

Algebraic Coincidence Periods Of Self – Maps Of A Rational Exterior Space Of Rank 2

*Ban Jaffar AL-Ta'iy**

Date of acceptance 28/5 / 2009

Abstract:

Let f and g be a self – maps of a rational exterior space . A natural number m is called a minimal coincidence period of maps f and g if f^m and g^m have a coincidence point which is not coincidence by any earlier iterates. This paper presents a complete description of the set of algebraic coincidence periods for self - maps of a rational exterior space which has rank 2 .

Key word: coincidence point, lefschets, Coincidence number.

Introduction:

Let f and g be a self – maps of a rational exterior space X . A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point for f and g iff $f(x) = g(x)$ [1] . If f^m and g^m have a coincidence point which is not coincidence by any earlier iterates then a natural number m is called a minimal coincidence period of maps f and g . The integers $i_m(f, g) = \sum_{k/m} \mu(m/k) L_{f^k, g^k}$, where L_{f^k, g^k} denote the Lefschetz coincidence number of f^k and g^k and μ is the classical Mobius function are one of the important device to study minimal coincidence points .If $i_m(f, g) \neq 0$, then we say that m is an algebraic coincidence periods of f and g [2,3]. Which provides information about the existence of minimal coincidence periods that less than or equal to m .

This paper provide a full characterization of algebraic coincidence periods in the case when homology spaces of X are small dimensional, namely when X is of rank 2 . The work is based on [4, 5, 6] , where the description of the so - called " homotopical minimal coincidence periods " of self maps

of , respectively the two - and three dimensional tours are given using Nielsen numbers . We follow the algebraically framework of [6] , the final description is similar to the one obtained in [4] .The differences results from the fact that the coefficients $i_m(f, g)$ are a sum of Lefschetz coincidence numbers , which unlike Nielsen numbers , do not have to be positive .

Rational exterior spaces :

For a given space X and an integer $r \geq 0$ let $H^r(X; \mathbb{Q})$ be the r th singular cohomology space with rational coefficients.

Let $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} H^r(X; \mathbb{Q})$ be the cohomology algebra with multiplication given by the cup product .An element $x \in H^r(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is decomposable if there are pairs $(x_i, y_i) \in H^{p_i}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \times H^{q_i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ with $p_i, q_i > 0$, $p_i + q_i = r > 0$ so that $x = \sum x_i \cup y_i$. Let $A^r(X) = H^r(X)/D^r(X)$, where D^r is the linear subspace of all decomposable elements (cf. [5]).

*Department of Mathematics/ College of Science for Women/University of Baghdad.

Definition (1) :-

By $A(f, g)$ we denote the induced homomorphism on $A(X) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^s A^r(X)$. Zeros of the characteristic polynomial of $A(f, g)$ on $A(X)$ will be called quotient eigenvalues of f and g . By rank X we will denote the dimension of $A(X)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Definition(2) :-

A connected topological space X is called a rational exterior space if there are some homogeneous elements $x_i \in H^{odd}(X; \mathbb{Q}), i = 1, \dots, k$, such that the inclusions $x_i \hookrightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ give rise to a ring isomorphism $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$.

Finite H-spaces including all finite dimensional Lie groups and some real Stiefel manifolds are the most common examples of rational exterior spaces. The two dimensional torus T^2 , a product of two n -dimensional sphere $S^n \times S^n$, and the Unitary group $U(2)$ are examples of rational exterior spaces of rank 2.

The Lefschetz coincidence number of self-maps of a rational exterior space can be expressed in terms of quotient eigenvalues.

Theorem (3) (cf. [7, 8] :-

Let f and g be self-maps of a rational exterior space, and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the quotient eigenvalues of f and g . Let A denote the matrix of $A(f, g)$. Then $L_{f,g}^m = \det(I - A^m) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \lambda_i^m)$.

Remark (4) :-

Abases of the space $A(X)$ may be chosen in such a way that the matrix A is integral (cf. [5]).

Results and Dissection:-

Let μ denote the Mobius function defined by the following: $\mu(1)=1, \mu$

$(k) = (-1)^r$ if k is a product of r different primes and $\mu(k) = 0$ otherwise. Let $A\text{Per}(f, g) = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : i_m(f, g) \neq 0\}$, where $i_m(f, g) = \sum_{k|m} (\mu(k)) L_{f,g}^{k,m}$. In this paper we will study the form of $A\text{Per}(f, g)$ for $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and X a rational exterior space of rank 2. We will assume that X is not simple which means that there exists $r \geq 1$ such that $\dim A^r = 2$.

By theorem (3) we see that A is a 3×3 matrix and that the Lefschetz coincidence numbers $L_{f,g}^m$ are expressed by its three quotient eigenvalues (in short we will call then eigenvalues) : $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$: $L_{f,g}^m = (1 - \lambda_1^m)(1 - \lambda_2^m)(1 - \lambda_3^m)$.

The characteristic polynomial of A has integer coefficients by remark (4) and is given by the formula : $W_A(x) = x^3 - tx^2 + sx - d$, where $t = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ is the trace of A , $s = \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3$ and $d = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$ is its determinant. The characteristic of the set $A\text{Per}(f, g)$ will be given in terms of these three parameters : t, s and d . Let us define the set $R = \{(1,1,0), (0,0,0), (0,1,0), (-1,0,0), (1,1,0), (-2,1,0), (-3,3,-1)\}$.

Table (1) : The set of algebraic coincidence periods $A\text{per}(f, g)$ for the set R .

No.	(t, s, d)	$A\text{per}(f, g)$
1.	(1,1,0)	{1,3}
2.	(0,0,0)	{1}
3.	(0,1,0)	{1,2,4}
4.	(-1,0,0)	{1,2}
5.	(-1,1,0)	{1,2,3,6}
6.	(-2,1,0)	{1,2}
7.	(-3,3,-1)	{1,2}

Theorem (5) :-

Let f and g be self maps of a rational exterior space X of rank 2, which is not simple. Then $A\text{per}(f, g)$

is one of the three mutually exclusive types :-

(1) $\text{Aper}(f, g)$ is empty if and only if 1 is an eigenvalue of A , where is equivalent to $t + d - s = 0$.

(2) $\text{Aper}(f, g)$ is non empty but finite if and only if all the eigenvalues of A are either zero or roots of unity not equal to 1, which is equivalent to $(t, s, d) \in R$. The Algebraic coincidence periods for the set R are given in Table (1).

(3) $\text{Aper}(f, g)$ is infinite. Assume that (t, s, d) is not covered by the types (1) and (2) then,

(1) for $(t, s, d) = (-2, 2, 0)$, $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2, 3\}$.

(2) for $(t, s, d) = (-1, 2, 0)$, $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{3\}$.

(3) for $(t, s, d) = (0, 2, 0)$, $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{4\}$.

(4) for $t + s = -d$ and $(-2, 2, 0)$, $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2\}$.

(5) for $t + d + s = -1$, $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}\}$.

(6) if (t, s, d) is not covered by any of the cases 1 - 5, then $\text{Aper}(f, g) = \mathbb{N}$.

The rest of the paper consists of the proof of theorem (5) and the organized in the following way : in the first part we describe the conditions equivalent to the fact that $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is not an algebraic coincidence periods. In the second part we analyze the situation when $m > 3$ and non of eigenvalues is a root of unity. This is done by considering two cases : we will study the behavior of $i_m(f, g)$ separately for real and complex eigenvalues. In the third stage we consider the case

when $m > 3$ and one of eigenvalues is a root of unity.

The results in this paper is general and similar to [9] when g equal to the identity map and A is a 2×2 matrix and the Lefschetz numbers expressed by its two eigenvalues:
 $L_{f, g^m} = (1 - \lambda_1^m)(1 - \lambda_2^m)$

Algebraic Coincidence Periods in { 1, 2, 3 } :-

(A) Conditions for $1 \notin \text{Aper}(f, g)$. We have $i_1(f, g) = L_{f, g} = (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_3) = 0$.

This may happen if and only if one of the eigenvalues is equal to 1 that is $t + d - s = 1$.

(B) Conditions for $2 \notin \text{Aper}(f, g)$. We have $i_2(f, g) = L_{f^2, g^2} - L_{f, g}^2 = 0$, which is equivalent to :

$$(1 - \lambda_1^2)(1 - \lambda_2^2)(1 - \lambda_3^2) - (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_3) = 0.$$

This gives:
 $(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_3)[(1 + \lambda_1)(1 + \lambda_2)(1 + \lambda_3) - 1] = 0$
 , so again $t + d - s = 1$ or :
 $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 0$.

(1)

which gives $t + d + s = 0$. This conditions for $2 \notin \text{Aper}(f, g)$ are :
 $t + d - s = 1$ or $t + s = -d$.

(C) Conditions for $3 \notin \text{Aper}(f, g)$. We have $i_3(f, g) = L_{f^3, g^3} - L_{f, g}^3 = 0$ Which is equivalent to :

$$(1 - \lambda_1^3)(1 - \lambda_2^3)(1 - \lambda_3^3) - (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_3) = 0$$

. We obtain the following equation :
 $(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)(1 - \lambda_3)[(1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2)(1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2)(1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_3^2) - 1] = 0$
 , Again $t + d - s = 1$

if one of the eigenvalues is equal to 1, otherwise

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_3 + \lambda_2^2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2\lambda_3 + \lambda_3^2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3^2\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_2^2\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_2^2\lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_3^2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_3^2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2\lambda_2^2\lambda_1 + (\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3)^2 = 0. \tag{2}$$

In parameters t, s and d this gives :

$$t + t^2 - s + ts - 2d + s^2 - dt + sd + d^2 = 0. \tag{3}$$

Which leads to the following alternatives .

If $t = 0$ and $d = 0$ then $s \in \{0, 1\}$, which corresponds to characteristic polynomials $x^3 = 0$

($\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$) and $x^3 + x = 0$ ($\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{i, -i\}$).

If $t = -1$ and $d = 0$ then $s \in \{0, 2\}$, which corresponds to characteristic polynomials

$$x^3 + x^2 = 0 \quad (\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = -1)$$

and $x^3 + x^2 + 2x = 0$ ($\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}i, -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}i\}$).

If $t = -2$ and $d = 0$ then $s \in \{1, 2\}$, which corresponds to characteristic polynomials

$$x^3 + 2x^2 + x = 0 \quad (\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{-1\})$$

and $x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x = 0$ ($\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{-1+i, -1-i\}$).

The conditions for $3 \notin \text{APer}(f, g)$ are : $t + d - s = 1$ or $(t, s, d) \in \{(0,0,0), (0,0,1), (-1,0,0), (-1,0,2), (-2,0,1), (-2,0,2)\}$.

Algebraic coincidence periods in the set $m > 3$ in the case when none of the three eigenvalues is a root of unity :-

Let for the rest of the paper $|\lambda_1| = \max \{ |\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3| \}$.

We will need the Lemma following

Lemma (6) :-

If for some m and each $n | m, n \neq m$ we have $|L_{f^m, g^m} / L_{f^n, g^n}| > 2\sqrt{m} - 1$, then m is an algebraic coincidence period .

Proof :-

$$\text{Let } |L_{f^s, g^s}| = \max \{ |L_{f^l, g^l}| : l | m, l \neq m \} .$$

We have

$$|i_m(f, g)| = \left| \sum_{l|m} \mu\left(\frac{m}{l}\right) L_{f^l, g^l} \right| \geq |L_{f^m, g^m}| - \left| \sum_{l|m, l \neq m} \mu\left(\frac{m}{l}\right) L_{f^l, g^l} \right| \geq |L_{f^m, g^m}| - (2\sqrt{m} - 1) |L_{f^s, g^s}| . \tag{4}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of the fact that the number of different divisors of m is

not greater than $2\sqrt{m}$ (cf. [10]) , by the assumption we get $|i_m(f, g)| > 0$, which is the desired assertion .□

Now , using algebraic arguments of [6] in a case of three eigenvalues , we find the bound for the ratio $|L_{f^m, g^m} / L_{f^n, g^n}|$. We have

$$\frac{|L_{f^m, g^m}|}{|L_{f^n, g^n}|} = \frac{|1 - \lambda_1^m| |1 - \lambda_2^m| |1 - \lambda_3^m|}{|1 - \lambda_1^n| |1 - \lambda_2^n| |1 - \lambda_3^n|} \geq \frac{|\lambda_1|^{m-1} |\lambda_2|^{m-1} |\lambda_3|^{m-1}}{|\lambda_1|^{n-1} |\lambda_2|^{n-1} |\lambda_3|^{n-1}} . \tag{5}$$

Let us consider two cases .

Case 1 : λ_1 real and λ_2, λ_3 are complex conjugates then $|\lambda_2| = |\lambda_3|$.

Notice that if $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ then $|\lambda_2| = \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$, so if we exclude the pairs $(t, s, d) \in \{(1,1,1), (0,0,1), (2,2,1)\}$ which correspond to some roots of unity , we obtain : $|\lambda_1| > 1.4$.

Let $n | m$, for Lefschetz coincidence numbers in this case we obtain .

$$\frac{|L_{f^m, g^m}|}{|L_{f^n, g^n}|} \geq (|\lambda_1|^{m/2} - 1)(|\lambda_2|^{m/2} - 1)(|\lambda_3|^{m/2} - 1) \geq (|\lambda_1|^{m/2} - 1)^3 . \tag{6}$$

Case 2 : λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are real . If $(t, s, d) = (0,0,0)$ then we immediately have $\text{APer}(f, g) = \{1\}$.

cases $(t, s, d) \in \{(-1,0,0), (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (-2,1,0), (3,3,1), (1,-1,1), (-1,-1,1), (-3,3,1)\}$ give some roots of unity . In the rest of the cases : $|\lambda_1| \geq 1.4$.

In order to obtain the estimation for Lefschetz coincidence numbers we use the following inequality for the module of eigenvalues (cf. [6 , Lemma 5.2]) .

Lemma (7) :-

Let $\lambda_i \neq \pm 1, i = 1, 2, 3$, then
 $|1 - |\lambda_1|| \geq \frac{1}{(1+|\lambda_2|)(1+|\lambda_3|)} \dots(7)$

Proof :-

$$|\prod_{i=1}^3 (\pm 1 - \lambda_i)| \geq |W_A(\pm 1)| \geq 1,$$

because the three eigenvalues are different from ± 1 .

Hence

$$|1 \pm \lambda_1| \geq |1 \pm \lambda_2|^{-1} |1 \pm \lambda_3|^{-1} \geq (1 + |\lambda_2|)^{-1} (1 + |\lambda_3|)^{-1},$$

which gives the needed inequality.

□

We have by Lemma (7), for $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \neq \pm 1, i = 2, 3$ we have
 $|\lambda_i| - 1 \geq (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}$ for $|\lambda_i| > 1$

and $1 - |\lambda_i| \geq (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}$ for $|\lambda_i| < 1$.

Let $h(x) = (x^m - 1)/(x^n + 1)$ notice that $h(x)$ is an increasing and $-h(x)$ is decreasing function for $m > n > 0$ and $x > 0$.

Taking into account the two facts mentioned above we obtain for $i = 2, 3$:

$$\frac{|1 - \lambda_i^m|}{|1 - \lambda_i^n|} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{[1 + (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^m - 1}{[1 + (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^{m+1}}, \frac{1 - [1 - (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^m}{1 + [1 - (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^m} \right\} \dots(8)$$

As $n |m$ we get

$$\frac{|L_{f^m, g^m}|}{|L_{f^n, g^n}|} = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{|1 - \lambda_i^m|}{|1 - \lambda_i^n|}$$

$$\frac{|L_{f^m, g^m}|}{|L_{f^n, g^n}|} \geq (|\lambda_1|^{m/2} - 1) \min \left\{ \left[[1 + (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 \right]^2, \frac{1}{4} \{ 1 - [1 - (|\lambda_1| + 1)^{-2}]^m \}^2 \right\} \dots(9)$$

Let

$(f, g)_C(|\lambda_1|, m), (f, g)_R(|\lambda_1|, m)$ be the functions equal to the right - hand side of

the formulas (6) and (9), respectively.

We define functions

$$(f, g)_C(|\lambda_1|, m) = (f, g)_C(|\lambda_1|, m) - (2\sqrt{m} - 1)$$

and

$$(f, g)_R(|\lambda_1|, m) = \overline{(f, g)_R}(|\lambda_1|, m) - (2\sqrt{m} - 1)$$

. Notice that the

inequalities:

$$(f, g)_C(|\lambda_1|, m) > 0,$$

(10)

$$(f, g)_R(|\lambda_1|, m) > 0,$$

(11)

imply that $|L_{f^m, g^m}|/|L_{f^n, g^n}| > 2\sqrt{m} - 1$ for $n |m$.

It is not difficult to verify the following statement by calculation and estimation of appropriate partial derivatives

Remark (8) :-

$(f, g)_C(., m)$ and $(f, g)_C(|\lambda_1|, .)$ are increasing functions for $|\lambda_1| > 1.4, m \geq 4$.

$(f, g)_R(., m)$ and $(f, g)_R(|\lambda_1|, .)$ are increasing functions for $|\lambda_1| > 1.4, m \geq 6$ and for $|\lambda_1| > 3, m \geq 4$.

If one of the inequalities (10), (11) is satisfied for given values $|\lambda_1^0|$ and m_0 , then by

Remark (8), it is valid for each $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_1^0|$ and $m > m_0$ and by lemma (6) all such $m > m_0$ are algebraic coincidence periods.

Algebraic coincidence periods in the set $m > 3$ in the case one of the three eigenvalues is a root of Unity :-

If the three eigenvalues are real, then one of them is equal ± 1 . If two of the three eigenvalues are complex conjugates, then $\lambda_2 \lambda_3 = \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_2 = 1$ and by Lemma 5.1 in [6], $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{ \pm 1, \pm i, (1/2) \pm (\sqrt{3}/2)i, -(1/2) \pm (\sqrt{3}/2)i \}$.

(1) 1 is one of eigenvalues ($t + d - s = 1$). Then $L_{f,g}^m = 0$ for all m and consequently $i_m(f, g) = 0$

for all m . Thus $\text{APer}(f, g) = \emptyset$.

(2) -1 is one of eigenvalues ($t + d + s = -1$). We have to consider the subcases.

(2a) If $t \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $s = -1$ then $d \in \{1, 0, -1\}$, so we are in case 1.

(2b) If $t = -1$, $s = 0$ then $d = 0$, so $W_A(x) = x^3 + x^2$ and the second and third eigenvalues are equal to 0.

$L_{f,g}^m = (1 - (-1)^m)$, thus $L_{f,g}^m = 0$ for m even and $L_{f,g}^m = 2$ for m odd. We get:

$$i_m(f, g) = \sum_{k:2|k|m} \mu(m/k) L_{f,g}^k + \sum_{k:2 \nmid k|m} \mu(m/k) L_{f,g}^k =$$

$$2 \sum_{k:2 \nmid k|m} \mu(m/k). \quad i_1(f, g) = 2, \\ i_2(f, g) = L_{f,g}^2 - L_{f,g} = 0 - 2 = -2, \\ i_m(f, g) = 0$$

for $m \geq 3$. As consequence: $\text{APer}(f, g) = \{1, 2\}$.

(2c) If $t = -2$, $s = 1$ then $d = 0$, so $W_A(x) = x^3 + 2x^2 + x$ and the second and third eigenvalues are equal to 0 and -1 respectively.

$L_{f,g}^m = (1 - (-1)^m)^2$, thus

$$2 \left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k + \lambda_2^k + \lambda_3^k - 1 \right| \geq 2 \left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k - 1 \right| \geq 2 \left(\left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k \right| - 1 \right) \geq 2 \left(|d|^k - 1 \right), \\ \left| L_{f,g}^k \right| = \\ 2 \left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k + \lambda_2^k + \lambda_3^k - 1 \right| \leq 2 \left(\left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k \right| - \left| \lambda_2^k \right| - \left| \lambda_3^k \right| + 1 \right) \leq 2 \left(\left| \lambda_2^k \lambda_3^k \right| + 1 \right) = 2 \left(|d|^k + 1 \right).$$

Thus, for m odd, estimating in the same way in Lemma 6. We get

$$i_m(f, g) = \sum_{l|m} \mu(m/l) L_{f,g}^l \geq \left| L_{f,g}^m \right| - \sum_{l|m, l \neq m} \mu(m/l) L_{f,g}^l \\ i_m(f, g) \geq 2 \left(|d|^m - 1 \right) - \left(2\sqrt{m} - 1 \right) 2 \left(|d|^{\frac{m}{3}} + 1 \right) \\ \dots (12)$$

The right-hand side of the above formula is greater than zero for

$L_{f,g}^m = 0$ for m even and $L_{f,g}^m = 4$ for m odd. We check in the same way as above

that $i_1(f, g) = L_{f,g} = 4$, $i_2(f, g) = L_{f,g}^2 - L_{f,g} = -4$, $i_m(f, g) = 0$ for $m \geq 3$, so

$\text{APer}(f, g) = \{1, 2\}$.

(2d) If $t = -3$, $s = 3$ then $d = -1$, so $W_A(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1$ and the second third

eigenvalues are equal to -1. $L_{f,g}^m = (1 - (-1)^m)^3$

thus $L_{f,g}^m = 0$ for m even

and $L_{f,g}^m = 8$ for m odd. We have $i_1(f, g) = L_{f,g} = 8$, $i_2(f, g) = -8$, $i_m(f, g) = 0$ for

$m \geq 3$, so $\text{APer}(f, g) = \{1, 2\}$.

(2e) If $t \in \mathbb{Z} / \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1\}$,

$s \in \mathbb{Z} / \{-1, 0, 1, 3\}$, then for each m :

$$\left| L_{f,g}^m \right| = \left| 1 - (-1)^m \right| \left| 1 - \lambda_2^m \right| \left| 1 - \lambda_3^m \right|$$

. Notice that in the case under

consideration $\{1, 2, 3\} \subset \text{APer}(f, g)$, which follows from section

As $|d| = |\lambda_1| |\lambda_2| |\lambda_3|$ and -1 is one of eigenvalues we obtain for k odd: $\left| L_{f,g}^k \right| =$

$|d| \geq 2$, $m > 3$ so all $m > 3$ are algebraic coincidence periods.

If $m > 3$ is even, then $m = 2^n q$, where q is odd. By the fact that $L_{f,g}^r = 0$ if

$2 \nmid r$, we get $L_{f^{2^i q}, g^{2^i q}} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, thus

$$i_m(f, g) = \sum_{i|2^n, q} \mu \left(2^n \frac{q}{i} \right) L_{f_1, g_1^i} = \sum_{i|q} \mu \left(2^n \frac{q}{i} \right) L_{f_1^i, g_1^i} \dots(13)$$

As μ is multiplicative and $\mu(2^n) = -1$ for $n = 1$ and $\mu(2^n) = 0$ for $n > 1$, we get

$$i_m(f, g) = \begin{cases} -i_q(f, g) & \text{if } n=1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n>1. \end{cases} \dots(14)$$

This leads to the conclusion that even m is an algebraic coincidence periods if and only if $m = 2q$ where q is odd. Finally in the case (2e) we obtain $APer(f, g) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}\}$.

Before we consider complex cases let us state the following fact (cf. [11]). Let f_1, g_1 generated by f_1 and g_1 on homology, have as its only eigenvalues $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\phi(d)}$ which are the d the primitive roots of unity ($\phi(d)$ denotes the Euler function). Then the Lefschetz coincidence numbers of iteration of f_1 and g_1 are the sum of powers of these roots : $L_{f_1^m, g_1^m} = \sum_{i=1}^{\phi(d)} \varepsilon_i^m$, we have the formula for $i_m(f_1, g_1)$ is such a case :

$$i_m(f_1, g_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \nmid d \\ \sum_{k/m} \mu \left(\frac{d}{k} \right) \mu \left(\frac{m}{k} \right) \frac{\phi(d)}{\phi(d/k)} & \text{if } m | d \end{cases} \dots(15)$$

Let now $\lambda_1 = 0$ and λ_2, λ_3 be complex conjugats eigenvalues, then $L_{f, g}^m = 1 - \lambda_2^m - \lambda_3^m + (\lambda_2 \lambda_3)^m = 2 - (\lambda_2^m + \lambda_3^m)$... (16)

We may rewrite formula for $L_{f, g}^m$ in the following way

: $L_{f, g}^m = 2 - L_{f_1^m, g_1^m}$, where f_1 and g_1 are described above. Since $i_m(f, g) = \sum_{k/m} \mu \left(\frac{m}{k} \right) L_{f^k, g^k} = \sum_{k/m} \mu \left(\frac{m}{k} \right) \cdot 2 - \sum_{k/m} \mu \left(\frac{m}{k} \right) L_{f_1^k, g_1^k}$ and $\sum_{k/m} \mu \left(\frac{m}{k} \right) 2 = 2$ for $m=1$ and 0 for $m > 1$; we get

$$i_m(f, g) = \begin{cases} 2 - i_m(f_1, g_1) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ -i_m(f_1, g_1) & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases} \dots(17)$$

(3) $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{-i, i\}$ ($t = 0, s = 1, d=0$) are all primitive roots of unity of degree 4. This, applying formula (15) and (17), we get $i_1(f, g) = 2, i_2(f, g) = 2, i_3(f, g) = 0, i_4(f, g) = -4$ and $i_m(f, g) = 0$ for $m > 4$. Summing it up : $Aper(f, g) = \{1, 2, 4\}$.

(4) $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \right\}$ ($t=-1, s = 1, d = 0$) are all primitive roots of unity of degree 6. Again by formula (15) and (17), we calculate the values of $i_m(f, g)$ and get : $i_1(f, g) = 1, i_2(f, g) = 2, i_3(f, g) = 3, i_4(f, g) = 0, i_5(f, g) = 0, i_6(f, g) = -6$ and $i_m(f, g) = 0$ for $m > 6$, so $Aper(f, g) = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$.

(5) $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \right\}$ ($t=1, s = 1, d = 0$) are all primitive roots of unity of degree 3. By (15) and (17) we have : $i_1(f, g) = 3, i_2(f, g) = 0, i_3(f, g) = -3, i_m(f, g) = 0$ for $m > 3$, so $Aper(f, g) = \{1, 3\}$.

Conclusions:-

Sometimes the structure of the set of algebraic coincidence periods is a property of the space and may be deduced from the form of its homology groups. In this paper we provide a full characterization of algebraic coincidence periods in the case when homology spaces of X are small dimensional, namely when X is of rank 2. The work is based on [4,5,6] of self maps of, respectively the two- and three dimensional tours are given using Nielsen numbers. The differences results from the fact that the coefficients $i_m(f, g)$ are a sum of Lefschetz coincidence numbers, which unlike Nielsen numbers, do not have to be positive.

References:

1. Benkafadar ,N. M. and Benkara – Mostefa , M.C.,2005,“ A generalized coincidence point index “Applied General Topology , vol. 6 , no. 1 , pp. 87 – 100.
2. Saveliev , P., 2001 , “ Lefschetz coincidence theory for maps between spaces of different dimensions “ , Topology Appl. 116 , no. 1 , p. 137-152 .
3. Saveliev , P. , 2003,“ Removing coincidence of maps between manifolds of different dimensions “ , Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 22 , no. 1 , p. 105-113 .
4. Alsedà, L., Baldwin, S., Llibre, J., Swanson ,R., and Szlenk , W. , 1995,“Minimal sets of periods for torus maps via Nielsen numbers “ ,Pacific Journal of Mathematics 169 , no. 1 ,p. 1-32 .
5. Jezierski , J.and Mazantowicz , M. , 2005, " Homotopy Methods in Topological Fixed and Periodic Point Theory " , Springer , Dordrech , .
6. Jiang , B. and Llibre , J. ,1998 , " Minimal sets of periods for tours maps", Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 4 , no . 2 , p. 301 – 320 .
7. Haibao , D. ,1995, " The Lefschetz numbers of iterated maps " , Topology and its Applications 67 ,no. 1 , p. 71 – 79 .
8. Mukherjea , K. K. , 1974 , " A survey of coincidence theory ,Global analysis and its applications " VOLL. III (Lectures , Internat. Sem. Course, Internat. Centre Theoret. ,Phys. Triste , 1972 ,:55-64. Internat. Atomic Energy , Vienna .
9. Grzegorz , G. , 2006 , “ Algebraic periods of self – maps of rational exterior space of rank 2“,Fixed Point Theory and Applications,p.1-9.
10. Chandrasekharan , K. , 1968 ," Introduction to Analytic Number Theory " , Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften ,vol. 148 , Springer , New York .
11. Graff, G. , 2000 , " Existence of δ_m - periodic points for smooth maps of compact manifold " ,Hokkaido Mathematical Journal 29 , no. 1 , p. 11 – 21 .

الدوريات المتطابقة الجبرية لدوال معرفة على فضاء خارجي منطقي من الرتبة 2

بان جعفر الطائي*

*قسم الرياضيات/كلية العلوم للبنات/جامعة بغداد.

الخلاصة:

لتكن f و g دوال من فضاء خارجي منطقي الى نفسه . يسمى العدد الصحيح m بأنه أصغر دوري متطابق للدوال f و g اذا كان f^m و g^m لها نقطة متطابقة ولكن f^k و g^k ليس لها نقطة متطابقة ل $1 \leq k \leq m$. هذا البحث يقدم وصف كامل لمجموعة الدوريات المتطابقة الجبرية لدوال معرفة على فضاء خارجي منطقي من الرتبة 2 .