



# The Risk Factors for Lumbar Disc Herniation in Mosul City

Ismail Hamad Alhaso\*

Radhwan Hussein Ibrahim\*\*

### **ABSTRACT**

**Back ground and objectives:** Lumbar disc herniation is one of the most important causes of lower back pain and is also one of the most important problems in orthopedic surgery in adults. The study aims to assessment the risk factors for lumbar disc herniation in the city of Mosul. **Material and methods:** - A retrospective study (a case control study) was conducted for a sample of adults consisting of (540) samples as all, divided into two groups of both sex (266) case and 274control, aged between 20 years and 70 years old and above. This study was carried out in the following hospitals: Al-Gomhouri Educational Hospital, Al-Salam General Hospital, and Ibn Sina Medical Center in Mosul. It was conducted for a period of three months from the first of January 2014 till the first of April 2014. The validity of the content was determined by presenting expert questionnaire forms consisting of (17) experts. The data were analyzed by applying the descriptive analysis, which included (frequency distribution, percentage) and deductive statistical analysis, which included patients and the control sample. The internal stability of the questionnaire was applying the Cronbach alpha correlation coefficient.

**Results:-** A number of factors were found to be significantly more effective in patients with lumbar disc herniation: gender, age, Residency, family history, increased working hours, back pain during work, working with big effort, psychosocial impact, washing the clothes both way, washing the dishes on the ground increasing the number of children, not doing sports weekly, eating in sitting position, carrying heavy objects more than 15 kilos, sitting for long periods. **Conclusion:** LDH is more common in male than female group in a ratio of 1.74:1.

The age group most affected with LDH is 40-49 years. LDH is more common in urban areas. BMI has direct effect on LDH especially persons who are with overweight. Married and primary persons have more groups affected with LDH. Family history plays a great role in existing the LDH (63.2%). and the comorbidity diseases (DM.HT) also contributed to the LDH. Employee persons, Average of time work 7-10 hrs., Satisfaction of time work some of them, Addition work out org. work, Rest time during the work some of them, suffering Back pain during work, need speed during work and working with big effort have high significant in role the study whereas enough time to complete the work not significant.

**Recommendation:** the study recommended the establishment of educational programs for the community through all the media and the inclusion of the subject of disease to nursing students and health institutions aimed to reduce the disease and the study recommended also to reduce weight, doing regular exercises and improve physical activities.

**Keywords:** Assessment, Risk factors, lumber, Disc herniation, Mosul city.

### INTRODUCTION

A herniated disk, also called prolapsed, ruptured or slipped disk is a protrusion of the disc beyond its natural borders. The most common symptom of the lumbar disc herniation is the low back pain which is radiating into one leg (Boos and Aebi, 2008). Radiculopathy is caused by compression or irritation of the nerves with resultant pain, weakness, and/or sensor impairment in the affected nerve root, may be from direct trauma or from chemical irritation to the affected nerve root (Kennedy et. al., 2011). Lumbar disc herniation (LDH), where the most common site is toward the bottom of the spine at L4-L5 or L5-S1 (95%), makes up the vast majority of spinal disc herniation cases. (Postacchini and Postacchini, 2011) LDH occurs when the nucleus in the center of the disc pushes out of its normal space.

The nucleus presses against the annulus, causing the disc to bulge outward. With further progress, the nucleus herniates completely through the annulus and squeezes out of the disc, placing pressure on the spinal canal or nerve roots. (Strange *et al.*, 2010) In addition, the nucleus releases chemicals that can irritate the surrounding nerves causing inflammation and pain (Guterl *et al.*, 2013; Hou, et al; 2003).

General symptoms of LDH include one, or a combination, of the following: typical sciatica symptoms such as numbness, weakness, and/or tingling in the specific region in leg and/or foot, and/or foot pain, lower back pain, and/or pain in the buttock; and loss of bladder or bowel control, indicating a serious medical condition called cauda equina syndrome (Daoyoue *et al.*, 2013). Normally, daily activities cause the nucleus to press against the

<sup>\*</sup> MSc Candidate/ Salahudeen Health Directorate

<sup>\*\*</sup> Professor/ College of Nursing/ University of Mosul.





annulus fibrosus. This pressure is not sufficient to cause disease. However, the annulus tends to crack and tear with age and degeneration. With weakness in the annulus, the nucleus may begin to herniate (squeeze) through the damaged annulus. The pressure bulges the annulus outward in the beginning. Eventually, the nucleus herniates completely through the outer ring of the disc. Therefore, it seems that aging is a risk factor and a contributor to the incidence of LDH, which increases with age. (Ma *et al.*, 2013; Zigouris *et al.*, 2011; Dammers and Koehler ,2002).

Lower back pain (LBP) is the second most common neurological ailment in the United States after the headache (NINDS, 2008). According to the Center of Disease Control (CDC) back problems is the second most common cause of disabilities (16.5% of all disabilities) after the arthritis and rheumatism (17.5% of all disabilities) in the USA (CDC, 2001).

The intervertebral disc connects the vertebrae to a functional unit. Its role is mechanical, acting as an absorber of load forces and as a joint allowing motion in all planes. The outer part, annulus fibrosus consists of lamellae collagen which is structurally functionally different from the inner part nucleus pulposus which is gelatinous in texture and rich in proteoglycan. The latter provides the disc with its osmotic properties and its ability to resist compressive loads (Urban, 2000). A disc herniation was first described by Mixter and Barr as a rupture of the annulus fibrosus with leakage of the nucleus pulposus into the intervertebral space. Among several

Factors which can contribute to disc herniation, degenerative changes are the most common (Beattie, 2008). Disc degeneration is regarded as a weakening, complex multifactorial disease determined by the interplay between genes and the environment (Chan *et al.*, 2006). The morbidity curve for disc herniation, however, does not follow the corresponding curve for degeneration. While disc degeneration proceeds with time and is mostly pronounced in the aging spine, Symptoms of lumbar disc herniation are the most common in the middle age (Beattie, 2008).

Genetic disposition, mechanical compression, e.g. heavy torque strain (Beattie, 2008; Beattie *et al.*, 2004) and vigorous physical activity, low frequency vibrations, trauma ,obesity and psychosocial stress are all factors which have been referred to as promoters

of disc degeneration and subsequent herniation (jansson,2005; Ostelo,2005) Research from the last decade has resulted in a shift from heavy physical loading to genetic disposition being considered the main risk factor in the etiology of disc degeneration (Beattie et al., 2004; Rhee, 2006). Still, the degenerative progression may be influenced by environmental factors (Beattie, 2008) smoking and exposure to vehicular vibration dominated much of the century (Borenstein, 2001). The contribution of other factors such as height, weight and genetics is less certain (Frymoyer, 1992). In recent years, however, a dramatic advance has been made in understanding of genetic influences on the risk for disc degeneration, Genetic factors, in particular, may be largely responsible for the degeneration as well as herniation intervertebral disc (Battié, 2007) prevalence of lost-work day back pain among the working population is estimated to be 4.6%10. The herniated disc is thought to account for 5% of all case (Reyaz, 2003). Most of the research related to spine surgery is focused on the methods and techniques of diagnostics, therapy, rehabilitation, but hardly anything has published on height of the patients as a risk factor. A screening method for increased risk could be developed for identifying risk factors very early and thus avoiding the need for spine surgery through counseling within the primary health care and lifestyle modification (Alashaw, 2011). The objectives treatment is to relive pain, slow disease progression, and increase the patient's functional ability to regain his/her life activity.

Complete bed rest ,previously standard in treatment of back pain ,is recommended 2 days or less (Hickey,2009).because muscle spasm is prominent during the acute phase of disease drugs of muscle relaxants may use .NSAIDs and systemic corticosteroids may be administered as prescribed to counter the inflammation that usually occurs in the supporting tissue and the affected nerve roots . physiotherapy as moist heat and message help relax muscles. .

Strategies for increasing the patients functional ability include weight reduction ,physical therapy and biofeedback ,exercises ,prescribed by physical therapists can be help strength back muscles and decrease pain (Hicky ,2009).

### MATERIALS AND METHOD

A retrospective case-control design approach was carried out in order to achieve the





objectives of the present study. Was conducted for a period of three months from the first of January 2014 till the first of April 2014in orthopedic and rheumatology consultations in Mosul teaching hospitals (Ibn-Sina, Al Jumhori, AL-Salam teaching hospitals). A non-probability (purposive) sample was selected. Total of (266) hospitalized patients, diagnosed with LDH by CT and/or MRI were selected as cases. A total of (274) people from communities and hospitals, without history of lumbar disc herniation, were selected as controls. All patients and controls were investigated for demographical data, history, occupational characters, medical psychosocial working, factors, and

gynecological history for females, life style, life activity, and major life events. A special questionnaire was constructed, and interview method was used to gather the data concerning the subject of the study. The questionnaire was designed by the researcher making use of literature review, opinion of supervisor, opinion of experts and pilot study. The validity of the questionnaire was determined by exposing it to17 specialized experts in different fields of sciences, whereas, their comments was followed in the final draft of the tool, Data were prepared, organized and entered into a computer file; Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS, version 15) was used for data analysis.

# **RESULTS**

Table (1): sample Frequency distribution and chi-square of study according to their demographic characteristics (case and control).

characteristics (case and control).								
Variables.		(case) 266		(control) 274		$\mathbf{X}^2$	Sig.	
		No.	%	No.	%	. 11	<b>⊘-g•</b>	
Gender	Male	169	63.5%	177	64.6%	0.066	0.797	
	Female	97	36.5%	97	35.4%	0.000		
DF = 1	Sig.: 0.05							
	20-29	47	17.7%	48	17.5%		0.998	
	39-30	49	18.4%	48	17.5%			
A 00	49-40	88	33.1%	96	35.0%	0.250		
Age	59-50	54	20.3%	54	19.7%	0.230	0.998	
	69-60	22	8.3%	22	8.0%			
	more-70	6	2.3%	6	2.2%			
DF = 5 Sig.: 0.05								
D: 1	rural	85	32.0%	72	26.3%	2.110	0.146	
Residency.	urban	181	68.0%	202	73.7%			
DF = 4 Sig.: 0.05								
	Under weight	1	0.4%	1	0.4%		0.000	
	Normal weight	25	9.4%	29	10.6%	51.105		
DMI	Over weight	185	69.5%	210	76.6%			
BMI	obesity	14	5.3%	34	12.4%			
	Morbid obesity	41	15.4%	0	0.0%			
$DF = 4 \qquad Sig.: 0.05$								
	single	26	9.8%	18	6.6%	3.089	0.378	
Marital status	married	227	85.3%	237	86.5%			
	divorced	6	2.3%	11	4.0%			
	widower	7	2.6%	8	2.9%			
DF = 3 Sig.: 0.05								
Level of education	illiterate	37	13.9%	41	15.0%			
	primary	101	38.0%	116	42.3%			
	secondary	68	25.6%	65	23.7%	3.775	0.437	
	institute	38	14.3%	26	9.5%	1		
	Bachelor and above	22	8.3%	26	9.5%			
DF = 4	Sig.: 0.05							





Table (2): sample Frequency distribution and chi-square of study according to their medical history (case and control).

Var.		(case) 266		(control) 274		$\mathbf{X}^2$	Sig.	
		No.	%	No.	%			
IDU history	yes	168	63.2%	68	24.8%	80.640	0.000	
LDH history	No	98	36.8%	206	75.2%	80.040	0.000	
Pain for 7 days	yes	41	15.4%	9	3.3%	23.632	0.000	
	No	225	84.6%	265	96.7%	23.032		
Chronic dis.	yes	59	22.2%	38	13.9%	6.328	0.012	
Chrome dis.	No	207	77.8%	236	86.1%	0.328		
DF = 1 Sig.: 0.05								
If the answer was	DM	21	35.6%	16	42.1%		0.308	
	HT	21	35.6%	8	21.1%	2.358		
yes	others	17	28.8%	14	36.8%			
DF = 2	Sig.: 0.	05						

Table (3): sample Frequency distribution and chi-square of study according to their work (case and control).

Von		(case) 266		(cont	rol) 274	$\mathbf{X}^2$	Sig.
Var.			%	No.	%	Λ-	Sig.
	unemployed	148	55.6%	165	60.2%		
Type of work	Government employee	103	38.7%	100	36.5%	2.350	0.309
	Native company	15	5.6%	9	3.3%		
	Official	27	10.2%	46	16.8%		
Nature of work	physical	198	74.4%	195	71.2%	5.716	0.057
	Both	41	15.4%	33	12.0%		
	3-6	56	21.1%	95	34.7%		
Average of time work	7-10	154	57.9%	148	54.0%	17.261	0.000
	11-more	56	21.1%	31	11.3%		
	yes	95	35.7%	105	38.3%		0.000
Satisfaction of time work	No	68	25.6%	27	9.9%	24.290	
	Some times	103	38.7%	142	51.8%		
A 1192	Yes	83	31.2%	32	11.7%	41.304	0.000
Addition work out org.	No	125	47.0%	127	46.4%		
work	Sometimes	58	21.8%	115	42.0%		
	Yes	99	37.2%	173	63.1%	38.428	0.000
Rest time	No	45	16.9%	19	6.9%		
	Sometimes	122	45.9%	82	29.9%		
	Yes	119	44. 7%	10	3.6%	125.324	0.000
Back pain in work	No	60	22.6%	109	39.8%		
•	Sometimes	87	32.7%	155	56.6%		
XX 1 .	Yes	46	17.3%	3	1.1%		
Work tempo	No	80	30.1%	169	61.7%	75.411	0.000
	Sometimes	140	52.6%	102	37.2%		
	Yes	43	16.2%	10	3.6%		
Work with big effort	No	94	35.3%	185	67.5%	62.143	0.000
Ç	Sometimes	129	48.5%	79	28.8%		
	Yes	112	42.1%	128	46.7%	1.602	0.449
Enough time to finish work	No	53	19.9%	45	16.4%		
	Sometimes	101	38.0%	101	36.9%		
	DF = 2		Sig.: 0	L			





Table (4): sample Frequency distribution and chi-square of study according to their female questions (before diagnosis) (case and control)

Var.		Female (case)		Female (control)		<b>V</b> 2	Cia
		No.	%	No.	%	$X^2$	Sig.
Washing of clothes	Manual	20	20.6%	7	7.2%	10.610	0.005
	Washing machine	22	22.7%	38	39.2%		
cionies	Both	55	56.7%	52	53.6%		
Washing of	On the ground	15	15.5%	4	4.1%	10.199	0.006
Washing of dishes	On the stage	63	64.9%	81	83.5%		
uisiies	Both	19	19.6%	12	12.4%		
DF = 2	Sig.: 0.05						
	1-3	18	18.6%	32	33.0%	10.310	0.016
No .of gravid	4-6	43	44.3%	46	47.4%		
	7-and more	28	28.9%	17	17.5%		
	Not exist	8	8.2%	2	2.1%		
	1-3	23	23.7%	34	35.1%	12.700	0.005
No of NVD	4-6	43	44.3%	52	53.6%		
No. of N.V.D	7-and more	23	23.7%	9	9.3%		
	Not exist	8	8.2%	2	2.1%		
No. Of childes	1-3	18	18.6%	36	37.1%	17.108	0.001
	4-6	41	42.3%	46	47.4%		
	7-and more	28	28.9%	13	13.4%		
	Not exist	10	10.3%	2	2.1%		
No. Of cesareans Operations	Once	18	18.6%	29	29.9%		0.248
	two	7	7.2%	4	4.1%	7.122	
	More than two	5	5.2%	6	6.2%	7.132	
	Not exist	67	69.1%	58	59.8%		
DF = 3	Sig.: 0.05						

### **DISCUSSION**

# (A) Characteristics of the sample: Discussion of socio-demographic characteristics of the patientes (Table 1)

The lumbar disc herniation is common in males and constituted of (63.5%) while in control group in males were (64.6%). High percentage in age most patients at age between (40-49) and constitute (31.1 %) in cases and (35.0%) for control group. With regard to residence (68.0%) were living in urban areas for cases and (73.7%) for control group, most of the cases were overweight (69.5%) and (76.6%) for control group have high sig only in table. most of the patients were married and constituted (85.3%) for cases and (86.5%) for control group, (38.0 %) of the sample were primary school (42.3%) in control group.

### (B) Discussion of medical history.

The highly significant association between case and control in presence of family history, Battie et al; (2009) they found extensive relation of family history to lumbar herniated discs is the best predictor of a future herniation. The medical literature has shown a hereditary tendency for disc degeneration, and disc

degeneration is associated with an increased risk for a herniation. pain for 7days, chronic disease and LDH, (63.2)% of cases had a family history of LDH compared to (24.8%) of controls, the pain for 7days were negative in studied group (84.6%) while in control group were positive (96.7%), chronic disease were negative in studied groups (77.8%), while the (86.1%) in control group. DM and HT as accomitant disease were equal highest percentage (35.6%) but controls groups (42.1%) for DM and (21.1%) for HT, the lowest percentage was for other diseases (28.8%) for studied groups and (36.8%) for control groups.

### Discussion of part of work.

there is significant association between case —control in Type of work especially employee, Nature of work, Average of time work, Satisfaction of time work, Addition work out org. Work, Rest time, Back pain in work, Work tempo, Work with big effort, while there No significance association in Enough time to finish work at P .value (0.05) %. Several studies point to a relation between LDH and occupation. this present study agree with Andreas *et al.* (2009). Another study submitted





by Seidler et al; (2009). Aimed to find Cumulative occupational lumbar load and lumbar disc disease--results of a German multicenter study was case control study. They found a positive dose-response relationship between cumulative occupational lumbar load and lumbar disc herniation as well as LDH among men and women.

Discussion part of females: This part is related to gynecological and home responsibility and shows high significance auestions association between case and control in washing of clothes, washing of dishes, No .of gravid, No. of N.V.D, No. Of Childs 4-6 in number while in No. Of cesareans operations there's no significant association at P. value (0.05).in the current study the females who are washing clothes both in manual and washing machine got a higher percentage 56.7% while women washing the dishes on the stage was more than the females washing on the ground. This may be because women spend more time the stage to further work in the kitchen .Number of gravida 4-6 childes and N.V.D was respectively percentage 44.3% .the women who have 4-6 children were withe LDH 42.3% while the women have no chance in operating the cesarean section was 69.1%.

Discussion Part of major life events: Results of lumber herniated patients with major life events showed high significance between case and control in A sudden for event for relative was percentage 57.9% that may explain the losing or happing some sudden event to close relative may have a relationship with LDH .but other groups there is not any relation with lumber disc herniation. In Iraq, according to United Nations Assistance Mission for Iraq (UNAMI) the number of total killers in Iraq resulting of war and military action 359,549 as known all of the persons have relatives (Haidary, 2016).

## **CONCLUSION**

On the bases of the objectives of the current study and outcomes of data analysis, the following have been concluded: LDH is more common in male than female group in a ratio of 1.74:1.

The age group most affected with LDH is 40-49 years. LDH is more common in urban areas. BMI has direct effect on LDH especially persons who are with overweight. Married and primary persons have more groups affected with LDH. Family history plays a great role in existing the LDH (63.2%). and the comorbidity

diseases (DM.HT) also contributed to the LDH. Employee persons, Average of time work 7-10 hrs., Satisfaction of time work some of them, Addition work out org. work, Rest time during the work some of them, suffering Back pain during work, need speed during work and working with big effort have high significant in role the study whereas enough time to complete the work not significant.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Depending on the findings conclusions of the study, the researcher recommends the followings:- Proceeding future researches may find a relationship between the risk factors and socio-demographical data, study prevalence of LDH, effects of lifestyle and dietary interventions, incidence of cauda equina syndrome, and the effectiveness of combination therapies among men and women in Mosul city. Different media should be used for public education like primary health centers, and television, social media, meeting, to clarify the risk factors. Work shop for nursing training process in hospitals and nursing colleges about anatomy, explanation of risk factors, physical examination and interpretation of radiological findings, nursing management .An educational program regarding prevention of LDH, avoid obesity ,reduce time of work, avoid additional time as possible, working with big effort, maintain a physically active lifestyle with adequate week sporting, reduce setting for long period, and other factors related to assessing of lumber disc herniation should be established in collaboration with Health Governorate, medical colleges, nursing colleges and the World Health Organization .

Verbalizing nursing role to provide nursing management and give advice to all persons who have LDH.

### REFERENCES.

Alashaw, j. (2011). The impact of height, weight and body mass Index on the occurrence of herniated intervertebral lumbar disc. *Tikrit Medical Journal*. 17(1). P.p.133-137

Seidler, Andreas Annekatrin Bergmann, Matthias Jäger, Rolf Ellegast,Dirk Ditchen, Gine Elsner, Joachim Grifka6, Johannes Haerting, Friedrich Hofmann, Oliver Linhardt, Alwin Luttmann, Martina Michaelis, Gabriela Petereit-Haack, Barbara Schumann. (2009). Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.





- Battié MC, Videman T, Levalahti E, Gill K, Kaprio J. (2007). Heritability of low back pain and the role of disc degeneration. *Pain*. 131. P.p.272–280
- Battie, MC.; Videman, T.; Parent E. (2004). Lumbar disc degeneration: epidemiology and genetic influences. *Spine* 29. P.p.2679-90
- Beattie, PF. (2008). Current understanding of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration: a review with emphasis upon etiology.
- Chan, D.; Song, Y.; Sham, P.; Cheung, KM. (2006). Genetics of disc degeneration. *Eur Spine J.* 15(3). P.p.S317-25
- Dammers, R.; Koehler, PJ. (2002). Lumbar disc herniation: level increases with age. *Surg Neurol*. 58(3–4). P.p. 209–212
- Daoyou, Ma.; Yunbiao, Liang.; Daoming Wang; Zejiang Liu; Wei Zhang1 Tantan Ma; Liang Zhang; Xingjun Lu; Zhiyou Cai. (2013). Trend of the incidence of lumbar disc herniation: decreasing with aging in the elderly. dove press j.8. P.p.1047–1050.
- From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (1999). Prevalence of disabilities and associated health conditions among adults--United States. *JAMA*, 2001. 285(12). P.p. 1571-2
- Frymoyer JW. Lumbar disk disease.(1992). epidemiology. *Instr Course Lect.*41. P.p.217–223
- Guterl, CC.; See, EY.; Blanquer, SB.; *et al.* (2013). Challenges and strategies in the repair of ruptured annulus fibrosus. *Eur Cell Mater.* 25. P.p.1–21
- Hickey,j.v. (2009). The clinical practice of nurological & Nurosurgicl Nursing. (6<sup>th</sup> ed.).philadelphia:lippincott williams & willkins.

- Jansson K-Å On. (2005). *lumbar spinal stenosis* and disc herniation surgery. Doctoral Thesis. Department of surgical sciences, Karolinska Institutet Stockholm
- Kennedy DJ et. al. (2011). The role of core stabilization in lumbosacral radiculopathy. 22(1). P.p.91-103
- NINDS. (2008). "National institute of neurological disorders and stroke (ninds): Low back pain fact sheet," NIND brochure.
- Postacchini F. (1999). Management of herniation of the lumbar disc. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 81. P.p.567–76
- Reyaz A T. (2003). Chronic Low Back Pain Comparative Analysis of Treatment Response to Drugs and Different Physical Modalities. *JK-Practionar*. 10 (3). P.p.201 – 204.
- Seidler A, Bergmann A, Jäger M, Ellegast R, Ditchen D, Elsner G, Grifka J, Haerting J, Hofmann F, Linhardt O, Luttmann A, Michaelis M, Petereit-Haack G, Schumann B, Bolm-Audorff U.2009. Cumulative occupational lumbar load and lumbar disc disease--results of a German multi-center case-control study . *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 10:48. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-10-48.
- Strange DG, Fisher ST, Boughton PC, Kishen TJ, Diwan AD. (2010). Restoration of compressive loading properties of lumbar discs with a nucleus implant-a finite element analysis study. *Spine J*. 10(7). P.p.602–609
- AL-Haidary, Z. (2016). July. Omar Ali *executive* producer. middle east news. Ruadaw channel .internet recourse.