Utilization of Treated Municipal Sewage Wastewater for Agricultural Irrigation Purpose

Ghassan F. Al-Samarrai

Department of Biology, College of Education, University of Samarra, Samarra, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Utilization, municipal sewage wastewater, agricultural irrigation.

Corresponding author:

Ghassan F. Al-Samarrai **E-mail:**

ghfaat76@gmail.com Received: 30/4/2017 Accepted: 25/9/2017 Industrial water systems produce enormous volumes of effluent wastewater. Properly controlled and managed reuse can provide significant additional resources of good quality water for arable agricultural purposes. Evaluation of using treated wastewater for watering were carried out through the study of accumulation of heavy metals in plant. Four treatment methods, (dilution, filtration, chemical, physico-chemical) were used in treated wastewater effluents with adjusted pH value (5.5, 6.5 and 7.5). The concentrations of Zn, Mn, Cu and were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) depended on APHA standard Fe method. The result shows methods using (Physico-chemical, chemical using 20 mg/L $(Al_2(SO_4)^3)$ and dilution at 25% ratio methods, with adjusted pH value at 7.5 showed reduction in concentration of heavy metals in seed and fruit planted plants with treated effluents with limited maximum levels residue in fruit depended by World Health Organization The minimum allowable accumulation in plants approved by the World Health Organization (WHO) compared with other treatments. In conclusion treated effluents proud beneficial aspects for ability and potential in using for agricultural purposes.

استخدام مياه الصرف الصحى المعالجة لأغراض الري الزراعى

غسان فارس السامرائي

قسم علوم الحياة، كليه التربية، جامعه سامراء، سامراء، العراق

الخلاصة

الكلمات المفتاحية:

تنتج الأنظمة الصناعية كميات هائلة من مياه الصرف الصحي السائلة الملوثة التي باتت تشكل مصادر رئيسيه للتلوث البيئي. إعادة استخدام مياه الصرف السائلة بشكل مناسب وأدارتها يمكن ان يوفر موارد إضافية مناسبه من المياه التي يمكن استخدامها للأغراض الزراعية. استخدمت أربع طرق مختلفة للمعالجة (التخفيف، كيميائية، الترشيح، فيزوكيميائية) لتدوير مياه الصرف الصحي مع ضبط قيمة الرقم الهيدروجيني للمياه المعالجة الى (2.5 و 2.5 و 2.5). حددت تراكيز العناصر الثقيلة في الثمار (2. مرارد إصافية قياس المعالجة الى (2.5 و 2.5 و 2.5). حددت تراكيز العناصر الثقيلة في الثمار (2. مامر المريكية للصحة العامة المعالجة الى المعالجة الى (2.5 و 2.5 و 2.5). حددت تراكيز العناصر الثقيلة في الثمار (2. مامريكية للصحة العامة طيف الامتصاص الذري وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية للصحة العامة المعالجة اليف الامتصاص الذري وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية للصحة العامة من المنا الموالية، الكرميكية للصحة العامة من المامتصاص الذري وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية للصحة العامة (2.5 و 2.5 و 2.5). حددت تراكيز العناصر الثقيلة في الثمار (2. مراد) بطريقة قياس معاد المعالجة المعالجة الموالية، الكيميائية) باستخدام جرعة (2.5 و 3.5) وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية للصحة العامة الميد وحسب المؤسسة المربيكية للصحة العامة مراح (2.5 و 3.5) وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية العامة المعاد الثقيلة في المربي وحسب الطريقة القياسية المتبعة من قبل المؤسسة الأمريكية للصحة العامة من مياريزاريان التقيلة في البذور والثمار المروية بمياه الحرف المعالجة الى الحددود المسموح بها للتراكم في تركيز المعادن الثقيلة في البذور والثمار المروية بمياه الصرف المعالجة الى المددود المسموح بها الركم في تركيز المادن الثقيلة في البدور والثمار المروية بماه الصرف المعالجة الى الحدود المسموح بها التراكم في تركيز المعادن الثقيلة في البذور والثمار المروية بماه الصرف المعالجة الى المعاملات الأخرى . النتائج تركيز الماد الرمان الري الزراعي.

مياه الصرف الصحي، الري الزراعي. للمراسلة: غسان فارس السامرائي البريد الالكتروني: وhfaat76@gmail.com الاستلام: 30 / 4 / 2017 القبول: 25 / 9 / 2017

1.Introduction

Rapid urbanization and industrialization have increased the pressure on a limited existing tap water to meet the growing needs for food production and keeping the environment in a healthy condition. Utilizing efficient irrigation systems with alternative sources of water, such as recycled wastewater, to meet the growing demands would be a positive response to this issue (Hassanli, 2013). The majority of urban water supplies for irrigation are used to maintain vegetation health, appearance and municipal amenities (Nouri et al., 2012). Some countries are planning to increase the use of treated wastewater, up to 51% to be used for irrigation. For example, Saudi Arabia intends to increase wastewater use to 65% by 2016 (EPA, 2012).Wastewater from Municipal Sewage and other commercial food service facilities differ significantly from residential wastewater. The pollutants in restaurant wastewater come from the cleaning of dishes, kitchen wares, meat and vegetable. Municipal Sewage wastewater is typically higher in strength than residential wastewater (Klamklang, 2007). The recycling of wastewater for farming irrigation, as an alternative to freshwater, gave the benefits through adding useful plant nutrients as well as organic compounds to the soil. Moreover, it provides a suitable method for disposing waste products (Horswell et al., 2003). Several studies revealed that wastewater is enriched with valuable resources including macronutrient, micro and organic matter that are necessary for productivity and fertility of the soil (Kiziloglu et al., 2008).

On the other hand, regardless of benefits to agricultural products, wastewater and sewage runoffs comprise heavy metals and other materials that could be harmful and poisonous to people (Ali and Shakrani, 2011). According to Pedrero and Alarcon (2009), sewage wastewater could have high concentrations of heavy metals, bacteria, fungi, and salts based on treatment applied and its sources. Heavy metals including Cu, Fe, Zn, and Mn in sewage wastewater effluents could be phytotoxicity, and if accumulated in the fruits and crops it will lead to health risk (Yadav *et al.*, 2002). Wastewater irrigation effects on soils have been widely acknowledged, particularly on heavy metal concentrations and toxicity. Constant introduction of heavy metals to the soil can make the plant growth toxic (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2013). Constant contamination of irrigated soil with wastewater may increase accumulation and toxicity with respect to heavy metals and uptake by plants. Allowing uptake of the heavy metals by plants increases their damage to different crop tissues (Rattan *et al.*, 2005). This is because vegetables cultured on the wastewater-polluted soils could take up heavy metals in adequate considerable and lead to health problems to the consumers (Emongor and Ramolmana, 2004; Khan *et al.*, 2008). Moreover, human beings are subjected to direct health hazards by soil pollution due to direct contact with them (Madrid *et al.*, 2002).

2.Materials and Methods

2.1 Wastewater Sampling

Sewage wastewater samples were collected from different sites of municipal sewage channels (Glogor area , Penang city, Malaysia) at early in the morning as needed for analysis and irrigation and was kept fresh with short period of storage. The methods of samples collecting was based on Standard Methods of the American Public Health Association (APHA, 2005). The Samples of sewage wastewater were taken in HDPE (high-density polyethylene) bottles. Bottles were thoroughly washed with HNO₃ and rinsed several times with distilled water before sample collection. The sewage wastewater samples were collected at regular intervals and stored at 4° C to avoid any changes in its characteristics. The samples were collected and analysed successively in terms of various physico-chemical parameters following standard procedures, Analytical methods given in the (APHA, 2005). This analysis included (Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe) elements.

2.2 Wastewater Treatment Methods 2.2.1 Dilution

Dilution treatment was carried out by mixing raw wastewater from municipal wastewater with tap water at two different ratios: 1:3 and 1:1. The ratio of 1(wastewater) with 3v/v (tap water), and the ratio of 1 (wastewater) with 1(v/v) (tap water). This represents a concentrations of 25% and 50% of diluted samples, respectively and the diluted samples were thoroughly stirred.

2.2.2 Filtration

In this study used PP filter cartridge, was used which is made of polypropylene. The size of membrane filters is 1 μ m and 5 μ m. (Divya et al,2012) reported that pre-treatment with a micro filter of sizes 5 to 1 μ m which completely removed the suspended solids.

2.2.3 Chemical

jar test (Velp Scientific type FC6S- Italy) was used in the chemical experiment procedure to determine the optimal dose in the treatment as reported in procera procedure method by (Domminguez et al., 2004). Six doses of aluminium sulphate [(Al_2 (SO₄)₃ including 1, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mg/l plus 0.5mg/l of anionic polymer obtained from SYSTERM/ white powder] were applied in inorganic coagulate to determine the best dosage and pH following jar test procedure to determine the optimum dosage.

2.2.4 Physico-Chemical

The filtered of wastewater used by membrane size $(1\mu m)$ combined with chemical treatment dose (20 mg/L) plus 0.5 mg/L of anionic polymer combined with disinfection 0.15 mL/L of sodium hypochlorite NaClO. The percent of Removal (%) was determined using the Equation 3.1

Removal% = <u>Influent-Effluent x 100</u> Equ.... .1 Influent

2.2.5 pH Adjustment of Treated Effluent

The selection of pH adjusted treated effluent based on the suitability of the pH for the growth of the plant in the pH 5.5- 6.5 and 7.5, and availability of most nutrients ranged between pH 5.5- 7.5 (William *et al.*, 2005), for pH adjustment treated of effluents of wastewater, a NaOH/H₂SO₄ solution. The samples stirred for 1-5 min, and the pH (HACH sensiON1 portable pH meter) was determined. The total treatment producers were tabulated as below (Table 1).

2.2.6 Wastewater Utilization for irrigation

A pot of experiment to evaluate the effect of the wastewater on selected plants was established at the green house. Seed of mung bean and okra were planted in plastic pots (20 cm height x 30 cm diameter) have been filled of amounts of sandy loam soil. After the establishment of seedlings in the pots, the treated wastewater was applied to each pot after making different methods of wastewater treatment. The treated wastewater was applied soon after sampling and carried to green house in order to avoid any change in characteristics and to minimize microbial activity. The irrigation was scheduled to keep the soil moisture content and seedlings were irrigated at intervals of once a day to eight weeks of each experiment. After the plants reached maturity stage, the fruits were harvested , brought to laboratory, then washed and dried well for next use

2.2.7 Assessment of Heavy Metals in Plants Parts

Assessment of the heavy metals content in plant parts was done following the methods proposed by FAO (2000). Fruits of each plant were washed under running water in order to get rid of the dirt, insects and plankton, followed by washing samples with distilled water. The samples were dried for 2 days in the laboratory at 40°C using an oven. The dried materials were then pulverized using a blender (electric mixer) and passed through 2 mm sieve before use to obtain powder forms of each sample. The concentrations of Zn, Mn, Cu and Fe were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) (APHA (2005).

2.2.8 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using statistical software SPSS version 19- (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA, 2012). Variety ANOVA was used to analyze the experimental results of dependent variables. Significant differences between mean values were determined using Duncan's Multiple Range test (DMRT) ($P \le 0.05$) following ANOVA statistical analysis one way, which were performed using SPSS. All data presented were expressed as mean \pm standard error.

	Table 1. Total of treatment methods and control
Control	Details
T1	Tap water
T2	Raw Wastewater
Treatments	Dilution treatments
T3	1 Wastewater: 3 tap water, pH 5.5
T4	1 Wastewater: 3 tap water, pH 6.5
T5	1 Wastewater: 3 tap water, pH 7.5
T6	1 Wastewater: 1 tap water, pH 5.5
T7	1 Wastewater: 1 tap water, pH 6.5
T8	1 Wastewater: 1 tap water, pH 7.5
	Filtration treatments
Т9	Membrane size 1µm, pH 5.5
T10	Membrane size 1µm, pH 6.5
T11	Membrane size 1µm, pH 7.5
T12	Membrane size 5µm, pH 5.5
T13	Membrane size 5µm, pH 6.5
T14	Membrane size 5µm, pH 7.5
	Chemical treatments
T15	Alum /15 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 5.5
T16	Alum /15 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 6.5
T17	Alum / 15 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 7.5
T18	Alum / 20 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 5.5
T19	Alum / 20 mg/L+ Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 6.5
T20	Alum / 20 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 7.5
	Physico-chemical treatment
T21	Filter with 1µm + Alum / 20 mg/L + Polymer 0.5 mg/L + Chloride 0.15 mL/L, pH 6.5

3. Results

3.1 Accumulation of Heavy Metal in Fruits

Concentration of heavy metals in fruits of irrigated plants with untreated and treated effluent of municipal was presented in figure (1, 2, 3 and 4). Maximum concentration of heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe) recorded in plant fruit, that received untreated wastewater (T2). The averages concentration were [(2.36, 3.39, 1.39 and 2.9) and (2.45, 3.7, 1.52 and 3.07)] in fruits of Mung bean and Okra and the above mentioned heavy metal respectively.



Journal Tikrit Univ. For Agri. Sci. Vol. (18) No.
(3) – 2018 ISSN-1813-1646

*Alphabets above different columns shows significant difference between the treatments using Duncan's Multiple Range test ($P \le 0.05$). The average was calculated from three replicates

Figure 1. Effect of irrigation with untreated and treated municipal effluent of on Cu accumulation in fruit of Mung bean (a) and Okra (b) with varies pH.



Journal Tikrit Univ. For Agri. Sci. Vol. (18) No.(3)
 - 2018 ISSN-1813-1646

*Alphabets different columns shows significant difference between the treatments using Duncan's Multiple Range test ($P \le 0.05$). The average was calculated from three replicates

Figure 2. Effect of irrigation with untreated and treated municipal effluent on Zn accumulation in fruit of Mung bean (a) and Okra (b) with varies pH.



Journal Tikrit Univ. For Agri. Sci. Vol. (18) No.(3)
 - 2018 ISSN-1813-1646

*Alphabets different column show significant difference between the treatments using Duncan's Multiple Range test ($P \le 0.05$) and average was calculated from three replicates

Figure 3 Effect of irrigation with untreated and treated municipal effluent on Mn accumulation in fruit of Mung bean (a) and Okra (b) with varies pH.



Journal Tikrit Univ. For Agri. Sci. Vol. (18) No.
(3) – 2018 ISSN-1813-1646

*Alphabets different columns shows significant difference between the treatments using Duncan's Multiple Range test ($P \le 0.05$). The average was calculated from three replicates

Figure. 4 Effect of irrigation with untreated and treated municipal effluent on Fe accumulation in fruit of Mung bean (a) and Okra(c) with varies pH.

The chemical treatments dosage of 20mg/l (T20) exceeded other treatments in reduction the concentration of heavy metals in fruit. Concentration of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe in (T20) were (0.71, 0.92, 0.31 and 0.5) in fruits of Mung bean and (0.75, 1, 0.35 and 0.6) in fruit of Okra. Physico-chemical treatment (T21) tailed (T20) in the reduction of content of Cu, Mn, Zn and Fe with averages of [(0.72, 1.05, 0.32 and 0.56) and (0.80, 1.14, 0.41 and 0.65) in fruits of Mung bean and Okra sequentially. In dilution treatments, the optimal dilution optimal found to be with 25% ratio (T5). In (T5) the average of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe

reached [(0.94, 1.17, 0.47 and 1.09), and (1, 1.3, 0.52 and 1.15)] Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe in fruits of Mung bean, Okra respectively. The chemical treatment of 15 mg/l (T17) alum and a pH 7.5 gave on averages reading of [(1, 1.3, 0.60 and 1.16) in fruits of Mung bean and (1.12, 1.41, 0.66 and 1.25) in fruits of Okra respectively for the mentioned metals . Higher concentration of heavy metals in filtration treatments gave on averages concentration of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe [(1.9, 2.9, 1.04 and 2.7) and (1.95, 3.09, 1.1 and 2.7)] in fruits of Mung bean and Okra, respectively

4. Discussion

Arora *et al.*(2008) found out that substantial build-up of manganese, copper and zinc in vegetables was observed in irrigated plants using raw effluent compared with treated effluents of wastewaters. Erfani *et al.* (2001) found that plants growth were negatively affected by the use of untreated wastewater and a high accumulation of heavy metals was detected in the soil and plant. This could explained that, untreated irrigation water which contains certain ions at concentrations above threshold values can cause soil and plant toxicity problems. There may be a clear relationship between the rates of accumulation of heavy metals in plant parts and the concentration of heavy metals in the soil irrigated with wastewater *J* which led accumulation of heavy metals with higher percentage in plant Prabu (2009).

According to results it could be indicated that the effect of chemical treatment can reduce the accumulation of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe in the treated effluents and irrigated soil, thus there was a reduction in the concentration of heavy metals in plant parts. This coincide with findings of Mustafa *et al.* (2006) showed that the heavy metals contents remained at normal levels in the plant structure. However, plants growing in polluted environment can accumulate metals at high concentrations, through heavy metal uptake the plants grown in polluted soil. Previous studies by (Sedlak, 2005and Pauline *et al.*, 2008), found out that using doses of aluminium sulphate plus polymer reduced the accumulation of heavy metals in treated wastewater. Accordingly there was a reduction in the accumulation of heavy metals. These results are in agreement with studies by Assadin *et al.* (1998) and Vazquez-Montiel *et al.* (1999), which found that, the dilution treatments of wastewater with clean water helps in the reduction of the accumulation of heavy metals in soil and plants to a safety level. This makes the current results acceptable with dilution ratio using clean water and wastewater to get solution with lower concentration of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe depending on the percentage ratio of mixture 3:1 (Water: wastewater).

The result showed that the pH values of treated effluents which were used in the irrigation effected the accumulation of heavy metals in plants. A higher value of the accumulation of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe was found in plants leaves and fruits irrigated with treated effluents adjusted at pH 5.5. Minimum levels of heavy metals concentration in plants leaves and fruits were recorded in the irrigation treated effluents that was adjusted to pH 7.5. This is because these elements become more available at a lower pH value and are precipitated in soil at high pH values (Ann et al., 2009). Perhaps the adjusted pH of treated effluents may be impacted on the soil pH which made the elements more available and absorbed in the soil solution by plants. Current results is in agreement with work carried out by McBride et al. (1997) in that soil pH has great effect on metal bioavailability of elements. Ann et al. (2009) reported that majority of micronutrients (B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, and Zn) are more available within a pH range of 5 to 7. The current result support report Parker et al. (1998) in that, plant uptake of Mn, Fe, Cu and Zn enhanced at low soil pH. Also current results are in agreement with funding by Davis-Carter and Shuman, (1993) reported that, the concentration of Zn was increased in shoots of Arachis Typography (peanut) with lowered soil pH. The quality of effluents hashed an important role in the accumulation of heavy metals in plants. Application of irrigation using untreated wastewater led to serious contamination and accumulation of heavy metals exceeding the permissible limit.

5. Conclusions

This study indicates that, treated effluents from human activities (municipal sewage waste) have high content of pollutants metals exceed the recommended limits in wastewater. The heavy metals concentration of plants grown with irrigated treated effluents showed relatively lower concentration than those irrigated by untreated wastewater. All the observed concentrations of heavy metals in the studied plants were within the recommended levels of heavy metals in food. The use of treated effluents in agricultural lands may not pose potential environmental and health risks with respect to the accumulation of heavy metals using the proper treatment methods.

6. References

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.(2006). Agricultural Water Quality.

- Ahmad A.; Wong S. Teng T. and Zuhairi A. (2007). Optimization of coagulation-flocculation process for pulp and paper mill effluent by response surface methodological analysis, *Jour Hazard. Mater.*; (145):162-168.
- Albrecht S L.; Baune H L. Rasmussen P.E. and Douglas C L. (1997). Light fraction soil organic matter in long-term agro ecosystems. *Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Annual Report*. Spec. Rpt, (977): 38-42.
- Ali M F., and Shakrani S A. (2011). Soil and soilless cultivation influence on nutrients and heavy metals availability in soil and plant uptake. *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 1.(5):54-160.
- American Public Health Association. (APHA). (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 21st edition, Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S., Rice, E.W., Greenberg, A.E., Franson, M.A.H. APHA, Washington.
- Amuda O S and Alade A.(2006). Coagulation/flocculation process in the treatment of abattoir wastewater, Desalination, (196):22-31.
- Ann M., Clain J. and Jeff J. (2009). Soil pH and Organic Matter. Nutrient Management, (8):1-11.
- Arora M., Kiran B. Rani S. Rani A. Kaur B. and Mittal N. (2008.) Heavy metal accumulation in vegetables irrigated with water from different sources. *Food Chemistry*, (111): 811-815.
- Assadian NW., Fenn L B., Flores-Ortiz MA. and Ali A.S .(1999) . Spatial variability of solutes in a pecan orchard surface-irrigated with untreated effluents in the upper Rio Grande River basin. *Agricultural Water Managemen*,42, (2):143-156.
- Davis-Carter JG. and Shuman LM. (1993). Influence of texture and pH of kaolinitic soils on zinc fractions and zinc uptake by peanuts. *Soil Science*, (155), 376-384.
- Emongor, V. E., and Ramolemana, G. M.(2004). Treated sewage effluent (water) potential to be used for horticultural production in Botswana. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* (29):1101-1108.
- EPA (Environmental Pollution Assistance). (2002). Water Efficiency Fact Sheet, North Carolina. Division of Pollution Prevention and Environmental Assistance, Raleigh, America. 32419 500. Website at http://www.epa.state.il.us.
- EPA. (1999). Guidance Manual Turbidity Provisions, April 1999, pp.10-26.
- EPA. (2003) . Guidelines for Environmental Management Use Of Reclaimed Water, Victoria40 City Road, Southbank Victoria 3006. Sydney.
- EPA. (2012) . Guidelines for Water Reuse. http://www.waterreuseguidelines.org/
- Erfani, A., Haghnia, G. H., and Alizadeh, A. 2001. Effect of irrigation by treated wastewater on the yield and quality of tomato. *Agricultural Sci. and Technol.*, 15(1): 65-7.
- FAO. (2005). Wastewater treatment and use in agriculture.
- Gara V. (2008).Influence of textile mill wastewater irrigation on the growth of sorgum cultivars. Applied ecology and environmental.; 6 (2):1-12.
- Hassanli, A. (2013). Recycled wastewater for parkland plant irrigation and sustainability measures. The 14th National Street Tree Symposium 2013.- University of South Australia ,Australia.

- Horswell, J., Speir, T. W., and van Schaik, A. P. (2003). Bio-indicators to assess impacts of heavy metals in land-applied sewage sludge. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, (35):1501-1505.
- Ibrahim, M. Zeid1. Ghazi, S.M1., Nabawy, D.M. (2013) . Alleviation of heavy metals toxicity in waste water used for plant irrigation. *International journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*., 4 (5), 976-983.
- Kallppan S, Satish T .(2005). Recovery and reuse of water from effluents of cooling tower. *Indian Inst. Sci.*; (85):215-221.
- Khan, M.G., Danlel, G., Konjit, M., Thomas, A., Eyasu, S.S., Awoke, G. (2011). Impact of textile waste water on seed germination and some physiological parameters in pea (Pisum sativum L.), Lentil (Lens esculentum L.) and gram (Cicer arietinum L.). Asian *Journal of Plant Science*, (10):269-273.
- Khan, S., Aijun, L., Zhang, S., Hu, Q., and Zhu, Y. (2008). Accumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals in lettuce grown in the soils contaminated with long-term wastewater irrigation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, (152):506-515.
- Kiziloglu, F. M., Turan, M., Sahin, U., Kuslu, Y., and Dursun, A. (2008). Effects of untreated and treated wastewater irrigation on some chemical properties of cauliflower (Brassica olerecea L. var. botrytis) and red cabbage (Brassica olerecea L. var. rubra) grown on calcareous soil in Turkey. Agricultural Water Management (95):716-724.
- Klamklang S . (2007). Restaurant wastewater treatment by electrochemical oxidation in continuous process. Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse. Ph.D Thesis.
- Madrid, L., Diaz-Barrientos, E., and Madrid, F. (2002) . Distribution of heavy metals contents of urban soils in parks of Seville. Chemosphere (49):1301-1308.
- Mustafa, K., Sukru. D., Ersin .G., Celalettin. O and Emin, A. (2006) . Hevay metal accumulation in wheat plants irrigated by wastewater. *Cellulose Chem. Technol.*, 40 (7): 575-579.
- Najafi, P., Nasr, Sh. (2009) . Comparison effects of wastewater on soil chemical properties in three irrigation methods. *Research on crops*, (2): 277-280.
- Nouri, H., Beecham, S. Kazemi, F. and Hassanli, A. (2012). A Review of ET Measurement Techniques for Estimating the Water Requirements of Urban Landscape Vegetation, Urban Water, Taylor and Francis, pp1-13.
- Parker, D., Bernard J., Gaigger G., Tekippe R. and Wahlber E. (2000) b. The future of chemically enhanced primary treatment: Evolution not revolution. Iwaceptre 3.doc. 17 p.
- Parker, D.R., Pedlar, J.F., Ahnstrom, Z.A. and Resketo, M. (2001) a. Reevaluating the free-ion activity model of trace element metal toxicity towards higher plants: experimental evidence with copper and zinc. Environmental toxicology and chemistry, (20):899-906.
- Parker, D.R., Pedler, J.F., Thomason, D.N. and Li, H. (1998) . Alleviation of copper rhizotoxicity by calcium and magnesium at defined free metal-ion activities. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, (62):965-972..
- Pauline, D., Johnson. P. G., Kurt N. O., Stephen R, L., Teuber, J K. (2008). Enhanced removal of heavy metals in primary treatment using coagulation and flocculation. *Water Environment Research*, 80 (5):272-279.
- Prabu, P. C. (2009). Impact of Heavy Metal Contamination of Akaka River of Ethiopia on Soil and Metal Toxicity on Cultivated Vegetable Crops," Electronic Journal of *En- vironmental Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 8 (9):818-827.
- Rattan, R. K, Datta, S. P., Chhonkar, P. K., Suribabu, K. and Singh. A K. (2005). Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater a case study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, (109): 310-322.
- Sauve, S., McBride, M.B., Norvell, W.A. and Hendershot, W.H. (1997). Copper solubility and speciation of in situ contaminated soils: effects of copper level, pH and organic matter. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, (100):133-149.

- Sedlak, D. L. (2005) . Assessing Methods of Removing Metals from Wastewater: The effect of ferric chloride addition on metal speciation and removal, WERF Research Report 97CTS4;Water Environment Research Foundation: Alexandria, Virginia.
- Tabassum, D., Azad, S., Inam, A. (2007). City wastewater as a source of irrigation water for mustard, *Journal of Industrial Pollution Control*, 23(2): 391-396.
- Taranaki Regional Council: (2012). Future directions for the management of farm dairy effluent. State of the environment report, July 2012.
- Vazquez-Montiel, O., Gardea-Torresdey, J. and Van Derslice, J. (1999). Dilution effects on the quality of untreated wastewater used for irrigation along the USA-Mexico border. International Journal of Environmental Health Research, 9(2):125-129.
- William Y, Lindsay E, and Deniliquin L. (2005). Farm water quality and treatment. Agfact AC.2, 9th edition, April 20.