COMPARISON OF THE EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT METHODS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF BABESIOSIS IN CAMELS(Camelusdromedarius) IN AL-NAJAF AL-ASHRAF PROVINCE-IRAQ OF NAJAF - IRAQ

Azhar Ali Faraj , Amer Murhum Abd AL-Amery

Department of Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

Keywords: AL- Najaf, Camelus dromedaries, Babesiosis.

Corresponding Author: m.murhum@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of microscopy compared to molecular detection of babesiosis infection in camels(*Camelus dromedarius*) in AL- Najaf AL-Ashraf province-Iraq, started from November 2017 to May 2018. The results showed that the total prevalence using via microscopic examination and molecular detection method was 17.5%, 54.16% respectively, however, the rate of females infection was 10% as compared with males 25% by microscopic examination , whereas, the infection rate by using PCR in females and males, 66.66%, 41.66% respectively. The results also showed that the rate of infection in the age of less thana year was 6.66% and 28.33% in age of more than one year by microscopical examinations, While by using the molecular assay the rate of infection in the age of less than a year was 45% and 63.33% in the age of more than one year. It has been conclude that PCR has a high ability to detect babesiosis in camels as compared with microscopic examination.

INTRODUCTION

Babesia species anapicomplexan–hemoprotozoan parasite transmitted by Ixodidae ticks, they refer to that more than 100 species have been phenotypically documented (1,2). This parasite is considered a destructive affecting livestock production (3). And the infection is of global importance which are characterized anemia, icterus, hemoglobin urea, muscles trembling, grinding of teeth(4). The feces are dry and bloody stained, dehydration causes the eye to become

sunken in their sockets, falls of body temperature to a subnormal level before a few hours of death , anemia , anorexia , death of untreated cases (5).

Diagnosis of hemoprotozoanparasite is beneficial in early diagnosis. conventionally, microscopic examination has been considered the "gold standard" for diagnosis piroplasmosis in blood smear in acute cases of infected animals but not in chronic cases(6,7). Therefore, proven efficacy and sensitivity of molecular tests in detection *Babesia*sp. (8,9). The aim of this study to evaluate the traditional methods compared to molecular detection for the diagnosis *Babesia* spp. in camels in AL- Najaf AL-Ashraf province-Iraq.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood Samples

120 camel's blood samples (60 females and 60males) of different ages were randomly selected, during the period started from November 2017 to May 2018 in AL-Najaf AL-Ashraf province. Blood samples from slaughtering of animal were collected ,Five milliliter EDTA blood samples were always used for collection of blood.Samples of blood were transferred within ice box to the laboratory of College of Veterinary Medicine University of Baghdad and laboratory of AL-Razi Center for research and medical diagnostic kits until for laboratory teststo conduct the necessary tests to diagnosis of *Babesias*pp.

Slides preparation

Thick and thin blood smears were prepared according to (10).

DNA extraction and PCR assay:-

Oneprimer were used in this study were obtained from IDT company (Bab-spgenes). This primers were prepared according to the information of the company (Table.1).

Primers	Prin	ner sequence (5 ['] to 3 ['])	Product size (bp)	References	
Bab-sp	F	GTTTCTGCCCCATCAGCTTGAC	400	(11)	
	R	CAAGACAAAAGTCTGCTTGAAAC			

Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to analysis using SAS software (12). The McNemar's test was used to assess the difference between the two tests and the degree of agreement between the microscopic and PCR tests was evaluated using Kappa coefficient. The differences between groups according to sex and age for the microscopic and PCR tests were assessed using Chi-square. P<0.05 is considered significant.

RESULTS

Results showed that the overall incidence rate using the microscopic examination and molecular detection method was 17.5% ,54.16% respectively figure (1).The rate of infection in females was 10% andmales 25% by microscopic examination , while the infection rate by using PCR in females and males was41.66%, 66.66% respectively (Table, 1).

The differences in infection rate between two tests were significant(P<0.0001) according to sex . The agreement between the two tests according to Kappa coefficient was -0.16 which means no agreement was found between two tests.

The differences in the infection rate between males and females were significant (P=0.03) according to microscopic test as well as the PCR (P=0.005).



Figure (1): blood smear stained with Giemsastain(10%) show *Babesia spp*.with different shapes in red blood cells of camel(arrows) (X 100).

 Table1: The infection rate of *Babeisaspp*. in camels according to sex using microscopic examination and PCR.

	-				
Sex	No. of	Microscopic	PCR	McNemar's	Р
	blood	Examination		value	
	Sample	No. infected	No.		
	1	(%)	infected		
			(%)		
Male	60	6(10)	25(41.66)	22.04	< 0.0001
Female	60	15(25)	40(66.66)		
Total	120	21(17.5)	65(54.16)		
		· · · ·			
Chi-		4.67	7.55		
square					
value					
Р		0.03	0.005		

Table (1) show that the percentage of infection in theage group ≤ 1 year and ≥ 1 year was 6.66%, 28.33% respectively by microscopical examination, while by using the molecular assay the rate of infection in females and males was 45%, 63.33% respectively.

The differences in infection rate between two tests were significant (P < 0.0001) according to age, the agreement between the two tests according to Kappa coefficient was -0.21 which means no agreement was found between two tests.

The differences in the infection rate between age groups were significant (P=0.001) according to microscopic test as well as the PCR (P=0.04).

Age	No. of blood	Microscopic Examination	PCR	McNemar's	Р
year	Sample	No. infected (%)	No. infected (%)	Varue	
≤1	60	4(6.66)	27(45)	20.83	< 0.0001
≥1	60	17(28.33)	38(63.33)		
Total	120	21(17.5)	65(54.16)		
		9.75	4.06		
Р		0.001	0.04		

 Table1: The infection rate of *Babeisaspp*. in camels according to age using microscopic examination and PCR.

The resultsshowed amplification conditions were optimized for the PCR assay, using specific primers of Bab-sp(400bp), figure (2).



Figure (2): Gel electrophoresis of PCR product of Bab-sp (4004bp), for *Babesia spp*.using 2% agarose gel at 6volt /cm for 1 hour. Lane 1- 3,4,5: PCR product positive for *Bab-sp* genes, M: 2000-bp DNA marker.

DISCUSSION

Tick-borne diseases considered as major problems for the health camel in Iraq ,among these diseases, camel babesiosis is the most prevalent and economically important (13). Also, the microscopic diagnosis of babesiosis in many parts of Iraq is done by examination of blood smears .This method is unreliable especially in subclinical infections. To determine the epidemiology agents of babesiosis, sensitive and specific diagnostic methods, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), are required to be used in Iraq, which had been developed in order to overcome the problems faced with conventional and serological assay, In addition PCR is a reliable method for diagnosis and epidemiological studies.

Results showed that an overall infection rate with *Babesia* spp. in camel as 17.5% by using microscopic examination, these findings are similarly to previous studies that recorded an overall prevalence of 9.98% for *Babesia* in South Iraq (14), 29% in Pakistan (15),13.2% In Saudi Arabia (16) and 6.56% in Iran (11). This variation in the infection rate between the countries may be due to differences in the numbers of examining the animals, survey periods climatic factors also affect the abundance of viable parasitic. In the present study, the incidence of camel babesiosisdiagnosis by PCR (54%) was significantly higher than diagnosed through traditional

examination of .Therefore, DNA amplification tests had higher efficiency than microscopic examination for detection of *Babesia*. The results were in agreement with a previous report about camel babesiosis(17,18). This difference is due to the high sensitivity of the polymerase chain reaction technique compared to the conventional examination, In addition, dependent on the experience of the microscopes (19). On the other hand the microscopic examination not reveal be used to diagnosis the chronic cases due to low number parasite (14). This difference is due to the high sensitivity of the polymerase chain reaction technique compared to the conventional examination and also depends on the experience of the examination and the microscopic examination does not reveal chronic cases .our results, the infection percentage of camel babesiosis by microscopically and molecular methods was significantly higher in age ≥ 1 year. agreement with other studies according to the age-related immunity to This results babesiosis(20,21). In previous observation there was no difference between Babesia spp. prevalence in all ages and significant higher Babesia spp. (22). In general, young and adults are susceptible to babesiosis, while in young cattle maternal antibodies persist for the longer period of three months(23). Also, haemoglobin fetal (HbF) found in younganimals which is considered one of the high resistance factors against for haempprotozoan parasites.(24).Concerning sex susceptibility to infection, current study showed the high rate of infection of Babesiosis in females may be due to stresses factor causes immune depression such as pregnancy and lactation period were in agreement with(25) .We conclude from this study that the animal reservoirs Increase the risk of spread of the disease in animals and especially humans, andthis drawsspecial attention. The results indicated a high efficiency of using PCR to detect babesiosis in carrier hosts compared to using conventional methods.

REFERENCES

1-Preston, P.M., 2001. Theilerioses. In: Wallingford MW (ed) Encyclopediaof arthropod-transmitted infections of man and domesticated animals. CABI, Wallingford, pp. 487–502.
2-Silva, M.G., Marques, P.X. and Oliva, A., 2010. Detection of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species infection in cattle from Portugal using a reverse line blotting method. Vet, Parasitol., 174:199–205.

3-Altay, K., FatihAydin, M., Dumanli N and Aktas, M., 2008. Molecular detection of Theileria and Babesia infections in cattle. Vet. Parasitol., 158:295–301.

4-Wagner, G.G., Holman, P.andWaghela, S. 2002. Babesiosis and heartwater: threats without boundaries. Vet.Clin. Food Anim., 18:417–430.

5-Martins, T.M., Pedro, O.C., Caldeira, R.A., Do Rosário, V.E., Neves, L. and Domingos A., 2008. Detection of bovine babesiosis in Mozambique by a novel seminested hot-start PCR method. Vet. Parasitol., 153: 225-230.

6-Friedhoff, K. and Bose, R., 1994.Recent developments in diagnostics of some tick-borne diseases. In: Uilenberg, G., Permin, A., Hansen, J.W. (eds). Use of applicable biotechnological methods for diagnosing haemoparasites. Proceedings of the Expert Consultation, Merida, Mexico, October 4–6, 1993. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy, pp 46–57.

7-Bose, R., Jorgensen, W.K., Dalgliesh, R.J., Friedhoff, K.T. and De Vos, A.J., 1995. Current state and future trends in the diagnosis of babesiosis. Vet. Parasitol., 57:61–74.

8-Altay, K.,Dumanli, N. and Aktas, M., 2007. Molecular identification, genetic diversity and distribution of *Theileria* and *Babesia*species infecting small ruminants. Vet Parasitol. ,147:161–165.

9-Mghirbi, Y.,Hurtado, A.,Brandika, J.,Khlif, K.,Ketata, Z. andBouattour, A., 2008. A molecular survey of Theileria and Babesia parasites in cattle, with a note on the distribution of ticks in Tunisia.Parasitol. Res., 103:435–442.

10-Chaudhry, Z.I., Suleman , M., Younus , M. and Aslim , A., 2010. Molecular etection of *Babesia*in crossbred carrier camels through PCR. Pak. J. Zool., 42: 201-204.

11-Khamesipour, F,Doosti, A.,Koohi, A.,Chehelgerdi, M and Mokhtari-Farsani, A.,2015.Determination of the presence of *Babesia*DNA in blood of cattle, camel and sheep in Iran by PCR. Arch. Biol. Sci. Belgrade., 67: 83-90.

12-SAS.,2010.SAS/STAT Users Guide for Personal Computer. Release 9.13.SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, N.C., USA.

13-Al-Amery, A.M.; Faraj, A.A. and Majeed, S.M., 2017. Detection of haemoprotozoa in camel in AL-Najaf prvince, Iraq. IJABR., 7: 238-241.

14-Jasim, H.J.; Azzal, G.Y. and Othman, M. R.,2015. Conventional and molecular detection of *Babesiacaballi* and *Theileriaequi*parasitesin infected camels in South of Iraq. Bas.J.Vet.Res., 14:110-121.

15-Chaudhri, S.S. and Gupta ,S.K., 2003.Manual of General Veterinary Parasitology. 1st edition .department of Vet .Parasit.College of Vet. Sci. Haryana Agicultural University India. Pp.46-47.

16-Swelum, A.A, Ismael, A.B,Khalaf, A.F and Abouheif, M.A. ,2014. Clinical and laboratory findings associated with naturally occurring Babesiosis in dromedary camels. Bull. Vet.InstPulaway., 58: 229-233.

17-Oliveira-Sequeira, T.C.G.;Oliveira, M.C.S., Araujo, J.P., 2005.PCR-based detection of *Babesiabovis* and *Babesiabigemina* in their natural host Boophilusmicroplus and cattle. Int.J .Parasitol.,35: 105-111.

18- Esmaeilnejad, B., Tavassoli, M.andAsri-Rezaei S., 2015. Determination of prevalence and risk factors of infection with *Babesiaovis* in small ruminants from West Azerbaijan province, Iran by polymerase chain reaction. Iran J Arthropod Borne Dis; 9: 246-252.

19-Morgan, U. M .,2000. Detection and characterisation of parasites causingemerging zoonoses . Int. J .Parasitol., 30:1407-1421.

20-Terkawi ,M.A.,Alhasan, H. andHuyen,N.X. 2012.Molecular andserological prevalence of *Babesiabovis* and *Babesiabigemina* in cattle from central region of Syria. Vet.Parasitol., 187: 307-311.

21-Bell-Sakyi, L.,Koney ,E.B.M. and Dogbey, O. 2004. Incidence and prevalence of tick-borne haemoparasites in domestic ruminants in Ghana. Vet.Parasitol., 124: 25-42.

22-Terkawi, M.A., Huyen, N.X. and Shinuo, C. 2011. Molecular and serological prevalence of *Babesiabovis and Babesiabigemina* in water buffaloes in the northeast region of Thailand. Vet. Parasitol., 178: 201-207.

23-Zintl.A., Gray, J.S. and Skerrett, H.E. 2005. Possible mechanisms underlying age-related resistance to bovine babesiosis. Parasite immunol., 27: 115-120.

24-Oliveiram, M.C.,Oliveira-Sequeira, T.C.andAraujo, J.P. J. 2005. *Babesiaspp.* infection in *Boophilusmicroplus* engorged females and eggs in Sao Paulo state, Brazil. Vet.Parasitol . 130: 61-67.

25-Sappenfield, E.Jamieson, D.J. and Kourtis, A.P. 2013. Pregnancy and susceptibility to infectious diseases. Infect Dis Obstetrics Gynecology., 213: 1-9.