



Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm
JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS
ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



Some Characteristics Properties for Linear Operator on Class of Multivalent Analytic Functions Defined by Differential Subordination

Zainab Swayeh Ghali^a, Abbas Kareem Wanas^b

^a Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq. Email: sci.math.mas.22.3@qu.edu.iq

^b Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq. Email: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 10/06/2024

Revised form: 15/07/2024

Accepted : 31 /07/2024

Available online: 30/09/2024

Keywords:

Multivalent function, Hadamard product, Convex functions, Differential subordination, Linear operator.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to consider a linear operator and define a certain class $E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$ of analytic and multivalent functions in the open unit disk associated with differential subordination. Also, we discuss some geometric properties for this class.

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2024.16.31663>

1. Introduction

Let $H = H(U)$ be the class of analytic function in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $H[a, n]$ be the subclass of H and

$$H[a, n] = \{f \in H : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Let A_p denote the subclass of H of function f of the form:

*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

Communicated by 'sub editor'

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, \dots\}), \quad z \in U. \quad (1.1)$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ of two functions

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \in \mathcal{A}_p \quad (j = 1,2)$$

is given by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n.$$

For two functions f and g , which are analytic in U , the function f is said to be subordinate to g , or g is said to be superordinate to f , if there exists a Schwarz function w analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. In such a case we write $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z)$ ($z \in U$). Furthermore, if g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalent,

$$f < g \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

In the theory and widespread applications of fractional calculus (see, for example, [8,9]; see also the recent survey-cum-expository review article [19]), one of the most popular operators happens to be the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$) defined by

$$(I^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (x > 0; \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0). \quad (1.2)$$

In terms of the familiar (Euler's) Gamma function $\Gamma(\alpha)$. An interesting variant of the Riemann-Liouville operator I^α , which is known as the Erdélyi-kober fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$) defined by

$$(I_{\sigma,\eta}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x t^{\sigma(\eta+1)-1} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (x > 0; \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0), \quad (1.3)$$

which corresponds essentially to (1.2) when $\sigma - 1 = \eta = 0$, since

$$(I_{1,0}^\alpha f)(x) = x^{-\alpha} (I^\alpha f)(x) \quad (x > 0; \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0).$$

Motivated essentially by the special case of the definition (1.3) when $x = \sigma = 1$, $\eta = a - 1$, and $\alpha = c - a$, here we consider a linear integral operator $\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda)$ defined for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ by (see [6])

$$\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c + \lambda p)}{\Gamma(a + \lambda p) \Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{c-a-1} f(zt^\lambda) dt$$

$$(\lambda > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{R}; c > a > -\lambda p; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

When evaluated by means of the Eulerian Beta -function integral:

$$B(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt & (\min\{\text{Re}(\alpha), \text{Re}(\beta)\} > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-), \end{cases}$$

we readily find that

$$\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p + \frac{\Gamma(c + \lambda p)}{\Gamma(a + \lambda p)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + \lambda n)}{\Gamma(c + \lambda n)} a_n z^n & (c > a) \\ f(z) & (c = a), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where \mathbb{Z}_0^- is the set of nonpositive integers. It is easy to deduce from (1.4) that

$$z \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z) \right)' = \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} + p \right) \mathfrak{I}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda)f(z) - \frac{a}{\lambda} \mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z). \quad (1.5)$$

We also note that the linear operator $\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)$ is a generalization of many other integral operators, which were considered in earlier works. For example, for $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ we have the following special:

Putting $p=1$, we obtain the operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}(a, c, \lambda)$ studied by Raina and Sharma (see [16]).

Putting $a = \beta, c = \beta + 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{I}_p^\beta(\beta > -p)$, which was studied by Saitoh et al. [20];

Putting $a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \gamma}(\gamma > 0; \alpha \geq \gamma - 1; \beta > -p)$, which was studied by Aouf et al. [1];

Putting $a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain operator $\mathcal{X}_{\beta, p}^\alpha(\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -p)$, which was studied by Liu and Owa [12];

Putting $p = 1, a = \beta, c = \alpha + \beta$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain operator $\mathfrak{R}_\beta^\alpha(\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -1)$, which was studied by Jung et al. [7];

Putting $p = 1, a = \alpha - 1, c = \beta - 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator $L(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$), which was studied by Carlson and Shaffer [3];

Putting $p = 1, a = \alpha - 1, c = \nu$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator $I_{\alpha, \nu}(a > 0; \nu \geq -1)$, which was studied by Choi et al. [4];

Putting $p = 1, a = \alpha, c = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}^\alpha(\alpha > -1)$, which was studied by Ruscheweyh [17];

Putting $p = 1, a = \alpha, c = m$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator I_m ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$), which was studied by Noor [14];

Putting $p = 1, a = \alpha, c = \beta + 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the operator \mathcal{J}_β , which was studied by Bernardi [2];

Putting $p = 1, a = 1, c = 2$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain \mathcal{J} , which was studied by Libera [11].

Let H be the class of functions h with $h(0) = 1$, which are analytic and convex univalent in U .

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition:

$$(1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z) + \gamma z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda)f(z) < h(z), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h \in H$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $S^*(\epsilon)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \epsilon \quad (z \in U).$$

for some ϵ ($\epsilon < 1$).

When $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$, $S^*(\epsilon)$ is the class of starlike functions of order ϵ in U .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be prestarlike of order ϵ in U if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\epsilon)}} * f(z) \in S^*(\epsilon) \quad (\epsilon < 1).$$

We note this class by $\mathfrak{R}(\epsilon)$.

Clearly a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathfrak{R}(0)$ if and only if f is convex univalent in U and $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = S^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Lemma 1. 1[13]. Let g be analytic in U and let h be analytic and convex univalent in U with $h(0) = g(0)$. If

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{\xi} z g'(z) < h(z), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \xi \geq 0$ and $\xi \neq 0$, then

$$g(z) < \tilde{h}(z) = \xi z^{-\xi} \int_0^z t^{\xi-1} h(t) dt < h(z),$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.7).

Lemma 1.2[18]. Let $\epsilon < 1$, $f \in S^*(\epsilon)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\epsilon)$. Then, for any analytic function F in U ,

$$\frac{g * (fF)}{g * f}(U) \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}(F(U)),$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(F(U))$ denotes the closed convex hull of $F(U)$.

Some of the following properties studied for other classes in [5], [10], [15] and [21].

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2$. Then $E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma_2; h) \subset E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma_1; h)$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ and $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma_2; h)$.

Suppose that

$$g(z) = z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z). \quad (2.1)$$

Then the function g is analytic in U with $g(0) = 1$.

Since $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma_2; h)$, then we have

$$(1 - \gamma_2) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) + \gamma_2 z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) f(z) < h(z). \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$(1 - \gamma_2) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) + \gamma_2 z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) f(z) = g(z) + \frac{\lambda \gamma_2}{a + \lambda p} z g'(z) < h(z). \quad (2.3)$$

By using Lemma 1.1 we have

$$g(z) < h(z). \quad (2.4)$$

Nothing that $0 \leq \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} < 1$ and that h is convex univalent in U .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \gamma_1) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) + \gamma_1 z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) f(z) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \left((1 - \gamma_2) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) + \gamma_2 z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) f(z) \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right) g(z) < h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma_1; h)$, and we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p} g(z)\} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then

$$(f * g)(z) \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, and $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \mu)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \mu)(f * g)(z) \\ &= (1 - \gamma)(z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z) + \gamma(z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda)f(z))) = (z^{-p}g(z)) * \phi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\phi(z) = (1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) < h(z). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.5) note that the function $z^{-p}g(z)$ has the Herglotz representation

$$z^{-p}g(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1 - xz} \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle $|x| = 1$ and

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1.$$

Since h is convex univalent in, it follows from (2.6) to (2.8) that

$$(1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z^{-p}\mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda)(f * g)(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \phi(xz)d\mu(x) < h(z).$$

Therefore

$$(f * g)(z) \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h).$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, be defined as in (1.1) and let

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau + p}{\tau + n} z^{n-p} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then

$$r(z) = \frac{\tau + p}{z^\tau} \int_0^z t^{\tau-1} f(t) dt, \quad (\tau > -p)$$

is also in the class $E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$.

Proof. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, be defined as in (1.1).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(z) &= \frac{\tau + p}{z^\tau} \int_0^z t^{\tau-1} f(t) dt = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau + p}{\tau + n} a_n z^n \\ &= \left(z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right) * \left(z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau + p}{\tau + n} z^n \right) = (f * F)(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$$

and

$$F(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau + p}{\tau + n} z^n \in \mathcal{A}_p.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p}F(z)\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau+p}{\tau+n} z^{n-p}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.10) and (2.11) and by using Theorem 2.2, we get $r(z) \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and $z^{1-p}g(z) \in \mathfrak{R}(\epsilon)$, ($\epsilon < 1$).

Then

$$(f * g)(z) \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; h)$, and $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Then, we have

$$(1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}(a + 1, c, \lambda) < h(z). \quad (2.12)$$

Now

From (1.5), (2.12) is equivalent to

$$\frac{a + (1 - \gamma)\lambda p}{a + \lambda p} z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p} z^{1-p}(\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z))' < h(z). \quad (2.13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a + (1 - \gamma)\lambda p}{a + \lambda p} z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)(f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p} z^{1-p}(\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)(f * g)(z))' \\ &= \frac{a + (1 - \gamma)\lambda p}{a + \lambda p} (z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z)) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p} (z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{1-p}(\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z))') \\ &= \frac{(z^{1-p}g(z)) * (z\psi(z))}{(z^{1-p}g(z)) * z} \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{a + (1 - \gamma)\lambda p}{a + \lambda p} z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p} z^{1-p}(\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z))' < h(z). \quad (2.15)$$

Since h is convex univalent in U , $\psi(z) < h(z)$, $z^{1-p}g(z) \in \mathfrak{R}(\epsilon)$ and $z \in S^*(\epsilon)$, ($\epsilon < 1$),

it follows from (2.14) and Lemma 1.2, we get the result.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\gamma > 0$, $\omega > 0$ and $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; \omega h + 1 - \omega)$. If $\omega \leq \omega_0$, where

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{a + \lambda p}{\lambda\gamma} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda\gamma}-1}}{1+u} du \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.16)$$

then $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, 0; h)$. The bound ω_0 is the sharp when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$g(z) = z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda)f(z). \quad (2.17)$$

Let $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; \omega h + 1 - \omega)$ with $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega > 0$.

Then, we have

$$g(z) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p} z g'(z) = (1 - \gamma)z^{-p}\mathfrak{I}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}(a + 1, c, \lambda) < \omega h(z) + 1 - \omega.$$

By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$g(z) < \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} z^{-\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1} h(t) dt + 1 - \omega = (h * \varphi)(z), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} z^{-\frac{(a+\lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1} \frac{1}{1-t} dt + 1 - \omega. \tag{2.19}$$

If $0 < \omega \leq \omega_0$, where $\omega_0 < 1$ is given by (2.16), then it follows from (2.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)) &= \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-uz}\right) du + 1 - \omega \\ &> \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \omega \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the Herglotz representation for (z) , from (2.17) and (2.18), we arrive at

$$z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) < (h * \varphi)(z) < h(z).$$

Since h is convex univalent in U , then $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, 0; h)$.

For $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ defined by

$$z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z) = \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} z^{-\frac{(a+\lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1} \frac{1}{1-t} dt + 1 - \omega,$$

we have

$$(1 - \gamma) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}(a + 1, c, \lambda) = \omega h(z) + 1 - \omega.$$

Thus $f \in E_p(a, c, \lambda, \gamma; \omega h + 1 - \omega)$.

Also for $\omega > \omega_0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a, c, \lambda) f(z)\} \rightarrow \frac{\omega(a + \lambda p)}{\lambda \gamma} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{a+\lambda p}{\lambda \gamma}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \omega < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (z \rightarrow 1)$$

which implies that $f \notin E_p(a, c, \lambda, 0; h)$.

Therefore the bound ω_0 cannot be increased when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in E_p\left(a + 1, c, \lambda, \gamma; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, $a > -\lambda p$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then

$$z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) f(z) < \tilde{h}(z) = \frac{a + \lambda p + 1}{\lambda \gamma} z^{-\frac{(a+\lambda p+1)}{\lambda \gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{a+\lambda p+1}{\lambda \gamma}-1} \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) dt$$

and \tilde{h} is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $f \in E_p\left(a + 1, c, \lambda, \gamma; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$. Then, we have

$$(1 - \gamma) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a + 1, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}(a + 2, c, \lambda) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{2.20}$$

Suppose that

$$g(z) = z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \lambda) f(z). \quad (2.21)$$

Then the function g is analytic in U with $g(0) = 1$.

From (1.5), (2.20) and (2.21), we get

$$(1 - \gamma) z^{-p} \mathfrak{S}_p(a+1, c, \lambda) + \gamma z^{-p}(a+2, c, \lambda) = g(z) + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{a + \lambda p + 1} z g'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \quad (2.22)$$

By Lemma 1.1, we obtain

$$g(z) < \tilde{h}(z) = \frac{a + \lambda p + 1}{\lambda\gamma} z^{-\frac{(a+\lambda p+1)}{\lambda\gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{a+\lambda p+1}{\lambda\gamma}-1} \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right) dt$$

and \tilde{h} is the best dominant. Thus we have the result.

3. Conclusions

The study explored various inclusion relationships among subclasses of p -valent functions defined by a family of integral operators. It demonstrated that certain classes of multivalent analytic functions are closed under specific operations, confirming previous results and expanding the understanding of these function classes.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and A. M. Abd-ElTawab, Some inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of p -valent functions associated with a family of integral operators, *ISRN Math, Anal.*, 8(2013)384170.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans, Am, Math, Soc.*, 135(1969)429-446.
- [3] B. C. Carlson and D.B. Shffer Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric function, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 15(1984)737-745.
- [4] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 276(2002)432-445.
- [5] Y. Dinggong and J. L. Liu, On a class of analytic functions involving Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Bull. Korean Mwth. Soc.*, 39(1)(2002),123-131.
- [6] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. E. Drbuk, Subordination properties of p -valent functions defined by linear operators, *Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 4(2014), 3000-3013.
- [7] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H.M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain parameter families of integral operators, *J.Math,Anal,Appl.*,176(1993)138-147.
- [8] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastva, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Application of Fractionl Differential Equations*; North-Holland Mathematical Studies; Elsevier (North-Holland)Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands; London, UK; New York, NY,USA, 204, 2006.
- [9] V. Kiryakova, *Generalized Fractionl Calculus and Applications*; Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics; Longman Scientific and Techical: Harlow, UK, 301, 1993.
- [10] J. L. Liu, Certain convolution properties of multivalent analytic functions associated with a linear operator, *General Mathematics*, 17(2)(2009), 41-52.
- [11] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 16(1965), 755-758.
- [12] J. L. Liu and S. Owa, Properties of certain integral operator, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 3(2004), 45-51.
- [13] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(1981), 157-171.
- [14] K. I. Noor, On new classes of integral operators, *J. Nat. Geom.*, 16(1999), 71-80.
- [15] J. K. Prajapat and R. K. Raina, Some applications of differential subordination for a general class of multivalently analytic functions involving a convolution structure, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 52(2010), 147-158.
- [16] R. K. Raina and P. Sharma Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators, *Matematiche*, 68(2013), 217-228.
- [17] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 49(1975), 109-115.
- [18] S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolution in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, An introductory overview of fractional -calculus operators based upon the Fox-Wright and related higher transcendental function, *J. Adv. Eng. Comput.*, 5(2021), 135-166.
- [20] H. Saitoh, S. Owa, T. Sekine, M. Nunokawa and R. Yamakawa, An application of a certain integral operator, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 5(1992), 21-24.
- [21] Y. Yang, Y. Tao and J. L. Liu, Differential subordinations for certain meromorphically multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2011(2011), Article ID 726518, 1-9.