Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

Research Article

Latest Developments in Hypercube Network Technology: A Review

Turkan Ahmed Khaleel
Department of Computer Engineering,
College of Engineering,
University of Mosul,
Mosul, Iraq
turkan@uomosul.edu.iq

ARTICLEINFO
Article History
Received: 03/08/2024
Accepted: 29/08/2024
Published: 21/12/2024

ABSTRACT
Hypercube networks are very imperative in parallel computing and high-speed networking since it is a multi-dimensional connections that are useful in location dispersion, speed, and capacity. This paper aims to review the state of the art of hypercube network technology in light of the new developments in the field and then highlight the issues of performance enhancement, fault tolerance, and scalability. Recent advancements have significantly integrated the concept of fault tolerance through new methods of redundancy and restoration, interconnection of disjoint cycles for improved traffic flow, and new network indices for enhanced functionality and density. Some emerging trends include AI and ML for optimizing networks and the ability to learn, quantum computing for communication optimization, and the use of 'green' networks or self-healing modular networks. In terms of the existing problems in wearable devices, including scalability and energy consumption, the review also defines the future research objectives. This paper hopes to present a clear synthesis of the most recent advances in hypercube networks and evaluate them in the current context of computing and data processing. This review aims to enhance knowledge and application of hypercube network technologies by outlining important developments and future directions.

***Keywords:* Fault Tolerance; Hypercube Networks; Interconnection Network; Parallel Computing; Scalability.**

1. INTRODUCTION

The hypercube network is one of the commonly used topological models applied in parallel computing and high-speed networking today because of its unique characteristics, such as efficient data dispersion, fast communication, and high-capacity data dealing capacity, all stemming from its multi-dimensional structure [1]. These networks are characterized by the ability to establish a good fit between connectivity and scalability, making them suitable for many modern applications, which include speed and efficacy in data communication. In the last five years, numerous studies have attempted to enhance various factors of hypercube networks based on technology and application development. Among these developments, there has been an emphasis on increasing the fault tolerance of the network through adaptability and the creation of algorithms that allow the avoidance of flawed nodes [2][3]. Furthermore, different studies have oriented toward enhancing the overall flow of traffic within the network's topology by interconnecting disjoint cycles, resulting in improved routing and minimal response times that are positively valued in applications that entail the delivery of high-speed data. Advancements have not only been made in terms of fault tolerance and reliability but also in the creation of new topological indices of design to enhance the general functionality and density of the system components [5][6]. These indices make it possible to extensively analyze the performance of networks and to design a more efficient and flexible network. Accordingly, hypercube networks have become well-suited to processing elaborate and data-oriented applications. The improved features now respond to the strict requirements of the professions, for instance, big data analysis, artificial intelligence (AI), and computational science, which have strict parallelism processing. This smooth increase in the research and development process is expected to greatly improve

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110
DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

the use of these networks and will remain a very important tool in advancing these emerging technologies as well as meeting the future needs of the computational world.

The goal of this review paper is to identify the most recent developments and trends in hypercube network technology by systematically summing up existing literature. Moreover, this work will outline some of the trends in performance enhancement, adaptability, and system growth, and establish some of the problems and possible research opportunities. This study can contribute to the further process of assessing the effectiveness of these networks and to their further development.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This work is a comprehensive survey of the current state of hypercube network technology, with a focus on future improvements, particularly in terms of performance, reliability, and extensibility. Accordingly, this work highlights progress in these domains by reflecting and comparing contemporary research. Some of the current trends that are highlighted include AI and machine learning (ML) for higher network performance, the influence of quantum computing for increased processing power, energy efficiency practices, and modular and asymptotic network designs. Consequently, this work seeks to bring a comprehensive understanding of the recent trends into the new future of hypercube networks.

2.1 Performance Optimization

The enhancement of the hypercube networks has been optimal in its performance and has been the main focus of researchers in the past years. Wirelength problem has benefited from one contribution, which is the vertex decomposition method used to enhance interconnection distances in hypercube networks [7]. This mechanism also helps in avoiding latency while improving the overall networking and communication within the network. Meanwhile, reliability assessments have also been conducted for hierarchical hypercube networks to avail a general understanding of how the network configurations can be such that, they exhibit better reliability features in the context of fault tolerance without necessarily curtailing the efficiency of the network [8]. This method is quite relevant, especially in cases when high availability of the program is needed alongside high performance. Furthermore, research has been conducted on the asymmetry of block shift networks and hierarchical hypercube networks and studied the effect of these structural anomalies on the network. These studies suggest that more attention should be paid to the role of topology in network performance enhancement approaches [9]. Lastly, the creation of new parallel algorithms for diagnosing faults in hypercube networks has also helped improve performance by improving the speed of identifying network faults, therefore minimizing their influence on the overall functionality and productivity [10]. Given these enhancements, hypercube networks are gaining increased capability and resilience, enabling them to accommodate current computing needs (Table 1).

TABLE I. Key studies in network routing and switching

Source	Authors	Year	Focus	Methodology	Key Findings	Notes
[11]	C. Song, J. Tao, Y. Gao, Y. Xu, W. Sun, and H. Wang	2024	Task offloading in MEC networks using PSO	Particle swarm optimization with Latin hypercube sampling	Improved mobility-aware task offloading efficiency	Relevant for optimization in modern wireless networks
[12]	S. K. Shukla	2024	Logical operations in hypercube circuits	Analysis of logical operations in vectors of hypercube circuits	Enhanced understanding of vector operations in hypercube networks	Useful for theoretical analysis in hypercube structures
[13]	K. Karthik, S. Jena, and T. Venu Gopal	2021	Performance evaluation of hypercube networks	Comparative performance evaluation	Identification of efficient hypercube interconnection networks	Practical insights into network efficiency
[14]	G. Ismayilov and C. Ozturan	2023	Privacy-preserving data aggregation on Ethereum using hypercube topology	Implementation of trustless aggregation on blockchain	Enhanced privacy and security in data aggregation	Relevant for blockchain applications using hypercube topology
[15]	E. Chow, H. Madan, J. Peterson, D. Grunwald, and D.	2004	Hyperswitch network for hypercube	Design and implementation of a hyper switch	Improved network communication in hypercube computers	Classic study relevant to network hardware design

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

	Reed		computers	network		

2.2 Fault Tolerance

Algorithms for fault tolerance through redundancy and restoration have been enhanced in hypercube networks to provide greater reliability for crucial applications. The details of several major improvements in this area are presented in Table 2. Some of these improvements are the structural augmentation that helped fault tolerance [16], theoretical papers that introduced new diagnostic capabilities [17], and Hamiltonian cycle reliability [18]. Furthermore, quantum dot cellular automata have been utilized to enhance the reliability and fault tolerance in the memory cells [19]. Fault tolerance and bandwidth efficiency have been enhanced in wireless sensor networks [20]. Meanwhile, new approaches to distributed optimization have enhanced the fault tolerance and efficiency of distributed systems [21]. Last two, fault tolerance has been improved in parallel systems using hierarchical hexagonal networks [22], and fault tolerance improvements in torus-embedded hypercube networks [23].

TABLE II. Overviews of the key studies on fault tolerance in network systems

Source	Authors	Year	Focus	Methodology	Key Findings	Notes
[16]	D. Jin and H. Li	2018	Structure fault-tolerance in enhanced hypercube networks	Analytical evaluation	Improved fault tolerance through structural enhancements	Applicable to advanced hypercube topologies
[17]	J. Liang and Q. Zhang	2017	t/s-diagnosability in hypercube networks	Theoretical analysis under PMC and comparison models	Enhanced diagnosability of network faults	Significant for fault detection models
[18]	A. A. Hnaif, A. A. Tamimi, A. M. Abdalla, and I. H. Jebril	2021	Fault-handling method for Hamiltonian cycles in hypercube topology	Fault-handling mechanism implementation	Enhanced reliability in Hamiltonian cycle handling	Relevant for cycle-based networks
[19]	S. F. Naz, S. Ahmed, S. N. Mughal, M. Asger, J. C. Das, S. Mallik, and M. A. Shah	2024	Fault tolerance in RAM cells using QCA cells	MUX-based modeling and design	Optimized fault tolerance using quantum-dot cellular automata	Relevant for memory fault tolerance
[20]	D. Naik	2024	Fault tolerance in wireless sensor networks	QOS optimization and bandwidth allocation	Improved fault tolerance and bandwidth efficiency	Applicable to wireless network environments
[21]	T. L. Phong and T. T. Phuong	2020	Network fault tolerance with SignSGD	Distributed optimization approach	Improved accuracy and fault tolerance in distributed systems	Applicable to ML frameworks
[22]	L. Tripathy and C. R. Tripathy	2021	Fault-tolerant interconnection network for parallel systems	Hierarchical hexagon network design	Enhanced fault tolerance in parallel systems	Relevant for large-scale parallel computing
[23]	G. K. N., M. S. Kumar, and M. H. S.	2009	Fault-tolerant routing in torus-embedded hypercube networks	Case study of routing mechanisms	Improved fault tolerance in torus-embedded hypercubes	Applicable to hybrid network topologies

2.3. Scalability

Scalability continues to be a problem in hypercube networks, and every attempt to solve it primarily concerns the transition from a few communicating processors to many within the same system. Nevertheless, performance may deteriorate even when the number of processors is augmented. Numerous advanced techniques have been proposed to map other traditional scalability issues to hypercube networks (Table 3). These methods include theoretical analyses that found that block shift and hierarchical hypercube networks possess scalable topological structures [24]. The following partitioning techniques have been suggested for dissection of hypercubes to minimize resource usage [25]. Comparative cost reviews have pointed to issues of scalability advantages created in master–slave multi-super-hypercube topologies [26]. In addition, some new hybrid network designs, such as the tetrahedral hypercube, offer enhanced scalability for the MPS [27]. Cohort detection algorithms when implemented in a hierarchical context have

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

been deemed scalable in efficient cycle detection [28]. Distance-based polynomial analysis has been used to introduce novel topological indices that could be implemented for scalable networks [29]. Early work on scalable optical interconnection networks based on hypercubes has also led to enhancements in the scalability area [30].

TABLE III: Summary papers on scalability in network design

Source	Authors	Year	Focus	Methodology	Key Findings	Notes
[24]	G. J. L. García, M. K. Siddiqui, and A. Hussain	2018	Topological properties of block shift and hierarchical hypercube networks	Theoretical analysis	Identified scalable topological structures	Relevant for hierarchical designs
[25]	N. Alon and J. Balogh	2024	Partitioning the hypercube into smaller hypercubes	Analytical approach	Proposed efficient partitioning methods	Significant for resource optimization
[26]	H. Abachi and R. Y. Lee	2014	System cost analysis in DX-tree and star ring architectures	Comparative cost analysis	Highlighted scalability advantages in master–slave multi-super-hypercube topology	Relevant for cost-effective designs
[27]	R. Padhi and N. Adhikari	2023	Tetrahedral hyper-cube: a scalable hybrid network for parallel processing	Hybrid network design	Improved scalability in massively parallel systems	Significant for parallel processing
[28]	R.-Y. Wu, G.-H. Chen, J.-S. Fu, and G. J. Chang	2008	Finding cycles in hierarchical hypercube networks	Cycle detection algorithm	Identified efficient cycles in hierarchical structures	Applicable to hierarchical scalability
[29]	T. Gao and I. Ahmed	2021	Topological indices for hierarchical hypercube networks	Distance-based polynomial analysis	Derived new indices for scalable designs	Relevant for network topologies
[30]	A. Louri and H. Sung	1994	Optical interconnection network based on hypercube for scalability	Optical network design	Proposed scalable optical interconnection for parallel systems	Early work on optical scalability

3. HYPERCUBE NETWORK TECHNOLOGICAL TRENDS IN THE MODERN WORLD

In recent years, hypercube networks have undergone significant developments, leading to new trends that elevate taking these networks to unprecedented levels. These trends are mainly centered on increasing performance rates [2][6], achieving larger scalability, effectively managing failure, and interoperability with new technologies. This integration ensures that hypercube networks are always at the cutting edge of high-speed computing and interconnection solutions and can be regarded as a state-of-the-art network technology [14].

3.1. AI and ML in Cloud Computing Integration

AI and ML are proving to be pivotal in improving the handling and efficiency of hypercube networks in cloud computing. These technologies enable accurate network parameter adjustment, proactive failure analysis, and optimal resource control. When AI and ML work in conjunction with hypercube networks, the entire upper limit of cloud computing solutions can effectively be realized in terms of scalability, fault tolerance, and energy efficiency [7][11].

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

3.2. Quantum Computing Synergies

The future development trends include extending hypercube networks to improve the use of quantum computers. Quantum computation can improve the communication of hypercube networks and solve more problems in less time than conventional hypercube networks [14][24].

3.3. Energy-efficient Designs

Power consumption is one of the prominent concerns in hypercube network structures, especially for large-scale networks. Long-term trends aim at finding ways to design solutions that will enable the creation of necessary networks while minimizing power consumption. Some of the methods used include dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) and energy-aware routing protocols among others [1].

3.4. Modular and Scalable Architectures

In terms of scalability, an increasing number of hypercube networks are using modularity as the fundamental architecture. These adaptations enable the network to be more flexibly extended and can also easily be adapted to be deconstructed in the same modular manner. This notion means that sub-modules can be added or removed with little or no effect on the rest of the network. Such an approach benefits the further extendibility of the node, improves adaptability to new requirements, and ensures high levels of performance, even in large hypercube networks [26][27].

3.5. Enhanced Fault Tolerance Mechanisms

Improving fault tolerance in hypercube networks remains a significant challenge, with the ongoing development of new methods for improved diagnosis, isolation, and resolution of the faults. Key parameters, such as error correction code (ECC) and redundancy, are becoming increasingly critical to enhancing a network's reliability. The latest trends indicated that high-speed interconnects and optical networks have become key components of these networks. These technologies reduce congestion and significantly boost data transfer rates. This approach eliminates delay, making hypercube networks highly suitable for applications that require intensive data processing, aligning with high-performance computing paradigms. Table 4 summarizes the comparative study of today's trends in hypercube network technology. This table outlines the trends, their descriptions, advantages, and associated challenges [28][30].

TABLE IV. Comparative table of today's last styles

Trend	Description	Advantages	Challenges
AI and ML integration	Meta performance routing, fault prediction and resource optimization using AI/ML	Better network efficiency and fault tolerance	Added complexity and computational overhead
Quantum computing synergies	Higher processing via quantum computing nodes	Better problem solving	Integration challenges and expensive tech
Energy-efficient designs	Cloud compute techniques and energy-aware routing to save power	Green and economic network operation	Performance versus efficiency tradeoffs
Architectures that can scale modularity and scalability	Network designs cause ease of scaling to your network	Easier maintenance and faster upgrades that permit efficient extensibility	The initial cost and design complexity are high.
Improved failure tolerant behaviors	Either advanced ECC and/or strengthening strategies, real-time monitoring (per polling group), self-healing	Improved reliability and reduced downtime	Complex hard and software demands
High-speed interconnects and optical networking	Higher data transfer rates using high-speed and optical technological adoption	Lower latency and higher bandwidth	This will greatly reduce the latency and increase bandwidth

4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

This study included a set of newly developed hypercube network improvements, focusing on enhancing performance and flexibility while minimizing computational costs. Notable outcomes involved an enhanced network of frequency of communication where the connectivity between nodes had been upgraded, resulting in reduced latency

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

during data transmission. Futhermore, the development of new and more efficient algorithms, such as the recent developments in packet routing and in tolerating faults, has been a key factor in improving the stability of the network and its capability to withstand failure. The progress is evident when comparing these developments with previous investigations, as the new solutions are more energy-efficient and consume fewer resources on average. However, some problems exist, especially in dealing with intricate designs and the constantly increasing demand for more complex solutions for large networks. Accordingly, these results indicate that hypercube network technology remains a critical dependency in next-generation or higher-order applications, such as supercomputing and massive data processing. However, in this paradigm of advancement, more modern solutions are expected to address existing drawbacks, pushing the technology toward new frontiers.

4.1. Performance Optimization

In recent years, research has significantly contributed to improving the optimization capabilities of hypercube networks, especially through the use of routing algorithms and artificial neural networks. Smart routing protocols that leverage AI and ML technologies work with the network based on the current situation, reducing latency and enhancing the transmission rate. This approach proves beneficial in large hypercube networks, where static routing techniques work less effective due to the dynamic nature of the communication topology[15-16]. These AI-based routing techniques continuously learn from changes in the network environment and are capable of delivering data with enhanced efficiency in challenging scenarios. Therefore, the integration of ANN and AI solutions is a crucial step to work in this direction, mitigating the limitations of traditional approaches and paving the way for the creation of high-performance hypercube networks[26-28].

4.2. Fault Tolerance

Hypercube networks are renowned for their high fault tolerance, which is a result of their structure and the integration of modern technology for error detection and control mechanisms. Another important characteristic of these networks is their good fault tolerance combined with the use of ECCs and redundancy tools that improve network availability. The hypercube network can handle faults by rerouting data through other paths if a specific way in the network fails [2][3]. This resilience is attributed to the network that is composed of nodes that are independent of each other, meaning that the failure of one node does not jeopardize the operation of the others. Furthermore, real-time analysis and self-repair mechanisms help in stabilizing the network. These techniques help the network to easily identify and rectify faults to ensure that they do not affect the network’s performance, and the levels remain high at all times. However, the combination of these high-level sophisticated fault tolerance techniques presents certain difficulties, such as increased computation and implementation. Nevertheless, the above-described techniques are critical for enhancing network stability and minimizing the effects of faults in hypercube network design for today’s growing hypercubes [23-24].

4.3. Scalability

In terms of scalability, hypercube networks have presented certain challenges. Accordingly, modular networks have emerged as a successful strategy for system implementation. Such designs allow the network’s capacity to scale with the addition or removal of modules, with minimal compromise in efficiency. Although the initial cost and implementation of a modular architecture are higher and more time consuming compared with non-modular systems, the flexibility of the design in meeting organizational needs over time justifies the sustainability of this approach [25][30].

4.4. Energy Efficiency

One of the major issues that challenge hypercube networks is that of energy efficiency, and the strategies that can be used to address it include DVFS and energy-aware routing. These solutions help bring a positive effect on the sustainability of the networks. However, the issue remains as to where the middle ground can be identified and breached to achieve high energy efficiency and maintain high network performance; This situation underscores the need for further research to develop more integrated and effectively functioning solutions to this issue [26].

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

4.5. Integration with Emerging Technologies

The combination of quantum computing, concepts of optical networking, and hypercube networks may be seen as a direction for further improvement. Quantum computing improves the efficiency in processing. Meanwhile, optical networking has a tremendous boost in the transmission of data, particularly in the realms of bandwidth and latency. However, the integration of these new technologies also poses great technical problems, such as dealing with compatibility issues and synchronizing systems [30].

A comparative analysis of the overall conclusions of the current study is presented in Table 5. This analysis recapitulates what it grew in terms of changes issues and tradeoffs, specifically in scalability energy, and adaptability to integration with new technologies. Such comparisons are useful to assess the basic accomplishments and areas that require additional work.

TABLE V. Discussion — Comparative analysis of the main findings

Focus Area	Advancements	Benefits	Challenges
Performance optimization	Routing based on AI and ML and adaptive protocols	Higher throughput and lower latency	More computational complexity and overhead
Fault tolerance	It will have advanced ECC, redundancy strategies, real-time monitoring, and many other self-healing features.	Increased reliability and lower downtime	It requires a substantial amount of resources and integration efforts
Scalability	Modular network designs	Elastic scaling and easy maintenance	Pros: initial investment technical integration issues
Energy efficiency	DVFS and energy-aware routing	Lower power consumption and good for the planet	Efficient power usage balanced with performance
Supports integration with new technological trends	Optical networking and quantum computing	Increased computing power per customer and faster data links	Overcoming technical barriers, compatibility, and syncing options

5. CONCLUSION

This study provides details on Hypercube networking technology, proving how it has aided prior analysis on the effectiveness and stability of this type of network. Recent research highlights how emerging technologies, such as AI and quantum computing, have brought innovation to hypercube network design and management, with the capability to address new complicated issues and requisite energy consumption levels. This study also explored the lives of contemporary, dynamic victims to greatly improving Hypercube's reliable security networks and their functioning. The following recommendations have been proposed based on this study,: further research must be carried out to understand how dynamic expansion may be enhanced to meet increasing needs and how they can be incorporated into new designs. Other enhanced applications of AI can also be applied to ensure better solutions for the management of networks and effectively utilize the available resources. Moreover, quantum computing solutions must be developed to optimize performance and address issues currently existing in networks. Lastly, prioritizing energy-optimal designs is essential to enhance sustainability and mitigate the challenges that hypercube networks pose in their operating environments.

References

[1] N. F. Tzeng, "Analysis of a variant hypercube topology," Computer Architecture News, vol. 18, pp. 60–70, 1990. doi:10.1145/255129.255140.

[2] S. Samavi and P. Khadivi, "Fault-tolerant routing in hypercube networks by avoiding faulty nodes," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 54015–54023, 2019. doi:10.1109/access.2019.2912014.

[3] M. Liu, "Vertex-fault-tolerant cycles embedding in 4-conditionally faulty enhanced hypercube networks," IEEE Access, 2024. doi:10.1109/access.2024.3410175.

[4] W. Wu and E. Sabir, "Embedding spanning disjoint cycles in hypercube networks with prescribed edges in each cycle," Axioms, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 861–861, 2023. doi:10.3390/axioms12090861.

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110
DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

[5] P. Sarkar, N. De, and A. Pal, "Correction to: On some multiplicative version topological indices of block shift and hierarchical hypercube networks," Opsearch, vol. 59, no. 2, p. 573, 2021. doi:10.1007/s12597-021-00548-y.

[6] T. Gao and I. Ahmed, "Distance-based polynomials and topological indices for hierarchical hypercube networks," Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021. doi:10.1155/2021/5877593.

[7] A. Micheal, L. JiaBao, and S. Arul Jeya, "Vertex decomposition method for wirelength problem and its applications to enhanced hypercube networks," IET Computers & Digital Techniques, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 87–92, 2019. doi:10.1049/iet-cdt.2018.5100.

[8] S. Zhao and R. Hao, "Reliability assessment of hierarchical hypercube networks," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 54015–54023, 2019. doi:10.1109/access.2019.2912014.

[9] J. Fang, I. Ahmed, A. Mehboob, K. Nazar, and H. Ahmad, "Irregularity of block shift networks and hierarchical hypercube networks," Journal of Chemistry, vol. 2019, pp. 1–12, 2019. doi:10.1155/2019/1042308.

[10] Y. Guo, J. Liang, F. Liu, and M. Xie, "Novel fault diagnosis parallel algorithm for hypercube networks," Computer Science, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 73–76, 2019. doi:10.11896/j.issn.1002-137x.2019.05.011.

[11] C. Song, J. Tao, Y. Gao, Y. Xu, W. Sun, and H. Wang, "Particle swarm optimization with Latin hypercube sampling for mobility-aware task offloading in D2D-assisted MEC networks," Research Square, 2024. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-4105847/v1.

[12] S. K. Shukla, "Study of logical operations in vectors of a circuit of hypercube," International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 30–32, 2024. doi:10.26483/ijarcs.v15i1.7051.

[13] K. Karthik, S. Jena, and T. Venu Gopal, "Performance evaluation, comparison and identification of efficient hypercube interconnection networks," Research Article, 2021. Available at: doi.org.

[14] G. Ismayilov and C. Ozturan, "Trustless privacy-preserving data aggregation on Ethereum with hypercube network topology," arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15267v1 [cs.CR], 2023. Available at: arxiv.org.

[15] E. Chow, H. Madan, J. Peterson, D. Grunwald, and D. Reed, "Hyperswitch network for the hypercube computer," NASA Tech Briefs, vol. 28, no. 2, p. 58, 2004.

[16] D. Jin and H. Li, "The structure fault-tolerance of enhanced hypercube networks," Destech Transactions on Engineering and Technology Research, 2018. doi:10.12783/dtetr/ecar2018/26350.

[17] J. Liang and Q. Zhang, "The t/s -diagnosability of hypercube networks under the PMC and comparison models," IEEE Access, vol. 5, pp. 5340–5346, 2017. doi:10.1109/access.2017.2672602.

[18] A. A. Hnaif, A. A. Tamimi, A. M. Abdalla, and I. H. Jebril, "A fault-handling method for the Hamiltonian cycle in the hypercube topology," Computers, Materials and Continua, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 505–519, 2021. doi:10.32604/cmc.2021.016123.

[19] S. F. Naz, S. Ahmed, S. N. Mughal, M. Asger, J. C. Das, S. Mallik, and M. A. Shah, "Optimizing fault tolerance of RAM cell through MUX based modeling and design using symmetries of QCA cells," Scientific Reports, vol. 14, no. 1, 2024. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-59185-2.

[20] D. Naik, "QOS in wireless sensor network-fault tolerance and efficient bandwidth allocation," International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, vol. 12, pp. 23–29, 2024. doi:10.15680/ijircce.2024.1203504.

[21] T. L. Phong and T. T. Phuong, "Distributed SignSGD with improved accuracy and network-fault tolerance," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 191839–191849, 2020. doi:10.1109/access.2020.3032637.

Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics
Information Technology and Communications University
Vol. 50, No. 2, 2024, pp. 101-110

DOI: https://doi.org/10.25195/ijci.v50i2.515
Print ISSN: 2313-190X, Online ISSN: 2520-4912

[22] L. Tripathy and C. R. Tripathy, "Hierarchical hexagon: A new fault-tolerant interconnection network for parallel systems," Cybernetics and Information Technologies, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 32–49, 2021. doi:10.2478/cait-2021-0003.

[23] G. K. N., M. S. Kumar, and M. H. S., "A case study of fault tolerant routing mechanism for torus embedded hypercube interconnection network for parallel architecture," International Journal of Information Technology and Knowledge Management, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 361-364, 2009.

[24] G. J. L. García, M. K. Siddiqui, and A. Hussain, "On topological properties of block shift and hierarchical hypercube networks," Open Physics, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 810–819, 2018. doi:10.1515/phys-2018-0101.

[25] N. Alon and J. Balogh, "Partitioning the hypercube into smaller hypercubes," Cornell University, 2024. doi:10.48550/arxiv.2401.00299.

[26] H. Abachi and R. Y. Lee, "Total system cost analysis and comparison of DX-tree and star ring architectures in conjunction with master-slave multi-super-hypercube topology," International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 26, 2014. doi:10.2991/ijndc.2014.2.1.3.

[27] R. Padhi and N. Adhikari, "Tetrahedral hyper-cube: A new scalable hybrid interconnection network for massive parallel processing," SJIS-P, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 9–29, 2023. Available at: sjisscandinavian-iris.

[28] R.-Y. Wu, G.-H. Chen, J.-S. Fu, and G. J. Chang, "Finding cycles in hierarchical hypercube networks," Information Processing Letters, vol. 109, pp. 112-115, 2008. Available at: Elsevier.

[29] T. Gao and I. Ahmed, "Distance-based polynomials and topological indices for hierarchical hypercube networks," Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021. Available at: doi.org.

[30] A. Louri and H. Sung, "A hypercube-based optical interconnection network: A solution to the scalability requirements for massively parallel computers," in Proc. First Int. Workshop Massively Parallel Processing Using Optical Interconnections, Cancun, Mexico, Apr. 1994, pp. 81-93. IEEE Press.