

## Some Results On Lie Ideals With $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation In Prime Rings

*Kassim Abdul-Hameed Jassim\**

Date of acceptance 2/9/2008

### Abstract

In this paper, we proved that if  $R$  is a prime ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$ ,  $d$  be a nonzero  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Then if  $Ua \subset Z(R)$  (or  $aU \subset Z(R)$ ) for  $a \in R$ , then either  $U$  is commutative. Also, we assumed that  $U$  is a ring to prove that:  
(i) If  $Ua \subset Z(R)$  (or  $aU \subset Z(R)$ ) for  $a \in R$ , then either  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.  
(ii) If  $ad(U)=0$  (or  $d(U)a=0$ ) for  $a \in R$ , then either  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.  
(iii) If  $d$  is a homomorphism on  $U$  such that  $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$  (or  $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ ), then  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

**Key words:**  $R$ : prime ring,  $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ : automorphism mapping,  $U$ : lieideal

### 1.Introduction

Let  $d: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping. If  $d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $d$  is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ , where  $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$  be two mappings on  $R$  [4]. On the other hand we said that  $d$  is an homomorphism or anti-homomorphism respectively if  $d(xy) = d(x)d(y)$  or  $d(xy) = d(y)d(x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Recall that a ring  $R$  is a prime if  $aRb=0$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , implies that either  $a=0$  or  $b=0$  [4]. Also, we recall that  $U$  is a Lie ideal of a ring  $R$  if whenever  $u \in U$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $[u, r] \in U$  [3]. Neset Aydin and Oznur Golbasi proved  $R$  is a prime ring and  $d$  is  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ , where  $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$  automorphisms on  $R$  [2]. Then (i) If  $U$  is a nonzero left ideal of  $R$  which is a semiprime as a ring. If  $Ua=0$  (or  $aU=0$ ) for  $a \in R$ , then  $a=0$ . (ii) If  $U$  is a nonzero left ideal of  $R$  which is a semiprime as a ring such that  $d(U)=0$ , then  $d(R)=0$ .

In this paper we considered  $R$  is a prime ring,  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $d$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ , where  $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$  be two automorphisms on  $R$ .

Also, we used the identities in this paper as follows: For all  $x, y, z \in R$ .

- (i)  $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$
- (ii)  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + [x, z]y$
- (iii)  $[xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, \tau(z)]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)]y$

### 2.Results

#### Theorem(2. 1)

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  be nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$ . If  $Ua=0$  ( $aU=0$ ) for  $a \in R$ , then  $a=0$ .

#### Proof:

If  $Ua=0$ , then for all  $u \in U$ ,  $r \in R$ , we have  $0 = [u, r]a = ura - rua = ura$ . Hence  $URa=0$ . Since  $R$  is a Prime ring, then  $a=0$ . If  $aU=0$ , then for all  $u \in U$ ,  $r \in R$ , we have  $0 = a[u, r] = aur - aru = -aru$ . Then  $aru=0$ , for all  $u \in U$ ,  $r \in R$ . So,  $aRU=0$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring, then  $a=0$ . Now, we can prove the first Theorem.

#### Theorem(2.2)

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$  and which ring. If  $Ua \subset Z(R)$  ( $aU \subset Z(R)$ ) for then either  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

\* College of Science – University of Baghdad.

**Proof:**

Assume  $aU \subset Z(R)$ , then for all we have  $auv \in Z(R)$ . So, for all  $r \in R$   $0 = [auv, r] = au[v, r] + [au, r]v = au[v, r]$  for all  $u, v \in U, r \in R$ . Also, have  $aUR[U, R] = 0$ . By a primeness of  $R$ , we have either  $aU = 0$  or  $U \subset Z(R)$ . If  $aU = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  [by Lemma(2.1)]. If  $U \subset Z(R)$ , then  $U$  is commutative. The same thing if we have  $Ua \subset Z(R)$  so for all  $u, v \in U, r \in R$  we have  $0 = [uva, r] = u[va, r] + [u, r]va = [u, r]va$ . Also, we have  $[U, R]RUa = 0$ . By a primeness of  $R$ , we have either  $U \subset Z(R)$  or  $Ua = 0$ . Also, we have either  $a = 0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

**Example(2.3)**

Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z, t \in Z \right\}$ , where  $Z$  is the number of integers } be  $2 \times 2$  matrices with respect to the usual operation of addition and multiplication, then  $R$  is a prime ring see[1]. Let  $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$  be automorphisms  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $d: R \rightarrow R$ , defined by  $d \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Let  $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, a \in Z \right\}$  be an additive subgroup of  $R$ . So,  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $R$  and which as is a ring. By the hypothesis of Theorem(2.2), we have  $a \in R$  such that  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a_1 \in Z(R)$  and so by the hypothesis  $aU \subset Z(R)$  (or  $Ua \subset Z(R)$ ) is satisfied and we get  $U$  is commutative.

**Lemma(2.4)**

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$ ,  $d$  be  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ . If  $d(U) = 0$ , then  $d(R) = 0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

**Proof:**

Assume that  $d(U) = 0$ , then for all  $u \in U, r \in R$ , we have  $0 = d(ur - ru) = d(ur) - d(ru) = d(u)\sigma(r) + \tau(u)d(r) - d(r)\sigma(u) - \tau(r)d(u) = \tau(u)(r) - d(r)\sigma(u) = -[d(r), u]_{\sigma, \tau}$ , so we have  $[d(r), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ . Take  $rv, v \in U$  instead of  $r$ , then  $0 = [d(rv), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = [d(r)\sigma(v) + \tau(r)d(v), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = [d(r)\sigma(v), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = d(r)[\sigma(v), \sigma(u)] + [d(r), u]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(v) = d(r)[\sigma(v), \sigma(u)]$ . Take  $xr$  instead of  $r, x \in R$ , then  $0 = d(xr)_{\sigma(v), \sigma(u)} = d(x)\sigma(r)[\sigma(v), \sigma(u)] + \tau(x)d(r)[\sigma(v), \sigma(u)] = d(x)\sigma(r)[\sigma(v), \sigma(u)]$  for all  $u, v \in U, x, r \in R$ . Hence,  $d(R)R[\sigma(U), \sigma(U)] = 0$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring, then we have either  $d(R) = 0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

**Lemma (2.5)**

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$ . If  $aUb = 0$ , for  $a, b \in R$  then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

**Proof :**

Assume that  $aUb = 0$ . So, for all  $u \in U, r \in R$  we have  $0 = a[u, r]b = aur - arub$ . Take  $bx, x \in R$  instead of  $r$ , then we have  $aubx - abxub = 0$ . Also,  $-abxub = 0$ . Then we have  $abxub = 0$  for all  $u \in U, x \in R$ . Hence,  $abRUb = 0$ . By primeness of  $R$ , we have either  $ab = 0$  or  $Ub = 0$ . If  $Ub = 0$ , then by Lemma (2.1), we have  $b = 0$ . If  $ab = 0$  we have  $b \neq 0$ , then  $a = 0$ .

**Theorem (2.6)**

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$  which as is a ring,  $d$  be a nonzero  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$  such that if  $ad(U) = 0$  (or  $d(U)a = 0$ ) for  $a \in R$  then either  $a = 0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

**Proof:**

If  $ad(U) = 0$ , then for all  $u, v \in U$  we have  $0 = ad(uv) = ad(u)\sigma(v) + a\tau(u)d(v) = a\tau(u)d(v)$ . Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism

of  $R$ , then  $\tau(a)u\tau(d(v))=0$  for all  $u,v \in U$ . Hence,  $\tau(a)U\tau(d(v))=0$ . By Lemma (2.5) we have either  $a=0$  or  $d(v)=0$ . If  $d(v)=0$ , then  $d(U)=0$ . So, by Lemma(2.4), we get  $U$  is commutative. If  $d(U)a=0$ , then for all  $u,v \in U$  we have  $0=d(uv)a=d(u)\sigma(v)a+\tau(u)d(v)a=d(u)\sigma(v)a$ . Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $R$ , then  $\sigma(d(u))v\sigma(a)=0$  for all  $u,v \in U$ . Hence,  $\sigma(d(u))U\sigma(a)=0$ . Therefore, we get either  $d(u)=0$  or  $a=0$ . If  $d(u)=0$ , then  $d(U)=0$ . So, by Lemma(2.4), we get  $U$  is commutative.

### Example(2.7)

From Example(2.3), we have  $R$  is a prime ring,  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $R$ ,  $d$  be a nonzero  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $R$ . By the hypothesis of Theorem (2.6), we have  $ad(U)=0$  (or  $d(U)a=0$ ) for  $a \in R$ , is satisfied and we get  $U$  is commutative.

### Lemma( 2.8)

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and let  $d$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation and is a homomorphism on  $U$ , when  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$  which as is a ring. If  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ , then  $d=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

### Proof:

Since  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ , then for all  $u,v \in U$  and we have  $d(uv) \in Z(R)$ . Hence,  $d(uv)=d(u)d(v)$   
 $d(u)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)d(v) \in Z(R)$  ....(1)  
 Replace  $u$  by  $urn$ ,  $rn \in U$ , then  $d(urn)d(v)=$   
 $d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$   
 $d(u)d(rn)d(v)=d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+$   
 $\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$   
 $d(u)d(rnv)=d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+d(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$   
 $= d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$  for all  $u, v, rn \in U$ . Then  $d(u)\tau(rn)d(v)=\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$ . Also, we have  $[d(u)-\tau(u)]\tau(rn)d(v)=0$ . Since  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$  then  $[d(u)-\tau(u)]\tau(m)Rd(v)=0$ . By a primeness of  $R$ , we have either

$d(U)=0$  or  $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))m=0$ . If  $d(U)=0$ , then by Lemma(2.4) we get either  $d=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

If  $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))m=0$  then  $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))U=0$ . Hence, by Lemma(2.1), we have  $d(u)-\tau(u)=0$  and so we have  $d(u)=\tau(u)$  for all  $u,v \in U$ . From (1), we have  $d(u)\sigma(v)=0$ . Also, we have  $\sigma^{-1}(d(u))v=0$  for all  $u,v \in U$ . Hence,  $\sigma^{-1}(d(u))U=0$ . Then we have  $d(u)=0$  [ by Lemma (2.1) ]. Hence,  $d(U)=0$ . And so we have either  $U$  is commutative or  $d(R)=0$ , by Lemma(2.3)

### Theorem(2.9)

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and let  $d$  be a nonzero  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation and is a homomorphism on  $U$ , when  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $R$  which as is a ring. If  $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$  ( $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ ), then  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative.

### Proof:

Assume that  $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ . So, for all  $u,v \in U$  we have  $ad(uv)=ad(u)d(v) \in Z(R)$ . Then for all  $r \in R$  we have  $0=[ad(u)d(v), r]$   
 $= ad(u)[d(v), r] + [ad(u), r]d(v)$   
 $= ad(u)[d(v), r]$ . Also, we have  $ad(u)R[d(v), r]=0$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring, then we have either  $ad(u)=0$  or  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ . If  $ad(u)=0$  for all  $u \in U$ , then  $ad(U)=0$ . So, by Theorem (2.6), we get either  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative. If  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ , then  $U$  is commutative. Assume that  $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ . So, for all  $u,v \in U$ , we have  $d(uv)a=d(u)d(v)a \in Z(R)$ . Then for all  $r \in R$  we have  $0=[d(u)d(v)a, r]=d(u)[d(v)a, r] + [d(u), r]d(v)a$   
 $= [d(u), r]d(v)a$ . Also, we have  $[d(u), r]Rd(v)a=0$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring, then we have either  $d(u)a=0$  or  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ . If

$d(u)a=0$  for all  $u \in U$  then  $d(U)a=0$ . So, by Theorem (2.6), we get either  $a=0$  or  $U$  is commutative. If  $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ , then  $U$  is commutative.

### References:

1. Aydin, N., Kaya, K. and Golbasi, O., 2001. Some results for generalized Lie ideals with derivation II. Applied Mathematics E-Notes. 1(1)24-30.
2. Golbasi, O. and Aydin, N. (2002). Some results on endomorphisms of prime ring which are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. East Asian Math. J. 1(18):33-41.
3. Herstein, I.N. 1969. Topics in ring theory. Univ. of Chicago press. Chicago.
4. Yasuyuki Hirano and Hisao Tominaga. (1984). Some Commutativity theorems for prime rings with derivations and differentially semiprime rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 1(26). 101-108.

## بعض النتائج على امثلة لي مع مشتقة $(\sigma, \tau)$ في الحلقات الاولى

قاسم عبد الحميد جاسم\*

\*كلية العلوم-جامعة بغداد

### الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث، سوف نبرهن على انه اذا كانت  $R$  حلقة اولية،  $U$  مثالي لي غير صفري في  $R$ ،  $d$  مشتقة  $(\sigma, \tau)$  غير صفرية في  $R$  وكان العنصر  $a \in R$ . لقد اعتبرنا ان  $U$  تمثل بحد ذاتها حلقة لبرهنة التالي:

1. اذا كان  $Z(R) \supset Ua$  او  $Z(R) \supset aU$ ، فانه اما  $a=0$  او  $U$  ابدالية.
2. اذا كان  $ad(U)=0$  او  $d(U)a=0$  و  $a \in R$ ، فانه اما  $a=0$  او  $U$  ابدالية.
3. اذا كانت  $d$  متشاكلة على  $U$  بحيث ان  $Z(R) \supset ad(U)$  او  $Z(R) \supset d(U)a$ ، فانه اما  $a=0$  او  $U$  ابدالية.