## The Prediction of the Electromagnetic Properties and the $\delta(E2/M1)$ of <sup>110-116</sup>Cd-Isotopes in IBM Model

Saddon Taha Ahmad\*

Diary Omar Kakil\*

Received 3, January, 2011 Accepted 21, August, 2011

#### Abstract:

The Nuclear structure of <sup>110-116</sup>Cd isotopes was studied theoretically in the framework of the interacting boson model of IBM-1 and IBM-2. The properties of the lowest mixed symmetry states such as the 1<sup>+</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup> and 3<sup>+</sup> levels produced by the IBM-2 model in the vibrational-limit U(5) of Cd - isotopes are studied in details. This analysis shows that the character of mixed symmetry of 2<sup>+</sup> is shared between 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> and 2<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub> states in <sup>110-114</sup>Cd – isotopes, the large shar goes to 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> s, while in <sup>116</sup>Cd isotope, the 2<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub> state is declared as a mixed symmetry state without sharing. This identification is confirmed by the percentage of F-spin contribution.

The electromagnetic properties of E2 and Ml operators were investigated and the results were analyzed. Various values of  $e_B$  in the IBM-1 and fixed  $e_{\pi}$ = 0.104 eb and  $e_{\nu}$ =0.093 e.b in the IBM-2 are used to generate the B(E2) and Q(2<sup>+</sup>). Fixed values of  $g_{\pi}$  =0.31 $\mu_N$  and  $g_{\nu}$  =-0.31 $\mu_N$  were adopted to generate the B(Ml) and  $\delta$ (E2/ Ml) mixing ratios. The small values of  $\delta$ (E2/Ml) which obtained for transition from MS- states to those of full symmetry support the conclusion that there may be a strong Ml transition between these states.

### Key words: energy levels, B(E2), B(M1), mixing ratios, Mixed-symmetry, IBM-1, IBM-2

#### **Introduction:**

The even-even cadmium isotopes are part of an interesting region near the closed proton shell at Z=50, while the number of neutrons in the open shell is larger, as such these nuclei have been commonly considered to exhibit vibrational-like properties.

The even-mass cadmium isotopes have been extensively investigated both theoretically and experimentally in recent years with special emphasis on interpreting experimental data via collective models. Energy levels, electric quadrupole moments, B(E2) values of  $^{106}Cd$ ,  $^{112}Cd$  and  $^{118}Cd$  isotopes were calculated within the frame work of IBM-1[1]. The three - phonon structure of <sup>110</sup>*Cd* has been studied with the  $(n, \overline{n}\gamma)$  reaction [2]. Multi pole mixing ratios and transition strengths of gamma rays from excited states of <sup>112</sup>Cd and <sup>113</sup>Cd were following angular distribution study [3]. Life times of levels below 4 MeV in <sup>112</sup>*Cd* have been measured using the Doppler shift attenuations technique following inelastic scattering of monoenergetic neutrons [4].

The energy levels of <sup>114</sup>*Cd* has been studied by using <sup>113</sup>*Cd* ( $n, \gamma$ ) reaction, the energies and the relative intensities of the  $\gamma$ - rays were determined [5]. The interaction boson model (IBM-2) was used for describing the Cadmium isotopes <sup>110–116</sup>*Cd* [6]. **Theory**: In IBM-1, proton- and neutron-boson degrees of freedom are not distinguished. The model has an inherent group structure, associated with it. In terms of s- and d-boson operators the most general IBM Hamiltonian can be expressed as [7]

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= \mathcal{E}_{s}(s^{+}.\widetilde{s}) + \mathcal{E}_{d}(d^{+}.\widetilde{d}) + \sum_{L=0,2,4} \sqrt{2L+1} c_{L} \\ &\left[ \left[ d^{+} \otimes d^{+} \right] \otimes \left[ \widetilde{d} \otimes \widetilde{d} \right]^{L} \right]^{0} + \frac{\widetilde{V}_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &\left[ \left[ d^{+} \otimes d^{+} \right]^{2} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{d} \otimes \widetilde{s} \right]^{2} + \left[ d^{+} \otimes s^{+} \right]^{2} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{d} \otimes \widetilde{d} \right]^{2} \right]^{0} + \\ &\frac{\widetilde{V}_{0}}{2} \left[ \left[ d^{+} \otimes d^{+} \right]^{0} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{s} \otimes \widetilde{s} \right]^{0} + \left[ s^{+} \otimes s^{+} \right]^{0} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{d} \otimes \widetilde{d} \right]^{0} \right]^{0} + \\ &u_{2} \left[ \left[ d^{+} \otimes s^{+} \right]^{2} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{d} \otimes \widetilde{s} \right]^{2} \right]^{0} + \frac{u_{0}}{2} \left[ \left[ s^{+} \otimes s^{+} \right]^{0} \otimes \left[ \widetilde{s} \otimes \widetilde{s} \right]^{0} \right]^{0} \\ &\dots (1) \end{split}$$

This Hamiltonian contains 2 onebody term, ( $\varepsilon_s$  and  $\varepsilon_d$ ), and 7 twobody interactions  $[c_1 (L=0,2,4),$  $v_{L}$  (L=0,2),  $u_{L}$  (L=0,2)], where  $\epsilon_s$  and  $\epsilon_d$  are the single-boson energies, and  $c_L$ ,  $v_L$  and  $u_L$  describe the twoboson interactions. Hamiltonian can be rewritten in terms of the Casimir operators of U(6) group. In that case, one says that the Hamiltonian H has a dynamical symmetry. These symmetries are called U(5) vibrational, SU(3) rotational and O(6)  $\gamma$ -unstable.

The general E2 transition operator must be a hermitian tensor of rank two and therefore the number of bosons must be conserved. Since, with these constraints there are two operators possible in the lowest order, the general E2 operator can be written as [7]

$$T_m(E2) = \alpha_2 [d^{\dagger}s + s^{\dagger}d]_m^{(2)} + \beta_2 [d^{\dagger}d]_m^{(2)},$$
  
...(2)

where  $\alpha_2$  plays the role of the effective boson charge and  $\beta_2 = \sqrt{7}/2\alpha_2$ . The B(E2) strength for the E2 transitions is given by B(E2;L<sub>i</sub> $\rightarrow$ L<sub>f</sub>)=

$$|\langle L_{f} || T_{m}(E2) || L_{i} \rangle|^{2} / (2L_{i}+1)...(3)$$

Similarly, the M1 operator would be just  $\beta_1 [d^{\dagger}d]_1$ .

However, in this work another form of the Hamiltonian of IBM-1 is used, which was introduced by Scholtan [8] in terms of multipole expansion, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \varepsilon(n_d) + a_0 \\ (\hat{P} \bullet \hat{P}) + a_1(\hat{L} \bullet \hat{L}) + a_2(\hat{Q} \bullet \hat{Q}) + a_3(\hat{T}_3 \bullet \hat{T}_3) + a_4(\hat{T}_4 \bullet \hat{T}_4) \\ \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

The parameters  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$  represent the strength of the pairing, angular momentum, quadruple, octupole and hexadecapole interactions respectively. P, L, Q, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> represent the operators for each interaction respectively.

The Hamiltonian operator in IBA-2, has three parts [9], one for proton bosons, one for neutron bosons and the third one describing the interaction between unlike bosons:

 $H = H_{\pi} + H_{\nu} + H_{\pi\nu} \quad \dots (5)$ 

The Hamiltonian generally used in phenomenological calculations can be written as

$$H = \varepsilon_d (n_{dv} + n_{d\pi}) + \kappa (Q_v Q_\pi) + V_{vv} + V_{\pi\pi} + M_{v\pi}$$
... (6)

The first term represents the singleboson energies for neutron and protons,  $\varepsilon_d$  is the energy difference between s- and d- boson and  $n_{d,o}$  is the number of d-bosons, where  $\rho$ correspond to  $\pi$  (proton) or V (neutron) bosons. The second term the quadrupole-quadrupole denotes interaction between neutron and proton bosons with the strength  $\kappa$ . The quadrupole operator is given by

$$Q_{\rho} = [d_{\rho}^{+} s_{\rho} + s_{\rho}^{+} d_{-\rho}]^{(2)} + \chi_{\rho} [d_{\rho}^{+} d_{\rho}]^{(2)}$$
...(7)

where  $\chi_{\rho}$  determines the structure of the quadrupole operator and is

determined empirically. The square bracket in Eq. (7) denotes angular momentum coupling. The terms  $V_{\pi\pi}$  and  $V_{\nu\nu}$  correspond to the interaction between like-boson. They are of the form

$$V_{\rho\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=0,2,4} C_L^{\rho} ([d_{\rho}^+ d_{\rho}^+]^{(L)} . [d_{\rho}^- d_{\rho}^-]^{(L)}) . .. (8)$$

The Majorana term,  $M_{\nu\rho}$ , which contains three parameters  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  may be written as  $M_{\nu\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_2([s_{\nu}^+ d_{\pi}^+ - d_{\nu}^+ s_{\pi}^+]^{(2)}.[s_{\nu} d_{\pi} - d_{\nu} s_{\pi}]^{(2)})$  $-\sum_{\nu \to \nu} \xi_k([d_{\nu}^+ d_{\pi}^+]^{(k)}.[d_{\nu} d_{\pi}]^{(k)})...(9)$ 

#### Calculations and Results: 1- Energy Spectra

To produce the low –lying energy levels of a nucleus by IBM-1 model, it is necessary to specify the symmetry shape of the nucleus, which can be predicted from the energy ratio  $E4_1^+$  to  $E2_1^+$ .  $R_{4/2}$  has a limit value of 2 for the vibrational nuclei U(5), 2.5 for  $\gamma$ unstable nuclei O(6) and finally 3.33 for rotational nuclei SU(3). The variation of the experimental  $E 4_1^+ / E 2_1^+$ value with the neutron numbers is given in Figure (1). It is clear that the  $^{110-116}Cd$  isotopes are transitional U(5)- O(6) nuclei, but they are close to U(5) symmetry. The best fit values for the Hamiltonian parameters in IBM-1 are given in Table-1 and those in IBM-2 are given in Table-2.

Table(1):TheHamiltonianparametersIBM-1usedforCd-isotopes.

Param-eters	$^{110}Cd$	$^{112}Cd$	$^{114}Cd$	$^{116}Cd$
N	7	8	9	8
$\mathcal{E}$ (MeV)	0.712	0.650	0.580	0.490
a <sub>0</sub> (MeV)	-0.0023	-0.002	-0.0080	-0.0077
a <sub>1</sub> (MeV)	0.000	0.000	0.0047	0.0068
$a_2$ (MeV)	-0.0125	-0.009	-0.0026	-0.0058
a <sub>3</sub> (MeV)	0.0018	0.0300	0.0003	0.0010
a <sub>4</sub> (MeV)	0.0190	0.007	0.0058	0.050
CHI	0.000	-0.100	-0.0310	-0.250
$e_{B}(eb)$	0.1003	0.1	0.1095	0.1135

# Table (2):The Hamiltonianparameters IBM-2 used for Cd-isotopes.

Parameters	$^{110}Cd$	$^{112}Cd$	$^{114}Cd$	$^{116}Cd$	
$N_{\nu}$	6	7	8	7	
${\cal E}_d$ (MeV)	0.84	0.78	0.76	0.735	
k (MeV)	-0.057	-0.060	-0.063	-0.085	
$\chi_{v}$	-1.00	-1.00	-1.500	-0.050	
${\mathcal X}_\pi$	-1.500	-1.500	-1.600	-0.620	
$\xi_1$ (MeV)	-0.100	-0.100	-0.180	-0.140	
$\xi_2$ (MeV)	0.185	0.130	0.080	0.170	
$\xi_3$ (MeV)	-0.020	-0.080	-0.120	-0.090	
$e_{\pi}$ =0.093 eb, $e_{V}$ = 0.104 eb					

In Figure (2) a comparison between the experimental and IBM-2 energy shown spectra were for the investigated Cdisotopes. The interesting point in this figure is that the IBM-2 has preserved the image of the experimental spectrum such as the sequences of states, even in the cases where the fit is not good enough. It must be noticed that the goal in this study is to obtain global reasonable predications of several properties and not only the energy spectra.



**Fig.** (1): shows the variation of  $E4_1^+/E2_1^+$  in Cadmium isotopes.



Fig. (2): A comparison between the experimental low-lying positive parity states and those obtained by IBM-2a)<sup>110</sup>Cd [2,10,11],b)<sup>112</sup>Cd [4, 10, 11], c) <sup>114</sup>Cd [5,10,12], d) <sup>116</sup>Cd [10,13].

#### **2-B (E2) transition properties:**

In principle that the value of the effective charge  $(e_B)$  of the IBM-1 was determined by normalization to the experimental data of  $B(E2;2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$  of each isotopes. While in IBM-2 the  $e_v$  and  $e_\pi$  in the present work were given fixed values  $(e_v = 0.104 \text{ eb}, e_\pi = 0.093 \text{ eb})$  and have been used for all treated set of Cd-isotopes.

In tables 3 and 4, the calculated result of the IBM-1 and IBM-2 and the experimental data for B(E2;  $j_i \rightarrow j_f$ ) transitions in  $(e^2b^2)$  are compared. As the neutron number is increasing the experimental  $B(E2;2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$  increases as well.

The quadrupole moments of the first excited  $2_1^+$  state for Cd-isotopes were calculated in this work and presented in tables 3 and 4 in comparison with the experimental data.

(e.b), for	$^{110,112}Cd$ isoto	pes are	e comp	ared with	those obtaine	d by I	BM-1
M-2 results	•						
	$^{110}Cd$				$^{112}Cd$		
$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-1	IBM-2	$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-1	IBM-2
$2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.085	0.085	0.0826	$2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.0968	0.0967	0.097
$2_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.1436(23)	0.1391	0.1445	$2_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.1956	0.1627	0.173
$6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	$0.194^{+0.056}_{-0.053}$	0.1673	0.1816	$0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.1635	0.1355	0.169
$2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	0.101(29)	0.1391	0.0939	$2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	0.048(1)	0.1624	0.098
$4_2^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	$0.053 \substack{+0.025 \\ -0.019}$	0.0797	0.0636	$4_2^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.08017	0.0961	0.073

0.024

0.0118

0.0171

0.0889

-0.337

 $4^+_2 \rightarrow 4^+_1$  $4^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_2$ 

 $2^+_3 \rightarrow 0^+_2$ 

 $3_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ 

 $3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ 

 $4^+_3 \rightarrow 2^+_2$ 

 $Q_{2_{1}^{+}}$ 

0.0877

0.0730

0.0478

0.1195

0.0

Table (3): The experimental B(E2;  $j_i \rightarrow j_f$ ) ( $e^2b^2$ ) values[2,4,6, 10], and the d Ç I

#### 3 - B (M1) transition properties:

0.10331

 $0.072^{+0.084}_{-0.056}$ 

 $0.0219^{\,+0.0187}_{\,-0.0125}$ 

 $0.078^{+0.040}_{-0.034}$ 

-0.40

 $4^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_2$ 

 $2^+_3 \rightarrow 0^+_2$ 

 $3_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ 

 $3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ 

 $Q_{2^{+}}$ 

The B(M1) transition in IBM-2, is influenced by the parameters of  $g_{\nu}$ and  $g_{\pi}$ . A fixed values for  $g_{\pi} = 0.31$ and  $g_{\nu} = -0.31$  were used to produce these properties through out all Cdisotopes. The comparison between the calculated result for B(M1) transitions and  $(\mu_{2^+_1})$  moments of the IBM-2 model and those of experimental data are presented in table 5 for  ${}^{110}Cd$ , since the available experimental data are restricted only for  ${}^{110}Cd$ , and no experimental data are excited for other isotopes. Therefore a clear picture could not be drawn for the properties of the B(M1) transitions in Cdisotopes. However, the good agreement could be noted for the available experimental data with calculated B(M1) transitions.

0.1924

 $0.143^{+0.031}_{-0.022}$ 

0.08017

0.20203

 $0.0067^{+0.001}_{-0.002}$ 

-0.37

0.1058

0.0888

0.0576

0.1442

0.0

-0.043

0.085

0.0183

0.0205

0.1118

0.0059

-0.385

Table (4): The experimental B(E2;  $j_i \rightarrow j_f$ )  $(e^2b^2)$  values[5,6,10], and the  $Q_{2t}$  (e.b), for <sup>114</sup>Cd and <sup>116</sup>Cd isotopes are compared with those obtained by IBM-1 and IBM-2 results.

	$^{114}Cd$				<sup>116</sup> Cd		
$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-1	IBM-2	$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-1	IBM-2
$2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.102	0.102	0.112	$2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.112	0.112	0.104
$2_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.201	0.181	0.211	$2^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_1$	0.197(50)	0.193	0.172
$0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	.1350	0.179	0.221	$2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.0730	0.192	0.167
$2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.0875	0.181	0.057	$Q_{2_1^+}$	-0.42	-0.100	-0.124
$2_3^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.207	0.081	0.039				
$Q_{2_1^+}$	-0.34	-0.009	-0.544				

Table	(5):	The	experimental
<b>B(M1;</b> <i>j</i> <sub><i>i</i></sub>	$\rightarrow j_f$ )	$(\mu_{N}^{2})$	values[2,10],
and the	$\mu_{_{2_{1}^{+}}}(\mu_{_{2_{1}^{+}}})$	$_N$ ), for	<sup>110</sup> Cd isotope
are com by IBM-	pared 1 and I	with th BM-2 re	ose obtained esults.

$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-2	$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	EXP.	IBM-2
$2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$		0.0269	$3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$	0.010	0.0341
$2^+_3 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	0.026	0.0691	$4_2^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.103	0.0075
$2^+_4 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	0.031	0.0006	$4_3^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	$0.148^{+0.73}_{-0.68}$	0.0947
$3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.002	0.0023	$\overline{\mu}_{2_1^+}$	+0.56	0.1700
$3_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	0.027	0.0347			

#### 4- $\delta$ – mixing ratios:

The  $\delta$  – mixing ratios in the considered Cd-isotopes were have been studied in the present work using the relation of  $\delta$  with the ratio of the reduced matrix elements of the E2 and M1, which given as  $\delta(E2/M1) = 0.835 E_{\gamma} \times \Delta(E2/M1) \dots (10)$  where  $E_{\gamma}$  is the transition energy in (MeV), and  $\Delta(E2/M1)$  in  $(eb/\mu_N)$  and defined as the ratio of the reduced E2 matrix element to the M1 matrix elements. i.e.

$$\Delta(E^2/M_1) = \frac{\left\langle J_f \| T(E2) \| J_i \right\rangle(eb)}{\left\langle J_f \| T(M1) \| J_i \right\rangle(\mu_N)} \dots (11)$$

In table 6, the comparison between the available experimental  $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratio for <sup>110</sup>*Cd* and <sup>112</sup>*Cd* isotopes with their counterpart which calculated in the frame work of IBM-2.

It is worth noting that for <sup>114</sup>*Cd* and <sup>116</sup>*Cd* isotopes only the experimental data of  $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$  transitions is available; where it is  $\delta = -1.4_{-0.3}^{+0.7}$  in <sup>114</sup>*Cd* and  $\delta = -1.5_{-0.4}^{+0.7}$  in <sup>116</sup>*Cd*, while their correspondent in IBM-2 are  $\delta = -0.5$  for <sup>114</sup>*Cd* and  $\delta = -5.17$  for <sup>116</sup>*Cd*. In general the results are in good

agreement with the available experimental data. However, the prediction of the sign of  $\delta(E2/M1)$ ratios is a common problem in theoretical nuclear models. Some authors prefer to use the absolute value of the ratio  $|\Delta(E2/M1)|$  to overcome this problem.

Table (6): A comparison between the experimental  $\delta$ - mixing ratios [10,14,15,16] and those obtained by IBM-2( $e_v = 0.104 \, eb$ ,  $e_\pi = 0.093 \, eb$ ,  $g_\pi = 0.31 \, \mu_N$  and  $g_v = -0.31 \, \mu_N$ ) for

 $^{110}Cd$  and  $^{112}Cd$  isotopes.

Transitions	110	Cd	$^{112}Cd$		
$j_i \rightarrow j_f$	δ Exp.	δ IBM-2	δ Exp.	δ IBM-2	
$2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	-1.2	-1.2112	$-1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	-0.96	
$2_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	$0.13^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	0.46248	0.22	0.55	
$2^+_4 \rightarrow 2^+_1$	-0.01	0.42185	0.15	0.38	
$2_5^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	-0.07	-0.4926	$-0.6^{\rm +0.2}_{\rm -0.4}$	-0.38	
$3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	$-1.52^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$	-0.9663	-1.2	-0.90	
$3_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$	$-1.66^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	-0.8331	-1.5	-0.83	
$4_2^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \to 4_1^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	-0.41	-2.2112	-0.47	-1.83	
$4_3^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	$0.13^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	0.16048	0.40	0.20	

#### 5- Mixed symmetry states (MS):

The energy fits of several levels are very sensitive to the parameters of the Majorana terms. This sensitivity urges us to conduct a search for low –lying states with mixed symmetry character in the vibrational Cd-isotopes. Figure -3 and Figure -4 demonstrate the influence of the Majorana parameters  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  on the mixed symmetry states or those contained mixed symmetry components.

Figure -3 shows that the term  $\xi_2$  affects the energies of all levels that considered to have a mixed symmetry components in <sup>114</sup>*Cd*, and <sup>116</sup>*Cd* 

isotopes, but its strong influence is on the  $2^+$  states and control the shearing of the mixed-symmetry character between  $2_2^+$  and  $2_3^+$ . It should be notified that plots of  ${}^{110}Cd$  and  ${}^{112}Cd$  are similar to Figure-3a of  $^{114}Cd$ . At starting point the  $\xi_2$  influences the  $2^+_2$  energy level and then transfers this influence to  $2^+_3$ , but this character for the  $2^+_2$  state is less pronounced than that for  $2^+_3$  states which can be seen in Figure -3a for  $^{114}Cd$ . Such behavior of shearing disappeared in Figure - 3b for<sup>116</sup>Cd isotope. F- spin indications agree with the assignment of  $1_1^+$  and  $3_1^+$  states to have a mixed-symmetry character, give evidence that  $2^+_2$  and  $2^+_3$  are sharing the mixed symmetry properties E(MeV) 2.40

in <sup>110</sup>*Cd*, <sup>112</sup>*Cd* and <sup>114</sup>*Cd*, and that  $2_3^+$ represents the  $2_M^+$  in <sup>116</sup>*Cd* isotope. From Figure - 4a and -4b,  $1_1^+$  and  $3_1^+$ levels in <sup>112</sup>*Cd*, can be assigned as  $1_M^+$ and  $3_M^+$ , since they are strongly affected by  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_3$  respectively.

Figure -5 shows the influence of  $\xi_2$ Majorana parameter on the  $\delta(\text{E2/M1})$ of gamma transitions between  $(2_i^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+, i = 2,3,4)$  in <sup>112</sup>Cd isotope. The value of  $\delta$  varies with the change in  $\Delta(\text{E2/M1})$  and the later reflects the variation in the mixed-symmetry character in the initial levels. This variation is introduced by  $\xi_2$  which effects the M1 component in the transition.



Fig. (3) : the change of the level energy in  ${}^{114}Cd$  and  ${}^{116}Cd$  as:  $\xi_2$  is varied while the two remaining Majorana parameters are hold constant.



Fig. (4): the change of the level energy in  ${}^{112}Cd$  as: (a)  $\xi_1$  is varied (b)  $\xi_3$  is varied while the two remaining Majorana parameters are hold constant.

#### Conclusions:

The structure of the Cd - isotopes with neutron's number N =62, 64, 66 and 68 have been studied theoretically using the framework of the interacting boson approximations IBM-1 and IBM-2. The fitting parameters were chosen to be consistent with geometrical and dynamical symmetries



#### Fig. (5): the delta mixing ratio, $\delta(E2/M1)$ of $2_i^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transitions is plotted against $\xi_2$ , in <sup>112</sup>Cd.

That predicated for these isotopes. The properties of the low-lying collective states which have been predicated by these models for the Cd - isotopes are studied and compared with those available experimental data. The properties of the lowest mixed Symmetry states such as the  $1_M^+$ ,  $2_M^+$  and  $3_M^+$  states produced by IBM-2 model, which are out of the space of IBM-1 model, are carefully examined.

The energy levels are well reproduced in the results of the IBM-2 than those of IBM-1. However, it can be concluded that the investigated Cd isotopes can be considered as almost vibrational nuclei and have U(5) symmetry, but there transitional U(5) – O(6) character can not be neglected. Therefore, these nuclei still draw attention of researchers. It is concluded that the mixed symmetry character of  $1_M^+$  and  $3_M^+$  levels are confined to one level only whereas the  $2_M^+$  states may share this character with their neighboring levels. Their identification confirmed by was the F-spin contribution, since each of these MS states has the lowest percentage among the other  $2^+$  levels in each isotope. and  $2^+_3$  $2^{+}_{2}$ Accordingly, were considered shearing this character in <sup>110,112,114</sup>Cd isotopes, but in <sup>116</sup>Cd, the  $2^+_3$  was declared as a mixed symmetry state. The IBM-2 result for  $Q(2_1^+)$  are in good agreement with experimental data. The calculated delta mixing ratios of IBM-2 model are in reasonable available agreement with the experimental data. However, the sign and magnitude of  $\delta(E2/M1)$  are real challenge to all nuclear models.

#### **References:**

- 1.AL Kubeeisy, W. S., 1999, "Study of Nuclear structure of some eveneven Isotopes of Nuclei close to the Atomic Number (Z=50) by using Interacting boson model (IBM-1)", M.Sc thesis college of Education for Women, University of Baghdad.
- 2.Corminboeu, F., Brown, T. B., Genilland, L., Hannant, C. D., Jolie, J., Karen J., Warr, N. and Yates, S. W., 2000, "Structure and life times of states in <sup>110</sup>Cd", Phys. Rev. C 63, 014305.
- **3.**Karim, A. M., 2006, "Multi pole mixing ratios and transition strengths of gamma rays from excited states of <sup>112</sup>Cd and <sup>113</sup>Cd", Ph.D thesis,Salahaddin University.
- **4.**Garrett, P. E., Green, K.L., Lehmann, H., Jolie, J., McGrath, C.A., Yeh, M.. And Yates, W., 2007, " Properties of <sup>112</sup>Cd from the (n, n'γ) reaction: Life Times and Transition rates", Phys. Rev. C75: 054310.
- **5.**AL- Janabi, T. J., Youhana, H.M., Mheemeed, A.K., Kamoon, S.S. and Ahmad, S.T., 1987," Energy Levels of <sup>114</sup>Cd from the reaction <sup>113</sup>Cd( $n,\gamma$ )<sup>114</sup>Cd", AJ P, 8, 15-38.

- **6.**Yilmaz A. H., Atasoy V. and Küçükömeroĝlu B., 1999, "IBM-2 calculation of <sup>108-116</sup>Cd Nuclei", Spectroscopy Letters, 32(5)803-817
- 7.Küçükbursa, Atalay, Manisa, Kaan, 2005,' IBM-1 calculations on the even-even 122-128Te isotopes', Mathematical and Computational Applications, 10 (1) 9-17
- **8.**Salman, A. A., 2006, "Study of Mixed Symmetry States and the Nuclear Structure of Even-Even Pd-Isotopes", Ph.D. Thesis, college of Science for Women, Univ. of Baghdad.
- **9.**Yazar, Harun Re\_it and Uluer, hsan, 2007, 'Some electromagnetic transition properties and mixing ratios of tellurium isotopes', IJOPS, 2(2) 050-055.
- 10. Firestone, B. R. and Shirley, V. S., 1999, "Table of Isotopes", John Wiley & Sons, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition. USA.
- **11.** Sakai, M ., 1984, " Quasi-bands in even-even nuclei", ADNDT, 31(3), 399-432.

- **12.** Blachot, J. and Marguler, G., 1982, " Nuclear data sheets for A = 114", Nucl. Data Sheets 35, 375-440
- **13.** Fogelberg, B., Backlin, A. and Nagarajan, T., 1971, "Energy levels in 114, 116, 118, 120, 122Cd as observed in the beta decay of Ag isotopes", Phys. Lett. 36B, 334-336.
- **14.** Krane, K. S. and Steffan, R. M., 1970, "Determination of the E2/MI Multipole Mixing Ratios of the Gamma Transitions in <sup>110</sup>Cd", Phys. Rev. C2, 724.
- **15.** Krane, K. S., 1977, "E2, MI multipole mixing ratios in even-even nuclei ( $58 \le A \le 150$ )", ADNDT, 20, 211.
- 16. Drissi, S., Tercier, P. A., Borener, H. G., Deleze, M., Hoyler, F., Judge, S., Kern, 1., Mannanal, S.J., Vorlet, J.P., Warr, N., Wilams, A., and Ythier C., 1997,"The <sup>112</sup>Cd laboratory: An extension E0 strengths, multiphonon states and coupled vibrations", Nucl. Phys, A614, 137-182.

حساب الخصائص الكهرومغناطيسية و نسب المزج δ(E2/M1) لنظائر δ(E2/M1 في أنموذج البوزونات المتفاعلة

سعدون طه أحمد \*

\*قسم الفيزياء- عمادة العلوم و الهندسة – جامعة كويه / كويه – أربيل - العراق

#### الخلاصة :

لقد تم دراسة التركيب النووي لنظائر نواة الكادميوم (Z=48) (Z=48) ) نظريا بأستخدام أنموذج البوزونات المتفاعلة (IBM-1 , IBM-2). قد درست خصائص و صفات المستويات الأوطأ ذات التناظر المختلط مثل  $1 e^{+2} e^{+2} e^{+1}$  التي أستخرجت في فضاء 2-BM لنظائر الكادميوم ذات التناظر الأهتزازي. أن صفة المستوى  $10 e^{+1} e^{+2} e^{+1} e^{+1} e^{+2} e^{+1} e^{+1}$  مشتركة بين المستويين  $2 e^{+1} e^{+1}$