

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.1.0136>

## On $\delta^*$ -Supplemented Modules

Alaa Abbas alawi<sup>1</sup>

Tamadher Arif Ibrahiem<sup>2\*</sup>

Received 13/12/2018, Accepted 25/6/2019, Published 1/3/2020



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Abstract.

The main goal of this paper is to introduce and study a new concept named  $\delta^*$ -supplemented which can be considered as a generalization of  $W$ -supplemented modules and  $\delta$ -hollow module. Also, we introduce a  $\delta^*$ -supplement submodule. Many relationships of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented modules are studied. Especially, we give characterizations of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented modules and relationship between this kind of modules and other kind modules for example every  $\delta$ -hollow ( $\delta$ -local) module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented and by an example we show that the converse is not true.

**Key words:**  $\delta$ -hollow,  $\delta$ -small submodule,  $\delta^*$ -supplement,  $\delta^*$ -supplemented,  $W$ -supplemented.

### Introduction:

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary left  $R$ -modules. A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called "small ( $N \ll M$ ), if  $N+K = M$ , for  $K \leq M$  implies  $K = M$ " (1). Equivalently a submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is called "small ( $N \ll M$ ), if  $N+K \neq M$ , for every proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$ "(2). A module  $M$  is called "singular (nonsingular) if  $Z(M)=M, (Z(M)=(0))$ , where  $Z(M)=\{x \in M: \text{ann}(x) \leq_e R\}$ "(1). A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is said to be " $\delta$ -small if  $N+K = M$  with  $\frac{M}{K}$  is singular implies  $K = M$ " (1). A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is called "supplement of a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  if  $N$  is a minimal element in the set of submodule  $L \leq M$  with  $N+L = M$ "(3). Equivalently,  $M = N+K$  and  $N \cap K \ll N$ "(3). And a module  $M$  is called a "supplemented module if every submodule of  $M$  has a supplement in  $M$ " (4, p.348). An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a "semisimple  $R$ -module if  $\text{Soc}(M) = M$  (where  $\text{Soc}(M) = \sum A$ , where  $A$  is simple submodule of  $M$ "(4). It is known that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a "semisimple module if and only if every submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand" (4).

In this paper we introduce the concept of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module: " $M$  is called  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module if for every semisimple

submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exist a submodule  $K$  such that  $M = N+K$  and  $N \cap K \ll_\delta K$ " and investigate

characterizations and properties of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented modules. Also the relationship between this kind of modules and some other modules is given.

### Preliminary

#### Definitions 1

1. A submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be " $\delta$ -supplement of a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  if  $N+K = M$  and  $N \cap K \ll_\delta N$ " (1). And a module  $M$  is

called a " $\delta$ -supplemented module if for every submodule of  $M$  has a  $\delta$ -supplement in  $M$ " (1).

2. A submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called " $\delta$ -small if  $N+K = M$  with  $\frac{M}{K}$  is singular implies  $K = M$ " (1).

3. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, then  $\delta(M) = \bigcap \{N \leq M; M/N \text{ is singular simple}\} = \sum_{\delta} N(5).$

### Remarks and examples 2

1. Obviously, every small submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\delta$ -small, but the converse is not true integral, for example  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is  $\delta$ -small but not small(1)

2. If  $A$  is a supplement of  $B$  in an  $R$ -module  $M$ , then  $B$  need not to be a supplement of  $A$  in  $M$ . For example in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is a supplement of  $\{0, 2\}$ . It is clear that  $\{0, 2\}$  is not a supplement of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

\*Corresponding

author:

[tamadherai\\_math@csu.uobaghdad.edu.iq](mailto:tamadherai_math@csu.uobaghdad.edu.iq)

\*ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7615-735x

3. Supplement needs not to be existing for example the module  $2\mathbb{Z}$  of the module  $\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module has no supplement (since the only small submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\{0\}$ ,  $2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an indecomposable).
4. If  $N, K$  are two a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  such that  $K$  is a supplement of  $N$ , then:
  - a) If  $W+K = M$ , for some  $W$  submodule of  $N$ , then  $K$  is a supplement of  $W$  (4, 4.41-1, p.348).
  - b) For  $L \leq N$ ,  $\frac{K+L}{L}$  is a supplement of  $\frac{N}{L}$  in  $\frac{M}{L}$  (4, 4.41-7, p.348)

**Definition 3 (6)** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.  $M$  is said to be  $W$ -supplemented if every semisimple submodule of  $M$  has a supplement in  $M$ .

**Definition 4 (7)((1))** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called lifting ( $\delta$ -lifting if and only if for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exists submodule  $K, K' \leq M$  such that  $M = K \oplus K'$  with  $K \leq N$  and  $N \cap K' \ll_{\delta} K' (N \cap K' \ll_{\delta} K')$ .

**Lemma 5 (6)** Let  $M = N+L$ ,  $L$  is a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $N$  is semisimple submodule of  $M$ . Then  $M = N' \oplus L$  for some  $N' \leq N$ .

#### Characterization of $\delta^*$ -supplemented

**Definition 6** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module if for every semisimple submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exists a submodule  $K$  such that  $M = N+K$  and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ .

**Definition 7** A submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\delta^*$ -supplement of a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  means that  $N$  is semisimple submodule of  $M$  such that  $M = N+L$  with  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} N$ .

#### Examples and Remarks 8

1. Every supplemented module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module. But the converse is not true in general for example:  $Q$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented since  $Q$  has no semisimple submodule, but  $Q$  is not supplemented module.
2. Every  $W$ -supplemented module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

**Proof** The proof is clear since every small submodule is  $\delta$ -small (1).

3. If  $M$  is singular module then  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented iff  $M$  is  $W$ -supplemented.

**Proof** Since  $M$  is singular then  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  iff  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  ( where  $K$  and  $N$  are two submodules of  $M$ .

4. Every direct summand of is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented

**Proof** Suppose that  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module and  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Let  $N$  semisimple submodule of  $M_1$ . So, there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $M = N+K$  with  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . Thus  $M_1 = N \oplus (M_1 \cap K)$  (

by modular law). Therefore  $M_1 = L \oplus (M_1 \cap K)$ , for some  $L \leq N$  ( by lemma 5). Hence  $M_1 \cap K \leq^{\oplus} M_1$ . Now,  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K \leq M$ , then by (7, proposition(1.2.10))  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} M$ . Since  $N \cap K \leq M_1 \cap K \leq^{\oplus} M$ , therefore  $N \cap (M_1 \cap K) = M_1 \cap (N \cap K) = N \cap K \ll_{\delta} M_1 \cap K$  (7, proposition 1.2.10).

5. If  $A$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $B$  in a module  $M$ , then  $B$  needs not to be  $\delta^*$ -supplemented of  $A$  in  $M$ . For example:  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  but  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is not  $\delta^*$ -supplemented of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 = \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}_6 = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \ll_{\delta} \mathbb{Z}_2$  but  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not  $\delta$ -small in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

6. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ , then  $M$  is semisimple supplemented module iff  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

**Proof**  $\Rightarrow$ ) By (1) every supplemented module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented

$\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ , thus  $K$  is semisimple, but  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented, thus there exists  $N \leq M$  such that  $N+K = M$  and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K \leq \delta(M)$ .

But  $\delta(M) \leq \text{Rad}(M) = 0$ , thus  $N \cap K = 0 \ll_{\delta} K$  and hence  $M$  is a supplemented module.

Now, we have the following

**Remark 9** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented iff every semisimple submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exists a submodule  $N'$  such that  $M = N' \oplus L$  and  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$ .

**Proof** Let  $N$  be a semisimple submodule of  $M$ , since  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented and  $M = N+L$  and  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$ , then by lemma 5 there exists  $N' \leq N$  such

that  $M = N' \oplus L$  and  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$ . Conversely, let  $N$  be a semisimple submodule of  $M$ . Then by assumption, there exists  $N' \leq N$  such that  $M = N' \oplus L$  and  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$

$L$  implies  $M = N+L$ . Hence  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

**Proposition 10** Let  $M$  be a  $\delta^*$ -supplemented, then every semisimple submodule of  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$  is a direct summand.

Proof. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplemented. Then every very semisimple submodule of  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$  has the form  $\frac{N}{\delta(M)}$  for semisimple submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and  $\delta(M) \subseteq N$ . So there exist a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $M=N+L$  and  $N \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$  implies  $N \cap L \subseteq \delta(M)$ .

Now,  $N \cap (L + \delta(M)) = (N \cap L) + \delta(M) = \delta(M)$ . So,  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)} = \frac{N+L}{\delta(M)} = \frac{N}{\delta(M)} \oplus \frac{L+\delta(M)}{\delta(M)}$ . Thus  $\frac{N}{\delta(M)}$  is a direct summand of  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$ .

The following theorem gives a characterization for  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

**Theorem 11** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -Module, then the following statements are equivalent:-

1.  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.
2. For every semisimple submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there is a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq N$  and  $N \cap M_2 \ll_{\delta} M_2$ .
3. Every semisimple submodule  $N$  of  $M$  can be written as  $N = A \oplus B$ , where  $A$  is a direct summand of  $M$  and  $B \ll_{\delta} M$ .

**Proof** (1)→(2) Following Remark (9)

(2)→(3) Let  $N$  be a semisimple submodule of  $M$ , then by(2),  $M = A \oplus B$  for some  $A \leq N$  and  $N \cap B \ll_{\delta} B$ . By Modular Law,  $N = A \oplus (N \cap B)$  ( where  $A \cap N \cap B = 0$ ). Since  $N \cap B \ll_{\delta} B \subseteq M$ , then by (7,

proposition 2.1.10), we have and  $N \cap B \ll_{\delta} M$ .

(3)→(1) Let  $N$  be a semisimple submodule of  $M$ , thus by the hypothesis  $N = A \oplus B$ , where  $A$  is a direct summand of  $M$  and  $B \ll_{\delta} M$ . Now,  $M = A \oplus K$

, for  $K \leq M$  and  $A \leq N$ , then  $M = N + K = (A \oplus B) + K = A \oplus (B + K)$  and  $(A \oplus B) \cap K = (A \cap K) \oplus (B \cap K) = 0 \oplus (B \cap K) = B \cap K$ . Since  $B \ll_{\delta} M$  and  $K \ll_{\delta} K$ , then

$B \cap K \ll_{\delta} M \cap K = K$ . That is  $M = N + K$  and  $N \cap K =$

$B \cap K \ll_{\delta} K \leq M$ . Therefore,  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

The following proposition is similar to (5, proposition 2.3).

**Proposition 12** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module  $A$  and  $B$  are submodules of  $M$  such that  $A \leq B$ . Then:

1. If  $B$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement submodule in  $M$ , then  $\frac{B}{A}$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement submodule in  $\frac{M}{A}$ .

2. If  $B$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement summand of  $C$  in  $M$ , then  $\frac{C+A}{A}$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $\frac{B}{A}$  in  $\frac{M}{A}$

**Proof 1** Suppose that  $B$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplemented of  $N$  in  $M$ , so  $B$  is semisimple submodule of  $M$  with  $M = B + N$  such that  $B \cap N \ll_{\delta} N$ . Now,  $\frac{B}{A}$  is semisimple

submodule in  $\frac{M}{A}$ . Then  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{B}{A} + \frac{N+A}{A}$  and  $\frac{B}{A} \cap \frac{N+A}{A} = \frac{B \cap (N+A)}{A} = \frac{A + (B \cap N)}{A} \ll_{\delta} \frac{N+A}{A}$  and so  $\frac{B}{A}$  is a  $\delta^*$ -

supplemented in  $\frac{M}{A}$ , where  $f: A \rightarrow \frac{N+A}{A}$  is a homomorphism and  $A \cap B \ll_{\delta} N$ , implies  $f(A \cap B) =$

$$\frac{(A \cap B) + A}{A} \ll_{\delta} \frac{N+A}{A}$$

2. It is similar to proof 1.

**Corollary 13** Every factor of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

**Remark 14** An inverse image of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module needed not  $\delta^*$ -supplemented. For example: Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$  be an epimorphism  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(6)} \approx \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is semisimple but  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not semisimple.

**Proposition 15** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $A \leq B \leq M$ . If  $\frac{B}{A}$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $\frac{M}{A}$  and  $A$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ . Then  $B$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplemented of  $L$  in  $M$  and  $\frac{B}{A}$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $\frac{N}{A}$  in  $\frac{M}{A}$ . Thus,  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{B}{A} + \frac{N}{A}$  and  $\frac{B}{A} \cap \frac{N}{A} \ll_{\delta} \frac{N}{A}$ , also  $M = A + L$  and  $A \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$

with each of  $A$  and  $\frac{B}{A}$  is semisimple. Since  $B = B \cap (A + L) = A + (B \cap L)$  and  $\frac{B}{A} \cap \frac{N}{A} = \frac{B \cap N}{A} \ll_{\delta} \frac{N}{A}$ , that is

$$\frac{B \cap N \cap L}{A \cap L} \ll_{\delta} \frac{N}{A \cap L}$$

Notice that,  $A \cap L \ll_{\delta} L \leq N$  and hence  $A \cap L \ll_{\delta} N$ . Furthermore  $B \cap (N \cap L) \ll_{\delta} N$  (7,

proposition 2.1.10). By Modular Law,  $N = A + (N \cap L)$ , but  $B = B + A$ , then  $M = B + N = B + (N \cap L)$ . Therefore  $B$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ .

Now, we have the following proposition

**Proposition 16** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  if  $A$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $A_1$  in  $M_1$  and  $B$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $B_1$  in  $M_2$ , then  $A \oplus B$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $A_1 \oplus B_1$  in  $M$ .

**Proof** Since each of  $A$  and  $B$  is semisimple, then so is  $A \oplus B$ . Now,  $M_1 = A + A_1$  with  $A \cap A_1 \ll_{\delta} A_1$  and

$M_2 = B + B_1$  with  $B \cap B_1 \ll_{\delta} B_1$ , then  $M = (A + A_1) \oplus$

$(B + B_1) = (A \oplus B) + (A_1 \oplus B_1)$ . Since  $(A \cap A_1) \oplus (B \cap B_1) \ll_{\delta} A_1 \oplus B_1$ . So,  $(A \oplus B) + (A_1 \oplus B_1) \ll_{\delta}$

$A_1 \oplus B_1$ . That is  $A \oplus B$  is a  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $A_1 \oplus B_1$ . So that  $(A \oplus B) \cap (A_1 \oplus B_1) \ll_{\delta} A_1 \oplus B_1$ .

Therefore  $A \oplus B$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $A_1 \oplus B_1$ .

**Proposition 17** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two submodules of  $M$  with  $M_1$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented and  $M_1 + M_2$  has  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ , then  $M_2$  has  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ .

**Proof** Since  $M_1 + M_2$  has a  $\delta^*$ -supplement in  $M$ , so there exist a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $M = (M_1 + M_2) + L$  and  $(M_1 + M_2) \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$ . Furthermore

$M_1$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement with the submodule  $M_2 + L \cap M_1$  of  $M_1 + M_2$ , hence  $(M_2 + L) \cap M_1$  is semisimple submodule of  $M_1 + M_2$ . But  $M_1 \leq M_1 + M_2$ , so  $M_1$  is also semisimple (since  $(M_1 + M_2)$  is semisimple). Hence  $(M_2 + L) \cap M_1$  is semisimple in  $M_1$ . This means that there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M_1$  such that  $((M_2 + L) \cap M_1) + K = M_1$  with  $((M_2 + L) \cap M_1) \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . That is  $(M_2 + L) \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . Now,

$M = M_1 + M_2 + L = (((M_2 + L) \cap M_1) + K) + M_2 + L = M_2 + (K + L)$ . Since  $M_2 \cap (K + L) \leq ((M_2 + M_1) \cap L) + ((M_2 + L) \cap K)$ . So  $M_2 \cap (K + L) \ll_{\delta} K + L$ . But  $M_2$  is

semisimple. Thus  $M_2$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $K + L$  in  $M$ .

The following proposition gives some properties of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented modules

**Proposition 18** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $N$  and  $K$  be submodules of  $M$  such that  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N$  then

1. If  $W + K = M$  for some submodule  $W$  of  $N$  then  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $W$ .
2. If  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $L \leq M$ , then  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N + L$ .
3. If  $L \leq N$ , then  $\frac{K+L}{L}$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $\frac{N}{L}$  in  $\frac{M}{L}$ .

**Proof**

1. Since  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N$  thus  $K$  is semisimple submodule of  $M$  and  $N + K = M$ ,  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . But  $W \leq N$  and  $W \cap K \leq N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ ,

so  $W \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  (8, lemma 1.3-1). Therefore  $K$  is

$\delta^*$ -supplement of  $W$ .

2. Since  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N$  thus  $K$  is semisimple submodule of  $M$ ,  $N + K = M$  and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . Also  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $L$ ,

thus  $K + L = M$  and  $K \cap L \ll_{\delta} K$ . Therefore  $M =$

$N + L + K$  and by Modular law

$$(N + L) \cap K = (N \cap K) + (L \cap K) \ll_{\delta} K \quad (8, \text{lemma 1.3-1}).$$

Hence  $K$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N + L$ .

3. Suppose that  $N + K = M$  and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  ( $K$  is

$\delta^*$ -supplement of  $N$ ). Now,  $L \leq N$ ,  $N \cap (K + L) = (N \cap K) + L$  (modularity) and  $\frac{N}{L} \cap \frac{K+L}{L} = \frac{(N \cap K) + L}{L}$ .

Since  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  thus  $\frac{(N \cap K) + L}{L} \ll_{\delta} \frac{K+L}{L}$  (8,

lemma 1.3-1). Now, the assertion follows from  $\frac{N}{L} + \frac{K+L}{L} = \frac{M}{L}$ .

An  $R$ -module is called " $\delta$ -hollow if every proper submodule of  $M$  is  $\delta$ -small (1)". The following proposition shows that the classes of  $\delta$ -hollow modules is an embedding in the classes of  $\delta^*$ -supplemented modules.

**Proposition 19** Every  $\delta$ -hollow module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a semisimple submodule of  $\delta$ -hollow module  $M$ . Thus by (8),  $M = N \oplus K$ , for  $K \leq M$ . Then by  $N = N \oplus (N \cap K)$ . Since  $M$  is  $\delta$ -hollow, thus  $N \ll_{\delta}$

$M$  and  $N \cap K \leq N$ , hence  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} M$ . Therefore by

theorem 11  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

**Examples 20**

1. The  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  are  $\delta$ -hollow(1), and hence they are  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

2. The converse of the last Proposition is not true as the following example:

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented since  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  has only one semisimple submodule which is  $\langle \bar{2} \rangle$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \langle \bar{4} \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{3} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{4} \rangle \leq \langle \bar{2} \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{2} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{3} \rangle = \langle \bar{6} \rangle \ll_{\delta} \langle \bar{3} \rangle$  by Theorem 11,  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -

module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented but  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is not  $\delta$ -hollow(1).

**Corollary 21** Every hollow module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

**Proof** It's an obvious, since every hollow is  $\delta$ -hollow, and by proposition 19 the proof is omitted.

The converse is not true for example,  $Q$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module but not hollow module.

**Corollary 22** Every indecomposable and  $\delta$ -lifting module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented.

**Proof** By (1, proposition 3.8) every indecomposable and  $\delta$ -lifting is  $\delta$ -hollow and by proposition 19,  $M$  is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

Following (5), a module  $M$  is " $\delta$ -local if,  $\delta(M) \ll_{\delta} M$  and  $\delta(M)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ ".

**Corollary 23** Every local ( $\delta$ -local) module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module.

**Remark 24** The converse of corollary 23 is not true in general:  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is  $\delta^*$ -supplemented module but not local module.

**Conflicts of Interest: None.**

#### References:

1. Hadi I M A, Aidi S H ,  $\delta$ -Hollow Modules, IJPAS. 2014; 27 (2) .

2. Onal E, Calisici H, Turkmen E, Modules that have a Weak Supplement in every Extension, MMN. 2016; 17 (1), 471-481.
3. Nebiyev C, On a Generalization of Supplement Submodules, IJPAM. 2017; 113(2) , 283-289.
4. Wisbauer R, Foundation of Module and Ring Theory, 1991, Gordon
5. Abdioglu C, Sahinkaya S, Some Results on  $\delta$ -Semi Perfect Rings and  $\delta$ -Supplemented Submodules, MJ. 2015; 55, (2), 289-300.
6. Bilhan G, Groglu A T, A variation of Supplemented Modules, TJM.2013; 37, 418- 426.
7. Hassan S S, Some Generalizations of  $\delta$ -Lifting Modules, 2011, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
8. Nematallah M J, On  $\delta$ -Supplemented Submodules, Tarbiat Modlen Univ., 20<sup>th</sup> Seminar on Algrbra 2-3 Ordibeheshtm, 2000; 1388 (Apr.22-23), 155-15.

### المقاسات التكميلية من النمط $\delta^*$

تماضر عارف ابراهيم<sup>2</sup>

الاء عباس عليوي<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم ، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق  
<sup>2</sup> قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

#### الخلاصة:

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو تقديم ودراسة مفهوم جديد اسمناه مقاسات تكميلية من النمط  $\delta^*$  و التي يمكن اعتبارها إعمام للمقاسات التكميلية من النمط  $W$  والمقاسات المجوفة من النمط  $\delta$ . كذلك قدمنا مفهوم المقاس الجزئي التكميلي من النمط  $\delta^*$ . تم مناقشة الكثير من العلاقات لهذا المفهوم مع مفاهيم أخرى حيث تم البرهنة على كل مقاس مجوف من النمط  $\delta$  (محلي من النمط  $\delta^*$ ) هو مقاس تكميلي من النمط  $\delta^*$  ومن خلال اعطاء الامثلة الداخلة برهنا بأن العكس غير صحيح.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** المقاسات المجوفة من النمط  $\delta$  ، المقاسات الجزئية الصغيرة من النمط  $\delta$ ، المقاسات التكميلية من النمط  $\delta^*$  ، المقاسات التكميلية من النمط  $W$ .