

On The Implicative Ideal of a BH-Algebra

المثالية الإستنتاجية في جبر - BH

Assist.prof.Husein Hadi Abbass
University of Kufa\
Faculty of Education for Girls\
Department of Mathematics
Msc_hussien@yahoo.com

Suad Abdulaali Neamah
University of Kufa\
Faculty of Education for Girls\
Department of Mathematics
soshabib@yahoo.com

بحث مستقل

Abstract

In this paper, we study the implicative ideal of a BH-algebra. We state and prove some theorems which determine the relationship between this notion and the other types of ideals of a BH-algebra, also we give some properties of this ideal and link it with other types of ideals of a BH-algebra.

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث، درسنا المثالية الإستنتاجية في جبر - BH و أعطينا و برهنا بعض المبرهنات التي تحدد العلاقة بين هذا المفهوم و أنواع أخرى من مثاليات جبر - BH و كذلك أعطينا بعض خصائص هذه المثالية وصلتها مع أنواع أخرى من مثاليات جبر -BH.

Introduction:

The notion of BCK-algebras was formulated first in 1966 [14] by Y.Imai and K.Iseki as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus, where this notion was originated from two different ways: one of the motivations was based on set theory, another motivation was from classical and non classical propositional calculi. In the same year, K.Iseki introduced the notion of a BCI-algebra [6], which was a generalization of a BCK- algebra.

K.Iseki introduced the notion of an ideal of a BCK-algebra[6]. In 1983, Q.P.Hu and X.Li introduced the notion of a BCH-algebra which was a generalization of BCK/BCI-algebras [8]. In 1998, Y.B.Jun et al introduced the notion of BH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH-algebras[12]. Then, they discussed more properties on BH-algebras [4, 8, 11]. In 2009, A. B. Saeid, A. Namdar and R.A. Borzooei introduced the notions of a p-semisimple BCH-algebra, an associative BCH-algebra, atoms of a BCH-algebra, a BCH-algebra generated by I-atoms, p-ideals, implicative ideals, positive implicative ideals, normal ideals and fantastic ideals in BCH-algebra[2].In the same year, A. B. Saeid and A. Namdar introduced the notions of n-fold p-ideal and n-fold implicative ideal[1].

In this paper, we study the implicative ideal of a BH-algebra and the implicative BH-algebra. We study some properties of this notion and link it with some other types of ideals of a BH-algebra.

1. Preliminaries :

In this section, we give some basic concepts about BCI-algebra, BCK-algebra, BCH-algebra, BH-algebra, subalgebra, ideals of BH-algebra, implicative ideal of BH-algebra and implicative BH-algebra with some theorems, propositions.

Definition (1.1) : [6]

A **BCI-algebra** is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms: $\forall x, y, z \in X$:

- i. $(x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- ii. $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
- iii. $x * x = 0$,
- iv. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

Definition (1.2) : [14]

A **BCK-algebra** is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom: $0 * x = 0, \forall x \in X$.

Definition (1.3) : [7]

A **BCH-algebra** is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$, where X is nonempty set, $*$ is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms:

- i. $x * x = 0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition (1.4) : [12]

A **BH-algebra** is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $x * x = 0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $x * 0 = x, \forall x \in X$.

Remark (1.5) : [12]

1. Every BCK-algebra is a BCH-algebra.
2. Every BCH-algebra is a BH-algebra.
3. Every BCI-algebra is a BH-algebra.

Theorem(1.6) :[12]

Every BH-algebra satisfying the condition $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0; \forall x, y, z \in X$ is a BCI-algebra.

Theorem (1.7): [12]

Every BCH-algebra is a BH-algebra. Every BH-algebra satisfying the condition:

$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y, \forall x, y, z \in X$ is a BCH-algebra.

Remark(1.8):

We denote the condition

- i. $x = x * (y * x), \forall x, y \in X$ by (a_1) .
- ii. $x * (y * x) \in I$ imply $x \in I, \forall x, y \in X$ by (a_2) .
- iii. $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0, \forall x, y, z \in X$ by (a_3) .
- iv. $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y, \forall x, y, z \in X$ by (a_4) .

Definition (1.9) : [14]

In any BH-algebra X , we can define a **partial order relation** \leq by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

Definition(1.10):[9]

A BH-algebra X is said to be a **normal BH-algebra** if it satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y), \forall x, y \in X$.
- ii. $(x * y) * x = 0 * y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition (1.11) : [7]

A BCH-algebra X is called **medial** if $x * (x * y) = y, \forall x, y \in X$.

We generalize the concept of **medial** to BH-algebra.

Definition (1.12) :

A BH-algebra X is called **medial** if $x * (x * y) = y, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition (1.13) : [3]

A BH-algebra X is called an **associative BH-algebra** if: $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z), \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem (1.14): [3]

Let X be an associative BH-algebra. Then the following properties are hold:

- i. $0 * x = x ; \forall x \in X$
- ii. $x * y = y * x ; \forall x, y \in X$
- iii. $x * (x * y) = y ; \forall x, y \in X$
- iv. $(z * x) * (z * y) = x * y ; \forall x, y, z \in X$
- v. $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y ; \forall x, y \in X$
- vi. $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0 ; \forall x, y \in X$
- vii. $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y ; \forall x, y, z \in X$
- viii. $(x * z) * (y * t) = (x * y) * (z * t) ; \forall x, y, z, t \in X$

Definition (1.15) : [4]

Let X be a BH-algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{ x \in X : 0 * x = 0 \}$ is called the **BCA-part of X** .

Definition (1.16) : [3]

Let X be a BH-algebra. Then the elements of the set $L_K(X)$, where

$L_K(X) = \{ a \in X_+ \setminus \{0\} : x * a = 0 \Rightarrow x = a, \forall x \in X \setminus \{0\} \}$ is called a **K-atom of X** .

Definition (1.17) : [12]

A nonempty subset S of a BH-algebra X is called a **Subalgebra** of X if $x * y \in S, \forall x, y \in S$.

Definition(1.18) : [6]

An ideal I of a BCH-algebra X satisfies the condition $x \in I$ and $a \in X \setminus I$ imply $x * a \in I$, is called a ***-ideal** of X .

We generalize the concept of a ***- ideal** to a BH-algebra.

Definition(1.19) :

An ideal I of a BH-algebra X satisfies the condition $x \in I$ and $a \in X \setminus I$ imply $x * a \in I$, is called a ***-ideal** of X .

Theorem (1.20) : [2]

In a BCH-algebra X , the following conditions are equivalent:

1. Every nonzero element of X is a K-atom of X , i.e. $X = L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$,
2. $x * y = x, \forall x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
3. $x * (x * y) = 0, \forall x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
4. every subalgebra of X is a *-ideal of X .

Definition (1.21) : [12]:

Let I be a nonempty subset of a BH-algebra X . Then I is called an **ideal** of X if it satisfies:

- i. $0 \in I$.
- ii. $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Proposition (1.22) : [3]

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \Gamma \}$ be a family of ideals of a BH-algebra X . Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an ideal of X .

Theorem(1.23):[3]

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \Gamma \}$ be a chain ideals of a BH-algebra X . Then $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an ideal of X .

Proposition (1.24) : [3]

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH- epimorphism, if I is an ideal of X then $f(I)$ is an ideal of Y .

Proposition (1.25): [3]

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH- homomorphism, if I is an ideal of Y then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal of X .

Definition (1.26):[4]

An ideal I of a BH-algebra X is called a **closed ideal** of X , $0*x \in I, \forall x \in I$.

Definition (1.27):[4]

Let X be a BH-algebra and I be an ideal of X . Then I is called a **closed ideal with respect to an element $b \in X$** (denoted **b -closed ideal**) if $b*(0*x) \in I, \forall x \in I$.

Definition (1.28):[3]

An ideal I of a BH-algebra is called a **completely closed ideal** if $x*y \in I, \forall x, y \in I$.

Definition (1.29): [6]

An ideal I of a BCH-algebra X is called a **normal ideal** if $x*(x*y) \in I$ implies $y*(y*x) \in I, \forall x, y \in X$.

We generalize the concept of a **normal ideal** to a BH-algebra.

Definition (1.30):

An ideal I of a BH-algebra X is called a **normal ideal** if $x*(x*y) \in I$ implies $y*(y*x) \in I, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition(1.31):[3]

Let X be a BH-algebra, a non-empty subset N of X is said to be **normal subset** of X if $(x*a)*(y*b) \in N$ for all $x*y, a*b \in N, \forall x, y, a, b \in X$.

Definition (1.32):[10]

Let X be a BH-algebra. For a fixed $a \in X$, we define a map $R_a: X \rightarrow X$ such that $R_a(x) = x*a, \forall x \in X$, and call R_a a **right map** on X . The set of all right maps on X is denoted by $R(X)$. A left map L_a is defined by a similar way, we define a map $L_a: X \rightarrow X$ such that $L_a(x) = a*x, \forall x \in X$, and called L_a a **left map** on X . The set of all left maps on X is denoted by $L(X)$.

Definition (1.33): [4]

A nonempty subset I of a BH-algebra X is called an **implicative ideal** of X if:

- i. $0 \in I$.
- ii. $(x*(y*x))*z \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x \in I, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Proposition (1.34):[4]

Every implicative ideal of a BH-algebra X is an ideal of X .

Definition (1.35): [5]

A BCI-algebra is said to be an implicative if it satisfies $(x*(x*y))*(y*x) = y*(y*x), \forall x, y \in X$.

We generalize the concept of an **implicative BCI-algebra** to a **BH-algebra**.

Definition (1.36):

A BH -algebra is said to be an implicative if it satisfies $(x*(x*y))*(y*x) = y*(y*x), \forall x, y \in X$.

Example (1.37):

Consider the BH-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the binary operation '*' defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	2	0

Then $(X, *, 0)$ is an implicative BH-algebra.

Theorem (1.38) : [15]

A BCI-algebra is implicative if and only if every closed ideal of X is an implicative.

Definition (1.39):[10]

A BH-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be a **positive implicative** if it satisfies the condition,
 $\forall x, y, z \in X, (x * z) * (y * z) = (x * y) * z$.

Remark (1.40):[10]

Let X be a positive implicative BH-algebra and \oplus be a binary operation defined on $L(X)$ by
 $(L_a \oplus L_b)(x) = L_a(x) * L_b(x)$ and $(L_a \oplus L_b)(x) = L_{a * b}(x); \forall L_a, L_b \in L(X)$ and $\forall x \in X$

Theorem (1.41) :[10]

If X is a positive implicative BH-algebra, then $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$ is a positive implicative BH-algebra.

Remark (1.42):[13]

Let X and Y be BH-algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **homomorphism** if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y), \forall x, y \in X$. A homomorphism f is called a **monomorphism** (resp., **epimorphism**) if it is an injective (resp., surjective). A bijective homomorphism is called an isomorphism. Two BH-algebras X and Y are said to be **isomorphic**, written $X \cong Y$, if there exists an isomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. For any homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the set $\{x \in X; f(x) = 0'\}$ is called the **kernel** of f , denoted by $\text{Ker}(f)$, and the set $\{f(x): x \in X\}$ is called the **image** of f , denoted by $\text{Im}(f)$. Notice that $f(0) = 0'$, for all homomorphism f .

Definition (1.43):[11]

An ideal A of a BH-algebra X is said to be a **translation ideal** of X if $x * y \in A$ and $y * x \in A \Rightarrow (x * z) * (y * z) \in A$ and $(z * x) * (z * y) \in A, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Remark (1.44):[12]

Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BH-algebra and let A be a translation ideal of X . Define a relation \sim_A on X by $x \sim_A y$ if and only if $x * y \in A$ and $y * x \in A$, where $x, y \in X$. Then \sim_A is an equivalence relation on X . Denote the equivalence class containing x by $[x]_A$, i.e., $[x]_A = \{y \in X | x \sim_A y\}$ and $X/A = \{[x]_A | x \in X\}$. And define $[x]_A \oplus [y]_A = [x * y]_A$, then $((X/A), \oplus, [0]_A)$ is a BH-algebra.

Theorem(1.45):[12]

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of BH-algebra. Then $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a translation ideal of X .

Definition(1.46):[3]

Let X be a BH-algebra, a non-empty subset N of X is said to be **normal subalgebra** of X if
i. $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N, \forall x * y, a * b \in N, \forall x, y, a, b \in X$.
ii. $x * y \in N, \forall x, y \in N$.

Remark (1.47):

Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BH-algebra and let N be a normal subalgebra of X . Define a relation \sim_N on X by $x \sim_N y$ if and only if $x * y \in N$ and $y * x \in N$, where $x, y \in X$. Then \sim_N is an equivalence relation on X . Denote the equivalence class containing x by $[x]_N$, i.e., $[x]_N = \{y \in X | x \sim_N y\}$ and $X/N = \{[x]_N | x \in X\}$. And define $[x]_N \oplus [y]_N = [x * y]_N$, then $((X/N), \oplus, [0]_N)$ is a BH-algebra.

Remark (1.48):[3]

The BH-algebra X/N is called the quotient BH-algebra of X by N .

Theorem(1.49):[3]

Let N be a normal subalgebra of a BH-algebra X . Then X/N is a BH-algebra.

Definition (1.50) :[4]

Let X be a BH-algebra and $a \in \text{med}(X)$. $B(a) = \{x \in X : a*x = 0\}$ is called a **branch subset** of X determined by a .

2. The Main Results:

Proposition(2.1):

Let $X = L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$ be a BH-algebra. Then every ideal of X is an implicative ideal.

Proof:

i. Since I is an ideal of X , so $0 \in I$

ii. Let I be an ideal of X and $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x))*z \in I$ and $z \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in I$ [Since I is an ideal]

We have two cases:

Case1: if $x=y$, we will have $x*(y*x) = x*(x*x) = x*0 = x$

[Since X is a BH-algebra; $x*x=0$ and $x*0=x$]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$]. Then I is an implicative ideal of X .

Case2 : if $x \neq y$, then $x*(y*x) = x*y = x$

[Since $X = L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$, then $y*x=y$, $\forall x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$; by Theorem (1.20,2)]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since $x*(y*x) \in I$].

Then I is an implicative ideal of X .

Proposition(2.2):

If X is a BH-algebra satisfies the condition, $\forall x, y \in X ; x = x*(y*x) (a_1)$, then every ideal is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let I be an ideal of X and $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x))*z \in I$ and $z \in I$

$\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in I$. [Since I is an ideal of X .]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [By (a_1)]

Then I is an implicative ideal of X . ■

Remark (2.3) :

In any BH-algebra, the set $I=X$ is an implicative ideal of X , but the set $I=\{0\}$ may not be an implicative ideal of X , as in the following example ,

Example (2.4):

Consider the BH-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the binary operation '*' defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	3
1	1	0	2	2
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	2	3	0

Then $(X, *, 0)$ is a BH-algebra. The subset $I=\{0\}$ is not an implicative ideal of X . Since

if $x=2, y=0, z=0$, then $(2*(0*2))*0 = 0*0=0 \in I$ and $0 \in I$ but $x=2 \notin I$.

Theorem (2.5):

Let X be BH-algebra and let I be an ideal of X . Then I is an implicative ideal of X if and only if $x*(y*x) \in I$ imply $x \in I$ (a₂).

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X and $x, y \in X$ such that $x*(y*x) \in I$. Then $(x*(y*x))*0 \in I$.

[Since X is a BH-algebra; $x*(y*x) = (x*(y*x))*0$]

Now, we have $(x*(y*x))*0 \in I$ and $0 \in I$. Then $x \in I$. [Since I is an implicative ideal of X]

Conversely,

Let I be an ideal of X and $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x))*z \in I$ and $z \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in I$. [Since I is an ideal of X .]

$\Rightarrow x \in I$. [By (a₂)]

Then I is an implicative ideal of X . ■

Proposition(2.6):

Let X be BH-algebra. If $\{0\}$ is an implicative ideal of X , then $0*x \neq x, \forall x \in X/\{0\}$.

Proof:

Suppose $I = \{0\}$ be an implicative ideal of X and $x \in X/\{0\}$ such that $0*x = x$.

Now,

$\Rightarrow x*(0*x) = x*x = 0$ [Since X is an associative BH-algebra; $x*x = 0$ and $0*x = x$].

We have $(x*(0*x))*0 = 0 \in I$ and $0 \in I$

$\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since I is an implicative ideal]

$\Rightarrow x = 0$ [Since $I = \{0\}$],

we get a contradiction . [Since $x \in X/\{0\}$]

Then $0*x \neq x$. ■

Remark (2.7):

The converse of proposition (2.6) is not correct in general, as in the following example:

Example (2.8):

Consider the BH-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with the binary operation '*' defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	2	1	0	3
1	1	0	2	1	1
2	2	1	0	2	2
3	3	2	3	0	3
4	4	4	4	4	0

$0*x \neq x, \forall x \in X/\{0\}$, but the set $I = \{0\}$ is not an implicative ideal of X . Since

If $x=1, y=2, z=0$, then $(1*(2*1))*0 = 1*1 = 0 \in I$, but $x=1 \notin I$.

Theorem(2.9):

Every associative BH- algebra is an implicative BH-algebra.

Proof :

Let X be an associative BH- algebra. Then

$(x*(x*y))*(y*x) = ((x*x)*y)*(y*x)$ [Since X is an associative BH-algebra]

$$= (0 * y) * (y * x) \text{ [Since } X \text{ is a BH-algebra; } x * x = 0]$$

$$= y * (y * x) \text{ [Since } X \text{ is an associative BH-algebra; } 0 * y = y, \text{ by Theorem (1.14,i)]}$$

Then X is an implicative BH-algebra.

Theorem(2.10) :

Let X be a BH-algebra and satisfies the condition, $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0, \forall x, y, z \in X$ (a_3). Then X is an implicative if and only if every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof: Directly from Theorem (1.6) and (1.38).

Lemma (2.11): Every medial BH- algebra is an implicative BH-algebra.

Proof : Let X be a medial BH- algebra. Then

$$(x * (x * y)) * (y * x) = y * (y * x) \quad [\text{Since } X \text{ is medial ; } x * (x * y) = y]$$

Then X is an implicative BH-algebra.

Theorem (2.12) :

Let X be an implicative BH-algebra satisfies (a_3) and let I be an ideal of X . Then

- i. If $I \subseteq X_+$, then I is an implicative ideal of X .
- ii. If $L_0(I) \subseteq I$, then I is an implicative ideal of X .
- iii. If X is equal to a branch subset of X determined by "0", then I is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

- i. Let $I \subseteq X_+$ and I be an ideal of X .

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 \in I, \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 \in I, \forall x \in I. \text{ [Since } I \subseteq X_+]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{every ideal of } X \text{ is a closed ideal of } X. \text{ [by Definition (1.26)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow X \text{ is a BCI-algebra. [Since } X \text{ is BH-algebra and satisfies } (a_3), \text{ By Theorem(1.6)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow I \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X.$$

[Since every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X . By Theorem (1.38)]. ■

- ii. Let $x \in I$. Then $L_0(x) \in I$. [Since $L_0(I) \subseteq I$]

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x \in I \quad [\text{ Since } L_0(x) = 0 * x]$$

$$\Rightarrow I \text{ is a closed ideal of } X. \text{ [By Definition (1.26)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow X \text{ is a BCI-algebra. [Since } X \text{ is BH-algebra and satisfies } (a_3), \text{ By Theorem (1.6)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow I \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X.$$

[Since every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X . By Theorem (1.38)]. ■

- iii. Let X is equal to a branch subset of X determined by "0" and let I be an ideal of X .

$$\Rightarrow X = B(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 \in I, \forall x \in X. \text{ [Since } X = B(0)]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 \in I, \forall x \in I. \text{ [Since } I \subseteq X]$$

$$\Rightarrow I \text{ is a closed ideal of } X. \text{ [By Definition (1.26)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow I \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X.$$

[Since every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X . By Theorem (2.10)]. ■

Theorem (2.13) :

Let X be an associative BH-algebra. Then

- i. every proper subset of X is not an implicative ideal of X .

- ii. X_+ is not an implicative ideal of X .
- iii. a branch subset of X determined by " 0 " is not an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

i. Suppose I is an implicative ideal of X and I is a proper subset of X . Then
There exist $x \in X$ such that $x \notin I$ [Since $I \subset X$]

Now, Since X is a BH-algebra, we have $x*0=x$. So $(x*(0*x))*0 = x*(0*x)$

$= x*x$ [Since $0*x=x$; by Theorem (1.14,i)]

$= 0 \in I$ [since X is a BH- algebra ; $x*x=0$]

We have

$$(x*(0*x))*0 \in I \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \in I.$$

$\Rightarrow x \in I$ [since I is an implicative ideal of X]

We get a contradiction (By assumption $I \subset X$, $x \notin I$)

$\Rightarrow I$ is not an implicative ideal of X .

Then every proper subset of X is not an implicative ideal of X . ■

ii. To prove X_+ is not an implicative ideal of X .

$X_+ = \{x \in X ; 0*x=0\} = \{0\}$ [since X is an associative ; $0*x=x$; by Theorem (1.14,i)]

Now,

Since $X_+ \subset X$

Then X_+ is not an implicative ideal of X [by (i)]. ■

iii. To prove a branch subset of X determined by " 0 " is not an implicative ideal of X .

$B(0) = \{x \in X ; 0*x=0\} = \{0\}$ [since X is an associative ; $0*x=x$; by Theorem (1.14,i)]

Now,

Since $B(0) = X_+$.

$\Rightarrow B(0)$ is not an implicative ideal of X [by (ii)]. ■

Then a branch subset of X determined by " 0 " is not an implicative ideal of X .

Corrolary (2.14): Let X be an associative BH-algebra. Then X is a unique implicative ideal of X .

Proof : Directly by Theorem (2.13 ,i) and Remark (2.3). ■

Theorem (2.15) :

Let X be a medial BH-algebra and satisfies (a_3) . Then every normal ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let I be a normal ideal of X and let $x \in X$. Then

$$(0*x)*((0*x)*0)=(0*x)*(0*x)=0 \in I \quad \text{[Since } X \text{ is s BH-algebra ; } x*0=x \text{ and } x*x=0 \text{]}$$

$\Rightarrow 0*(0*(0*x)) \in I$ [Since I is a normal ideal]

$\Rightarrow 0*x \in I$; $\forall x \in X$ [Since X is a medial ; $x*(x*y)=y$]

$\Rightarrow 0*x \in I$; $\forall x \in I$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a closed ideal of X . [By Definition (1.26)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is an implicative ideal of X . [Since every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X .

By Theorem(2.10)].■

Theorem (2.16):

Let X be an implicative BH-algebra and satisfies (a_3) . Then every completely closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let I be a completely closed ideal of X . Then I is an ideal of X . [By definition (1.28)]

Let $y \in X$, if $x=0$

$$\Rightarrow 0*y \in I, \forall y \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0*y \in I, \forall y \in I.$$

Then I is a closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is an implicative ideal of X . [Since every closed ideal of X is an implicative ideal of X . By Theorem (2.10)]. ■

Proposition (2.17):

Let X be a normal BH-algebra such that $X=X_+$ and let I be an implicative ideal of X . Then I is a completely closed ideal of X .

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . Then I is an ideal of X . [By proposition(1.34)]

Let $x, y \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((x*y)*(0*(x*y)))*x &= ((x*y)*0)*x \quad [\text{Since } 0*(x*y)=0 ; X=X_+. \text{ By Definition (1.15)}] \\ &= (x*y)*x \quad [\text{Since } X \text{ is a BH-algebra . } x*0=x] \\ &= 0*y \quad [\text{Since } X \text{ is a normal, By Definition (1. 10, ii)}] \\ &= 0 \in I \quad [\text{Since } X=X_+. \text{ By Definition(1.15)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow ((x*y)*(0*(x*y)))*x \in I \text{ and } x \in I \Rightarrow x*y \in I. \quad [\text{Since } I \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X]$$

Therefore, I is a completely closed ideal of X . ■

Theorem (2.18):

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \Gamma \}$ be a family of implicative ideals of a BH-algebra X . Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof:

To prove that $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

$$\text{i. } 0 \in I_i, \forall i \in \Gamma \quad [\text{Since each } I_i \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X, \forall i \in \Gamma. \text{ By Definition(1.33)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \in \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$$

$$\text{ii. Let } (x*(y*x))*z \in \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i \quad \text{and } z \in \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$$

$$\Rightarrow (x*(y*x))*z \in I_i \text{ and } z \in I_i, \forall i \in \Gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in I_i, \forall i \in \Gamma \quad [\text{Since each } I_i \text{ is Implicative ideal of } X, \forall i \in \Gamma. \text{ By Definition(1.33)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i. \text{ Therefore, } \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i \text{ is an implicative ideal of } X. \blacksquare$$

Corollary (2.19): Let $X=L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$ and let $\{ I_i, i \in \Gamma \}$ be a family of ideals of a BH-algebra X .

Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof: Let $\{I_i, i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of ideals of X . Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an ideal of X . [By Theorem(1.22)].

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X . [Since $X=L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$, by Proposition (2.1)].■

Theorem (2.20):

Let $\{I_i, i \in \Gamma\}$ be a chain implicative ideals of a BH-algebra X . Then $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof: To prove that $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

i. $0 \in I_i, \forall i \in \Gamma$

[Since each I_i is an implicative ideal of $X, \forall i \in \Gamma$. By Definition(1.33)]

$\Rightarrow 0 \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$

ii. Let $(x^*(y^*x))^*z \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ and $z \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$

$\exists I_j, I_k \in \{I_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$, such that $(x^*(y^*x))^*z \in I_j$ and $z \in I_k$,

\Rightarrow either $I_j \subseteq I_k$ or $I_k \subseteq I_j$ [Since $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ is a chain]

\Rightarrow either $(x^*(y^*x))^*z \in I_j$ and $z \in I_j$ or $(x^*(y^*x))^*z \in I_k$ and $z \in I_k$

\Rightarrow either $x \in I_j$ or $x \in I_k$

[Since I_j and I_k are implicative ideals of X . By Definition(1.33)]

$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X . ■

Corollary (2.21): Let $X=L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$ and let $\{I_i, i \in \Gamma\}$ be a Chain of ideals of a BH-algebra X .

Then $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof: Let $\{I_i, i \in \Gamma\}$ be a chain of ideals of X . Then $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an ideal of X . [by Theorem(1.23)]

Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} I_i$ is an implicative ideal of X . [Since $X=L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$, by Proposition (2.1)] .■

Proposition (2.22):

Let $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, *, 0')$ be a BH- epimorphism. If I is an implicative ideal of X , then $f(I)$ is an implicative ideal of Y .

Proof :

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . Then

i. $f(0) = 0'$, [Since f is an epimorphism, by Remark(1.42)]

$\Rightarrow 0' \in f(I)$

ii. Let $(x^*(y^*x))^*z \in f(I)$ and $z \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow \exists a, b \in I$ and $c \in I$ such that $f(a)=x, f(b)=y$ and $f(c)=z$

$\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^*z = [f(a)^*(f(b)^*f(a))]^*f(c) = f((a^*(b^*a))^*c) \in f(I)$ [Since f is an epimorphism]

$\Rightarrow (a*(b*a))*c \in I$ and $c \in I$ [Since $f(I)=\{f(x) ; x \in I\}$]
 $\Rightarrow a \in I$ [Since I is an implicative ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow f(a) \in f(I)$.
 Then $f(I)$ is an implicative ideal of Y . ■

Proposition (2.23) :

Let $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, *, 0')$ be a BH- homomorphism and I is an implicative ideal of Y . Then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof :

Let I be an implicative ideal of Y . Then

i. $f(0) = 0'$ [Since f is a homomorphism, by Remark(1.42)]
 $\Rightarrow 0 = f^{-1}(0') \in f^{-1}(I)$
 ii. Let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x))*z \in f^{-1}(I)$ and $z \in f^{-1}(I)$
 $\Rightarrow f((x*(y*x))*z) \in I$ and $f(z) \in I$
 $\Rightarrow f((x*(y*x))*z) = (f(x)*(f(y)*f(x)))*f(z) \in I$ and $f(z) \in I$ [Since f is a homomorphism, by Remark(1.42)]
 $\Rightarrow f(x) \in I$ [Since I is an implicative ideal of Y]
 $\Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(I)$.
 Then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an implicative ideal of X . ■

Theorem (2.24):

Let X be a BH-algebra and N be a normal subalgebra. If I is an ideal of X , then I/N is an ideal of X/N .

Proof :

Let I be an ideal of X . Then

i. Since $0 \in I \Rightarrow [0]_N \in I/N$.
 ii. Let $[x]_N, [y]_N \in X/N$.
 $\Rightarrow [x]_N * [y]_N \in I/N$ and $[y]_N \in I/N$ [Since $[x]_N * [y]_N = [x*y]_N$, By remark(1.47)].
 $\Rightarrow [x*y]_N \in I/N$ and $[y]_N \in I/N$
 $\Rightarrow x*y \in I$ and $y \in I$ [Since $I/N = \{[x]_N | x \in I\}$, By remark(1.47)]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since I is an ideal of X].
 $\Rightarrow [x]_N \in I/N$. Then I/N is an ideal of X/N . ■

Theorem (2.25):

Let X be a BH-algebra and N be a normal subalgebra. If I is an implicative ideal of X , then I/N is an implicative ideal of X/N .

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . To prove I/N is an implicative ideal of X/N .

$\Rightarrow I$ is an ideal of X . [By proposition(1.34)]
 $\Rightarrow I/N$ is an ideal of X/N . [By proposition(2.24)]
 i. Since $0 \in I \Rightarrow [0]_N \in I/N$.
 ii. Let $[x]_N, [y]_N, [z]_N \in X/N$.
 $\Rightarrow ([x]_N * ([y]_N * [z]_N)) * [z]_N \in I/N$ and $[z]_N \in I/N$
 $\Rightarrow ([x]_N * [y*x]_N) * [z]_N \in I/N$ and $[z]_N \in I/N$ [Since $[x]_N * [y]_N = [x*y]_N$, By remark(1.47)]
 $\Rightarrow [x*(y*x)]_N * [z]_N \in I/N$ and $[z]_N \in I/N$

$\Rightarrow [(x^*(y^*x))^*z]_N \in I/N$ and $[z]_N \in I/N$
 $\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^*z \in I$ and $z \in I$ [Since $I/N = \{[x]_N | x \in I\}$, By remark(1.47)]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since I is an implicative ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow [x]_N \in I/N$.
 Then I/N is an implicative ideal of X/N .■

Theorem (2.26):

Let X be a BH-algebra and A be a translation ideal of X . If I is an ideal of X , then I/A is an ideal of X/A .

Proof:

Let I be an ideal of X . To prove I/A is an ideal of X/A .

i. Since $0 \in I \Rightarrow [0] \in I/A$.
 ii. Let $[x]_A, [y]_A \in X/A$.
 $\Rightarrow [x]_A \oplus [y]_A \in I/A$ and $[y]_A \in I/A$ [Since $[x]_A \oplus [y]_A = [x^*y]_A$. By remark(1.44)]
 $\Rightarrow [x^*y]_A \in I/A$ and $[y]_A \in I/A$
 $\Rightarrow x^*y \in I$ and $y \in I$ [Since $I/A = \{[x]_A | x \in I\}$. By Remark(1.44)]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since I is an ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow [x]_A \in I/A$

Then I/A is an ideal of X/A .■

Proposition(2.27):

Let X be a BH-algebra and A be a translation ideal. If I is an implicative ideal of X , then I/A is an implicative of X/A .

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . To prove I/A is an implicative ideal of X/A .

i. Since $0 \in I \Rightarrow [0] \in I/A$.
 ii. Let $[x]_A, [y]_A, [z]_A \in X/A$.
 $\Rightarrow ([x]_A \oplus ([y]_A \oplus [x]_A)) \oplus [z]_A \in I/A$ and $[z]_A \in I/A$
 $\Rightarrow ([x]_A \oplus [y^*x]_A) \oplus [z]_A \in I/A$ and $[z]_A \in I/A$ [Since $[x]_A \oplus [y]_A = [x^*y]_A$. By remark(1.44)]
 $\Rightarrow [x^*(y^*x)]_A \oplus [z]_A \in I/A$ and $[z]_A \in I/A$
 $\Rightarrow [(x^*(y^*x))^*z]_A \in I/A$ and $[z]_A \in I/A$
 $\Rightarrow (x^*(y^*x))^*z \in I$ and $z \in I$ [Since $I/A = \{[x]_A | x \in I\}$. By Remark(1.44)]
 $\Rightarrow x \in I$ [Since I is an ideal of X]
 $\Rightarrow [x]_A \in I/A$. Then I/A is an implicative ideal of X/A .■

Corollary (2.28):

Let X be a BH-algebra. If I is an implicative ideal of X , then $I/\text{Ker}(f)$ is an implicative of $X/\text{Ker}(f)$.

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . To prove $I/\text{Ker}(f)$ is an implicative ideal of $X/\text{Ker}(f)$.

Since $\text{Ker}(f)$ is translation ideal. [By Theorem(1.45)]

$\Rightarrow I/\text{Ker}(f)$ is an implicative ideal of $X/\text{Ker}(f)$. [By Theorem(2.27)].■

Remark (2.29) :

Let X be a BH-algebra and let I be a subset of X . we will define to the set $\{L_a \in L(X) ; a \in I\}$ by $L(I)$.

Theorem (2.30) :

Let X be a positive implicative BH-algebra. If I is an ideal of X . Then $L(I)$ is an ideal of $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$.

Proof:

Let I be an ideal of X . To prove $L(I)$ is an ideal of $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$.

i. $0 \in I \Rightarrow L_0 \in L(I)$ [By Remark (2.29)]

ii. Let $L_a \oplus L_b, L_b \in L(I)$.

We have $L_a \oplus L_b = L_{a*b}$, where $a, b \in I$

$\Rightarrow a*b \in I$ and $b \in I$

$\Rightarrow a \in I$ [Since I is an ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow L_a \in L(I)$. Then $L(I)$ is an ideal of $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$. ■

Corollary (2.31):

Let X be a positive implicative BH-algebra. If I is an implicative ideal of X . Then $L(I)$ is an implicative ideal of $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$.

Proof:

Let I be an implicative ideal of X . Then I is an ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow L(I)$ is an ideal of $L(X)$. [By Theorem(2.30)]

i. $0 \in I \Rightarrow L_0 \in L(I)$ [Since I is an ideal of X]

ii. Let $(L_a \oplus (L_b \oplus L_a)) \oplus L_c \in L(I)$ and $L_c \in L(I)$

$\Rightarrow (a * (b * a)) * c \in I$ and $c \in I$ [Since $(L_a \oplus (L_b \oplus L_a)) \oplus L_c = L_{(a*(b*a))*c} \in L(I)$]

$\Rightarrow a \in I$ [Since I is an implicative ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow L_a \in L(I)$. Then $L(I)$ is an implicative ideal of $(L(X), \oplus, L_0)$. ■

Theorem (2.31):

If $X = L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$ be a BH-algebra satisfies (a_4) and S be a subalgebra of X , then S is an implicative ideal of X .

Proof:

Since X be a BH-algebra satisfies (a_4) , then X is a BCH-algebra. [by Theorem(1.7)]

Let S is a subalgebra of X . Then S is a $*$ -ideal. [By Theorem(1.20,4)]

$\Rightarrow S$ is an ideal. [every $*$ -ideal is an ideal. By Definition (1.19)]

To prove S is an implicative ideal of X .

i) $0 \in S$ [Since S is an ideal]

ii) Let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x))*z \in S$ and $z \in S$.

$\Rightarrow x*(y*x) \in S$. [Since S is an ideal of X]

We have two cases:

Case 1: if $x=y$, then $x*(x*x) \in S$

$\Rightarrow x*0 \in S$ [Since X is a BH-algebra ; $x*x=0$]

$\Rightarrow x \in S$ [Since X is a BH-algebra ; $x*0=x$]

Then S is an implicative ideal of X .

Case 2: if $x \neq y$, then $x*(y*x) = x*y = x$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in S$ [Since $X = L_K(X) \cup \{0\}$, then $y*x=y$; $\forall x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, by Theorem (1.20, 2)]

$\Rightarrow x \in S$ [Since $x*y=x$]

Then S is an implicative ideal of X . ■

References:

- [1] A. B. Saeid and A. Namdar, "On n-fold Ideals in BCH-algebras and Computation Algorithms", World Applied Sciences Journal 7 (Special Issue for Applied Math): 64-69, 2009.
- [2] A. B. Saeid, A. Namdar and R.A. Borzooei, "Ideal Theory of BCH-Algebras", World Applied Sciences Journal 7 (11): 1446-1455, 2009.
- [3] H. H. Abbass and H. A. Dahham, "Some Types of Fuzzy Ideals with Respect to an Element of a BG-algebra", Kufa University, M.s.cthesis, 2012.
- [4] H. H. Abbass and H. M. A. Saeed, "The Fuzzy Closed BCH-algebra with Respect to an Element of a BH-algebra", Kufa University, M.s.cthesis, 2011.
- [5] J. Meng and X.L.X, "Implicative BCI-algebra", Pure Apple, In China : 8:2, 99-103, 1992
- [6] K. ISEKI, "An Algebra Related with a Propositional Calculus", Proc. Japan Acad. 42, 26-29, 1966.
- [7] M.A. Chaudhry and H. Fakhur-Ud-Din, "Ideals and Filters in BCH-algebra", Math. japonica 44, No. 1, 101-112, 1996.
- [8] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, "On BCH-algebras", Math. Seminar Notes Kobe University No. 2, Part 2, 11: 313-320, 1983.
- [9] Q. Zhang, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, "On the Branch of BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 54(2), 363-367, 2001.
- [10] S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, "R-maps and L-maps in BH-algebras", Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Vol.13, No. 2, pp.53-59, 2000.
- [11] S. S. Ahn and J. H. Lee, "Rough Strong Ideals in BH-algebras", HonamMath. Journal, 32, pp.203-215, 2010.
- [12] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, "On BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae 1(1), 347-354, 1998.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and M. Kondo "On BH-relations in BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonice Online, Vol.9, pp.91-94, 2003.
- [14] Y. IMAI and K. ISEKI, "On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV", Proc. Japan Acad. 42, 19-20, 1966.
- [15] Y. L. Liu, J. Meng, "Fuzzy Ideals in BCI-algebra" Fuzzy sets and Systems, 123, 227-237, 2001.