}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\alpha-$ cont.

Definition 18 :

" A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is called $\beta-$ identification if $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and U is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (u) is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$".

" from figure (1) we get every b $-$ identification is β – identification but the converse is not true". From instance 1: let $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ be defined by

$\mathfrak{f}(d)=d,\ \mathfrak{f}(k)=k,\mathfrak{f}\,(p)=\mathcal{C}\ ,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\ \mathcal{O}\ ,,\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=p$

then $\mathfrak{f}$ is b $-$ identification but not pre $-$ identification, since $\mathfrak{H}\,\{d,k,p\}=\{d,k,\mathcal{C}\}\notin \mathfrak{p}O(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 19:

A onto function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is called β – identification if U is $\mathfrak{B}$ =in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof : If U subset of $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{B}=$ then U^c is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$, since $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta-$ identification, so $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$,(by def. $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto , $\left(\mathfrak{H}\,(U)\right)^c=\mathfrak{H}\,(U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly ,if $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B}=$, in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathfrak{H}\,(U)^c=\mathfrak{H}(U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta-$ identif. , we get U is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Assume that U be $\mathfrak{B}-$ in Y then U^c is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$, whenever $\left(\mathfrak{H}\,(U)\right)^c=\mathfrak{H}\,(U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, so $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly,

if $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\left(\mathfrak{H}\,(U)\right)^c=\mathfrak{H}\,(U^c)$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and then U^c is $\mathfrak{B} =$, so U is $\mathfrak{B} -$.

---

proposition 20 :

If $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto ,$\mathfrak{B} -$ ($\mathfrak{B} =$) and $\beta -$ irresolute then $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ identification.

Proof :

Assume that U is $\mathfrak{B} =$ in $\mathcal{H}$, U$\subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B} =$ in $\mathcal{H}$ .whenever $(\mathcal{F}(U))$= U ,we get U is $\mathfrak{B} =$ in $\mathcal{H}$ (Since $\mathfrak{H}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B} =$in $\mathcal{H}$, ,and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\mathfrak{B} =$ in $\mathcal{H}$).
,so U^c is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and since $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ irresolute then $\mathfrak{H}$ (U)is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, whenever $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto $(\mathfrak{H}(U))^c = \mathfrak{H}(U^c)$ imples $\mathfrak{H}$(U) is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus ,by Proposition 19 , then $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ identification.

Theorem 21 : The below stated expressions are hold .

1- every identification is $\alpha -$ identification.
2- every $\alpha -$ identification is pre $-$ identification.
3- every pre $-$ identification is b $-$ identification.
4- every b $-$ identification is $\beta -$ identification.

Proof : obvious.

Remark : " the above examples show that the inverse theorem is not necessarily true ."

Proposition 22 :

"The composition of two $\beta -$ identification functions is $\beta -$ identification".

Proof:

Let $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \aleph$ are $\beta -$ identifications
"Whenever The compo. of two onto functions is onto" .
,If U be any $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\aleph$, by hypo. $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathfrak{f}$ are $\beta -$ identifications then $\mathfrak{h}$ (U) is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and we have $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(U)) = (\mathcal{W})^{-1}(U)$ is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, implies U is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta -$ identification.

Proposition23 :

$\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \aleph$ be functions and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ identificationthen the following statement are valid :

1- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta -$ cont. then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta -$ cont.
2- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta -$ irresolute then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta -$ irresolute .
3- If $\mathcal{W}$ is contra $\beta -$ cont. then $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\beta -$ cont.

Proof :

1) Let $\mathcal{W}$ f : $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \aleph$ is $\beta -$ cont. , Assume that k any an open set in $\aleph$, Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$(V) , we have W^{-1} (k) = $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is$\beta -$ identification , then V is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$ .So $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta -$ cont.

2) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{B} -$ set in $\aleph$, Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$ (V) , we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) = $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U ,that is, U $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ identif. ,
then V is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta -$ irresolute .

3) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{B} -$ set in $\aleph$, Let V = $\mathfrak{h}$ (k) and U= $\mathfrak{H}$(V), we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) = $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}$ (k)) =U is $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$. So $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ (k) $\mathfrak{B} -$ in $\mathcal{H}$,but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta -$ identifi.,
, then V = $\mathfrak{h}$.

Remark : from the above discussion and known results we have the following implications .

identification $\longrightarrow$ $\alpha-$identification $\longrightarrow$ pre $-$ identification $\longrightarrow$ b $-$ identification $\longrightarrow$ $\beta-$identification

figure (6)

Definition 24 : " A space $(\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{I})$ is said to be $\alpha-\mathfrak{I}_1$ (pre-$\mathfrak{I}_1$,$b-\mathfrak{I}_1$,$\beta-\mathfrak{I}_1$) [8,11,16, 18] iff for each a pair of distinct points $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, each belongs to an $\mathfrak{D}-$($\mathfrak{p}-$,$\mathfrak{b}-$,$\mathfrak{B}-$)sets which does not contain the other .

Theorem 25 : A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-$identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{I}_1$,then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Proof : let $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, $x\neq y$,since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{I}_1$,there exist $\mathfrak{D}-$s ets M_1 and M_2 ,Of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x)\in M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y)\in M_2$,$\mathfrak{f}(y)\notin M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x)\notin M_2$.

Since function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-$identification , we have

$$x\in\mathfrak{H}(M_1),y\in\mathfrak{H}(M_2)\text{and } x\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_2),y\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_1)$$

hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Theorem 26 : A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is pre $-$ identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is pre -$\mathfrak{I}_1$,then $\mathcal{H}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Proof : let $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, $x\neq y$,since $\mathcal{M}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{I}_1$,there exist $\mathfrak{p}-$ sets M_1 and M_2 ,0f $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x)\in M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y)\in M_2$,$\mathfrak{f}(y)\notin M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x)\notin M_2$ .Since function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is pre $-$ identification , we have $x\in\mathfrak{H}(M_1),y\in\mathfrak{H}(M_2)$and $x\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_2),y\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_1)$ hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Theorem 27: A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is b $-$ identification and$\mathcal{M}$ is $b-\mathfrak{I}_1$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is $b-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Proof : let$x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, $x\neq y$,since $\mathcal{M}$ is $b-\mathfrak{I}_1$,there exist $\mathfrak{b}-$ sets M_1 and M_2 ,of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x)\in M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y)\in M_2$,$\mathfrak{f}(y)\notin M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x)\notin M_2$ .Since function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is b $-$ identification ,

we have $x\in\mathfrak{H}(M_1),y\in\mathfrak{H}(M_2)$and $x\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_2),y\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_1)$

hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $b-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Theorem 28 : A function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-$identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{I}_1$ then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

Proof : let $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, $x\neq y$,since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{I}_1$,there exist $\mathfrak{B}-$ sets M_1 and M_2 ,of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x)\in M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y)\in M_2$,$\mathfrak{f}(y)\notin M_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x)\notin M_2$ .Since function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-$identification , we have $x\in\mathfrak{H}(M_1)$,$y\in\mathfrak{H}(M_2)$and $x\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_2),y\notin\mathfrak{H}(M_1)$

hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{I}_1$.

References:

[1] Al-kutaibi,S.H.,"on some types of identification"Dep. Of mathematics university of tikreet .Tikreet univ.J.Sci. Vol 4.No.3. pp.49-59.(1998).

[2] Al-omari,A. and Norrani,M.S., "some properties of contra - b –continuous and almost contra - b –continuous functions" Men.iac.sci.Kochi. univ. 22pp. 19-28 (2001).

[3] Andrijevic,D. "On b- open sets ", Mat. Bech., 48 ,pp.59-64 (1996).

[4] Caldas,M.,Jafari,S. "some properties of contra - β -continuous functions "Men.iac.sci.Kochi. univ. 22,pp. 19-28 (2001).

[5] El-Monsef,M.E.and elat " $\beta-$open sets and $\beta-$Continuous mappings " Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. univ. Vol. 12, pp. 77-90 ,(1983).

[6] Jafari,.S., Noiri,T. " contra - Continuous functions between topological spaces " Iranian-Int. ,J.Sci., Volume 2, pp. 153-167,(2001).

[7] Jafari,.S., Noiri,T. " on contra -pre Continuous functions " Bull. Malaysian.Math.Sci.Soc. Volume 25, pp. 115-128,(2002).

[8] Kar,A., and Bhattacharyya,P., " Some weak separation axioms", Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.Vol. 82,PP. 415-422, (1990).
[9]Levine, N. "Semi-open sets and semi - continuity in topological spaces", Amer. Math. Monthly , vol. 70, Pp.36-41,(1963).

[10] Maheshwair,S.N.,and Thakur ,S.S., "α-irresolute Mapping " Tamkang J. Math. Vol. 11, pp.209 -214,(1980).
[11] Mahmoud , R.A .,and El-Monsef M.E.," β -irresolute and β -topological invariant" Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., Vol. 27 ,pp. 285–296 (1990).
[12] Mashhour,A.S., Abd El-Monsef ,M.E. and El-Deeb,S.N.,"On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappimgs "Pros.Math.
Science Egypt, Vol.53,pp.47-53,(1982).
[13] Mashhour,A.S., Hasonein,I.A., and El-Deeb,S.N.,"α-Continuous and α-open Mapping)Acta. Math. Hunger Vol. 41, pp.213-218,(1983).
[14] Mez'l, A.K. ,"On b-identification" Journal of Kufa for Mathematics
and Computer vol. 1,NO.3,pp.76-80,(2011).
[15] Mubarki ,A.M.,Al-rshudi ,M.,Al-juhani, M.A., "some properties of contra - $\beta^* -$ open sets and $\beta^* -$continuity in topological spaces " Journal of Tabiah unive. For sci. Vol.8 ,pp. 142-148 (2014).
[16] Mustafa J.M., "Some separation axioms by b- open sets", Mu'tah Lil- Buhuth wad-Dirasat, (20) (3), 57-63 (2005).
[17] Njastad,O. "On some classes of nearly open sets"Pacific.J.Math.,15, pp. 961-970(1965).
[18] Raman,T.C.,Vidyottama K., K. Sharma," α- Generalized & α - Separation Axioms for Topological Spaces" IOSR Journal of Math. Vol. 10, Issue 3 Ver. VI., PP 32-36 (2014).