

## Quasi-posinormal operators

*Sadiq Naji Nassir\**

Received 17, March, 2009

Acceptance 26, July, 2009

### Abstract:

In this paper, we introduce a class of operators on a Hilbert space namely quasi-posinormal operators that contain properly the classes of normal operator, hyponormal operators, M-hyponormal operators, dominant operators and posinormal operators. We study some basic properties of these operators. Also we are looking at the relationship between invertibility operator and quasi-posinormal operator.

**Key words:** posinormal operators, Hyponormal operators, M-hyponormal operators, dominant operators.

### Introduction:

Let  $B(H)$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , an operator  $T$  is said to be posinormal operator if there exists a positive operator  $P \in B(H)$ , such that  $TT^* = T^*PT$ . Also,  $T$  is posinormal operator if and only if  $Range(T) \subseteq Range(T^*)$ , [1,2]. An operator  $T$  is called hyponormal operator if  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$ , or equivalently  $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$  for all  $x$  in  $H$  [3], and  $T$  is called dominant operator if for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists a number  $M_\lambda > 0$  such that  $\|(T - \lambda)^*x\| \leq M_\lambda \|(T - \lambda)x\|$  for all  $x \in H$ . Furthermore, if the set of constants  $M_\lambda$  are bounded by a positive number  $M$  then  $T$  is called M-hyponormal operator [4,5,6,p480]. Let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  and  $r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$  denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum of  $T$  and

the spectral radius of  $T$ , [6,p196,502]. An operator is said to be normaloid if  $\|T\| = r(T)$ , [7,8, p267]. In this paper, we give some types of operators namely quasi-posinormal operators.

### 1- Some basic properties of quasi-posinormal operator.

We start this section by giving the definition of quasi-posinormal operator, and we give some basic properties of these operators

#### Definition 1.1

Let  $T \in B(H)$ . We call  $T$  is a quasi-posinormal operator if  $Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T^*)$ .

#### Example 1.2

Let  $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots)\} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty$ , the Unilateral shift operator on  $H$  is defined by  $U(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . It is known that  $U^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$  and

\*Department of Mathematics-College of Science-University of Baghdad

$$U^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = U(0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

Now let  $y \in \text{Range}(U^2)$  then  $y = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  for some  $x$  in  $H$ . If we assume  $x = (0, 0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  then  $U^*(x) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = y$ , and  $y \in \text{Range}(U^*)$ . Hence  $U$  is quasi-positinormal operator.

Now we give an operator that is not quasi-positinormal operator.

**Example 1.3**

Let  $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots)\}$ :

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty$ , the Bilateral shift operator on  $H$  is defined by  $B(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$ . It is known that  $B^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . Now let  $y = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$  then  $y \in \text{Range}(B^2)$  and  $B^*(x) \neq y$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ . Hence  $y \notin \text{Range}(B^*)$  and therefore  $B$  is not quasi-positinormal operator.

The above example also shows that if  $T$  is quasi-positinormal operator then  $T^*$  is not quasi-positinormal operator.

In [9]. Douglas proved the following theorem

**Theorem 1.4** [9]

For  $A, B \in B(H)$  the following statements are equivalent :

- 1-  $\text{Range}(A) \subseteq \text{Range}(B)$
- 2-  $AA^* \leq \lambda^2 BB^*$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$
- 3- there exists a  $T \in B(H)$  such that  $A = BT$ .

Moreover if one of 1, 2, and 3 holds then there is a unique operator  $T$  such that

a-  $\|T\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu : \mu \geq 0 \text{ and } AA^* \leq \mu BB^* \}$

b-  $\text{Ker}A = \text{Ker}T$ ; and

c-  $\text{Rnage}(T) \subseteq \overline{\text{Range}(B^*)}$ .

If we put  $A = T^2$  and  $B = T^*$  we get a special case from Douglas theorem

Which gives a characterization of totally quasi-positinormal operator.

**Theorem 1.5**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , the following statement are equivalent ;

- 1-  $\text{Range}(T^2) \subseteq \text{Range}(T^*)$ , i.e.  $T$  is quasi-positinormal operator.
- 2-  $T^2 T^{*2} \leq \lambda^2 T^* T$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ ; and
- 3- there exists an operator  $C_T \in B(H)$ , such that  $T^2 = T^* C_T$

Moreover if 1, 2, and 3 hold then there is a unique operator  $C_T \in B(H)$  such that

a-  $\|C_T\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu, T^2 T^{*2} \leq \mu T^* T \}$ .

b-  $\text{Ker}T^2 = \text{ker}C_T$ ; and

c-  $\text{Range}(C_T) \subseteq \overline{\text{Range}(T)}$ .

Let  $[T] = \{AT : A \in B(H)\}$  the left ideals in  $B(H)$  generated by  $T$ . We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.6**

$T$  is quasi-positinormal operator if and only if  $T^{*2} \in [T]$ .

Proof :

Let  $T$  be a quasi-positinormal operator then  $T^2 = T^* C$  for some bounded operator  $C \in B(H)$  and  $T^{*2} = C^* T$  implies  $T^{*2} \in [T]$ . Conversely, if  $T^{*2} \in [T]$  then  $T^{*2} = K T$  for some  $K \in B(H)$ , and hence  $T^2 = T^* K^*$  so  $T$  is quasi-positinormal operator.

**Proposition 1.7**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $T$  is quasi-positinormal operator if and only if for each  $x$  in  $H$ , there exists a constant  $M \geq 0$  such that  $\|T^{*2} x\| \leq M \|Tx\|$ .

Proof :

Let  $T$  be a quasi-positinormal then

$$\|T^{*2} x\|^2 = \langle T^* T^* x, T^* T^* x \rangle = \langle T^2 T^{*2} x, x \rangle$$

$\leq M \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle = M \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = M \|Tx\|^2$   
 .for some  $M \geq 0$ .

Conversely, let  $\|T^{*2}x\| \leq M \|Tx\|$   
 $\langle T^2T^{*2}x, x \rangle = \langle T^{*2}x, T^{*2}x \rangle = \|T^{*2}x\|^2$   
 $\leq M^2 \|Tx\|^2 = M^2 \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = M^2 \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle$   
 ,this implies for each  $x$  in  $H$ , then  
 $T^2T^{*2} \leq M^2 T^*T$ , hence  $T$  is quasi-  
 posinormal operator .

**Proposition 1.8**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , if  $T$  is posinormal operator then  $T$  is quasi-posinormal.

Proof:

Since

$$Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T) \subseteq Range(T^*)$$

then  $T$  is quasi-posinormal .

Corollary 1.9

Every Dominant operator in particular every  $M$ -hyponormal operator, hyponormal operator , normal operator are quasi-posinormal operators.

The converse of the above Proposition is not true, see the following example .

**Example 1.10**

Let

$$H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots)\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$$
 , we define  $T$  by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

It is easy to check that

$$T^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$
 but

$$T^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = T(x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$
 and  $Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T^*)$ ,

hence  $T$  is quasi-posinormal operator.

Easily we see that  $Range(T) \not\subseteq Range(T^*)$ .

Therefore  $T$  is not posinormal operator.

**2- Invertibility, translates and quasi-posinormal operator**

In this section we are looking at the relationship between invertibility operators and quasi -posinormal operator .A quasi- posinormal operator

need not be an invertible operator (see example 1.2) ,we start this section by the following theorem

**Theorem 2.1**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , be an invertible operator then

- 1-  $T$  is quasi-posinormal operator .
- 2-  $T^{-1}$  is quasi-posinormal operator.

Proof :

$$1- \|T^{*2}x\| \leq \|T^{*2}\| \|x\| \leq \|T^{*2}\| \|T^{-1}\| \|Tx\|$$

for all  $x$  in  $H$  ,we take  $M = \|T^{*2}\| \|T^{-1}\|$ ,

hence  $T$  is quasi-posinormal operator

2-

$$\|(T^{-1})^{*2}x\| \leq \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|x\| \leq \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|T\| \|T^{-1}x\|$$

for all  $x$  in  $H$ , we take  $M = \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|T\|$ ,

hence  $T^{-1}$  is quasi-posinormal operator.

**Corollary 2.2**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , and  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$  then  $T - \lambda I$  is quasi-posinormal operator.

Before we state the next theorem we need the following lemma which appeared in [10].

**Lemma 2.3**

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers , which satisfy the relation

$$a_1^2 \leq a_2 \text{ and } a_n^2 \leq a_{n-1} a_{n+1}$$

for  $n=2,3,\dots$  then  $a_1^n \leq a_n$  for  $n=1,2,3,4,5,\dots$  .

**Theorem 2.4**

Let  $T$  be an invertible operator and  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$  then

$$1- \|T^2x\|^{n+1} \leq M^{n(n+1)/2} \|T^{n+2}x\| \text{ for } \|x\| = 1 \text{ and } n=1,2,\dots,$$

there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

2- if  $T^{n+1}x=0$  then  $T^2x=0$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ .

Proof :

1-Let  $k=n+1$ . We want to show that

$$\|T^2x\|^k \leq M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\|$$

Let  $a_1 = \|T^2x\|$  ,and

$$a_k = M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\| \quad k=2,3,\dots$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^2x\|^2 &= \langle T^2x, T^2x \rangle = \langle x, T^{*2}T^2x \rangle \\ &\leq \|T^{*2}T^2x\| \|x\| \leq M \|T^3x\| \end{aligned} \quad \text{then}$$

$$a_1^2 \leq a_2 .$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^2 &= M^{k(k-1)} \|T^{k+1}x\|^2 = M^{k(k-1)} \langle T^{k+1}x, T^{k+1}x \rangle \\ &= M^{k(k-1)} \langle T^{*2}T^{k+1}x, T^{k-1}x \rangle \\ &\leq M^{k(k-1)} \|T^{*2}T^{k+1}x\| \|T^{k-1}x\| \\ &\leq M^{k(k-1)} M \|T^{k+2}x\| \|T^{k-1}x\| \\ &\leq M^{k^2-k+1} \|T^{-1}\| \|T^{k+2}x\| \|T^kx\| \\ &\leq a_{k+1} a_{k-1} \quad \text{then by Lemma 2.3} \end{aligned}$$

$$a_1^k \leq a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \|T^2x\|^k \leq M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\|$$

2-

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^2x\|^n &= \|x\|^n \left\| T^2 \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\|^n \\ &\leq \|x\|^n M^{n(n-1)/2} \left\| T^{n+1} \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\|^n \leq 0 \quad , \quad \text{hence} \end{aligned}$$

$T^2x=0$  for all  $x$  in  $H$  .

**Theorem 2.5**

Let  $T$  be a quasi-posinormal operator and then

1- $\lambda T$  is a quasi-posinormal operator for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

2- the translate  $T+\lambda I$  need not be a quasi-posinormal operator

Proof :

1-

$$\|(\lambda T)^{*2}x\| = |\lambda|^2 \|T^{*2}x\| \leq M |\lambda|^2 \|Tx\| \leq M |\lambda| \|\lambda Tx\|$$

for all  $x$  in  $H$  .

2- consider the case  $T=B-5I$  ( where  $B$  is the operator defined in

example1.3 ). Since  $5 \notin \sigma(B)$  , then  $T$  is an invertible operator by theorem 2.1  $T$  is quasi-posinormal operator. But  $T+5I=B$  is not quasi posinormal operator

**Definition 2.6**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , the quasi-spectrum of  $T$  , denoted  $Q(T)$  is the set  $\{\lambda: T -\lambda I$  is not quasi-posinormal operator }

**Proposition 2.7**

let  $T \in B(H)$ ,be a quasi- posinormal operator then

1-  $Q(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  .

2- If  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$  and  $(T - \lambda)^{*2}x \neq 0$  for all  $x \neq 0 \in H$  then  $\lambda \in Q(T)$ .

3- If  $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$  and  $(T - \lambda)^{*2}x \neq 0$  for all  $x \neq 0 \in H$  then  $\lambda \in Q(T)$ .

Proof :

(1) By corollary 2.2 makes that  $Q(T)$  is a subset of  $\sigma(T)$  .

(2 ) Suppose  $\lambda \notin Q(T)$  then  $T-\lambda I$  is quasi-posinormal operator

$$\text{and} \quad \|(T - \lambda I)^{*2}x\| \leq M \|(T - \lambda)x\| \quad \text{for}$$

all  $x$  in  $H$ . Now  $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$  then there exists  $x \neq 0$  such that  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$  and

$$(T - \lambda)^{*2}x = 0 \quad \text{contradiction} \quad , \quad \text{hence}$$

$\lambda \in Q(T)$

(3) by the same way we can prove it .

**Remark 2.8**

The sum and the product of two quasi-posinormal operators need not be quasi-posinormal operator. We can see that by the following examples

1- Let  $H= \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$ , Let  $T_1 =U$  the unilateral shift operator and  $T_2$  is the operator defined on  $H$  by

$$T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, -x_3, -x_4, -x_5, \dots)$$

it is clear that  $T_2$  is hyponormal operator hence  $T_2$  quasi-posinormal operator .Now  $(T_1+T_2)(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) =$

$$T_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) + T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) =$$

$(0, x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ , and  $(T_1 + T_2)^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ . If we take  $x = (0, 0, x_3, x_4, x_5, \dots)$  such that  $x_3 \neq 0$ , then  $\|(T_1 + T_2)x\|^2 = \|0\|^2$  which implies  $\|(T_1 + T_2)x\| = 0$ , but  $\|(T_1 + T_2)^*x\|^2 = \|(T_1 + T_2)^*(0, x_3, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\|^2 = \|(x_3, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\|^2 = |x_3|^2$  then for all  $M > 0$  that  $\|(T_1 + T_2)^*x\| \geq M \|(T_1 + T_2)x\|$  and  $(T_1 + T_2)$  is not quasi-positnormal operator.

2- Let  $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $T_1 = U$  the unilateral shift operator and  $T_2$  be the operator defined on  $H$  by  $T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$  then  $T_2$  is self-adjoint operator hence is quasi-positnormal operator but  $T_1 T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = T_1(x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $T_1 T_2$  is not quasi-positnormal operator by above example (1).

### Remark 2.9

Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a quasi-positnormal operator then  $T$  is not normaloid operator. i.e. the spectral radius of  $T$  is not necessarily equal to  $\|T\|$ , for example let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthogonal basis of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T$  be the a weighted shift defined by  $T e_1 = e_2$ ,  $T e_2 = 2e_3$  and  $T e_i = e_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq 3$ , in [11]. Wadhwa. B.L proved that  $T$  is M-hyponormal operator, and not normaloid operator but by Corollary 1.9  $T$  is quasi positnormal operator and not normaloid operator.

### References:

1- Amelia Bucur 2003. "Posinormality versus hyponormality for cesaro

operators" General math. Vol11, no1-2, pp33-46.

- 2-B.P. Duggal and C.Kubrusly . 2006. "Weyls theorem for posinormal operators ", J Korean Math.Soc.No.3, pp.529-541.
- 3- S.Mecheri 2005 " ON normality of operators " Revista Colomiona de Math. Vol.39, pp.1-9.
- 4-Ahmed Bachir 2004 " Generalized Derivation" SUT Journal of Math. Vol.40, No.2 , pp111-116.
- 5- Stampfli J.G., and Wadhwa B.L..1976. " An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators" . Indiana Univ.math., J 25.359-365.
- 6- Balmohan V.Limaye, 2006. "functional analysis" second E ditor , New age international LTD.Delhi
- 7- Raul.E. Curto and Young Min Han 2003 " Weyls theorem for algebraically paranormal operators" Integral Equations and operator theory vol.47, pp.307-314.
- 8- Istratesca, V. 1981. "introduction to linear operator theory" Marcel Dekke, ing, New York
- 9- Douglas, R.G. 1966. "On majorization, Factorization and range Inclusion of Operators on Hilbert Space" , Proc Amer.Soc. 17, pp.413-416.
- 10- Stampfli, JG .1976. "Alocal spectral Theory for operators V:spectral subspaces for hyponormal operators , Trans. Amer.Math.Soc. Vol.217:pp.285-298.
- 11-Wadhwa. B.L. 1974 . "M-hyponormal operators ".Duke, Math. J vol.41:pp.655-660.

## المؤثرات الشبه السوية الموجبة

صديق ناجي ناصر\*

\*قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة بغداد

### الخلاصة :

في هذا البحث سندرس صنفاً من المؤثرات المعرفة على فضاء هلبرت سوف نطلق على عناصره اسم المؤثر شبه السوي الموجب ويضم كلا من صنف المؤثرات السوية، المؤثرات فوق السوية و المؤثرات فوق السوية من النمط  $M$ ، المؤثرات المهيمنة والمؤثرات السوية الموجبة وسوف ندرس بعض الصفات الاساسية لهذا الصنف من المؤثرات وكذلك البحث عن العلاقة التي تربط هذا الصنف بالمؤثرات التي لها نظير .