

## Exponential Function of a bounded Linear Operator on a Hilbert Space.

*Radhi I. Mohammed \**

*Fatin A. Ahmed \*\**

Received 28, May, 2013

Accepted 18, September, 2013

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we introduce an exponential of an operator defined on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and we study its properties and find some of properties of  $T$  inherited to exponential operator, so we study the spectrum of exponential operator  $e^T$  according to the operator  $T$ .

**Key words:** Self-adjoint operator, positive operator, normal operator, quasinormal operator, binormal operator hyponormal operator and compact operator.

**Introduction:**

Let  $B(H)$  be a space of all bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  (real or complex).

We introduced a new bounded linear operator defined on  $H$ , as a limit of sequence or power series of linear operator  $T$ . Giaquinta; Modica in [1] gave a definition of an exponential operator  $e^T$  of a bounded linear operator  $T$  as the sum of power series of  $T$ , and it started the properties of exponential operator of bounded linear operator  $T$ . In this paper we study the inherited properties of  $T$  into the operator  $e^T$ , and the spectrum of exponential operator  $e^T$  according to the operator  $T$ . Such properties of  $T$  can be found in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] and [8].

**Preminilaries:**

**Definition:**

Let  $T \in B(H)$  then  $e^T: H \rightarrow H$  defines as  $e^T x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n x$ . So, we write  $e^T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n$ .

We need to check the definition of exponential operator is well-define, i.e. The power series is convergent for

each  $x \in H$ , by following proposition in [1].

**Proposition:**

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$ .

1. If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be a power series with radius of convergence  $R > 0$  and  $\|T\| \leq R$ .

Then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n$  convergence in  $B(H)$  and define a linear continuous operator.

2. The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} T^k$  converges in  $B(H)$  and define the linear continuous operator  $e^T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} T^k$ .

**Examples:**

1.  $e^0 = I$ , Where  $0$  is a zero operator and  $I$  is an identity operator defined on  $H$ .

$$2. e^I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I = eI.$$

3. If  $T$  is a nilpotent of degree  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $T^n = 0$  in [2], then  $e^T =$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} T^k, \\ e^T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n = I + T + \frac{1}{2!} T^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} T^{n-1}.$$

This paper consists of three sections. In section one we study some

---

\*Dept. of Mathematics, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad

properties of an exponential operator on H. While, in section two we study some properties operator T on H inherited to operator e<sup>T</sup>. In section three, we study the spectrum of exponential operator e<sup>T</sup> according to the operator T.

**1. Some properties of an Exponential operator on a Hilbert space H:**

In [1] Mariano gave some properties of e<sup>T</sup> without proof. In this section we present its proofs.

**Proposition (1.1)**

Let T, S ∈ B(H) we have the following properties of e<sup>T</sup>:

1. If T S = S T then e<sup>T+S</sup> = e<sup>T</sup> e<sup>S</sup> = e<sup>S</sup> e<sup>T</sup>.
2. e<sup>T</sup> e<sup>-T</sup> = I, and hence the inverse of e<sup>T</sup> is e<sup>-T</sup>, i.e. (e<sup>T</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> = e<sup>-T</sup>.
3. e<sup>(α+β)T</sup> = e<sup>αT</sup> e<sup>βT</sup>, for any α, β scalar.
4. ||e<sup>T</sup>|| ≤ e<sup>||T||</sup>.
5. (e<sup>T</sup>)<sup>\*</sup> = e<sup>T\*</sup>.

**Proof:**

1. By using of multiplication of absolutely convergent series we get :

$$e^T e^S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} S^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} T^k S^{n-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} T^k S^{n-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (T + S)^n = e^{T+S}.$$

2. e<sup>T</sup> e<sup>-T</sup> = e<sup>T+(-T)</sup> = e<sup>0</sup> = I, by part (1).

3. The result following by part one of this proposition.

4. We have ||∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n</sup> T<sup>k</sup>|| ≤ ∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n</sup> ||T<sup>k</sup>|| ≤ ∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n</sup> ||T||<sup>k</sup>.

And ||∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n</sup>  $\frac{1}{k!}$  T<sup>k</sup>|| converges to ||e<sup>T</sup>|| and ∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n</sup>  $\frac{1}{k!}$  ||T||<sup>k</sup> to e<sup>||T||</sup>. So, we have ||e<sup>T</sup>|| ≤ e<sup>||T||</sup>.

$$5. (e^T)^* = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n \right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (T^n)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (T^*)^n = e^{T^*}.$$

There is another equivalent definition of an exponential operator of a bounded linear on a Hilbert space H, as a limit of sequence of some bounded operators [1].

**Theorem (1.2)**

Let T be a bounded linear operator defined on a Hilbert space H, then

$$\left( I + \frac{1}{n} T \right)^n \rightarrow e^T.$$

The proof of this theorem can be found in [1]

**2.Main Results:**

In this section, we are going to give some properties of linear operators defined on a Hilbert space H, that inherited an exponential operator many them: self-ajoint, positive, normral, quasinormal, hyponormal and compact.

**Lemma(2.1)[2]**

1. If T is a self-adjoint operator. Then αT is a self-adjoint, for all real numberα.

2. If T,S are self-adjoint linear operators on H. Then T+S is a self-adjoint.

3. If T,S are self-adjoint linear operators on H. Then TS is a self-adjoint if and only if TS = ST.

4. If T is a self-adjoint operator. Then T<sup>n</sup> is a self-adjoint, too for any positive integer n ≥ 2.

5. If (T<sub>n</sub>) is a sequence of bounded self-adjoint linear operators on H, and T<sub>n</sub> converges to a linear operator T. Then the operator T is also self-adjoint.

**Proposition (2.2)**

If T is a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H, then so is e<sup>T</sup>.

**Proof:**

If T is self-adjoint operator and n any positive integer, we have that

lemma (2.1) parts (1), (2) and (4)  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  is a self-adjoint . But  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  convergent to  $e^T$  , then by lemma (2.1) part (5)  $e^T$  is self-adjoint .

**Definition (2.3)[2]**

Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a self-adjoint operator, it is said a positive operator if  $T \geq 0$  , i.e.  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$  , for all  $x$  in  $H$ .

**Lemma (2.4)[2]**

1. If  $T$  is a positive operator. Then  $\alpha T$  is a positive, each non negative scalar  $\alpha$ .
2. If  $T, S$  are positive linear operators. Then  $T + S$  is positive.
3. If  $T, S$  are positive linear operators and  $TS = ST$ . Then  $TS$  is positive.
4. If  $T$  is a positive operator . Then  $T^n$  is positive , too for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ .
5. The limit of a sequence of positive linear operators on  $H$ , is a positive operator.

**Proposition (2.5)**

If  $T$  is a positive operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then so is  $e^T$

**Proof :**

If  $T$  is a positive operator and  $n$  any positive integer, then by lemma (2.4) parts (1),(2) and (4) we have  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  is a positive operator. But  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  converges to  $e^T$ , then by lemma (2.4) part (5) we have  $e^T$  is positive.

**Remark (2.6)**

If  $T$  is a skew-self-adjoint operator , i.e.  $T^* = -T$  in [2] , then  $e^T$  may not be a skew, to see this, we have the following example:

Let  $T = 2iI$  be a linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . We have  $T^* = (2iI)^* = -2iI = -T$ , hence  $T$  is a skew-self-adjoint operator . But  $(e^T)^* = (e^{2iI})^* = e^{(2iI)^*} = e^{-2iI}$  , i.e.  $e^T$  is not a skew-self-adjoint.

**Proposition (2.7)**

If  $T$  is a normal operator on  $H$  , then  $e^T$  is also normal .

**Proof :**

$T$  is a normal operator  $TT^* = T^*T$  in this implies by (1.1) part (1), we have:

$$e^T e^{T^*} = e^{T+T^*} = e^{T^*+T} = e^{T^*} e^T ,$$

hence  $e^T$  is normal.

**Definition (2.8)[3]**

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on  $H$ . It is called a quasinormal if  $T$  commutes with  $T^*T$ , i.e.  $T(T^*T) = (T^*T)T$

**Lemma (2.9)**

Let  $T, S \in B(H)$  be quasinormal operators then :

1.  $\alpha T$  is a quasinormal ,  $\alpha$  for any scalar.
2.  $T+S$  is a quasinormal with property that each commute with the adjoint of the other.
3.  $ST$  is a quasinormal if the following conditions are satisfied:  
(i)  $ST = TS$  (ii)  $ST^* = T^*S$
4. The limit of a sequence of quasinormal linear operators on  $H$ , is a quasinormal operator.

The proof of this lemma can be found in [2] ,[3]

**Remark (2.10)**

By using mathematical induction and lemma (2.9) part (3) , we have  $T^n$  is quasinormal operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proposition (2.11)**

Let  $T$  be a quasinormal operator on  $H$ , then  $e^T$  is also quasinormal .

**Proof :**

If  $T$  is a quasinormal operator and  $n$  any positive integer, then by lemma (2.9) parts (1),(2) and (2.10) we have  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  is a quasinormal operator. But  $(I + \frac{1}{n}T)^n$  converges to  $e^T$  , then by lemma (2.9) part (4), we have  $e^T$  is quasinormal.

**Definition (2.12) [4]**

An operator  $T$  on  $H$  is said a binormal if  $T T^*$  commutes with  $T^* T$ , i.e.  $[T T^*, T^* T] = 0$

**Remark (2.13)**

If  $T$  is binormal operator on  $H$ . Then  $e^T$  may not be binormal, so we are going to

example to show this :

Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  can easily verify

that  $T$  be binormal and  $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

we have :

$$e^T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n x = I + T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } e^{T^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ that's why}$$

$$e^T e^{T^*} e^{T^*} e^T = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } e^{T^*} e^T e^T e^{T^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which are not equal, therefore  $e^T$  is not binormal operator.

**Definition (2.14)[4]**

An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . It is said a hyponormal if  $T^* T - T T^* \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\langle (T^* T - T T^*) x, x \rangle \geq 0$ , for every  $x \in H$ .

**Lemma (2.15)**

Let  $T, S$  be hyponormal operators on  $H$ , then:

1.  $\alpha T$  is a hyponormal, for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
2. If  $T, S$  are hyponormal operators with the property either commute with adjoint of the other. Then  $T+S$  is hyponormal.
3. If  $T_n: H \rightarrow H$  ( $n= 1,2,\dots$ ) is a sequence of hyponormal operator and  $T_n \rightarrow T$  then  $T$  hyponormal.

The proof of this lemma can be found in [2].

**Remark (2.16)**

In [5], P.R Halmos gave example of a hyponormal operator  $T$  such that  $T^2$  is not hyponormal implies that  $T^n$  may not be a hyponormal for some  $n \geq 2$ .

**proposition (2.17)**

If  $T$  is a hyponormal and a binormal operator, then  $T^n$  is a hyponormal for  $n \geq 1$ .

We can find the proof in [4].

We are going to proof that if  $T$  is hyponormal and binormal then  $e^T$  is hyponormal.

**Proposition (2.18)**

If  $T$  is a hyponormal and a binormal operator then  $e^T$  is hyponormal.

**Proof:**

If  $T$  is hyponormal and binormal operator and  $n$  any positive integer, we have that lemma (2.15) parts (1),(2) and proposition (2.17)  $(I + \frac{1}{n} T)^n$  is a hyponormal. But  $(I + \frac{1}{n} T)^n$  convergent to  $e^T$  by lemma(2.15) part (3)  $e^T$  is hyponormal.

**Definition (2.19)[2]**

An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is said to be compact if for each bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $H$ , the sequence  $(Tx_n)$  contains and convergent subsequence.

**Lemma (2.20)[2]**

1. If  $T, S, U \in B(H)$  are compact operators on  $H$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\alpha T, T+S$  and  $U T, T U$  are compact operators.

2. If  $T$  is a compact operator on  $H$ , then  $T^n$  is a compact for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ .

3. If  $(T_n)$  is a sequence of compact linear operators on  $H$ . Suppose that  $T_n$  converges to linear operator  $T$ , then the operator  $T$  is compact.

**Theorem (2.21)**

If  $T \in B(H)$  is a compact operator. Then:

1.  $e^T$  is compact if  $H$  is finite dimension.
2.  $e^T - I$  is compact if  $H$  is infinite dimension.

**Proof :**

1.  $I$  is a compact operator since  $H$  is finite dimensional Hilbert space in [2],

hence  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} T^k$  is compact operator by lemma (2.20) parts (1) and (2), Therefore  $S_n$  convergent to the compact operator by (2.20) part (3), i.e.  $e^T$  is compact.

2.  $I$  is not a compact operator, if  $H$  is infinite dimensional Hilbert space [2]. But  $S_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} T^k$  is compact operator if  $T$  is compact by lemma (2.20) parts (1) and (2), therefore  $e^T - I$  is compact.

**Remark (2.22)**

1. If  $T$  is a compact operator on infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $e^T$  is not necessary compact, to see this, the  $T = 0$  (zero operator) is a compact, where  $e^T = e^0 = I$  which is not compact in [2].

2. If  $T$  is isometric operator on  $H$ , then  $\|Tx\| = \|x\| \forall x \in H$ . Then  $e^T$  may not be isometric, to see this, we give the following example:

If  $T = I$ , then  $\|T\| = 1$ , hence  $\|e^T\| = \|e^I\| = e\|I\| = e$ .

3. If  $T$  is a unitary operator on  $H$ , then  $TT^* = T^*T = I$ . therefore  $e^T$  may not be unitary to see this,

we give the example:

If  $T = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\right)I$  and  $T^* = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\right)I$ , implies that  $TT^* = T^*T = I$ , i.e.  $T$  is unitary operator.

We have  $e^T = e^{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\right)I} = e^{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\right)}I$  and  $e^{T^*} = e^{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\right)I} = e^{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\right)}I$ . But  $e^T e^{T^*} = e^{\sqrt{3}}I \neq I$ .

**3.The Spectrum of an exponential operator on a Hilbert space H:**

The spectrum of a linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is a subset of the set of complex numbers  $\lambda$ , for which  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible, denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ . The complement of the spectrum

of linear operator is resolvent, and it is denoted by  $\rho(T)$ .

**Definition (3.1) [2]**

Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ .

1. The eigenvalue of  $T$  is a complex number  $\lambda$ , for which  $T - \lambda I$  is not injective, i.e. There exists a non-zero vector  $x$  in  $H$ , such that  $(T - \lambda I)(x) = 0$ , the vector  $x$  is called eigenvector of  $T$  and the set of all eigenvalues of  $T$  denoted by  $\sigma_p(T)$  is called the set of point spectrum of  $T$ .

2. The continuous spectrum of  $T$ , is a set of complex numbers  $\lambda$ , for which  $T - \lambda I$  is injective and  $T - \lambda I$  is not surjective, but the range of  $H$  by linear operator  $T - \lambda I$  is dense in  $H$ . The continuous spectrum of  $T$  is denoted by  $\sigma_c(T)$ .

3. The residual spectrum of  $T$ , is the set of all complex numbers  $\lambda$ , for which  $T - \lambda I$  is injective and the range of  $H$  dose not equal  $H$ . The residual spectrum of  $T$  denoted by  $\sigma_r(T)$ .

4. The spectral radius of linear operator  $T$  is denoted by  $r(T)$  and it is defined as follows:

$$r(T) = \sup \{ |\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(T) \} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

**Proposition (3.2)**

Let  $T \in B(H)$  and  $\lambda$  be eigenvalue of  $T$ , then  $e^\lambda$  is eigenvalue of  $e^T$ .

**Proof:**

There exists a non zero vector  $x$  in  $H$ , such that  $Tx = \lambda x$  (since  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ ), hence  $T^n x = \lambda^n x$ .

But 
$$e^T x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n x =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n x}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\right) x = e^\lambda x.$$

Therefore  $e^\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $e^T$  and  $x$  is a corresponding eigenvector.

**Remark (3.3)**

In [2] E. Kreyszing, proved that, if  $H$  is finite dimensional Hilbert space. and  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $\sigma(T) \neq \phi$ . Furthermore  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is eigenvalue of  $T$ . Hence if  $H$  is a

finite dimensional Hilbert space then  $\lambda \in \sigma(e^T)$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is eigenvalue of  $e^T$ .

In the following example we are going to compute the spectrum of the some linear operators.

**Examples (3.4)**

1.  $\sigma(I) = \{1\}$ , so  $\sigma(e^0) = \sigma(I) = \{1\}$
2.  $\sigma(e^1) = \sigma(eI) = \{e\}$ .
3. Let  $T$  be a nilpotent operator on a finite Hilbert space  $H$ . With order  $n$ , we have  $e^T = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} T^k$ , and  $\sigma(e^T) = \sigma\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} T^k\right) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k : \lambda \in \sigma(T) \right\}$  by [2]. But  $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$  by [2], hence  $\sigma(e^T) = \{1\}$ .

**Theorem (3.5) [2]**

Let  $T$  be a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Then:

1. The spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is real.
2. The residual spectrum  $\sigma_r(T)$  is empty.
3.  $r(T) = \|T\|$ .

**Proposition (3.6)**

If  $T \in B(H)$  and  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator. Then :

1.  $\sigma_p(e^T)$  subset of real number and  $\sigma_r(e^T) = \emptyset$
2.  $r(e^T) \leq e^{r(T)}$

**Proof:**

1.  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator, then  $e^T$  is self-adjoint by proposition (2.1). Hence  $\sigma_p(e^T)$  is subset of real number by theorem (3.5) and  $\sigma_r(e^T) = \emptyset$ .

2. By theorem (3.5), we have  $r(e^T) = \|e^T\|$  and by proposition (1.1) part (4), we have  $r(e^T) \leq e^{\|T\|} = e^{r(T)}$ .

**Lemma (3.7) [2]**

$T$  is a positive self-adjoint if and only if  $\sigma(T) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .

**Proposition (3.8)**

If  $T$  is a positive self-adjoint on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\sigma(e^T) \subseteq [1, \infty)$ .

**Proof:**

If  $T$  is a positive operator, then  $T^n$  is also positive ( by proposition (2.4) part (4) ), i.e.  $\langle T^n x, x \rangle \geq 0$ , for  $x$  in  $H$  and  $n$  positive integer. So, we have  $\langle e^T x, x \rangle = \langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n x, x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle T^n x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle T^n x, x \rangle$ . Hence  $\inf \{ \langle e^T x, x \rangle : x \in H \text{ and } \|x\| = 1 \} \geq 1$ , then  $\sigma(e^T) \subseteq [1, \infty)$ .

**Remarks (3.9)**

1. In [6] M. Akkouch, proves that if  $T$  is a normal operator on  $H$ . Then:
  - 1)  $\rho(T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, R_{T-\lambda I} = H \}$
  - 2)  $\sigma_p(T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \overline{R_{T-\lambda I}} \neq H \}$
  - 3)  $\sigma_c(T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \overline{R_{T-\lambda I}} = H \}$
  - 4)  $\sigma_r(T)$  is empty.

So, if  $e^T$  is normal operator by proposition (2.8), we have :

- 1)  $\rho(e^T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, R_{e^T-\lambda I} = H \}$
- 2)  $\sigma_p(e^T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \overline{R_{e^T-\lambda I}} \neq H \}$
- 3)  $\sigma_c(e^T) = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \overline{R_{e^T-\lambda I}} = H \}$
- 4)  $\sigma_r(e^T)$  is empty.

2. In [6], we have if  $T$  is a normal operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $r(T) = \|T\|$ , so  $r(e^T) = \|e^T\| \leq e^{r(T)}$ , (because  $e^T$  is normal if  $T$  is a normal by proposition (2.8)).

3. In [7], we have if  $T$  is a hyponormal operator, then  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T^*)$ . Hence  $\sigma(e^T) = \sigma_p(e^{T^*})$ , (because  $(e^T)$  is hyponormal if  $T$  is hyponormal and binormal by proposition (2.19)).

4. In [8], we have if  $T$  is a hyponormal operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , the  $r(T) = \|T\|$ , therefore  $r(e^T) = \|e^T\| \leq e^{r(T)}$ , because  $e^T$  is hyponormal if ( $T$  is hyponormal and binormal by proposition (2.19)).

**References:**

1. Mariano Giaquinta; Giuseppe Modica. 2007. Mathematical

- analysis linear and Metric structure and continuity. Springer, Italy, PP 465.
2. E. Kreyszing . 2010. Introductory to functional Analysis with application, John Wiley and Sons. PP: 688
  3. S.Panayappan<sup>1</sup> and N. Sivamani<sup>2</sup> . 2012. A-Qusinormal operators in semi Hilbertian space, Gen. Math. Notes . 10 (2):30-35
  4. Raghad , Ehsan ; AL- Sultan. 2000. Some generalization of normal operators. A Master thesis Department Mathematics, College of Science, Baghdad University. PP: 56.
  5. P. R. Halmos.1967. A Hilbert space problem book. Springer verlag, New York. PP 367.
  6. Akkouch , I. M. 2008. Remarks on spectrum of bounded and normal operator on a Hilbert space, An . St. 16(2): 7-14.
  7. Sheth , I . H .1966. On hyponormal operators , Proc .Amer .Math .Soc ,17:998-1001.
  8. Eman , H. Al Ganabi .1996. Numerical range and normal operators. A master thesis, Department Mathematics, College of science, University of Baghdad. PP: 82

### الداله الأسيه لمؤثر خطي مقيد على فضاء هيلبرت

فاتن عباس احمد\*

راضي إبراهيم محمد علي\*

\*قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد.

#### الخلاصة :

قدمنا في هذا البحث مؤثر القوى لمؤثر معين معرف على فضاء هيلبرت مع دراسة خواص مؤثر القوى . كما تم دراسة خواص المؤثر المعين والتي تورث إلى مؤثر القوى. ودرسنا طيف مؤثر القوى الذي يمنحه المؤثر المعين.