



Pointwise Approximation of Piecewise Convex Function in L_p , Quasi Normed Spaces

Iktifa Diaa Jaleel ¹ Eman Samir Bhaya ²

^{1&2}Mathematics Department. College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon
Aktfaa.jalil@student.uobabylon.edu.iq, emanbhaya@uobabylon.edu.iq.

Corresponding author Email: Aktfaa.jalil@student.uobabylon.edu.iq,

Received: 23/9/2021 Accepted: 23/11/2021 Published: 1/11/2021

Abstract

Some authors introduced direct inequalities for the constrained approximation of convex and piecewise convex functions in $C[-1,1]$ with restricted degree of approximation. Here we study the pointwise constrained approximation of piecewise convex functions by pointwise polynomials .

Key words:

pointwise, L_p space, convex approximation, quasi normed spaces

Citation:

Iktifa Diaa Jaleel ¹ ; Eman Samir Bhaya ². Pointwise Approximation of Piecewise Convex Function in L_p , Quasi Normed Spaces. Journal of University of Babylon for Pure and applied science (JUBPAS). October-December , 2021. Vol.29; No.3; p: 145-153

1. Introduction and Notations:

Let $\mathcal{F} \in L_p(I)$ change its convexity finitely many, say $s \geq 0$, time in the interval.

define $L_p(I) = \{\mathcal{F}: I \rightarrow R : \mathcal{F} \in L_p\}$, where $I = [-1,1]$,

$L_p^r(I) = \{\mathcal{F}: I \rightarrow R : \mathcal{F}^r \in L_p\}$, $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L_p} = (\int_{-1}^1 |\mathcal{F}(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, For $\kappa \in N$ and interval I , $s \geq 0$, times interval.

We are interested in pointwise estimates on the approximation of \mathcal{F} by algebraic polynomials there are coconvex with it.

The set of all collections $Y_s := \{y_i\}_{i=1}^s$ such that $-1 < y_s < \dots < y_1 < 1$, denoted by Y_s , $s \in N$.

Let $\Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$ denoted the collection of all function $\mathcal{F} \in L_p[-1,1]$ that change convexity at the set Y_s and are convex in $[y_1, 1]$. Namely in the interval $[y_{i+1}, y_i]$, \mathcal{F} is convex when i is even, and is concave when i is odd. We also use the notation $y_0 = 1$ and $y_{s+1} = -1$. Denote

$$\Pi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s (x - y_i) \quad (1.1)$$

Then for example, if $\mathcal{F} \in L_p^2[-1,1] \cap L_p[-1,1]$ then $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$ if and only if $\Delta_h^{(2)}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ in $(-1,1)$



The convex function as the case $s = 0$, where we write $Y_0 := \{\emptyset\}$

\mathcal{P}_n the set of algebraic polynomials of degree $< n$

Norm estimates on the degree of approximation of $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$ by

$\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, namely, estimates of $E_n^{(2)}(\mathcal{F}, Y_s) := \inf_{\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)} \|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_n\|_p$

$\Delta_h^k \mathcal{F}(x) := \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \mathcal{F}\left(x + \frac{k}{2}h + ih\right)$, if $x \mp \frac{k}{2}h \in I$ and $= 0$ otherwise.

$w_k(\mathcal{F}, t) := \sup_{h \in [0, t]} \|\Delta_h^k \mathcal{F}\|_p = \sup_{h \in [0, t]} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |\Delta_h^k \mathcal{F}(x)|$, $t > 0$ is the k th modulus of smoothness of \mathcal{F} on I .

Given $n \in N$, $\kappa \in N$, $r \in N_0$, $s \in N_0$ and $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. We wish to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n, \kappa, r}^{(2)}(\mathcal{F}, Y_s) &:= \inf_{\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_n}{\Omega_n^r w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}, \Omega_n)} \right\| \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)}{\Omega_n^r(x) w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}, \Omega_n(x))} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

Where $\vartheta(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}$ and $\Omega_n(x) = \vartheta(x)n^{-1} + n^{-2}$, $x \in [-1, 1]$,

In [1] by Nikolskii, Timan, Dzyadyk, Frend and Brudnyi study the classical pointwise estimates for unconstrained approximation which is also true for coconvex approximation .

In [5, 6] the authors restricted them attention to the following three cases:

a) $r \geq 3$ and $s = 1$, b) $r = 2, \kappa \leq 3$ and $s = 1$, c) $r = 0$ and $\kappa = 3$. All other cases also have been investigated .

For instance, for $s \geq 2$, it introduced by [3,8] that for no $\kappa \geq 1$, and $r \geq 0$. is it possible to have constants $C = C(\kappa, r, s)$ and $N = N(\kappa, r, s)$, depending only on κ, r and s , such that the inequality

$$E_{n, \kappa, r}^{(2)}(\mathcal{F}, Y_s) \leq c, \quad (1.2)$$

Is satisfied for all $n \geq N$ and $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, and for all $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s) \cap L_p^r(I)$.

Furthermore, for $s = 1$, it follow by [7,Theorem 2] that no $\kappa \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$ such that $\kappa + r > 2$, and $Y_1 \in \mathbb{Y}_1$, is possible to have constants $c = c(\kappa, r, Y_1)$ and $N = N(\kappa, r, Y_1)$, depending only on κ, r and Y_1 , such that (1.2) hold for all $n \geq N$ and for all $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s) \cap L_p^r(I)$.

In [4] and [5] the authors studied the pointwise approximation of special polynomials. In this chapter we study the general pointwise approximation by any piecewise polynomial for function in $L_p[-1, 1]$.

$\Sigma_\kappa := \Sigma_{\kappa, n}$ the x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ piecewise polynomials of degree not exceeding $\kappa - 1$,that are continuous.

$\Sigma_{\kappa, n}^1$ is the space of all piecewise polynomials \mathcal{P} with $\|\mathcal{P}'\|_p < \infty$,

That is, $\mathcal{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa, n}$, if

$$\mathcal{S}|I_j = \mathcal{P}_{j, n} =: \mathcal{P}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

Where $\mathcal{P}_j \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$, and $\mathcal{P}_j(x) =: \mathcal{P}_{j+1}(x)$. $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Given $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, let

$O_i := O_{i, n}(Y_s) := (x_{i+1}, x_{i-2})$, if $y_i \in [x_i, x_{i-1}]$, denote

$$O := O(n, Y_s) := \bigcup_{i=1}^s O_i, \quad O(n, \emptyset) := \emptyset,$$

And write $j \in H = H(n, Y_s)$, if $I_j \cap O = \emptyset$,



Finally, denote by $\sum_{\kappa,n} (\mathbb{Y}_s) \subseteq \sum_{\kappa,n}$, the subset of those piecewise polynomials for which $\mathcal{P}_j \equiv \mathcal{P}_{j+1}$, whenever both $j, j+1 \notin H$.

For $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa, \Gamma \not\equiv 0$. And $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\kappa,n} (\mathbb{Y}_s)$, denote

$$b_{i,j}(\mathbb{S}) := b_{i,j;n}(\mathbb{S}) = \frac{\|p_i - p_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^\kappa, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Also, denote

$$b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}) := b_{\kappa,n}(\mathbb{S}) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{i,j}(\mathbb{S}).$$

2. Auxiliary Lemma

In this section we introduce the result that we need to prove our Theorems.

Lemma 2.1 [1]

For any $\in I_i, \mathcal{F}: [-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_i(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa (\Gamma(h_j) + |\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_i|)$$

Lemma 2.2 [6]

($|B_\nu| \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, \nu = 1, 2, 3$), and $|B_3| \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa, |B_2| \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j} \right)^{\kappa-1}$ and $|B_1| := h_{i,j} A_1, A_1 \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \frac{h_{i,j}^{\kappa-1}}{h_j^\kappa}$, where $B_1(x) = \int_{x_i}^{x_j} (x-u) \mathbb{S}'' du, B_2(x) = \int_{x_i}^x (x-u) \mathcal{P}_i'' du, B_3(x) = - \int_{x_i}^x (x-u) \mathcal{P}_i'' du$, and \mathbb{S}, \mathcal{P} are polynomials.

Lemma 2.3 [6]

$|(x - x_j)_+ - \tau_j(x)| \leq c(b, s) h_j \mathfrak{T}_j^b(x)$, where $\mathfrak{T}_j^b(x) = \left(\frac{h_j}{|x-x_j| + h_j} \right)^b$, τ_j are polynomials with $b = \kappa + 3$, and

$$(x - x_j)_+ := \begin{cases} x - x_j, & \text{if } x \geq x_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.4 [6]

$\tau_j'' \Pi(x) \Pi(x_j) \geq 0, x \in [-1,1], x_j \in I_j$.

Lemma 2.5 [6]

$\Omega_n^2(y) < 4\Omega(|x-y| + \Omega),$
 $(|x-y| + \Omega)/2 < |x-y| + \Omega_n(y) < 2(|x-y| + \Omega), \quad x, y \in [-1,1].$

3. Main Results

We begin this section to prove some Lemmas by properties of the $b_{i,j}$'s.

Proposition (3.1)

Let $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F} \in L_p(I)$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\kappa,n}$, If $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t)_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(t)$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathcal{F} - \mathbb{S}\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(\|\Omega_n(x)\|_p), \quad x \in [-1,1]$$

Then

$$b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}, \Gamma) \leq c(\kappa, p)$$

where $c(p, \kappa)$ depends on p and κ .



Proof.

Recalled that $\Gamma \not\equiv 0$, so that $\Gamma(x) > 0, x > 0$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$\text{we have } b_{ij}(\mathbb{S}) = \frac{\|p_i - p_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa$$

$$b_{ij}(\mathbb{S}, \Gamma) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa + \frac{\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa \right) := J_1 + J_2,$$

where $\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p = (\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F})^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

$$\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p = \left(\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

now by (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\|p_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(\|\Omega_n(x)\|_p) \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_i), x \in [-1, 1],$$

$$\text{Whence } J_1 = \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa, J_1 \leq \frac{\Gamma(h_i)}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa \leq 1,$$

Hence, $J_1 \leq 1$, where we used the fact that if $h_i \leq h_j$,

then $\Gamma(h_i) \leq \Gamma(h_j)$, and if $h_i > h_j$ then $\Gamma(h_i)/\Gamma(h_j)h_j^{-\kappa} \leq h_i^{-\kappa}$.

So as for J_2 , we observe that

$$w_\kappa(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j, t)_p = w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t)_p \leq \Gamma(t).$$

So that by Lemma (2.1), taking $x_0 := x_j$ and $h := \frac{h_j}{\kappa-1}$, we obtain for each $x \in I_i$,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa (\Gamma(h_j) + \|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p) \text{ and so,}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p \leq c(p) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa \Gamma(h_j). \text{ Applying (3.2)}$$

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}} \right)^\kappa \leq c(p), \text{ therefore } J_2 \leq c.$$

Proposition 3.3

Let $\kappa \geq 3$, $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa;n}^1$. Then $b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}) \leq c(p) \left\| \frac{\Omega^2 \mathbb{S}''}{\Gamma(\Omega)} \right\|_p$,

Proof.

since $\mathcal{P}_j(x) = s(-1) + s'(-1)(x+1) + \int_{-1}^{x_j} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_j}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}_{j,j}(u)du$. and

$$\mathcal{P}_i(x) = s(-1) + s'(-1)(x+1) + \int_{-1}^{x_i} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_i}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}_{i,i}(u)du.$$

We have $\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i = \int_{x_i}^{x_j} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_j}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}_{j,j}(u)du - \int_{x_i}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}_{i,i}(u)du =: B_1(x) + B_2(x) + B_3(x)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p \leq c(p)(\|B_1(x)\|_p + \|B_2(x)\|_p + \|B_3(x)\|_p).$$

Clearly, our proposition readily follows from the inequalities

$$(3.4) \quad (\|B_v\|_p \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, v = 1, 2, 3)$$

Now to prove (3.4) for $v = 1, 2, 3$

So by Lemma (2.2) ($|B_v| \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, v = 1, 2, 3$)



$$\|B_3(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j}\right)^k, \|B_2(x)\|_p \leq c(P)\Gamma(h_j) \frac{(h_{i,j})^{k-1}}{h_j^k}.$$

$$\|B_1(x)\|_p \leq h_{i,j} B_1, \text{ where } B_1 \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \frac{(h_{i,j})^{k-1}}{h_j^k}$$

So $\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \{b_{i,j}(s, \Gamma)\} = b_k(s, \Gamma)$

So $\frac{\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_i}{h_{i,j}}\right)^k =: b_{i,j}(s, \Gamma)$, we have $b_k(s) \leq \|B_\nu(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j}\right)^k, x \in I_i, \nu = 1, 2, 3.$

(i.e. $\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j}\right)^k$. This prove is complete.

Theorem (3.5)

Let $k \geq 3, \Gamma \in \Phi^k, S \in \sum_{k;n/2}(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, where n is an even number, and

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{i;n/2} - \mathcal{P}_{i-1;n/2}\|_{p(I_{i;\frac{n}{2}} \cup I_{i-1;\frac{n}{2}})} \leq c(p)\Gamma(x_{i-2;\frac{n}{2}} - x_{i;\frac{n}{2}}), 2 \leq i \leq n/2$$

where $S|I_{i;\frac{n}{2}} =: \mathcal{P}_{i;\frac{n}{2}}, i = 1, \dots, n/2$, then there is an $\tilde{S} \in \sum_{k;n}^1(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|S(x) - \tilde{S}(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(\Omega), x \in [-1, 1]$$

Proof.

For $2 \leq j \leq n$, set

$$a_j(x) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_{j-1} - x_{j-2}}{x_{j-1} - x_j} \frac{S'(x_{j-1} + 0) - S'(x_{j-1} - 0)}{x_j - x_{j-2}} (x - x_j)^2$$

And $a_1(x) \equiv 0$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, set

$$b_j(x) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{x_j - x_{j-1}} \frac{S'(x_j + 0) - S'(x_j - 0)}{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}} (x - x_{j-1})^2, \text{ and } b_n(x) \equiv 0.$$

Then

$$\tilde{S}(x) = S(x) + a_j(x) + b_j(x), x \in I_j,$$

Is the required function, simple computation, give

$$a_{j+1}(x_j) = b_j(x_j), 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \text{ while}$$

$$b_{j+1}(x_j) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_{j+1} - x_{j+2}}{x_{j+1} - x_j} \frac{S'(x_{j+1} + 0) - S'(x_{j+1} - 0)}{x_{j+2} - x_j} (x - x_j)^2 =$$

$$a_j(x_j) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_{j-1} - x_{j-2}}{x_{j-1} - x_j} \frac{S'(x_{j-1} + 0) - S'(x_{j-1} - 0)}{x_j - x_{j-2}} (x - x_j)^2 = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Hence $\tilde{S}(x_j + 0) = \tilde{S}(x_j - 0), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

$$\text{Also } \tilde{S}'(x_j + 0) = \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}} S'(x_j - 0) + \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}} S'(x_j + 0) =$$

$$\frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}} S'(x_j + 0) + \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}} S'(x_j - 0) = \tilde{S}'(x_j - 0), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$$

So that $\tilde{S} \in \sum_{k;n}^1$.

We need to prove that

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{S} \in \sum_{k;n}^1(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s),$$

In order to prove that $\tilde{S} \in \sum_{k;n}^1(Y_s)$, we have to show, with $\mathcal{P}_j := \tilde{S}|I_j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, that if $j, j+1 \notin H(n, Y_s)$, then $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{j+1}$, rec all that

$\mathcal{P}_{i;n/2} := \tilde{S}|I_{i;\frac{n}{2}}, i = 1, \dots, n/2$ and distinguish between two cases, either j is even, in

which case $j/2 \notin H(n/2, Y_s)$ and $\frac{j}{2} + 1 \notin H(n/2, Y_s)$

Hence $\mathcal{P}_{\frac{j}{2};n/2} = \mathcal{P}_{\frac{j}{2}+1;n/2}$, which implies that



$\mathbb{S}'(x_{j+\nu} + 0) = \mathbb{S}'(x_{j+\nu} - 0)$, for $\nu = 0, \pm 1$, that is, $a_j = a_{j+1} = b_j = b_{j+1} = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{j+1}$ as required.

Or j is odd, which case x_j is not a node of \mathbb{S} , and it follows that there is an inflection point y_i in the interval $[x_{\frac{j+1}{2}}, x_{\frac{j-1}{2}}]$, so that $\frac{j+\nu}{2} \notin H\left(\frac{n}{2}, Y_s\right)$, for $\nu = 3, \pm 1$.

Hence $\mathbb{S}'\left(x_{\frac{j+1}{2}}, \frac{n}{2}\right) = \mathbb{S}'\left(x_{\frac{j+1}{2}}, \frac{n}{2} - 0\right)$ and $\mathbb{S}'\left(x_{\frac{j-1}{2}}, \frac{n}{2}\right) = \mathbb{S}'\left(x_{\frac{j-1}{2}}, \frac{n}{2} - 0\right)$, which in turn imply that $a_j = b_{j+1} \equiv 0$, also $\mathbb{S}'(x_j + 0) = \mathbb{S}'(x_j - 0)$, since x_j is not a node of \mathbb{S} , which implies that $a_{j+1} = b_j \equiv 0$

Thus, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}(x) = \mathbb{S}(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\frac{j+1}{2}}(x)$ for $x \in [x_{\frac{j+1}{2}}, x_{\frac{j-1}{2}}]$.

This completes the proof that

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{S}} \in \sum_{k,n}^1(Y_s), \text{ Finally, } a''_j(x) = \frac{x_{j-2}-x_{j-1}}{(x_{j-2}-x_j)(x_{j-1}-x_j)} (\mathbb{S}'(x_{j-1} + 0) - \mathbb{S}'(x_{j-1} - 0)) \text{ and} \\ b''_j = \frac{x_j-x_{j+1}}{(x_{j-1}-x_{j+1})(x_{j-1}-x_j)} (\mathbb{S}'(x_j + 0) - \mathbb{S}'(x_j - 0))$$

So that we readily conclude that

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{S}}''(x)\Pi(x) \geq 0, \in [-1,1] \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1},$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain (3.7).

In order to conclude the proof, recall that for odd j , $\mathbb{S}'(x_j + 0) - \mathbb{S}'(x_j - 0) = 0$ and if j is even, then by Markov's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}'(x_j + 0) - \mathbb{S}'(x_j - 0)\|_p &= \left\| \mathcal{P}'_{\frac{j+1}{2}}(x_j) - \mathcal{P}'_{\frac{j-1}{2}}(x_j) \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{2\kappa^2}{h_{\frac{j+1}{2}} + h_{\frac{j-1}{2}}} \left\| \mathcal{P}_{\frac{j+1}{2}} - \mathcal{P}_{\frac{j-1}{2}} \right\|_{p(I_{\frac{j+1}{2}} \cup I_{\frac{j-1}{2}})} \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{2\kappa^2}{h_{\frac{j+1}{2}} + h_{\frac{j-1}{2}}} \Gamma(h_{\frac{j+1}{2}} + h_{\frac{j-1}{2}}) \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{\Gamma(h_j)}{h_j}. \text{ This implies (3.6) and conclude our proof} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (3.10)

For each $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{k,n/2}(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$ satisfying

$$(3.11) \quad b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}) \leq 1,$$

There is $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} \in \sum_{k,n}^1(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, such that

$$(3.12) \quad b_{\kappa;2n}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}) \leq c.$$

Proof.

It follows by $b_{i,j}(\mathbb{S}) := b_{i,j;n}(\mathbb{S}) := \frac{\|p_i - p_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}}\right)^\kappa$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}) \leq 1$, that

$$\|p_j - p_{j-1}\|_{p(I_j \cup I_{j-1})} \leq c(p) \Gamma(x_{j-2} - x_j), \quad 2 \leq j \leq n$$

Hence, by Theorem (3.5) implies the existence of a piecewise polynomial

$\tilde{\mathbb{S}} \in \sum_{k,n}^1(Y_s) \cap \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, such that

$$(3.13) \quad \|\mathbb{S}(x) - \tilde{\mathbb{S}}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega(x)), \quad x \in [-1,1]$$



And we only have to prove (3.10) for $\nu, \mu = 1, \dots, 2n$, set $j := \left\lceil \frac{\nu}{2} \right\rceil$, and $i := \lceil \mu/2 \rceil$.

Then by Lemma (3.1) and (1.3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad b_{\mu,\nu;2n}(\bar{S}) &:= \frac{\|p_{\mu;2n} - p_{\nu;2n}\|_{p(I_{\mu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_{\nu;2n})} \left(\frac{h_{\nu;2n}}{h_{\mu;2n}} \right)^{\kappa} \leq c(p) \frac{\|p_{\mu;2n} - p_{\nu;2n}\|_{p(I_{\mu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} \\
 &\leq c(p) \frac{\|p_{\mu;2n} - p_{\nu;2n}\|_{p(I_{\mu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} + c(p)b_{i,j}(S) - c(p)b_{i,j}(S) \\
 &\leq c(p)b_{i,j}(S) + c(p) \frac{\|p_{\mu;2n} - p_i\|_{p(I_{\mu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_i)} \left(\frac{h_i}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} + c(p) \frac{\|p_{\nu;2n} - p_j\|_{p(I_{\mu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} \\
 &=: c(p) + J_1 + J_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (3.12)

$$(3.15) \quad J_1 \leq c(p) \frac{\Gamma(h_i)}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_i}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} \leq c,$$

Where we used the fact that if $h_i < h_j$,

then $\Gamma(h_i) \leq \Gamma(h_j)$, and if $h_i > h_j$ then $\Gamma(h_i)/\Gamma(h_j)h_j^k \leq h_i^k$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad J_2 &\leq c(p) \frac{\|p_{\nu;2n} - p_j\|_{p(I_{\mu,\nu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} \leq \\
 &c(p) \left(\frac{h_{i,j}}{h_j} \right)^{\kappa} \frac{\|p_{\nu;2n} - p_j\|_{p(I_{\mu,\nu;2n})}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa} \leq c,
 \end{aligned}$$

the second inequality we used the fact that both polynomials are of degree $< \kappa$, and for the last inequality we again applied (3.13). Where for Substituting (3.15) and (3.16) in to (3.14) we complete the prove of Theorem 3.10.

Theorem (3.17)

Let $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $\kappa \in N$, $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$ and $G \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s) \cap L_p^1[-1,1]$ such that

$G \in L_p^2(x_j, x_{j-1})$, $j = 1, \dots, s$, and be given. If G is a linear function l_i on each O_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, and

$$(3.18) \quad \|G''(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left\| \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^2} \right\|_p, \quad x \in [-1,1] \setminus \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}, \text{ then there is a}$$

polynomial $P_{\tilde{n}} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$ of degree $\tilde{n} \leq cn$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad \|G''(x) - P_{\tilde{n}}\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega), \quad x \in [-1,1]$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality we may assume that n is even and that the assumptions of the Lemma hold with $n/2$ in place of n , that is, we assume that $G \in L_p^2(x_{2j}, x_{2j-2})$, $j = 1, \dots, n/2$, and that G is a linear function l_i on each $O_{i,\frac{n}{2}}(Y_s)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$

Let L be the polygonal line interpolating G at all points x_j , $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Clearly $L \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$, since G is a linear function on each $O_{i,\frac{n}{2}}(Y_s)$. Then, with $G_j =$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[x_{j+1}, x_j, x_{j-1}, G](x_{j-1} - x_{j+1}) \\
 &= \frac{G(x_{j-1}) - G(x_j)}{x_{j-1} - x_j} - \frac{G(x_{j+1}) - G(x_j)}{x_{j+1} - x_j}
 \end{aligned}$$

The polygonal line L may be represented in the form



$$(3.20) \quad L(x) = G(-1) + [x_n, x_{n-1}, G](x+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} G_j(x - x_j)_+$$

$$= G(-1) + [x_n, x_{n-1}, G](x+1) + \sum_{j \in H} G_j(x - x_j)_+$$

Where in the last equality we used the assumption that G is a linear function on each $O_{i_2^n}(Y_s)$.

Since $G \in L_p^1[x_{j+1}, x_{j-1}]$ and $G \in L_p^2(x_{j+1}, x_j) \cup (x_j, x_{j-1})$, we have by (3.18),

$$(3.21) \quad \|G_j\|_p \leq c(p) \|G''(x)\|_p (x_{j-1} - x_{j+1}) \leq c(p) \frac{\Gamma(h_j)}{h_j}$$

Similarly, it readily follows by (3.18) and by Lemma 2.5 that for all $x \in [x_j, x_{j-1}], 1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$(3.22) \quad \|G(x) - L(x)\|_p = \|[(x, x_j, x_{j-1}, G)(x - x_j)(x - x_{j-1})]\|_p$$

$$\leq c(p) \frac{1}{2} (x - x_j)(x - x_{j-1}) \|G''(\theta)\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(h_j) \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega),$$

Where $\in (x_j, x_{j-1})$. let

$$(3.23) \quad P_{\tilde{n}} := G(-1) + [x_n, x_{n-1}, G](x+1) + \sum_{j \in H} G_j T_j(x)$$

Where T_j are the polynomials guaranteed by Lemma 2.3 with $b = \kappa + 3$. since $\Pi(x_j)G_j \geq 0, j \in H$, it readily follows by Lemma 2.4 that $P_{\tilde{n}} \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$.

Now, by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x - x_j)_+ - T_j(x)\|_p &\leq c(p) h_j \left\| \left(\frac{h_j}{|x - x_j| + h_j} \right)^{\kappa+3} \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) h_j^{2-\kappa} \left\| \frac{h_j^{2\kappa+2}}{(|x - x_j| + h_j)^{\kappa+3}} \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \left\| \frac{h_j^{2-\kappa}}{(|x - x_j| + \Omega)^2} \min\{\Omega^{\kappa+1}, h_j^{\kappa+1}\} \right\|_p, x \in [-1, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$, it follows that $\Gamma(h_j) \leq \frac{h_j^\kappa}{\min\{\Omega^\kappa, h_j^\kappa\}} \Gamma(\Omega)$,

We obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \|L(x) - P_{\tilde{n}}(x)\|_p &\leq c(p) \left\| \sum_{j \in H} G_j (x - x_j)_+ - T_j(x) \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \left\| \sum_{j \in H} \Gamma(h_j) \frac{h_j^{1-\kappa}}{(|x - x_j| + \Omega)^2} \min\{\Omega^{\kappa+1}, h_j^{\kappa+1}\} \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \left\| \Gamma(\Omega) \sum_{j \in H} \frac{h_j}{(|x - x_j| + \Omega)^2} \min\{\Omega, h_j\} \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \left\| \Omega \Gamma(\Omega) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{h_j}{(|x - x_j| + \Omega)^2} \right\|_p \leq c(p) \Omega \Gamma(\Omega) \int_\Omega^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} = c(p) \Gamma(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof we have to estimate $G - P_{\tilde{n}}$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$.

We have $\|G(x) - P_{\tilde{n}}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \|G(x) - L(x)\|_p + \|L(x) - P_{\tilde{n}}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega) + \|L(x) - P_{\tilde{n}}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega)$.



4. Conclusions and Recommendations

In spite of the shape preserving constraints we can approximate a piecewise convex function by a pointwise polynomial in terms of higher orders moduli of smoothness.

Conflict of interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

References.

- [1] V. K. Dzyadyk and I. A. Shevchuk, Theory of uniform approximation of functions by polynomials, Wlater de Gruyer, Berlin · New York, 2008, pp. x+480.
- [2] G. A. Dzyubenko, J. Gilewicz and I. A. Shevchuk, Coconvex pointwise approximation, Ukrain. Math. J. 54 (2002), 1445–1461.
- [3] G. A. Dzyubenko, J. Gilewicz and I. A. Shevchuk, New phenomena in coconvex approximation, Analysis Mathematica 32 (2006), 113–121.
- [4] G. A. Dzyubenko, D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, Nikolskii-type estimates for coconvex approximation of functions with one inflection point, Jaen J. Approx. 2 (2010), 51–64.
- [5] G. A. Dzyubenko, D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, Coconvex pointwise approximation, Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Serie II, 82 (2010), 359–374.
- [6] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. Prymak, and I. A. Shevchuk, Uniform and pointwise shape preserving approximation by algebraic polynomials, Surveys in Approximation Theory 6 (2011), 24–74.
- [7] K. Kopotun, D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, The degree of coconvex polynomial approximation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 409–415.
- [8] D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, Coconvex approximation, J. Approx. Theory 118 (2002), 20–65.
- [9] A. S. Shvedov, Orders of coapproximation of functions by algebraic polynomials, Mat. Zametki 29 (1981), 117–130; Engl. transl. in Math. Notes 29 (1981), 63–70.

الخلاصة

قدم بعض الباحثين المبرهنات المباشرة للتقارب المحدب والمتغير التحدب للدوال المستمرة المعرفة على الفترة $[1, -1]$, والذي بدوره قيد درجة التقارب الأفضل.

في هذا البحث قمنا بدراسة التقارب المحدب للدوال متغيرة التحدب في الفضاءات L_p عندما $1 < p < 0$.

الكلمات الدالة:

إتجاه النقطة ، تقارب محدب ، مسافات شبه معيارية