The identification of a spore-forming bacterium isolated from diseased honeybee brood

Abdulkarim F. Omar

Biology Dept., College of Education for Women, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq (Received 12/5/2009, Accepted 12/10/2009)

Abstract

A sporogenic bacterium isolated from diseased honeybee broods was obtained in a pure culture and standard bacteriological procedures were employed to determine its characteristics. Interpretation of the results with literatures provided a taxonomic designation of the isolate as a member of the *Bacillus cereus* group. The prime interesting point was that the isolate must be either a strain of the insect pathogen *Bacillus thuringiensis*, or a new species. However, the scrutinized experiments ascertained that the isolate to be a strain of *B. cereus*.

Introduction

Insect diseases were recorded as early as 335- 322 B.C. by Aristotle, describing diseases of the honeybee. In the 18th century, Louis Pasteur made a significant contribution to insect pathology, when he described a bacterial infection of the silkworm (*Bacillus bombycia*) [1].

An important turning point in the history of insect diseases was achieved by the German biologist Berliner [2]

who isolated a spore-forming bacterium from diseased larvae of the Mediterranean flour moth. The bacterium was named *Bacillus thuringiensis* everywhere known today for its extensive application in agriculture as a biological insecticide [3, 4].

Bacillus larvae, *B. alvei* and *B. laterosporus* are the most intensively investigated bacteria that cause honeybee diseases [5].

The present study investigated the identification of a spore-forming bacillus, isolated from honeybee brood. The work involved in the identification of the isolate was considered worthwhile based on three premises:

(a) Most members of the family Bacillaceae are saprophytes commonly found in soil, and hence any isolation from adifferent source is worthy of characterization.

(b) Interest in bacterial honeybee pathogens other than *B*.

larvae, B. alvei, and B. laterosporus.

(c) This bacterium may be a secondary invader encountered in the disease .

Materials and methods

 $\label{eq:second} \frac{\text{New isolate}}{\text{designation}} \ . \ The \ isolate \ has \ been \ given \ a \ strain \ designation \ A / 91.$

<u>**Control cultures**</u>. Bacteria used as positive and / or negative controls throughout the present study are those given in the Tables 2 and 3. All purchased from <u>ATCC</u>.

Staining methods: Bacterial morphology examined by employing the following staining techniques: Gram stain; Schaeffer-Fulton method (Endospore stain), capsule stain: using a wet India ink film, volutin or metachromatic granules, intracellular lipid stain, cell wall stain: Hale's method [6, 7], and flagella stain: using modified Fontana's method [8].

Observation of parasporal bodies:

Slopes of nutrient agar were inoculated with isolate A/91, and incubated at 35°C. The cultures were examined (18-28 hrs) using Smirnoff [9] staining technique, to observe the possible production of parasporal crystals during the speculating sequence.

Physiological and biochemical tests:[10, 11]

- 1. Growth temperatures.
- 2. Anaerobic growth.
- 3. Production of catalase.
- 4. Voges Proskauer test.
- 5. Egg yolk reaction.
- 6. Resistance to lysozyme.
- 7. Growth in sodium chloride.
- 8. Growth at pH 5.7.
- 9. Acid from carbohydrates.
- 10. Hydrolysis of starch.
- 11. Utilization of citrate.
- 12. Reduction of nitrate to nitrite.
- 13. Production of indole.
- 14. Deamination of phenylalanine.
- 15. Decomposition of casein.
- 16. Decomposition of tyrosine.
- 17. Gelatin liquefaction.
- 18. Urease production.
- 19. Growth on Sabouraud's dextrose agar.

Results and Discussion

<u>Colony characteristics</u>. All plates examined revealed profuse growth after only 18 hrs. Other colony properties are listed in Table 1.

<u>Cell morphology</u>. Gram positive bacilli, with a tendency to form long chains. Individual rods measure 1.0-1.26x2.4-2.8 um. The cells have rounded ends, and are not encapsulated.

Endospores. The bacterium sporulates aerobically, and the endospores are ellipsoidal, one per sporangial cell in a dominant paracentral position. Each endospore measure 1.0x1.5-1.72 um. They do not distend the sporangia.

<u>Motility</u>. This property can provide a useful preliminary guide to identifying an unknown culture, but it is not definitive. A hanging drop preparation viewed in a light microscope. Revealed rods with rapid progressive movements.

<u>Flagellation</u>. Is a fairly good taxonomic criterion. Cells with peritrichous flagella being observed.

<u>Inclusions</u>. The rods are vacuolated and contain large fat globules, the largest measuring 0.5-0.72 um . volutin or metachromatic granules were observed .

Shape	Irregular
Margin	Undulate
Elevation	Flat
Surface	Smooth
Colour	Whitish
Size	6-8 mm
Translucency	Opaque
Any change in the medium	None

Table 1. characteristics of isolated Colonies on streaks incubated at 35 °C for 18 hrs

The visuial examination of A/ 91 isolate , i.e. , cultural characteristics , light microscopy and phase contrast microscopy, showed observations that correlated precisely with generic description for the genus *Bacillus* [10, 12].

Members of the genus *Bacillus* have been subdivided into three groups on the basis of spore and sporangial swelling. These groups have been used by various workers, and of notable value when one seeks to rapidly reduce the taxonomy of a *Bacillus* isolate to just a group of species. The A / 91 isolate can be allocated to group 1A [13], which has properties and species given in key 1. The common characteristics of strains of *B. cereus* are well documented by [14, 15]. Table 4 summarizes these characters, together with the corresponding properties of the A/91 isolate which are obtained in the present study.

B. cereus variety *B. anthracis* (designated *B. anthracis*, [10] it was found to be nonmotile, encapsulated *in vivo*, and does not decarboxylate tyrosine. *B. cereus* variety *mycoides* (designated *B. mycoides*, [10] is reported to be nonmotile and forms distinctive rhizoid colonies on agar. Its maximum growth temperature was between 35-40°C.

Table 2. fermentation of carbohydrates

Carbohydrate	(+) control ¹	(-) control 2	Isolate	
			A/ 91	
Glucose	+	-	+	
Galactose	-	-	-	
Fructose	+	-	+	
Mannose	+	-	-	
Arabinose	+	-	-	
Xylose	_+٣	-	-	
Cellobiose	+	-	+	
Lactose	+	-	-	
Maltose	+	-	+	
Sucrose	+	-	+	
Raffinose	+	-	-	
Rhamnose	-	-	-	
Mannitol	+	-	-	
Glycerol	+	-	+	
Inulin	+	-	-	
Salicin	+	-	+	

1: Bacteria that produce acid :

B. cereus : sucrose, fructose, maltose, and glycerol.

B. megaterium: inulin and salicin.

B. subtilis: galactose, mannose, and xylose.

B. polymyxa : glucose, arabinose, cellobiose, lactose,

raffinose, rhamnose, and mannitol.

2: Bacteria that do not produce acid:

B. cereus: inulin, xylose, raffinose, and rhamnose.

B. sphaericus: rhamnose and xylose.

The remainder of the carbohydrates used *B. sphaericus* as negative control.

3: Positive for B. subtilis. Negative for B. megaterium.

Positive *	Neg ative *
control	control
B. subtilis	Streptococcus faecalis
Proteus vulgaris	B. polymyxa
B. cereus	B. brevis
B. cereus	B. megaterium
B. polymyxa	B. firmus
B. firmus	B. polymyxa
B. cereus	B. megaterium
B. subtilis	B. firmus
B. subtilis	B. sphaericus
B. subtilis	B. megaterium
B. coli	B. cereus
B. subtilis	E. coli
B. cereus	E. coli
B. subtilis	E. coli
B. sphaericus	B. polymyxa
B. brevis	B. polymyxa
	control B. subtilis Proteus vulgaris B. cereus B. cereus B. firmus B. cereus B. subtilis B. subtilis B. subtilis B. coli B. subtilis B. cereus B. subtilis B. cereus B. subtilis B. subtilis

Table 3. Positive and negative biochemical properties of isolate A/91.

* Controls indicated, behaved as expected.

Properties	B. cereus	Isolate A / 91
Gram reaction	+	+
Diameter over 1.0 µm	+	+
Sporangia not appreciably Swollen by	+	+
ellipsoidal endospores		
Cells rich in lipids	+	+
Catalase	+	+
Egg- yolk lecithinase	+	+
Anaerobic growth	+	+
Survive 50°C	-	-
V – P test	+	+
Growth with lysozyme	+	+
Acid from :		
Glucose	+	+
Mannitol	-	-
Xylose	-	-
Hydrolysis of :		
Casein		
Starch	++	+ +
Nitrite from nitrate	+	+
Decarboxylate tyrosine	+	+
Deamination of phenylalanine	-	-

Table 4 Common properties of *B. cereus* and isolate A / 91

B. cereus variety *thuringiensis* (designated *B. thuringiensis*, [10] is distinguished by the production in the cell of parasporal crystals .

The characteristics of *B. cereus* are different from those given for its varieties above [10]. The properties of A/91 isolate are also different from those given for the varieties of *B. cereus* given above. Now, since the properties of A/91 isolate are in line with those of *B. cereus* Table 4, and with descriptions for *B. cereus* in the literatures cited above. Consequently, the A/91 isolate may be assigned to *B. cereus*.

The majority of the results proved entirely, *viz*: physiological and biochemical reactions for the A/91 isolate correlated with properties of *B. cereus* summarized in Tables 3 and 4; cell morphology, cell motility, the ability to deaminate phenylalanine, to decarboxylate tyrosine, starch hydrolysis, and many other properties. These results have been interpreted in conjunction with descriptions of *Bacillus spp.* given in the *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology* (vol. 2) [10, 14] and collated with identification keys for the genus [12].

The results for the fermentation of carbohydrates (Table 2), are in line with findings of [11]. Cultural characteristics (Table 1) also agree with descriptions

reported by [11, 12]. A study has been made to reinforce the evidence pertaining to the identification of the A/91 isolate

as *B. cereus*, is the distribution of intracellular stainable lipid inclusion granules. Microscopic examinations of fixed smears of *B. cereus* stained with Sudan Black B [16] revealed blue–black or sometimes blue–grey granules match those of the A/91 isolate. This study also demonstrated that the amount of lipid material remained constant for a given species, and hence the positive correlation between the microscopic observations may be regarded as further corroborative evidence for the identity of the A/91 isolate.

The only significant distinctions between *B. cereus*, and the closely related insect pathogen *B. thuringiensis*, are the production of parasporal crystals and pathogenicity for larvae of the Lepidoptera in the latter organism [17]. One was led to the conclusion therefore, that the isolate must be *B. thuringiensis*.

Strains of *B. thuringiensis* sometimes lose their ability to form crystals having been maintained on laboratory media, and no parasporal inclusions were observed during microscopic examination of the A/91 isolate.

References

1. de Barjac, H. and Bonnefoi, A. (1968). A classification of strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner with a key to their differentiation. *J. Inver. Pathol.* 11: 335-47.

2. Stanier, R.Y., Adelberg, E.A. and Ingraham, J.L.(1977). *General Microbiology*. The Macmillan Press Ltd., London and New York.

3. Lambert, B.; and Peferoen, M. (1992) Insecticide promise of *Bacillus thuringiensis:* Facts and mysteries about a successful biopesticide. *Bioscience* 42(2): 112-22.

4. Shelton, A. M.; Zhao, J.-Z; and Roush, R. T. (2002) Economic, ecological, food safety, and social consequences of Bt transgenic plants. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 47: 845-81.

5. Shimanuki, H. and Cantwell, G.E. (1978) Diagnosis of Honeybee diseases, parasites and pests, U. S. D. A. ARS- NE87.

6. Hale, C.M. (1953) The use of phosphomolybdic acid in the demonstration of bacterial cell walls. *Lab. pract.* 2: 115-6.

7. Scherrer, Rene. (1974). Gram's staining reaction. Gram types and cell walls of bacteria. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 9: 242-45.

8. Lillie, R. D. (1969). H. J. Conn's biological stains, 8th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.

9. Smirnoff, W.A. (1962) A staining method for differentiating spores, crystals and cells of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner). *J. Insect Pathol.* 4: 384-8.

10. Claus, D. and Berkeley, R.C.W. (1986) Genus *Bacillus* In Sneath, P. H. A., Mair, N. S. and Sharae, J. G.

(ed.). *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology* .vol. 2, Williams & Wilkins, Co., Baltimore, U.S.A.

11. Becton, Dickinson and Co. (2005) *Difco & BBL manual: Manual of microbiological culture media*, 1st ed. Franklin Lakes, NJ: BD.

12. Holt, J. G., editor-in-chief. (1994) *Bergey's manual of Determinative bacteriology*. 9th ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins.

13. Gordon, R.E. (1981) One hundred and seven years of The genus *Bacillus*. In Berkley and Goodfelow (eds.). The aerobic endospore-forming bacteria. Academic Press, London; pp. 1-15.

14. Garrity, G. M., editor-in-chief. (2001). *Bergey's* manual of systematic bacteriology. 2d ed., vol. 1, D. R. Boone and R. W. Castenholz (eds.). New York: Springer-Verlag.

15. Garrity, G. M., editor-in-chief. (2005). *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, 2d ed., vol. 2, D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg, J. T. Staley (eds.). New York: Springer-Verlag. 16. Berkeley, R.C.; Logan, N. A.; Shute, L. A.; and Capey, A. G. (1984). Identification of *Bacillus spp.* In Bergan (ed.), *Methods in Microbiology*, vol. 16, Academic Press, London; pp. 291-328.

17. de Maagd, R. A.; Bravo, A.; and Crickmore, N. (2001) How *Bacillus thuringiensis* has evolved specific Toxins to colonize the insect world. *Trends Genet.* 17(4): 193-9.

تشخيص البكتريا المولدة للابواغ في حضنة مصابة لنحل العسل

عبد الكريم فتاح عمر

قسم علوم الحياة ، كلية التربية للبنات ، جامعة تكريت، تكريت ، العراق (تاريخ الاستلام: ١٢ / ٥ /٢٠٠٩ ، تاريخ القبول: ١٢ / ١٠ / ٢٠٠٩)

الملخص

تم عزل البكتريا المولدة للابواغ من حضنة مصابة لنحل العسل في مزرعة نقية. وقد استخدمت الطرق البكتريولوجية القياسية لإيجاد صفات هذه المزرعة اثبت تفسير النتائج المتحصل عليها بالمقارنة مع المراجع العلمية أن البكتريا المعزولة تتتمي إلى مجموعة البكتريا Bacillus cereus . وكان الاهتمام الرئيسي في هذه الدراسة قد انصب على إن المزرعة النقية قد تكون للبكتريا B. thuringiensis أو أنها لنوع جديد . ولكن التجارب الدقيقة قد أكدت أن العزلة هي سلالة للبكتريا B. cereus.