

Some Results on Fuzzy SubKS-semigroups

بعض النتائج حول شبه الزمرة الضبابية KS

Sundus Najah

Assp.sajda kadhum Mohammed

College of education

Kufa university

Abstracts

In this paper we study a fuzzy subKS-semigroups, Cartesian product of fuzzy sets in KS-semigroups and strong fuzzy relation in KS-semigroups , and prove some results about this .

المستخلص

في هذا البحث درسنا شبه الزمرة الضبابية KS والضرب الكارتيزي والعلاقة الضبابية القوية على شبه الزمرة KS وبرهنا العديد من النتائج المتعلقة بهذا الموضوع .

1.Introduction

The notation of BCK-algebra was proposed by Iami and K-Iseki [1] in 1966 in the same year, K-Iseki [2] introduced the notion of BCI- algebra which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra in 1965 L.A .Zadeh[3] introduced the notion of fuzzy set , in 1971 A. Rosenfeld [4] introduced the notion of fuzzy group. and in 1991 O.G. Xi[5] introduced the notion of fuzzy BCK-algebra .The new class of algebraic structure introduced in 2006 by K.H. Kim[6] called KS-semigroups , which is the combination of BCK-algebra and semigroups , in 2007 D.R Prince Williams , Shamshad Husain [7] introduced the notion of fuzzy KS-semigroup .in this paper , we prove some results in a subKS-semigroup ,Cartesian product of fuzzy sets and strong fuzzy relation on KS-semigroups .

2.Preliminary

In this section , we introduce the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel .

Definition 2.1 A **BCK algebra** is a non empty set X with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 satisfying the following axiom :

1. $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
2. $((x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
3. $x * x = 0$,
4. $0 * x = 0$
5. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, [8] .

Remarks 2.2

- A partial ordering " \leq " on X can be defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$, [7] .

Definition 2.3 A **semigroup** is an ordered pair $(S, *)$, where S is a nonempty set and $*$ is an associative binary operation on S .[9].

Definition 2.4 Let X be a non-empty set. A **fuzzy subset** of X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.[3].

Definition 2.5 A **KS-semigroup** is a non-empty set X with two binary operation $*$ and $.$,and a constant 0 satisfies the following axioms:

1. $(X, *, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra,
2. $(X, .)$ is a semigroup,
3. $x.(y * z) = (x.y) * (x.z)$ and $(x * y).z = (x.z) * (y.z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$. [6],[7],[9].

Example 2.6 The set $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ with two binary operations "*" and "." defined by the following tables:

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	a	0
b	b	b	0	0	0
c	c	c	c	0	0
d	d	d	d	0	0

.	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	0	b
c	0	0	0	a	b
d	0	a	b	c	d

X is a **KS-semigroup**, [7] .

Definition 2.7 A non empty subset S of a KS-semigroup X with two binary operation "*" and "." is called a **sub ks-semigroup** if it satisfies the following conditions :

1. $x * y \in S$,
2. $xy \in S \quad \forall x, y \in S$. [7],[6],[9] .

Example 2.8 Let $X = \{0, e, f\}$ be a KS-semigroup with the following tables:

*	0	e	f
0	0	0	0
e	e	0	e
f	f	f	0

.	0	e	f
0	0	0	0
e	0	e	0
f	0	0	f

The subset $S = \{0, e\}$ of X is a subKS-semigroup of X , [7] .

Definition 2.9 A fuzzy set μ of X is called a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X if

1. $\mu(x_1 * x_2) \geq \min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\}$
2. $\mu(x_1 x_2) \geq \min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\}$. [7]

Example 2.10 Let $X = \{0, e, f\}$ be a KS-semigroup with the following tables:

*	0	e	f
0	0	0	0
e	e	0	e
f	f	f	0

.	0	e	f
0	0	0	0
e	0	e	0
f	0	0	f

The fuzzy subset μ of X which is defined by $\mu(0) = 0.6, \mu(x) = 0.3 \quad \forall x \neq 0$ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X , [7] .

Definition 2.11 Let X be a KS-semigroup and μ be a fuzzy subset of X . for a fixed $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the set $\mu_t = \{x \in X | \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called an **upper level** set of μ . [7].

Definition 2.12 The Cartesian product of two fuzzy sets μ and ν in X is defined by :

$$(\mu \times \nu)(x, y) = \min\{\mu(x), \nu(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ . [3] , [7] .}$$

Definition 2.13 Let ν be a fuzzy set in X the strong fuzzy relation on X is a fuzzy set $\rho_\nu : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $\rho_\nu(x, y) = \min\{\nu(x), \nu(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X$. [3] , [7] .

Definition 2.14 Let A and B be a fuzzy sets on X ,define the fuzzy set $A \cap B$ as follows : $\forall x \in X$
 $(A \cap B)(x) = \min\{A(x), B(x)\}$, [3] , [10] .

Proposition 2.15 If μ, ν be two fuzzy set of X and $a \leq b$ such that $a, b \in [0,1]$, then $\mu_b \subseteq \mu_a$, [3] ,[10] .

3.Main Results

In this section , we find some results about subKS-semigroup of X and fuzzy subKS-semigroup and Cartesian product of fuzzy sets and strong fuzzy relation on KS-semigroups .

Proposition 3.1 Let A and B be a subKS-semigroup of X then $A \cap B$ is a subks-semigroup .

Proof: Let A and B are subKS-semigroup and let $x, y \in A \cap B$. Then

$x, y \in A$ and $x, y \in B$ since A, B are subKS – semigroup

so $x * y \in A$ and $x * y \in B$ then $x * y \in A \cap B$. Now,

so $xy \in A$ and $xy \in B$ therefore $xy \in A \cap B$

Hence $A \cap B$ is a subKS – semigroup.

Proposition 3.2 Let A and B are fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X . Then $A \cap B$ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup .

Proof: Let A and B are the fuzzy subKS-semigroups and let $x, y \in A \cap B$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) (A \cap B)(x * y) &= \min\{A(x * y), B((x * y))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{A(x), A(y)\}, \min\{B(x), B(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{A(x), B(x)\}, \min\{A(y), B(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{A \cap B(x), A \cap B(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) (A \cap B)(xy) &= \min\{A(xy), B((xy))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{A(x), A(y)\}, \min\{B(x), B(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{A(x), B(x)\}, \min\{A(y), B(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{A \cap B(x), A \cap B(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A \cap B$ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup .

Lemma 3.3 If μ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X , then $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.

Proof :Let μ be a fuzzy subKS-semigroup and let $x \in X$ so $x * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$,therefore

$$\mu(0) = \mu(x * x) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x)\} = \mu(x) \text{ that is mean } \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X .$$

Which completes the proof .

Proposition 3.4 Let μ be a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X with finite $\text{Im}(\mu)$.if $\mu_s = \mu_t$ for some $s, t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ then $s = t$.

Proof: Let $s, t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$, where $\text{Im}(\mu)$ is finite ,let $x, y \in X$ s.t $\mu(x) = s$ and $\mu(y) = t$ then $x \in \mu_s$ and $y \in \mu_t$,since $\mu_t = \mu_s \rightarrow x \in \mu_s = \mu_t$ then $x \in \mu_t$ so $s = \mu(x) \geq t$(1) ,

also $y \in \mu_t = \mu_s \rightarrow y \in \mu_s \rightarrow t = \mu(y) \geq s$(2) from (1) and (2) $\rightarrow s = t$.

Proposition 3.5 Let μ and ρ be two fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X with the same family of levels.if $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ and $\text{Im}(\rho) = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ where

$t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_m$ and $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_p$ then

1- $m = p$

2- $\mu_{t_i} = \rho_{s_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

3- If $\mu(x) = t_i$ then $\rho(x) = s_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof: (1) Let μ and ρ be two fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X , since μ and ρ we have the same family of levels then $m = p$.

(2) let $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ and $\text{Im}(\rho) = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ where $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_m$ and $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_p$, since μ and ρ have the same family of levels, so first if we take $i=m$ then since $\mu_{t_m} \supset \dots \supset \mu_{t_1}$, $\rho_{s_p} \supset \dots \supset \rho_{s_1}$ and by (1) we have $\mu_{t_m} = \rho_{s_p}$ now let $i = m-1$ then we have $\mu_{t_{m-1}} = \rho_{s_{p-1}}$ and so on $\mu_{t_i} = \rho_{s_i} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

to proof (3) let $x \in G$ s.t $\mu(x) = t_i$ and $\rho(x) = s_j$ from (2) and $\mu(x) = t_i$ we have $x \in \rho_{s_i}$ thus $\rho(x) \geq s_i$ and $s_j \geq s_i$ that is mean $\rho_{s_j} \subseteq \rho_{s_i}$

since $x \in \rho_{s_j} = \mu_{t_j}$ we have $t_i = \mu(x) \geq t_j$, so

$\mu_{t_i} \subseteq \mu_{t_j}$ and in the consequenœ (by 2) $\rho_{s_i} = \mu_{t_i} \subseteq \mu_{t_j} = \rho_{s_j}$ thus $\rho_{s_i} = \rho_{s_j}$

but, by corollary 3.4 $s_i = s_j$ therefore $\rho(x) = s_i$.

Corollary 3.6 If a fuzzy sub KS-semigroup μ and ρ defined on X have the same finite family of levels then $\mu = \rho$ if and only if $\text{Im}(\mu) = \text{Im}(\rho)$.

Proof: Let $\mu = \rho \rightarrow \mu(x) = \rho(x) \quad \forall x \in X \rightarrow \text{Im}(\mu) = \text{Im}(\rho)$.

Conversely let $\text{Im}(\mu) = \text{Im}(\rho) = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$

Let $x \in X$ and $\mu(x) = t_i \ni i = 1, \dots, n$ since μ and ρ have the same finite family of levels so by corollary 3.5 $\mu_{t_i} = \rho_{s_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and

$\mu(x) = t_i$ then $\rho(x) = t_i \rightarrow \mu(x) = t_i = \rho(x) \quad \forall x \in X \quad \therefore \mu = \rho$.

Theorem 3.7 A fuzzy set μ of X is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup if and only if for every $t \in [0,1]$, μ_t is either empty or a sub KS-semigroup of X , [7].

Lemma 3.8 Let X be a KS-semigroup and let μ, ν be a fuzzy subKS-semigroup then $\mu \times \nu$ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup.

Proof: Let μ, ν be a fuzzy subKS-semigroups $\ni (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times X$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)) &= \mu \times \nu((x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2)) \\ &= \min\{\mu(x_1 * x_2), \nu(y_1 * y_2)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\}, \min\{\nu(y_1), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu(x_1), \nu(y_1)\}, \min\{\mu(x_2), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu \times \nu(x_1, y_1), \mu \times \nu(x_2, y_2)\} \\ (\mu \times \nu)((x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2)) &= \mu \times \nu((x_1 \cdot x_2, y_1 \cdot y_2)) \\ &= \min\{\mu(x_1 \cdot x_2), \nu(y_1 \cdot y_2)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\}, \min\{\nu(y_1), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu(x_1), \nu(y_1)\}, \min\{\mu(x_2), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu \times \nu(x_1, y_1), \mu \times \nu(x_2, y_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu \times \nu$ is a subKS-semigroup.

Remark 3.9 It is important to note that the converse of above lemma is not true since if we take two fuzzy sets μ and ν such that $\mu(x) \leq \nu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.

the Cartesian product of μ and ν depends only on μ .

Theorem 3.10 Let X be a KS-semigroup and μ, λ be two fuzzy sets in X such that $\mu \times \nu$ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of $X \times X$ then :

1. either $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0)$ for all $x \in X$.
2. if $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$ then either $\mu(x) \leq \lambda(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0)$.
3. if $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0)$ for all $x \in X$ then either $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \mu(0)$.
4. either μ or λ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup of X .

Proof: (1) Suppose that $\mu(x) > \mu(0)$ and $\lambda(y) > \lambda(0)$ for some $x, y \in X$. then

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(x, y) = \min\{\mu(x), \lambda(y)\} > \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(0)\} = (\mu \times \lambda)(0, 0)$$

which is contradiction .so either $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0) \quad \forall x \in X$.

(2) Let $\mu(x) > \lambda(0)$ and $\lambda(y) > \lambda(0)$ for some $x, y \in X$ then $(\mu \times \lambda)(0, 0) = \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(0)\} = \lambda(0)$ so

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(x, y) = \min\{\mu(x), \lambda(y)\} > \lambda(0) = (\mu \times \lambda)(0, 0) \text{ This is a contradiction .}$$

Therefore (2) holds .

We can prove (3) in a similar way of (2) .

(4) Since by (1) either $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0)$ for all $x \in X$

without loss of generality we may assume that $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(0)$

it follows from (3) that either $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ or $\lambda(x) \leq \mu(0)$ if $\lambda(x) \leq \mu(0) \quad \forall x \in X$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x_1 * x_2) &= \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_1 * x_2)\} = (\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_1 * x_2) \\ &= (\mu \times \lambda)(0 * 0, x_1 * x_2) \\ &= (\mu \times \lambda)((0, x_1) * (0, x_2)) \\ &\geq \min\{(\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_1), (\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_2)\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_1)\}, \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda(x_1), \lambda(x_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x_1 \cdot x_2) &= \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_1 \cdot x_2)\} = (\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_1 \cdot x_2) \\ &= (\mu \times \lambda)(0 \cdot 0, x_1 \cdot x_2) \\ &= (\mu \times \lambda)((0, x_1) \cdot (0, x_2)) \\ &\geq \min\{(\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_1), (\mu \times \lambda)(0, x_2)\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_1)\}, \min\{\mu(0), \lambda(x_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda(x_1), \lambda(x_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

So λ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup in X .

If $\lambda(x) \leq \mu(0)$ is not satisfied then $\lambda(y) > \mu(0)$ for some $y \in X$

and by the assumption , $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$ we have

$$\lambda(0) \geq \lambda(y) > \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \text{ i.e } \lambda(0) \geq \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X .$$

Therefore $(\mu \times \lambda)(x, 0) = \min\{\mu(x), \lambda(0)\} = \mu(x)$ and , in the consequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(x_1 * x_2) &= (\mu \times \lambda)(x_1 * x_2, 0) \\
 &= (\mu \times \lambda)(x_1 * x_2)(0 * 0) \\
 &= (\mu \times \lambda)((x_1, 0) * (x_2, 0)) \\
 &\geq \min\{(\mu \times \lambda)(x_1, 0), (\mu \times \lambda)(x_2, 0)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(x_1 . x_2) &= (\mu \times \lambda)(x_1 . x_2, 0) \\
 &= (\mu \times \lambda)(x_1 . x_2)(0.0) \\
 &= (\mu \times \lambda)((x_1, 0) . (x_2, 0)) \\
 &\geq \min\{(\mu \times \lambda)(x_1, 0), (\mu \times \lambda)(x_2, 0)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2)\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Which proves that μ is a fuzzy subKS-semigroup in X .this completes the proof .

Theorem 3.11 Let X be a KS-semigroup ν be fuzzy set Then ρ_ν is fuzzy subKS-semigroup if and only if ν is fuzzy subKS-semigroup .

Proof: Let ν be fuzzy set on X and let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \rho_\nu((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)) &= \rho_\nu((x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2)) \\
 &= \min\{\nu(x_1 * x_2), \nu(y_1 * y_2)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\min\{\nu(x_1), \nu(x_2)\}, \min\{\nu(y_1), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\min\{\nu(x_1), \nu(y_1)\}, \min\{\nu(x_2), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\rho_\nu(x_1, y_1), \rho_\nu(x_2, y_2)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. \rho_\nu((x_1, y_1) . (x_2, y_2)) &= \rho_\nu(x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2) \\
 &= \min\{\nu(x_1 x_2), \nu(y_1 y_2)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\min\{\nu(x_1), \nu(x_2)\}, \min\{\nu(y_1), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\min\{\nu(x_1), \nu(y_1)\}, \min\{\nu(x_2), \nu(y_2)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\rho_\nu(x_1, y_1), \rho_\nu(x_2, y_2)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \rho_\nu$ is fuzzy a subKS-semigroup .

Conversely,

Let ρ_ν be a fuzzy subKS-semigroup and $(x, y) \in X \times X$ then

$$(x, y) * (x, y) = (x * x, y * y) = (0, 0) \text{ ,so}$$

$$\rho_\nu(0, 0) \geq \rho_\nu(x, y) \quad \forall (x, y) \in X \times X \text{ by lemma 3.3 , now}$$

$$\nu(0) = \min\{\nu(0), \nu(0)\} = \rho_\nu(0, 0) \geq \rho_\nu(x, x) = \min\{\nu(x), \nu(x)\} = \nu(x)$$

$$\therefore \nu(0) \geq \nu(x) \quad \forall x \in G$$

Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ So

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad v(x_1 * x_2) &= \min\{v(x_1 * x_2), v(0)\} \\ &= \rho_v(x_1 * x_2, 0 * 0) \\ &= \rho_v((x_1, 0), (x_2, 0)) \\ &\geq \min\{\rho_v(x_1, 0), \rho_v(x_2, 0)\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{v(x_1), v(0)\}, \min\{v(x_2), v(0)\}\} \\ &= \min\{v(x_1), v(x_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad v(x_1 x_2) &= \min\{v(x_1 x_2), v(0)\} \\ &= \rho_v\{(x_1 x_2), (0.0)\} \\ &= \rho_v\{(x_1.0), (x_2.0)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\rho_v(x_1, 0), \rho_v(x_2, 0)\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{v(x_1), v(0)\}, \min\{v(x_2), v(0)\}\} \\ &= \min\{v(x_1), v(x_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore v$ is a fuzzy subKS – semigroup.

References

- [1] Y.Imai and K.Iseki, "On axiom systems of propositional calculiXIV ", Proc.Japan Acad,42 (1966),19-22 .
- [2] K.Iseki , " An algebra related with a propositional calculus" , Japan Acad . ,42 1966 .
- [3] L.A Zadeh ,"Fuzzy sets" ,Information Control ,8 (1965),338-353 .
- [4] A.Rosenfeld ,"Fuzzy groups" ,J.Math .Anal .and Appl,35 (1971),512-517 .
- [5] O.G,Xi , " Fuzzy BCK- algebras" , Math .Japon,36(1991),935-942 .
- [6] K.H Kim ,"On structure of KS-semigroups" ,Int . Math. Forum ,1(2006),67-76 .
- [7] Williams, D.R, Prince and Husain Shamshad , "On Fuzzy KS-semigroup" . International Mathematical Forum ,2,2007, no.32 ,1577-1588 .
- [8] Celestin Lele and Congxin Wu Harbin "Generalization of Some Fuzzy ideal in BCK- algebras" Institute of Technology ,Department of Mathematics, Harbin 150001,China .
- [9] Joncelyn S.Paradero-Vilela and Mila Cawi " On KS-Semigroup Homomorphism " International Mathematical Forum ,4, 2009, no. 23, 1129-1138 .
- [10] Mordeson , J.N. , "Lecture Notes in Fuzzy Mathematics and computer Science " , Creighton University ,Omaha ,Newaska 68178 USA , 1996 .
- [11] K.Iseki and S.Tanaka , " An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras " , Math . Japon 23, (1969),1-26 .
- [12] Hungerford, Thomas W., "Algebra" Springer-Verlag New York Inc, 1974 .