

ON Q-SMARANDACHE COMPLETELY CLOSED IDEAL WITH RESPECT TO AN ELEMENT OF A SMARANDACHE BH-ALGEBRA

المثالية Q- سمرندش المغلقة تماماً بالنسبة الى عنصر في جبر سمرندش BH-

Assist.prof. Husein Hadi Abbass
University of Kufa\
College of Education for
girls\Department of Mathematics
Msc_hussien@yahoo.com

Shahrezad Jasim Mohammed
University of Kufa\
College of Education for girls \
Department of Mathematics
shahrezadjasim@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we define the concepts of a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of a Smarandache BH- algebra and a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal with respect to an element of a Smarandache BH-algebra. We stated and proved some theorems which determine the relationships between these notions and some types of Q-Smarandache ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra.

الخلاصة

عرفنا في هذا البحث مفهومي المثالية Q- سمرندش المغلقة تماماً , المثالية Q- سمرندش المغلقة تماماً بالنسبة الى عنصر في جبر - سمرندش BH. واعطينا وبرهنا بعض المبرهنات التي تحدد العلاقة بين هذين المفهومين والمثاليات الاخرى في جبر - سمرندش BH.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of BCK-algebra was formulated first in 1966 by Y .Imai and K.Iseki as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus [5]. In 1983, Q.P.Hu and X.Li introduced the notion of BCH-algebra which are generalization of BCK/BCI-algebras [7]. In 1998 , Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H .S .Kim introduced the notion of BH-algebra , which is a generalization of BCH-algebra [10]. In 2000, S.S. Ahn and H.S. Kim discussed positive implicative in BH-algebra [9] . In 2005, Y. B .Jun introduced the notion of Smarandache BCI-algebra , Smarandache ideal of Smarandache BCI-algebra [11]. After year, Y.B.Jun introduced the notion of a Smarandache fantastic ideal of Smarandache BCI-algebra [12]. In 2009, A.B. Saeid and A. Namdar , introduced the notion of a Smarandache BCH-algebra and Q-Smarandache ideal of a Smarandache BCH-algebra [1] . In 2012, H. H .Abass introduced the notion of Q-Smarandache closed ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed Ideal with respect to an element of a Q-Smarandache BCH –algebra [3]. In the same year, H. H. Abass and H. A. Dahham introduced the notion of completely closed ideal with respect to an element of BH-algebra [4] . In this paper, we define the concepts of a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra and a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal with respect to an element of a Smarandache BH-algebra . We stated and proved some theorems which determine the relationships between these notions and some types of Q-Smarandache ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra.

1.PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic concept about a BCK-algebra , a BCI-algebra a BCH-algebra , (a branch subset , BCA-part , a medial part , a subalgebra , an ideal , a completely closed ideal , a completely closed ideal with respect to an element of a BH-algebra) of a BH-algebra and a Q-Smarandache (fantastic ideal , ideal , closed ideal with respect to an element of a marandache BH-algebra) of a Smarandache BH-algebra with some theorems, propositions and examples.

Definition (1.1) :[5]

A **BCI-algebra** is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms:

- i. $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- ii. $(x*(x*y))*y = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- iii. $x * x = 0$, for all $x \in X$,
- iv. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$. for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition (1.2) :[11]

A **BCK-algebra** is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom:

- v. $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition (1.3):[7]

A **BCH-algebra** is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms:

- i. $x*x=0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x*y=0$ and $y*x=0$ imply $x=y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $(x*y)*z=(x*z)*y, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition (1.4):[10]

A **BH-algebra** is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $x*x=0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x*y=0$ and $y*x=0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $x*0 = x, \forall x \in X$.

Remark (1.5) :[10]

- i. Every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra.
- ii. Every BCK-algebra is a BCH-algebra.
- iii. Every BCK-algebra is a BH-algebra.
- iv. Every BCI\BCH-algebra is a BH-algebra.

Definition (1.6) :[8]

Let X be a BH-algebra. Then the set $\text{med}(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0*(0*x) = x\}$ is called the **medial part** of X

Definition (1.7) :[6]

Let X be a BCH-algebra and $a \in \text{med}(X)$. $B(a) = \{x \in X : a*x=0\}$ is called a **branch subset** of X . **determined by a**

We generalize the concept of a **BH-algebra**

Definition (1.8) :

Let X be a BH-algebra and $a \in \text{med}(X)$. $B(a) = \{x \in X : a*x=0\}$ is called a **branch subset** of X . **determined by a**

Definition (1.9):[3]

Let X be a BH-algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{x \in X : 0*x=0\}$ is called the **BCA-part** of X .

Definition (1.10):[2]

A BCK-algebra X is called commutative if $x*(x*y) = y*(y*x)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma(1.11):[2]

In BCI-algebra X the following conditions are equivalent:

- i. $x * y = x *(y *(y *x)) \forall x, y \in X$.
- ii. $x*(y*(y*x))=y*(x*(x*y)) \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. X is a commutative BCK-algebra

Definition (1.12) :[8]

Let X a BH-algebra and $S \subseteq X$. Then S is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x*y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Remark(1.13):[9]

Let $(X, *, 0)$ and $(Y, \#, 0')$ be BH-algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *homomorphism* if $f(x*y) = f(x)\#f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$. A homomorphism f is called a *monomorphism* (resp., *epimorphism*) if it is injective (resp., **surjective**). If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homomorphism of BH-algebras, then $f(0) = 0'$.

Definition (1.14): [10]

Let X be a BH-algebra and $I \subseteq X$. Then I is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

i. $0 \in I$.

ii. $x*y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Definition (1.15): [4]

An ideal I of a BH-algebras is called a *completely closed ideal* if $x * y \in I, \forall x, y \in I$.

Definition (1.16):[4]

Let I be an ideal of a BH-algebras X and $b \in X$. Then I is called a *completely closed ideal* with respect to b (denoted by *b-completely closed ideal*) if $b*(x*y) \in I, \forall x, y \in I$.

Definition(1.17):[1]

A *Smarandache BCH\BCI-algebra* is defined to be a BCH-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Q of X such that

i. $0 \in Q$ and $|Q| \geq 2$.

ii. Q is a BCK-algebra under the operation of X .

We generalize the concept of a *Smarandache BH-algebra*

Definition(1.18):

A *Smarandache BH-algebra* is defined to be a BH-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Q of X such that

i. $0 \in Q$ and $|Q| \geq 2$.

ii. Q is a BCK-algebra under the operation of X .

Definition(1.19):[11]

Let X be Smarandache BCI-algebra. A nonempty subset I of X is called a *Smarandache ideal of X related to Q* (or briefly, *Q-Smarandache ideal* of X) if it satisfy

i. $0 \in I$,

ii. $(\forall x \in Q)(\forall y \in I)(x*y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I)$.

We generalize the concept of a *Q-Smarandache ideal* to the *Smarandache BH-algebra*

Definition(1.20):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra. A nonempty subset I of X is called a *Smarandache ideal of X related to Q* (or briefly, *Q-Smarandache ideal* of X) if it satisfy

i. $0 \in I$,

ii. $(\forall x \in Q)(\forall y \in I)(x*y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I)$.

Theorem(1.21):[1]

Let X be Smarandache BCH-algebra. Then any ideal of X is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X .

We generalize the theorem (1.21) to the *Smarandache BH-algebra*

Theorem(1.22):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra. Then any ideal of X is a Q -Smarandache ideal of X .

Theorem (1.23):[1]

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be BCK-algebras contained in a Smarandache BCH algebra X and

$Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. Then every Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_2 is a Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_1 .

We generalize the theorem(1.23)to the *Smarandache BH-algebra*.

Theorem (1.24):

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be BCK-algebras contained in a Smarandache BH algebra X and

$Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. Then every Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_2 is a Smarandache ideal of X related to Q_1 .

Definition(1.25):[12]

Let X be Smarandache BCI-algebra .A nonempty subset I of X is called a *Smaradache fantastic ideal of X related to Q* (or briefly, *Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal* of X) if it satisfies:

i. $0 \in I$

ii. $(x,y \in Q)(z \in I)(x*y)*z \in I \Rightarrow x*(y*(y*x)) \in I$

We generalize concept of a *Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal* to the *Smarandache BH-algebra*

Definition (1.26):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra .A nonempty subset I of X is called a *Smaradache fantastic ideal of X related to Q* (or briefly ,*Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal* of X) if it satisfies:

i. $0 \in I$

ii. $(x,y \in Q)(z \in I)(x*y)*z \in I \Rightarrow x*(y*(y*x)) \in I$

Theorem(1.27):[12]

Let X be Smarandache BCI-algebra. Every Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal of X is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X

We generalize the theorem(1.27) to a *Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal* to a *Smarandache BH-algebra*.

Theorem(1.28):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra. Every Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal of X is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X

Definition(1.29):[3]

Let X be a BCH-algebra and I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X . Then I is called a *Q-Smarandache Closed Ideal with respect to an element $b \in X$* (denoted *Q-Smarandache b-closed ideal*) if $b*(0*x) \in I$, for all $x \in I$.

We generalize concept of a *Q-Smarandache b-closed ideal* to the *Smarandache BH-algebra*.

Definition(1.30):

Let X be a BH-algebra and I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X . Then I is called a *Q-Smarandache Closed Ideal with respect to an element $b \in X$* (denoted *Q-Smarandache b-closed ideal*) if $b*(0*x) \in I$, for all $x \in I$.

2.THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we introduced the concepts a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra and Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal with respect an element of Smarandache BH-algebra .

Definition (2.1):

A Q-Smarandache ideal I of a Smarandache BH-algebras is called a *Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal* if $x*y \in I$, for all $x,y \in I$

Example (2.2):

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X. Where $X=\{0,1,2,3\}$ and $Q=\{0,1\}$ with binary operation * defined by:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	3	3
2	2	0	0	2
3	3	0	0	0

The Q-Smarandache ideal $I=\{0,1,3\}$ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X Since:

$$0 * 0 = 0 \in I, \quad 0 * 1 = 1 \in I, \quad 0 * 3 = 0 \in I$$

$$1 * 0 = 1 \in I, \quad 1 * 1 = 0 \in I, \quad 1 * 3 = 3 \in I$$

But the Q-Smarandache ideal $J=\{0,1,2\}$ is not a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal since:
 $1,2 \in J$ but $1 * 2 = 3 \notin J$.

Proposition (2.3):

Let X be Q-Smarandache BH-algebra .Then every Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X is a subalgebra.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X . [By definition(2.1)]

Now , let $x,y \in I$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$ [By definition(2.1)]

Then I is subalgebra of X [By definition(1.12)]

■

Remark(2.4)

The converse proposition(2.3) is not hold as in the following example.

Consider the Smarandache BH-algebras X ,Where $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ and $Q=\{0,2\}$ with binary operation $*$ defined by

$*$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	0	4
2	2	2	0	0	4
3	3	3	3	0	4
4	4	4	4	4	0

The set $S=\{0,1,4\}$ is subalgebra but is not a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of a BH-algebra Since: $2 \in Q \wedge 2*4=4 \in S$ but $2 \notin S$

Proposition (2.5):

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be a BCK-algebras contained in a Smarandache BH algebra X and $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. Then every Smarandache completely closed ideal of X related to Q_2 is a Smarandache completely closed ideal of X related to Q_1 .

Proof:

Let I be Q_2 -Smarandache completely closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q_2 -Smarandache ideal of X . [By Definition(2.1)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is Q_1 -Smarandache ideal of X . [By Theorem(1.24)]

Now,let $x, y \in I$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$ [Since I is a Q_2 -Smarandache completely closed ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q_1 -Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.6):

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra and I be a completely closed ideal .Then I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be completely closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is an ideal of X . [By Definition(1.15)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X . [By theorem(1.22)]

Now,let $x, y \in I$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$ [Since I is a completely closed ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.7):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra, Then every Q -Smarandache ideal which is contained in Q is a Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q -Smarandache ideal of X and $x, y \in I$

$$\Rightarrow x*y \in Q$$

[Since $I \subseteq Q$]

$$\text{When } (x*y)*x = (x*x)*y$$

[Since $(x*y)*z = (x*z)*y$. By definition(1.3)(iii) and remark(1.5)(ii)]

$$= 0*y$$

[Since $x*x = 0$. By definition(1.2)(iii)]

$$= 0 \in I$$

[Since Q is a BCK-algebra. By definition (1.2)(v)]

$$\Rightarrow (x*y)*x \in I \text{ and } x \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$$

[Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal By definition(1.20)(ii)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.8):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra, and I be a Q -Smarandache ideal such that Q is commutative BCK-algebra. Then I is a Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal.

Proof:

$$1. 0 \in I$$

[Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal. By definition(1.20)(i)]

$$2. \text{Let } x, y \in Q, z \in I \text{ and } (x*y)*z \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow x*y \in I.$$

[Since I is a Q -Smarandache ideal. By definition(1.20)(ii)]

$$\text{But } x*y = x*(y*(y*x))$$

[Since Q is a commutative BCK-algebra by Lemma(1.11) (iii \Rightarrow i) and remark(1.5)(i)]

$$\Rightarrow x*(y*(y*x)) \in I$$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal. [By definition(1.26)]

. ■

Proposition (2.9):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra, and I be Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal such that Q is commutative BCK-algebra. Then I is a Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ be Q -Smarandache ideal of X .

[By definition (2.1)]

By Proposition (2.8), we have

I is a Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal. ■

Proposition (2.10):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra. Then every Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal which is contained in Q is a Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q -Smarandache fantastic ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ be Q -Smarandache ideal of X .

[By theorem(1.28)]

By proposition (2.7), we have

I is a Q -Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Remarks (2.11):

The converse of a Proposition(2.10) is not be true as in the following example

Example (2.12):

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebras X .Where $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ and $Q=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ with binary operation $*$ defined by:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	1	0	1	0	1	5
2	2	2	0	2	0	5
3	3	1	3	0	3	5
4	4	4	4	4	0	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5

The a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal I, where $I=\{0,1,3\}$ is not a Q-Smarandache fantastic ideal Since: $2,4 \in Q, 3 \in I$ and $(2*4)*3=0 \in I$ but $2*(4*(4*2))=2 \notin I$

Theorem (2.13):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra and I be a Q-Smarandache ideal such that $x*y \notin I$ for all $x \notin I$ and $y \in I$. Then I is an ideal.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X and $x \in X$ and $y \in I$.

1. $0 \in I$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal. By definition(1.20)(i)]

2. Let $x*y \in I$ and $y \in I$. Then we have two cases.

Case(I): If $x \in Q \Rightarrow x \in I$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal. By definition(1.20)(ii)]

Case(II): If $x \notin Q$, either $x \in I$ or $x \notin I$

If $x \in I \Rightarrow I$ is an ideal

If $x \notin I \Rightarrow x*y \notin I$

[By hypothesis]

And this contradiction since $x*y \in I$

Therefore, I is an ideal. ■

Corollary (2.14):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra and I be a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal such that $a*b \notin I$ for all $a \notin I$ and $b \in I$. Then I is a completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X.

$\Rightarrow I$ is Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

[By definition(2.1)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is an ideal of X.

[By theorem(2.13)]

Now, let $x, y \in I$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.15):

Let $f:(X,*,0) \rightarrow (Y,\#,0')$ be a Smarandache BH-epimorphism ,if I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X. Then $f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache ideal of Y.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

1) Since $0 \in I \Rightarrow f(0) = 0' \in f(I)$.

[By remrk(1.13)]

2) Let $x\#y \in f(I)$ and $y \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow \exists a, b \in I$ such that $f(a)=x, f(b)=y$,

$\Rightarrow f(a)\#f(b) \in f(I)$ and $f(b) \in f(I)$,

$\Rightarrow f(a*b) \in f(I)$ and $f(b) \in f(I)$,

$$\Rightarrow a*b \in I \text{ and } b \in I,$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in I$$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X]

$$\Rightarrow f(a) \in f(I)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in f(I).$$

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal. ■

Proposition (2.16):

Let $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, \#, 0')$ be a Smarandache BH-epimorphism, if I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal in X. Then $f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache completely closed ideal in Y.

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X ,

\Rightarrow I is a Q-Smarandache ideal

[By definition(2.1)]

Then $f(I)$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal

[By Proposition(2.15)]

Now, let $x, y \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow \exists a, b \in I$ such that $f(a)=x, f(b)=y,$

$$\Rightarrow x \# y = f(a) \# f(b)$$

$$= f(a*b) \in f(I)$$

[Since $a*b \in I$ and I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal. By definition(2.1)]

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.17):

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \lambda \}$ be a family of a Q-Smarandache ideals of a BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal.

Proof:

$$1) \text{ Since } 0 \in I_i \forall i \in \lambda \Rightarrow 0 \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$$

$$2) \text{ Let } x * y \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i, y \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$$

$$\Rightarrow x * y \in I_i \text{ and } y \in I_i, \forall i \in \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in I_i \quad \forall i \in \lambda$$

[since I_i is a Q-Smarandache ideal $\forall i \in \lambda$]

$$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i \text{ is a Q-Smarandache ideal.} \blacksquare$$

Proposition (2.18) :

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \lambda \}$ be a family of a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideals of a smarandache BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal .

Proof:

Since I_i is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal $\forall i \in \lambda$

$\Rightarrow I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal $\forall i \in \lambda$

[By definition (2.1)]

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal

[By Proposition (2.17)]

Now,

$$\text{Let } x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$$

$$\Rightarrow x, y \in I_i \forall i \in \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow x * y \in I_i \forall i \in \lambda \quad [\text{Since } I_i \text{ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal } \forall i \in \lambda]$$

$$\Rightarrow x * y \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$$

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Definition (2.19):

Let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of a BH-algebras X and $b \in X$. Then I is called a *Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal with respect to b* (denoted by *Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal*) if $b*(x*y) \in I, \forall x, y \in I$.

Example (2.20):

Consider the Q-Smarandache BH-algebras X .Where $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ and $Q=\{0,1,2,3\}$ with binary operation * defined by:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	0	4
2	2	2	0	0	4
3	3	3	3	0	4
4	4	4	4	4	0

Then Q-Smarandache ideal $I=\{0,1\}$ is a Q-Smarandache 1-completely closed ideal, Since:

$$1*(0*0)=1 \in I, 1*(0*1)=1 \in I, 1*(0*3)=1 \in I, 1*(3*0)=0 \in I$$

$$1*(1*0)=0 \in I, 1*(1*1)=1 \in I, 1*(3*1)=0 \in I, 1*(3*3)=1 \in I$$

But it is not Q-Smarandache 4-completely closed ideal since:

$$4*(0*1)=4 \notin I$$

Proposition (2.21):

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra and $b \in X$. Then every Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal is a Q-Smarandache b-closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal in X.

$\Rightarrow I$ be Q-Smarandache ideal in X.

[By definition(2.19)]

Now, let $x \in I$ and $b \in X$

when $x=0$

$$\Rightarrow b*(0*y) \in I$$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache b-closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.22):

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra and let I be a Q-Smarandache ideal of X. Then I is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal if and only if I is a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal $\forall b \in I$.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in I$ and $b \in I$.

$$\Rightarrow b*(x*y) \in I$$

[Since I is Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal

By definition(2.1)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal $\forall b \in I$.

conversely

Let $x, y \in I$

$$\Rightarrow x*y = x*(y*0)$$

[Since X is BH-algebra, by definition(1.4)(iii)]

$$\Rightarrow x*(y*0) \in I$$

[Since I is Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal $\forall b \in I$. By definition(2.19)]

$$\Rightarrow x*y \in I$$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal. ■

Theorem (2.23):

Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra, $b \in \text{med}(X)$, let I be Q- Smarandache ideal such that $I \subseteq B(b) \cup \{0\}$ and $x*y \in B(b)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then I is a Q-Smarandache b -completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q-Smarandache ideal in X .

Let $x, y \in I \Rightarrow x, y \in X$

$\Rightarrow x*y \in B(b) \forall x, y \in X$

$\Rightarrow b*(x*y) = 0$

[Since $I \subseteq B(b) \cup \{0\}$ and by definition (1.8)]

$\Rightarrow b*(x*y) \in I$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache b -completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.24):

Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra such that $X = X_+$. If I is a Q-Smarandache ideal, then I is a Q-Smarandache 0-completely closed ideal.

Proof :

Let $x, y \in I$

$0*(x*y) = 0$

[Since $X = X_+$. By definition (1.9)]

$\Rightarrow 0*(x*y) \in I$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache 0-completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.25):

Let X be smarandache BH-algebra and I be a Q-Smarandache b -completely closed ideal such that $x*y \notin I$ for all $x \notin I$ and $y \in I$. Then I is a b -completely closed ideal.

Proof:

Let I be Q-Smarandache h -completely closed ideal in X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is Q-Smarandache ideal in X .

[By definition(2.19)]

$\Rightarrow I$ is an ideal in X

[By theorem(2.13)]

Let $x, y \in I$

$\Rightarrow b*(x*y) \in I$

[Since I is a Q-Smarandache b -completely

closed ideal]

Then I is a b -completely closed ideal. ■

Proposition (2.26):

Let $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, \#, 0')$ is a Smarandache BH-epimorphism. If I is a Q-Smarandache h -completely closed ideal in X , then $f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache $f(h)$ -completely closed ideal of Y .

Proof:

Let I be a Q-Smarandache h -completely closed ideal of X .

$\Rightarrow I$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal

[By definition(2.19)]

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache ideal of Y

[By Proposition (2.15)]

Now, let $f(x), f(y) \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow f(b) \# ((f(x) \# f(y))) = f(b) \# (f(x*y))$
 $= f(b*(x*y))$

But $b*(x*y) \in I$

$\Rightarrow f(b*(x*y)) \in f(I)$

$\Rightarrow f(I)$ is a $f(Q)$ -Smarandache $f(h)$ -completely closed ideal of Y . ■

Corollary (2.27) :

Let $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, \#, 0')$ be a BH-epimorphism. If I is Q-Smarandache 0-completely closed ideal of X , then $f(I)$ is $f(Q)$ -Smarandache $0'$ -completely closed ideal in Y .

Proof

Is directly from Proposition (2.26). ■

Proposition (2.28):

Let $\{ I_i, i \in \lambda \}$ be a family of a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra X. Then $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal.

Proof:

let X be a BH-algebra, and let I_i be a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal

$\Rightarrow I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal $\forall i \in \lambda$ [By definition (2.19)]

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache ideal [By proposition (2.17)]

Now,

Let $x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$

$\Rightarrow x, y \in I_i \quad \forall i \in \lambda$ [Since I_i is a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal $\forall i \in \lambda$]

$\Rightarrow b^*(x*y) \in I_i, \forall i \in \lambda$

$\Rightarrow b^*(x*y) \in \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in \lambda} I_i$ is a Q-Smarandache b-completely closed ideal. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Saeid and A. Namdar "Smarandache BCH-Algebras", World Applied Sciences Journal 7 (11), 1818-4952, 2009.
- [2] A.B.Saeid "Fantastic Ideals in BCI-Algebras" World Applied Science Journal 8(5):550-554, 2010.
- [3] H. H. Abass "The Q-Smarandache closed ideal and Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Closed Ideal With Respect To An Element Of a Q-Smarandache BCH-Algebra", Journal kerbala university, vol.10 no.3 ,134-144 ,2012.
- [4] H. H. Abass and H. B. Dahham, " Some types of Fuzzy Ideals With Respect To An Element Of a BH-Algebra", Journal of kerbala university, vol.10, no.3, 302-312, 2012.
- [5] K. Iseki "An algebra related with a propositional calculus", Proc. Japan Academy, 42, 26-29, 1966.
- [6] M.A. Chaudhry and H.F. Ud-Din "Ideals and filters in BCH-algebras", math.japonica 44, No.1, 101-112, 1996.
- [7] Q.P. Hu and X. Li, " On BCH-algebras", Math. Seminar Notes, Vol. 11, 313-320 ,1983.
- [8] Q. Zhang, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh "ON THE BRANCH OF BH-ALGEBRAS" Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, Vol.4, 917-921, 2001.
- [9] S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, "R-Maps and L-Maps in BH-algebras", Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2000.
- [10] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, "On BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae 1(1) , 347-354, 1998.
- [11] Y.B. JUN "SMARANDACHE BCI-ALGEBRAS" Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, 271-276 271, 2005.
- [12] Y.B. Jun "Smarandache fantastic ideals of Smarandache BCI-algebras", Scientia Magna Vol 2, No.4, 40-44, 2006.