

Hypercyclicity and Countable Hypercyclicity for Adjoint of θ -Operators

*Buthainah A. Ahmed**

*Hiba F. Al-Janaby**

Date of acceptance 28/2 / 2010

Abstract

Let H be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space and let $T \in B(H)$, where $B(H)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . In this paper we prove the following results.

If $T \in B(H)$ is a θ -operator, then

1. T^* is a hypercyclic operator if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T .
2. If T is a pure, then T^* is a countably hypercyclic operator if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T .
3. T^* has a bounded set with dense orbit if and only if for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T , $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

Keywords: θ -operator, hypercyclic, countably hypercyclic, single valued extension property (SVEP), Bishop's property (β), decomposition property (δ).

1. Introduction

Let H be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, and $B(H)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on H , we denote as usual the spectrum, the point spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of T by $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$ and $\sigma_{ap}(T)$. Following [1], the $Lat(T)$, where $T \in B(H)$, denoted the collection of all T -invariant closed linear subspaces of H . If $T \in B(H)$ and $M \in Lat(T)$, then $T|_M \in B(M)$ is the restriction of T to M .

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called θ -operator if T^*T commutes with $T + T^*$, [2]. Recall that $T \in B(H)$ is

called *normaloid* if $r(T) = \|T\|$, where $r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$, [3].

It is well known [4] that θ -operator \Rightarrow normaloid

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called *hyponormal* if $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for all $x \in H$. Campbell and Gellar [5] gave an example of a θ -operator which is not hyponormal, also Al-Sultan [6] gave an example of an operator which is hyponormal but it is not θ -operator.

If $T \in B(H)$ and $x \in H$, then the orbit of x under T is $Orb(T, x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$, [7]. If

*Department of Mathematics - College of Science - University of Baghdad

$E \subseteq H$, then the orbit of E under T is

$$Orb(T, E) = \bigcup \{E, T(E), T^2(E), \dots\} = \bigcup_{x \in E} Orb(T, x), \text{ ([8], [9])}.$$

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called *hypercyclic* if there is a vector $x \in H$ with dense orbit $\{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$, [7].

Following ([8], [9]), we say that an operator $T \in B(H)$ is *countably hypercyclic* if there exists a bounded, countable, separated set E with dense orbit. Recall that a set $E \subseteq H$ is *separated* if there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in E$ with $x \neq y$.

In [7], Feldman, Miller, and Miller proved that the cohyponormal operators (the adjoint of hyponormal operators) are hypercyclic if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T . Recently Feldman [8] showed that there are countably hypercyclic operators which are not hypercyclic. Furthermore, Feldman showed that the pure cohyponormal operators are countably hypercyclic if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T . In this paper we give an example of a θ -operator which is not hypercyclic and prove that the adjoint of θ -operator is hypercyclic if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T . We also give an example of a θ -operator which is not countably hypercyclic and prove that the adjoint of pure θ -operator is countably hypercyclic if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T . Finally we prove

the adjoint of θ -operator has bounded set with dense orbit if and only if for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T , $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

2. Preliminaries

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have *single valued extension property* (SVEP) at λ_0 if

for every open set $U \subseteq C$ containing λ_0 the only analytic solution $f : U \rightarrow H$ of the equation

$$(T - \lambda_0)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad (\lambda_0 \in U)$$

is the zero function [1]. An operator T is said to have SVEP if T has SVEP at every $\lambda \in C$.

Given $T \in B(H)$, the *local resolvent set* $\rho_T(x)$ of T at the point $x \in H$ is defined as the union of all open subsets $U \subseteq C$ for which there is an analytic function $f : U \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = x \quad (\lambda \in U)$$

The *local spectrum* $\sigma_T(x)$ of T at x is then defined as $\sigma_T(x) = C \setminus \rho_T(x)$

For $T \in B(H)$, we define the *local* (resp. *global*) *spectral subspaces* of T as follows. Given a set $F \subseteq C$ (resp. a closed set $G \subseteq C$).

$$H_T(F) = \{x \in H : \sigma_T(x) \subseteq F\}$$

(resp.

$$H_T(G) = \{x \in H : \text{there exists an analytic function } f : C \setminus G \rightarrow H \text{ such that } (T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = x \text{ for all } \lambda \in C \setminus G\}.$$

Note that T has SVEP if and only if $H_T(F) = H_T(G)$ for all closed sets $F \subseteq C$, [1, Proposition (3.3.2)].

If $U \subseteq C$ is an open set, then define $H_T(U) = \bigcup \{H_T(F) : F \subseteq U \text{ is compact}\}$. $H_T(U)$ contains all eigenvectors for T whose eigenvalues belong to U and that $H_T(U)$ is a hyperinvariant subspace for T , although it is not necessarily closed, [8].

An operator $T \in B(H)$ has *Dunford's property (C)* if the local spectral subspace $H_T(F)$ is closed for every closed set $F \subseteq C$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have *Bishop's property (β)* if for every sequence $f_n : U \rightarrow H$ such that $(T - \lambda)f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets in U , it follows that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets in U . It is well known [1] that Bishop's property (β) \Rightarrow Dunford's property (C) \Rightarrow SVEP

Moreover, an operator $T \in B(H)$ has *decomposition property (δ)* if $H = H_T(\bar{U}) + H_T(\bar{V})$ for every open cover $\{U, V\}$ of C .

As shown in [1], an operator $T \in B(H)$ has property (δ) iff it is the quotient of a decomposable operator. Moreover properties (β) and (δ) are dual to each other, in the sense that an operator $T \in B(H)$ has property (β) iff its adjoint has property (δ), and conversely, T has property (δ) iff its adjoint has property (β).

Proposition 2.1. [1] Suppose that the operator $T \in B(H)$ on the Hilbert space H has SVEP, and that $F \subseteq C$ is a closed set for which the space $H_T(F)$ is closed. Then

$$\sigma(T|_{H_T(F)}) \subseteq F \cap \sigma_T(x)$$

The following result from Feldman, Miller and Miller [7], gives the relation between parts of the

spectrum and the local spectra of an operator with Dunford's property (C).

Proposition 2.2. [7] If $T \in B(H)$ has Dunford's property (C), then $\sigma_T(x) = \sigma(T|_{H_T(F)})$ whenever $F = \sigma_T(x)$ for some nonzero $x \in H$.

The following result from Feldman, Miller and Miller [7], gives sufficient condition for an operator to be hypercyclic, we denote the interior and exterior of the unit circle by $D, C \setminus \bar{D}$ respectively.

Corollary 2.3. [7] Let H be a complex Hilbert space and suppose that $T \in B(H)$ has the decomposition property (δ). If $\sigma_{T^*}(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma_{T^*}(x) \cap (C \setminus \bar{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every nonzero $x \in H$. Then T is hypercyclic.

The following result from Feldman [8], gives sufficient condition for an operator to be countably hypercyclic.

Theorem 2.4. [8] (The Countably Hypercyclic Criterion) Suppose that $T \in B(H)$. If there exists two subspaces Y and Z in H , where Y is infinite dimensional and Z is dense in H such that

1. $T^n x \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in Y$, and
2. There exists functions $B_n : Z \rightarrow H$ such that $T^n B_n = I|_Z$ and $B_n x \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in Z$

Then T is countably hypercyclic.

Theorem 2.5. [8] Suppose that $T \in B(H)$ If $H_T(D)$ is infinite dimensional and $H_T(C \setminus \bar{D})$ is dense, then T is countably hypercyclic.

Proposition 2.6. [8]

- a. If $T \in B(H)$ and there is a bounded set E with $\text{Orb}(T, E)$ dense, then $\sup \|T^n\| = \infty$.
- b. If there is a set E that is bounded away from zero and $\text{Orb}(T, E)$ is dense, then T cannot be expensive, that is there exists an $x \in H$ such that $\|Tx\| < \|x\|$.

In what follows, $B(a, r)$ will denote the open ball at a with radius r , where for $a \in H$ and $r > 0$.

Remark 2.7.

- a. Notice that if T is countably hypercyclic and $E = \{x_n\}$ a bounded separated sequence with dense orbit, then one may assume that $x_n \neq 0$ for all n , thus it follows that E is both bounded and bounded away from zero, [8].
- b. If an operator T has a set with dense orbit, then any non-zero multiple of that set also has dense orbit. Thus T has a bounded set with dense orbit if and only if the unit ball has dense orbit if and only if $B(a, r)$ has dense orbit for any $r > 0$, [8].

3. Hypercyclicity

It is well known that the restriction of θ -operator $T|_M$ is a θ -operator for every $M \in \text{Lat}(T)$, and if T is a θ -operator and invertible, then T^{-1} is a θ -operator, [7]. Recall that an operator $T \in B(H)$ is dominant if $(T - \lambda)H \subset (T - \lambda)^*H$ for all scalars λ . Y. Kato show that every θ -operator is dominant, [10].

Before proving one of important results in this paper, we need the following.

Definition 3.1. [11] An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have the property (II) if for every $\lambda, \mu \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ and every bounded sequences of vectors x_n and y_n such that $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $\|(T - \lambda)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|(T - \lambda)y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, the sequence $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.2. [11] If T has property (II), then T also has property (β) .

It is well known that dominant operator has Bishop's property (β) but couldn't find the proof, so we prove it.

Theorem 3.3. Every dominant operator has Bishop's property (β) .

Proof. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\lambda \neq \mu$) and sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ of bounded vectors in H satisfy $\|(T - \lambda)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|(T - \lambda)y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Since T is dominant, then $\|(T - \lambda)^*y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(\lambda - \mu)\langle x_n, y_n \rangle = \langle (\lambda - T)x_n, y_n \rangle + \langle x_n, (T - \mu)^*y_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

as $(n \rightarrow \infty)$

This implies that $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Then T has the property (II). Therefore T has property (β) by **Theorem (3.2)**. \square

Remark 3.4. Every θ -operator has Bishop's property (β) .

Now we give an example of θ -operator which is not hypercyclic. We begin with the following result.

Corollary 3.5. [12] If $T \in B(H)$ and $\|T\| \leq 1$, then T is not hypercyclic.

Example 3.6. Let U be the unilateral shift operator defined on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

$$U(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

One can easily check that $((U^*U)(U+U^*))(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) =$

$$(x_2, x_1 + x_3, x_1 + x_4, \dots)$$

$$((U+U^*)(U^*U))(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) =$$

$$(x_2, x_1 + x_3, x_1 + x_4, \dots)$$

Which implies U is a θ -operator.

Since U is not hypercyclic by

Corollary (3.5). \square

Now we give our Theorem.

Theorem 3.7. If T is a θ -operator on a separable Hilbert space H , then

T^* is hypercyclic if and only if

$$\sigma_T(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma_T(x) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every nonzero } x \in H.$$

Proof. If T is a θ -operator on H , then T has property (β) by **Remark (3.4)**. Thus T has property (C) , and so T^* has property (δ) . If the local spectra $\sigma_T(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma_T(x) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every nonzero $x \in H$, then T^* is hypercyclic by **Corollary (2.3)**.

Conversely, suppose that T^* is hypercyclic. First we prove that every part of the spectrum of T meets both D and $C \setminus \overline{D}$, i.e., $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $S = T|_M$ for some $M \in \text{Lat}(T) \setminus \{0\}$. If x is a hypercyclic vector for T^* , then by the definition of hypercyclic vector $\text{Orb}(T^*, x) = \{x, T^*x, (T^*)^2x, \dots\}$ is dense in H .

We claim the projection $P_M x$ is hypercyclic for $S^* = P_M T^*|_M$. Since $M \in \text{Lat}(T) \setminus \{0\}$, then by **Corollary of Theorem 2**, [3, P.39], $P_M T P_M = T P_M$. Consequently

$$P_M T^* P_M = P_M T^*, \quad \text{and}$$

$$S^*(P_M x) = (P_M T^*|_M)(P_M x) = P_M T^*(P_M x)$$

$$= (P_M T^* P_M)(x) = P_M T^*(x) = P_M (T^* x)$$

New a little bit calculation show that

$$\overline{\text{Orb}(S^*, P_M(x))} = \overline{\{P_M(x), S^*(P_M x), (S^*)^2(P_M x), \dots\}}$$

$$= \overline{\{P_M(x), P_M(T^* x), S^*(P_M T^* x), \dots\}}$$

$$= \overline{\{P_M(x), P_M T^*(x), P_M (T^*)^2(x), \dots\}}$$

$$= P_M \overline{\{x, T^* x, (T^*)^2 x, \dots\}} = \overline{P_M(H)} = M$$

i.e., the projection $P_M x$ is hypercyclic for $S^* = P_M T^*|_M$. Since S is a θ -operator, then $r(S) = \|S\| = \|S^*\| > 1$ [If $\|S^*\| \leq 1$, then S^* is not hypercyclic this is impossible].

We prove $\sigma(S) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $r(S) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(S)\} > 1$, this means that $\sigma(S)$ contains a complex number λ such that $|\lambda| > 1$ and since $C \setminus \overline{D} = \{\lambda : |\lambda| > 1\}$. Consequently $\sigma(S) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

Now to show that $\sigma(S) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. If $\sigma(S) \subset (C \setminus \overline{D})$, i.e., $\sigma(S) \cap D = \emptyset$, then for all λ in $\sigma(S)$ is nonzero and hence $0 \in \rho(S)$, thus S is an invertible and therefore S^{-1} is a θ -operator.

Since $\sigma(S)$ contains a complex number λ such that $|\lambda| > 1$, then by [3, P.171], $\sigma(S^{-1})$ contains a complex number λ^{-1} such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Thus $r(S^{-1}) = \inf\{|\lambda| : \lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(S)\} \leq 1$.

Consequently $\|S^{-1}\| \leq 1$. But S^* hypercyclic and invertible, which implies that $(S^*)^{-1}$ is hypercyclic and thus $\|(S^*)^{-1}\| > 1$ by **Corollary (3.5)**.

Notice that $\|S^{-1}\| = \|(S^{-1})^*\| = \|(S^*)^{-1}\| > 1$, this is a contradiction since $\|S^{-1}\| \leq 1$, it follows that $\sigma(S) \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Since T is a θ -operator, then T has property (β) by **Remark (3.4)** and hence T has property (C). Thus by **Proposition (2.2)**, $\sigma_T(x) = \sigma(T|_{H_T(F)})$ whenever $F = \sigma_T(x)$ for every nonzero x and as in the previous paragraph, it follows that $\sigma_T(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma_T(x) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every nonzero $x \in H$. \square

view of **Proposition (2.2)**, an equivalent way to state **Theorem (3.1)** is as follows.

Theorem 3.8. *If T is a θ -operator on a separable Hilbert space H , then T^* is hypercyclic if and only if $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T .*

4. Countably Hypercyclicity

It was shown in [6] that if T is a θ -operator, then for fixed scalar, $\ker(T - \lambda)$ reduces T and $T|_{\ker(T - \lambda)}$ is normal. Recall that an operator $T \in B(H)$ is called pure if there is no reducing subspace M such that $T|_M$ is normal.

Proposition 4.1. *If T is a pure θ -operator, then T has no eigenvalues.*

Proof. If $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, then $T|_{\ker(T - \lambda)}$ is normal, it is a contradiction to definition of pure. Therefore $\sigma_p(T) = \emptyset$.

Now we give an example of θ -operator which is not countably hypercyclic.

Example 4.2. Let U be the unilateral shift operator defined on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$

$$U(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

U is a θ -operator by **Example (3.6)**. since $\|U\| = 1$, then $\|U^n\| \leq \|U\|^n = 1$ and hence $\sup \|U^n\| < \infty$. Thus can not exists a bounded set E with $\text{Orb}(U, E)$ dense by **part (a)** of **Proposition (2.6)**. Therefore U is not countably hypercyclic. \square

Lemma 4.3.

- If T is a θ -operator on a Hilbert space H , then for any open set $U \subseteq C$, we have $H_{T^*}(U)^\perp = H_T(C \setminus U)$.
- If T is a pure θ -operator for which $H_{T^*}(D)$ is finite dimensional, then $H_{T^*}(D) = \{0\}$.

Proof.

- Since T is θ -operator, then T has property (β) by **Remark (3.4)**, and hence T^* has property (δ) . Therefore by [1, **Proposition (2.5.14)**], for any open set $U \subseteq C$, we have $H_{T^*}(U)^\perp = H_T(C \setminus U)$.
- Suppose that $H_{T^*}(D)$ is a nonzero and finite dimensional. Since $H_{T^*}(D)$ is finite dimensional invariant subspace for T^* , it follows that T^* has eigenvectors with eigenvalues in D . Let λ be such an eigenvalue, then since $\ker(T^* - \lambda) \subseteq H_{T^*}(D)$, it follows that $\ker(T^* - \lambda)$ is finite dimensional. Thus by [1, **Lemma (3.1.2)**], $(T - \bar{\lambda})$ has closed range. Since T is pure, then by **Proposition (4.1)**, $T - \bar{\lambda}$ is one to

one with closed range, hence $\bar{\lambda} \in [\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)]$. However, $[\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)]$ is an open set and since $\lambda \in D \cap [\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)]$, it follows that $D \cap [\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)]$ is a non-empty open set. Hence for each $\mu \in D \cap [\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)]$ we have $\ker(T^* - \bar{\mu}) \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker(T^* - \bar{\mu}) \subseteq H_{T^*}(D)$. It follows that $H_{T^*}(D)$ is infinite dimensional, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If T is a pure θ -operator on a separable Hilbert space H , then T^* is countably hypercyclic if and only if for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T , $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \bar{D}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$*

Proof. Suppose the spectral conditions are satisfied. We want to apply **Theorem (2.5)**. So, suppose that $H_{T^*}(D) = \{0\}$. Since T is θ -operator, then by **part (a)** of **Lemma (4.1)**, $H_{T^*}(D)^\perp = H_T(C \setminus D)$, it follows that $H_T(C \setminus D) = H$. Thus by **Proposition (2.1)**, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T|_{H_T(C \setminus D)}) \subseteq (C \setminus D)$ a contradiction. So, $H_{T^*}(D) \neq \{0\}$, now by **part (b)** of **Lemma (4.1)** $H_{T^*}(D)$ is infinite dimensional. Now, suppose that $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})$ is not dense in H , i.e., $\overline{H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})} \neq H$, then $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D}) \neq H$. Thus $H_T(\bar{D})$ is a nonzero [If $H_T(\bar{D}) = 0$, then by **part (a)** of **Lemma (4.1)**, $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})^\perp = H_T(\bar{D}) = 0$, and hence $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})^{\perp\perp} = H$. So $\overline{H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})} = H$. contradicting our

assumption]. Therefore $H_T(\bar{D})$ is a nonzero hyperinvariant subspace for T . Furthermore $\sigma(T|_{H_T(\bar{D})}) \subseteq \bar{D}$ contradicting our assumption. Thus it follows that $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \bar{D})$ is dense. So, by **Theorem (2.5)**, T^* is countably hypercyclic.

Conversely, suppose T^* is countably hypercyclic. Let E be a bounded set, that is bounded away from zero, with dense orbit by **part (a)** of **Remark (2.7)**. Let M be an invariant subspace for T and let P_M be the projection onto M . It is easy to prove $(T|_M)^* P_M = P_M T^*$ and $P_M(E)$ is bounded set.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text{Orb}((T|_M)^*, P_M(E))} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\substack{P_M(x) \in P_M(E) \\ x \in E}} \{P_M(x), (T|_M)^*(P_M(x)), ((T|_M)^*)^2(P_M(x)), \dots\}} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\substack{P_M(x) \in P_M(E) \\ x \in E}} \{P_M(x), ((T|_M)^* P_M)(x), ((T|_M)^* (T|_M)^* P_M)(x), \dots\}} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\substack{P_M(x) \in P_M(E) \\ x \in E}} \{P_M(x), (P_M T^*)(x), (T|_M)^*((P_M T^*)(x)), \dots\}} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\substack{P_M(x) \in P_M(E) \\ x \in E}} \{P_M(x), P_M(T^* x), ((P_M T^*) T^*)(x), \dots\}} \\ &= \overline{\bigcup_{\substack{P_M(x) \in P_M(E) \\ x \in E}} \{P_M(x), P_M(T^* x), (P_M T^*)^2(x), \dots\}} \\ &= P_M(\bigcup_{x \in E} \overline{\{x, T^* x, (T^*)^2 x, \dots\}}) = \overline{P_M(H)} = M \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P_M(E)$ whose orbit under $(T|_M)^*$ is dense in M . Thus, we must have $\|T|_M\| = \|(T|_M)^*\| > 1$ [If $\|(T|_M)^*\| \leq 1$, then $\|((T|_M)^*)^n\| \leq 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and hence

$\sup \|((T|_M)^*)^n\| < \infty$. This is impossible by **part (a)** of **Proposition (2.6)**.

Since T is θ -operator, then $T|_M$ is θ -operator and hence $T|_M$ is normliad. Thus $r(T|_M) = \|T|_M\| > 1$. Since

$r(T|_M) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T|_M)\} > 1$, then there is $\lambda \in \sigma(T|_M)$ such that $|\lambda| > 1$, also since $C \setminus \overline{D} = \{\lambda : |\lambda| > 1\}$. So $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

Now, if $\sigma(S) \cap D = \emptyset$, i.e., $\sigma(S) \subset (C \setminus D)$, then for all λ in $\sigma(T)$ is nonzero and hence $0 \in \rho(T)$, thus T is an invertible and therefore T^{-1} is θ -operator. Since $\sigma(T)$ contains a complex number λ such that $|\lambda| > 1$, then by [3, P.171], $\sigma(T^{-1})$ contains a complex number λ^{-1} such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Thus $r(T^{-1}) = \inf\{|\lambda| : \lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(T)\} \leq 1$. Consequently $\|(T^*)^{-1}\| = \|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$, hence $\|T^*x\| \geq \|x\|$ for all $x \in H$, contradicting **part (b)** of **Proposition (2.6)** \square

Proposition 4.3. If T is a θ -operator, then T^* has a bounded set with dense orbit if and only if for every hyperinvariant subspace M of T , $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that every hyperinvariant subspace M of T , $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$, we want to show $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \overline{D})$ is dense in H . So, suppose that $\overline{H_{T^*}(C \setminus \overline{D})} \neq H$, then $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq H$ and hence $H_T(\overline{D})$ is a nonzero hyperinvariant subspace for

T . Furthermore $\sigma(T|_{H_T(\overline{D})}) \subseteq \overline{D}$ contradicting our assumption. Thus $H_{T^*}(C \setminus \overline{D})$ is dense in H . It follows that if $Z = H_{T^*}(C \setminus \overline{D})$, then condition(2) of the Countably Hypercyclic Criterion is satisfied, see [7, Theorem 3.2]. However, condition (2) of the Countably Hypercyclic Criterion easily implies that the unit ball has dense orbit, then by **part (b)** of **Remark (2.7)** has a bounded set with dense orbit. The converse is similar to the proof of **Theorem (4.2)** \square

References

1. Laursen, K.B. and Neumann. M.M., 2000. *An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*, Mathematical Society Monographs New Series, 20, Clarendon Press, Oxford, London, pp (14-222).
2. Campbell, S.L. 1975. *Linear operators for which T^*T and $T+T^*$ commute*, Pacific. J. Math., 61: 53-57.
3. Conway, 1985. *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, pp (39-197).
4. Campbell, S.L. and Gellar. R. 1976. *Spectral properties of linear operators for which T^*T and $T+T^*$ commute*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 60: 197-202.
5. Campbell, S.L. and R. Gellar. 1977. *linear operators for which T^*T and $T+T^*$ commute II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 226: 305-319.
6. Al-Saltan, R. E. 2000. *Some generalizations of normal operators*, M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, University of Baghdad, pp (46-48).
7. Feldman, N.S., T.L. Miller, and V.G. Miller. 2002. *Hypercyclic and*

- Supercyclic Cohyponormal Operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 68: 303-328.
8. Feldman, N.S. 2003. *Countably Hypercyclic Operators*, J. Operator Theory, 50: 107-117.
9. Feldman, N.S. 2002. ,The dynamics of cohyponormal operators, Contemp. Math. 321, Amer. Math. Soc.: 71-82.
10. Y. Kato. 1994. *Some examples of θ -operators*, Kyushu Journal of Mathematics, 48: 101-109.
11. Uchiyama, A. and Tanahashi, K. 2007. *Some spectral properties which imply Bishop's property (β)* , RIMS Kokyuroku, 1535: 143-148.
12. Shaakir. L.K. 1999. *Cyclic operators on Hilbert spaces*, M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, University of Baghdad, pp (57).

فوق الدائرية وفوق الدائرية المعدودة لمرافق المؤثر من النمط θ

هبة فوزي الجنابي*

بثينة عبد الحسن احمد*

*قسم الرياضيات /كلية العلوم/جامعة بغداد.

الخلاصة

- ليكن H فضاء هلبرت قابلاً للفصل وغير منتهي البعد على حقل الأعداد العقدية وليكن $B(H)$, حيث $B(H)$ هو جبر بناخ لكافة المؤثرات الخطية المقيدة على H في هذا البحث نبرهن انه اذا كان T في $B(H)$ هو مؤثر من النمط θ فان
1. T^* هو مؤثر فوق الدائرية اذا وفقط اذا كان $\sigma(T|_M) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ و $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ لكل فضاء جزئي عالي الثبوتية $M \perp H$.
 2. اذا كان T هو صرف, فان T^* هو مؤثر فوق الدائرية والقابل للعد اذا كان $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ و $\sigma(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ لكل فضاء جزئي عالي الثبوتية $M \perp H$.
 3. T^* يمتلك مجموعة مقيدة ذات مدار كثيف اذا وفقط اذا كان لكل فضاء جزئي عالي الثبوتية $M \perp H$ $\sigma(T|_M) \cap (C \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$.