

## Jordan left $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivations Of $\sigma$ -prime rings

*Ikram A.saed\**

Received 15, March, 2010  
Accepted 27 , September, 2010

### Abstract:

It was known that every left  $(\theta, \theta)$  -derivation is a Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$  – derivation on  $\sigma$ -prime rings but the converse need not be true. In this paper we give conditions to the converse to be true.

**Key words:**  $\sigma$ - prime rings ,  $\sigma$ - square closed lie idea, left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation , Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$  -derivations .

### Introduction:

In [1] Ashraf proved that every Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation on prime ring is a left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation on prime ring . In[2] Oukhtite and Salhi proved that every Jordan left derivation on  $\sigma$ -prime ring is a left derivation on  $\sigma$ -prime ring. In this paper we prove that every Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation on  $\sigma$ -prime ring is a left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation on  $\sigma$ -prime ring.

### § 1 Basic Concepts:

#### **Definition 1.1 : [2]**

A ring R is said to be 2-torsion-free if whenever  $2x=0$  with  $x \in R$ , then  $x=0$  .

#### **Definition 1.2 : [3]**

Let R be a ring . Define a lie product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on R as follows  
 $[x, y] = xy - yx$  , for all  $x, y \in R$  .

#### **Properties 1.3: [3]**

Let R be a ring . Then for all  $x, y \in R$  , we have

- $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y] z$  .

- $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z] y$  .

#### **Definition 1.4 : [4]**

A ring R is called a prime if for any  $a, b \in R$ ,  
 $aRb = \{0\}$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$

#### **Definition 1.5 : [2]**

A ring R with involution  $\sigma$  is said to be  $\sigma$ - prime if  $aRb = aR \sigma(b) = \{0\}$  implies that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$

#### **Definition 1.6 : [5]**

A ring R with involution  $\sigma$  , we define  
 $Sa_{\sigma}(R) = \{r \in R / \sigma(r) = r\}$  .

#### **Definition 1.7 : [3]**

A Lie ideal of a ring R is an additive subgroup U of ring R satisfying  $[U, R] \subset U$  .

#### **Definition 1.8 : [4]**

A Lie ideal U of a ring R is said to be  $\sigma$  - lie ideal , if  $\sigma(U) = U$

#### **Definition 1.9 : [2]**

If U is a  $\sigma$  - Lie ideal of a ring R such that

\*University of Technology

$u^2 \in U$  for all  $u \in U$ , then  $U$  is called a  $\sigma$  – square closed Lie ideal .

**Definition 1.10 : [1]**

Let  $R$  be a ring . An additive mapping  $d:R \rightarrow R$  is called a left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation

where  $\theta : R \rightarrow R$  is a mapping of  $R$ , if

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and}$$

we say that  $d$  is a Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$  - derivation

$$\text{If } d(x^2) = \theta(x) d(x) + \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

$$= 2\theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

It is clear that every left  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation of  $R$  is a Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation, but the converse is not true as the following example, shows:

**Example 1.11:-**

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $a \in R$

Such that  $\theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R.$

but  $\theta(x) a \theta(y) \neq 0, \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R, \text{ such that } x \neq y.$

Define a map  $d:R \rightarrow R$  as follows

$$d(x) = \theta(x) a, \text{ for all } x \in R$$

Where  $\theta:R \rightarrow R$  is an endomorphism mapping.

Then  $d$  is a Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation but not a left  $(\theta, \theta)$ -derivation.

It is clear that  $d$  is an additive mapping. Now, we have to show that  $d$  is satisfies

$$d(x^2) = \theta(x) d(x) + \theta(x) d(x) =$$

$$2 \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R$$

$$d(x^2) = \theta(x^2) a$$

$$= \theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for all}$$

$$x \in R$$

$$2 \theta(x) d(x) = 2 \theta(x) \theta(x) a$$

$$= 2 \theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for}$$

$$\text{all } x \in R$$

$$\therefore d(x^2) = 2 \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all}$$

$$x \in R$$

$\therefore d$  is a Jordan left  $(\theta, \theta)$ - derivation of  $R.$

We must prove that  $d$  is not a left  $(\theta, \theta)$ - derivation of  $R.$

$$d(xy) = \theta(xy) a$$

$$= \theta(x) a \theta(y), \text{ for all}$$

$$x, y \in R.$$

but

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x) =$$

$$\theta(x) \theta(y) a + \theta(y) \theta(x) a =$$

$$\theta(x) a \theta(y) + \theta(x) a \theta(y) =$$

$$2 \theta(x) a \theta(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Since  $\theta(x) a \theta(y) \neq 0, \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R.$

$$\therefore d(xy) \neq \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y)$$

$$d(x), \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R.$$

$\therefore d$  is not a left  $(\theta, \theta)$ - derivation of  $R.$

**Lemma 1.12: [2]**

If  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$  is a  $\sigma$  - Lie ideal of a

2- torsion – free  $\sigma$ - Prime ring  $R$  and  $a, b \in R$  such that

$$a U b = \sigma(a) U b = \{0\}, \text{ then } a=0 \text{ or } b=0.$$

**Lemma 1.13:**

Let R be a 2- torsion-free  $\sigma$  - prime ring and U be a  $\sigma$  - square closed Lie ideal of R. suppose that  $\theta$  is an endomorphism of R. If  $d:R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  then

(i)  $d(uv+vu) = 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

(ii)  $d(uvu) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$

(iii)  $d(uvw + wvu) = \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u)$ ,

for all  $u, v, w \in U$

(iv)  $[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

(v)  $[\theta(u), \theta(v)] d([u,v]) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

(vi)  $d(vu^2) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + (3 \theta(v) \theta(u) - \theta(u) \theta(v) ) d(u) - \theta(u) d([u,v])$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Since  $uv + vu = (u + v)^2 - u^2 - v^2$ , we find that  $uv + vu \in U$ . for all  $u, v \in U$

Hence by linearizing

$d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u)$  on  $u$ , we get  $d(uv + vu) = 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ . ————1

(ii) Replacing  $v$  by  $uv + vu$  in 1, we get  $d(u(uv + vu) + (uv + vu) u) = 4\theta(u^2)d(v) + 6\theta(u)\theta(v)d(u)$

$+ 2 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$  ————2

On the other hand,  $d(u(uv + vu) + (uv + vu) u) = d(u^2v + vu^2) + 2d(uvu)$

$= 2 \theta(u^2) d(v)$

$+ 4 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) + 2d(uvu)$ .

Combining the above equation with 2, we get

$d(uvu) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

(iii) By linearizing (ii) on  $u$ , we get  $d((u + w) v(u + w)) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + \theta(w^2) d(v) + \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(w)$ .

—————3

on the other hand,

$d[(u + w) v(u + w)] = d(uvu) + d(wvw) + d(uvw + wvu)$

$= \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) + \theta(w^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(w) + d(uvw + wvu)$ .

—————4

Combining 3 and 4, we get

$d(uvw + wvu) = \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

—————5

(iv) Since  $uv + vu$  and  $uv - vu$  both belong to U

we find that  $2uv \in U$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

Hence, by our hypothesis we find that

$d((2uv)^2) = 2\theta(2uv) d((2uv))$

$4 d((uv)^2) = 8\theta(uv) d(uv)$ . Since

$\text{char } R \neq 2$ , we have

$d((uv)^2) = 2 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv)$ . Replace

$w$  by  $2uv$  in 5, and use the fact that  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , to get

$$d(uv(uv) + (uv)vu) = \{\theta(u^2) \theta(v) + \theta(u) \theta(v) \theta(u)\} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v^2) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(uv) - \theta(v) \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u). \text{---}6$$

On the other hand,

$$d((uv)^2 + uv^2u) = 2 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv) + 2 \theta(u^2) \theta(v) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v^2) d(u) - \theta(v^2) \theta(u) d(u). \text{---}7$$

Combining 6 and 7, we get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) \text{---}8$$

Replacing  $u + v$  for  $v$  in 8, we have

$$2[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) = 2 \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u).$$

Now application of 8 yields (iv)

(v) linearize (iv) on  $u$ , to get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(u) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Now application of 8 and (iv) yields that

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(u) = [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) \text{ and hence } [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \{d(uv) - \theta(u) d(v) - \theta(v) d(u)\} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}9$$

Combining 1 and 9 we find that,

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \{d(vu) - \theta(u) d(v) - \theta(v) d(u)\} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}10$$

Further, combining of 9 and 10 yields the required result.

(vi) replace  $v$  by  $2vu$  in 1, and use the fact that  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , to get

$$d(uvu + vu^2) = 2 \theta(\theta(u) d(uv) + \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)) \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}11$$

Again replacing  $v$  by  $2uv$  in 1, we get

$$d(u^2v + uvu) = 2(\theta(u) d(uv) + \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u)) \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}12$$

Now, combining 11 and 12, we get

$$d(u^2v - vu^2) = 2(\theta(u) d([u,v]) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u)), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}13$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u^2$  in 1, we have

$$d(u^2v + vu^2) = 2(\theta(u^2) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}14$$

Hence, subtracting 13 from 14 and using the fact that  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , we find that

$$d(vu^2) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + \{3\theta(v) \theta(u) - \theta(u) \theta(v)\} d(u) - \theta(u) d([u, v]), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

## § 2 Jordan left $(\theta, \theta)$ - derivations

### on $\sigma$ - square closed Lie ideals:

#### Theorem 2.1:

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free  $\sigma$  - prime ring and let  $U$  be a  $\sigma$ - square closed

Lie ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . If  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping satisfying

$$d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u), \text{ for all } u, \in U, \text{ then}$$

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

#### Proof

Suppose  $[U, U] = 0$  and let  $u, v \in U$ .

From  $d((u + v)^2) = 2 \theta(u + v) d(u + v)$ , it follows that

$$2d(uv) = 2 \theta(u) d(u) + 2 \theta(v) d(v) - d(u^2) - d(v^2) + 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u),$$

In such a way that

$$2d(uv) = 2(\theta(u) d(v) + 2\theta(v) d(u)),$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ .

As  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , then

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u).$$

Hence we shall assume that  $[U, U] \neq 0$

According to Lemma 1.13 (iv) we have

$$\{\theta(u^2)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u^2)\} d(u) = 0$$

For all  $u, v \in U$

Replacing  $[u, w]$  for  $u$  in 1, where

$w \in U$ , we get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2 \theta(v) d([u, w]) - 2[\theta(u), \theta(w)] \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(w)] d([u, w])$$

$$+ \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2 d([u, w]) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Now, application of Lemma 1.13 (v) yields that

$$\theta^{-1}([\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2) U \theta^{-1}(d([u, w])) = \{0\}$$

which implies that  $[u, w]^2 U$

$$\theta^{-1}(d([u, w])) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

let  $x, y \in \text{Sa}_\sigma(R) \cap U$ , we have

$$[x, y]^2 U \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\} =$$

$$\sigma([x, y]^2) U \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) \text{ and by}$$

Lemma 1.12 either  $[x, y]^2 = 0$  or

$$\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$$

If  $\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$ , then  $d([x, y]) = 0$ ,

applying Lemma 1.13 (i) together with

$\text{char } R \neq 2$ , we find that  $d(xy) =$

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x).$$

Now suppose that  $[x, y]^2 = 0$

From Lemma 1.13 (iv) it follows that

$$\{\theta(u^2)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u^2)\} d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Linearizing this relation in  $u$ , we obtain

$$\theta(u)\theta(w)\theta(v) + \theta(w)\theta(u)\theta(v) -$$

$$2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(w) - 2\theta(w)\theta(v)\theta(u) +$$

$$\theta(v)\theta(u)\theta(w) + \theta(v)\theta(w)\theta(u) d(v)$$

$$= 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $[x, y]$  and using Lemma 1.13 (v), we conclude that

$$(-2\theta(u)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) - 2$$

$$\theta(w)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) - 2\theta(w)\theta([x, y])$$

$$\theta(u) + \theta([x, y])\theta(w)\theta(u) d([x, y]) = 0$$

$$\text{-----}2$$

Write  $u[x, y]$  instead of  $u$  in 2, since

$$[x, y]^2 = 0,$$

Lemma 1.13 (v) leads us to

$$\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) d([x, y]) =$$

$$0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y]) U d([x, y]) = \{0\},$$

for all  $u \in U$ .

$$\theta^{-1}[\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y])] U$$

$$\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

$$[x, y] u [x, y] U \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\}, \text{ for}$$

$$\text{all } u \in U.$$

As  $[x, y] \in U \cap \text{Sa}_\sigma(R)$ , the fact that

$$\sigma(U) = U \text{ yields}$$

$$[x, y] u [x, y] U \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\} =$$

$$\sigma([x, y] u [x, y]) U \theta^{-1}(d([x, y]))$$

and using Lemma 1.12, either

$$\theta^{-1}d([x, y]) = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$[x, y] u [x, y] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

If  $\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$  then  $d([x, y]) = 0$

by Lemma 1.13 (i) together with

$\text{char } R \neq 2$ ,

we find that

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x)$$

If  $[x, y] u [x, y] = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$ , then

$$[x, y] U [x, y] = \{0\} = \sigma([x, y]) U$$

$$[x, y].$$

Once again using Lemma 1.12, we get

$$[x, y] = 0 \text{ and}$$

Lemma 1.13 (i) forces  $d(xy) =$

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x).$$

Consequently, in both the cases we

find that

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x), \text{ for all } x,$$

$$y \in U \cap \text{Sa}_\sigma(R) \text{-----}3$$

Now, let  $u, v \in U$ , if we set

$u_1 = u + \sigma(u)$  ,  $u_2 = u - \sigma(u)$   
 $v_1 = v + \sigma(v)$  ,  $v_2 = v - \sigma(v)$   
 then we have  $2u = u_1 + u_2$  and  $2v = v_1 + v_2$ .

Since  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in U \cap Sa_{\sigma}(R)$ ,

application of 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(2u2v) &= d((u_1 + u_2)(v_1 + v_2)) \\ &= d(u_1 v_1 + u_1 v_2 + u_2 v_1 + u_2 v_2) \\ &= \theta(u_1) d(v_1) + \theta(v_1) d(u_1) + \\ &\theta(u_1) d(v_2) + \theta(v_2) d(u_1) + \theta(u_2) d(v_1) \\ &+ \theta(v_1) d(u_2) + \theta(u_2) d(v_2) + \theta(v_2) d(u_2) \\ &= 2 \theta(u) d(2v) + 2 \theta(v) d(2u) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\text{char } R \neq 2$ , it then follows

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

### References:

1. Ashraf, M. 2005. On left  $(\theta, \emptyset)$  – derivations of prime rings, Archivum

mathematicum (Brno). Toms 41:157-166.

2. Oukhtite, L. and Salhi. S. 2008. Centralizing automorphisms and Jordan left derivations of  $\sigma$  - Prime rings, ISSN. 1(1): 19-26.
3. Ashraf, M. and Rehman. N. 2000. On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivation of prime rings, Archivum mathematicum (Brno). 36:201-206.
4. Oukhtite, L., Salhi. S. and Tooufiq. L. 2007. Jordan Generalized Derivations on  $\sigma$  - prime Rings, International Journal of Algebra. 1(5):231-234.
5. Herstein, I.N. 1976. Rings with involution. The University of Chicago press, ed. No. 2, Chicago, pp. 34.

## جوردان $(\theta, \theta)$ – مشتقات يسرى على الحلقات $\sigma$ -اولية

اكرام احمد سعيد \*

\*الجامعة التكنولوجية.

### الخلاصة:

من المعروف ان كل  $(\theta, \theta)$  – مشتقة يسرى هي جوردان  $(\theta, \theta)$  – مشتقة يسرى على الحلقات  $\sigma$ -اولية لكن العكس غير صحيح . في هذا البحث قدمنا الشروط الكافية ليكون الاتجاه المعاكس صحيح .