THE SEQUENTIAL FEEDBACK OF IRAQI MEDICAL GRADUATES PERFORMANCE

التغذية الاسترجاعية لأداء خريجي كليات الطب العراقية

Assist. Prof Dr. Adnan Alwan Al Helli; CABS, MB Ch B.: Dept. of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Kerbala.

E-mail; (adnan_helli@yahoo.com).

Dr. Mahdi Abdul Sahib; D. Ortho , MB Ch B.: CME Center, General directorate of Holy Kerbala , E-mail:(mahdiabdalsahib@yahoo.com).

Abstract

Background; Iraqi medical graduates qualify (MB. Ch. B.) then assigned as intern resident doctors to commit uniform twelve months training in various clinical disciplines as apprentices in health care. Ministry of health has no formal apprenticeship program to ensure competency and quality of juniors.

The objectives of medical colleges are to ensure eligible safe health providers qualified to acquire and refine clinical skills in medical disciplines. Outcomes feedbacks are recommended to promote faculty curriculum and to ensure graduates competence. This current appraisal of Karbala is the second whereas the first was at 2010.

Aims; To promote curricula of medical colleges and establish a genuine apprenticeship legislation for resident doctors.

Design; cross sectional self evaluation.

Date; December 2012.

Setting; CME Center, General directorate of Holy Kerbala, Kerbala, Iraq.

Subjects and Methods; Recently assigned 45 medical graduates of academic year 2011-2012. Questionnaire consists of 50 items including clinical skill performance, curricular affairs, and graduates comments and opinions. The scoring levels assigned (+) if competent, (\pm) if equivocal, and (-) if non competent.

Results; Response rate; 98%. Females; 71.1%. Kerbala graduates; 71.1%.

Upgrade performance; 19 clinical skills. Downgrade performance; 25. Females are better in communications and clerkship skills, males are better in interventional and emergency management skills. Graduates recommend skill lab and asked for more practical and professional curriculum.

Conclusions; Most of the upgrade skills are learned through skill lab. The low 25 skills are of clinical methods. Gender has strong impact on skill performance.

Faculties curricula need renewal and health authority should apprentice graduates better to achieve an eligible national health services.

Keywords; Iraqi health services, Medical graduate's evaluation. medical education, clinical skill lab.

الخلاصة

الخلفية: تهدف كليات الطب إكساب خريجيها المعلومات الطبية المعاصرة و المهارات السريرية الكافية لتمكينهم من تقديم الخدمات المهنية الأمنة. توظف وزارة الصحة العراقية خريجي كليات الطب بعنوان أطباء مقيمين دوريين تحت التدريب. لا يوجد في وزارة الصحة برنامج تدريبي واضح لتأهيل الأطباء المقيمين مهنيا يضمن الكفاءة السريرية وتطورها. هذا التقييم الدوري لخريجي كِليات الطب في كربلاء هو الثاني حيث أجري التقييم الأول في عام 2010.

التاريخ: كانون الأول 2012.

المكان: مركز التعليم الطبي المستمر، في دائرة صحة كربلاء المقدسة، كربلاء، عراق. المهدف: تطوير مناهج كليات الطب وانجاز نظام معتمد لتأهيل الأطباء المقيمين الدوريين

التصميم: دراسة مقطعية تعتمد التقييم الذاتي.

الخريجون والطريقة: 25 من خريجي كليات الطب العراقية في الفرات الأوسط للعام 2011 – 2012 والذين عينوا حديثا بعنوان أطباء مقيمين دوربين في مستشفيات دائرة صحة كربلاء المقدسة. تكونت قائمة الاستبيان من 50 بندا اشتملت على أداء

المهارات السريرية, وشؤون المناهج الدراسية، وأراء وتعقيبات الخريجين.
النتائج: معدل الاستجابة: 98٪ نسبة الإناث 71.1٪، خريجي كلية طب كربلاء: 71.1٪.
ظهر تحسن في أداء (44/19) مهارة سريريه شملت المهارات التي درب عليها الخريجون في مختبر المهارات السريرية. ظهر انحدار في أداء (44/25) مهارة سريريه ومهنية يتم تدربها سريريا.
ظهر انحدار في أداء (44/25) مهارة سريريه ومهنية السريرية, ونتائج الذكور أفضل في خبرات التعامل مع الحالات الطارئة. أشاد الخريجون في تدريب مختبر المهارات السريرية.
الاستنتاجات؛ الانحدار يفوق التحسن في أداء الخبرات السريرية. التدريب في مختبر المهارات السريرية يدعم اكتساب الثقة بالنفس ويسهل التدريب ألسريري في حالات متعددة. جنس الخريج عامل مؤثر في خبراته السريرية .
الخريجين مهنيا من اجل ضمان خدمات صحية أفضل للمواطنين.

Introduction

Skillful performance in the act of medical care is fundamental to the delivery of quality professional service to those who seek the care of physicians (1).

The resource of Iraqi physicians usually is the national medical colleges. Iraqi medical colleges` alumni are annually increasing, last year they were over two thousands. Medical Colleges qualify (MB. Ch. B.) certificate after passing the final exam graduates are recognized as members of Iraqi Medical Association and officially assigned as intern resident doctors serve in the general hospitals, so far they are regarded as the lowest grade in the medical hierarchy of qualified doctor and commit a uniform twelve months rotational training system in various clinical disciplines as apprentices who assist in the secondary health care (2).

The objectives of medical education are to ensure that each student should develop basic clinical skills during undergraduate course. Disciplines of medical core knowledge, medical ethics, and basic clinical skills of life saving procedures as well as other essential professions like communications, diagnostic and emergency interventional experiences are elemental objectives of the medical faculty curricula in Iraq as in other countries. These competencies are required for eligible and safe health care services before both juniors and patients confront troubles through a possible mismanagement. The continuum of education process is required to provide contemporary competent care and physicians should acquire and refine competencies for life (1,2,3).

Actually Ministry of Higher Education appreciates these facts and has released many sensible instructions to achieve these objectives, even though some graduates unfortunately apparently fail acquiring some essential basic skills during undergraduate course (3,4,8). This problem of under competency is not limited to Iraqi medical graduates but also appears in some graduates of credited universities and medical schools of the developed countries (6,7,8,10).

Until now Ministry of health has no official juniors apprenticeship program to ensure competency and quality, instead Ministry issued many instruction rules of tasks and duties to control internship administration. Although novices might learn skills with variable competencies through "on the job" tasks, theses skills remain of unreliable levels without the appliance of controlled explicit training program executed by concerned competent supervisors and trainers followed by objective evaluation(2,3).

The outcomes feedback have got consensus acceptance and is recommended by the medical educators, it is an important factor of curriculum design and promotion(8).

This current feedback evaluation of Karbala Iraqi residents is the second after that of 2010. In fact the results of the previous appraisal had alerted Kerbala Medical College educators to propose solutions such as relevant workshops and conferences, access to teaching experts, emphasis on teaching modernization, and the implementation of preclinical skill lab (1,3,6).

Objectives

To promote the curricula of medical colleges and to establish a genuine apprenticeship legislation for resident doctors.

Subjects And Methods

This study was performed at CME center during December 2012 and involved Forty five newly graduated resident doctors who were recently assigned as resident doctors under training in Kerbala Teaching Hospitals.

All the graduates are of Iraqi medical colleges of academic year (2011-2012).

The questionnaire is anonymous consists of (50) categories, (44) are essential clinical skills mandatory for health providers include most of medical disciplines which were also included in the first feedback carried out at 2010. These skills are credited by the Iraqi Quality Assurance and Accreditation Department and also are recommended by the Association of American Medical Colleges 2005. The last six statements of the list related to the graduates confidence and faculty teaching methods. There is a separate zone for graduate's relevant opinions and comments.

The design of the study is cross sectional voluntary feedback which depends self perception of graduates on their views about clinical skills and curriculum. The graduates asked to tick honestly against the appropriate level of competency of the particular skill or the learning affair.

The competency scores were scaled into three levels as follow; (+); when graduate masters or acquires the skill and has independently done the skill perfectly on manikin or living patient, or a learning method was successful and useful.

(\pm); graduate did the procedure under supervision on a living patient or on a manikin, or a learning method was of equivocal help, and (-); when he does not know the skill, or just knows the principle through the instructional learning, or the particular teaching method was useless.

The responses then ranked into five categories:

- 1. Upgrade competencies compared to 2010 questionnaire
- 2. Downgrade competencies compared to 2010 questionnaire
- 3. Kerbala graduates compared to other colleges performance
- 4. Gender related performance.
- 5. Graduates comments and recommendations

The statistical analysis was processed through the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 software

Results

The graduates: total number (45), mean ages; (24) years.

Female; (32,71.1%), males; (13, 28.9%).

Thirty two (71.1%) are graduated from Kerbala college of medicine; (9, 20%) from Babel, and (4, 9.8%) from Al Kuffa Universities.

The response rate was (98%); (27) respondents ignored (42, 2%) of items (range; 1-4 items/list), none of graduates ignored the whole list.

1. Upgrade performance; table (1), there is improvement in (19) skills (range; 37.4%-0.7%) some are essential life saving procedures like endotracheal intubation, respiratory assistance, venous cut down, and venous cannulation. Others are general professions e.g. urinary catheterization, breast examination and abdominal examination.

	Table (1);Upgrade skills performance in descending sequence			
no	skill	Upgrade		
1	Endotracheal intubation.	37.4%		
2	Respiratory assistance, oropharyngeal airway, emergency	25.4%		
	tracheostomy / cricothyroidotomy.			
3	Venous cut-down.	15.9%		
4	Communication with senior doctors	13%		
5	Intravenous injection, cannulation, venous blood sampling.	12.4%		
6	Appropriate Male and Female urinary catheterization.	12.2%		
7	Examination of the female and male breast and regional lymph N	6.4%		
8	Diagnoses of common infectious skin lesions.	5.8%		

9	Principles and practice of proper wound care.	4.1%
10	Central venous pressure (CVP) measurement and central vein	4%
	cannulation.	
11	Communication with patient and proper history taking	4%
12	The basic science knowledge is consistent with the present job	4%
13	Taking swabs for bacterial examination from lesion or blood (aerobic	3.1%
	and anaerobic).	
14	Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses.	3%
15	Taking an arterial blood sample (radial and femoral).	2.8%
16	Nasogastric tube insertion and management.	2.2%
17	Assessment of the peripheral sensory examination and reflexes	1.4%
18	Splints application.	1.3%
19	Full respiratory examination.	0.7%
7.5		0.0=

Median; +4.0, Std. Deviation; 9.46, Minimum; +0.7, Maximum; +37.4, Mean; +8.37, Percentiles; +2.80%. The upgrade numbers are the sum of both 2012 $\{(+) \text{ and}(\pm)\}$ minus $2010\{(+) \text{ and}(\pm)\}$ responses.

2- Downgrade performance; as shown in table (2) there is decline in (25) skills (range; 42%-1.8%) some of these skills are of general professions like suturing, Pap smear, and investigations request. others are emergency procedures like assessment of traumatic vascularity, joint immobilization and fetal assessment.

Table (2); Downgrade skills performance in ascending sequence.				
No	Skill	Downgrade		
1	Assessment of the vascular supply to a limb after trauma or surgery	42.6%		
2	Performing simple suturing	32.7%		
3	Cervical Pap smear	29.6%		
4	Prescription, setting up, and operating a nebulizer correctly.	29.2%		
5	Examination of the external genitalia of $(? \& ?)$.	27.5%		
6	Drug dose and recording outcome accurately	24.5%		
7	Requesting and filling investigations format accurately	22.2%		
8	The indications and application of different % of oxygen therapy.	20.7%		
9	Surface markings of the abdominal contents.	18.2%		
10	Normal / abnormal pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealact	16.6%		
11	Practicing joint immobilization.	15.5%		
12	Plaster of Paris application.	12%		
13	Assessment of visual acuity, color vision and pupillary reflexes	11%		
14	Proper application of Glasgow Coma Score.	10%		
15	Pregnant and fetal assessment.	9.8%		
16	Proper shock management.	9.7%		
17	Communication with staff	9.6%		
18	Communication with patient and family	8.1%		
19	Standard dipsticks to analyze samples of urine.	7%		
20	Examination of the cranial nerves	6.7%		
21	Recognizing drugs involved with common medical conditions	6.6%		
22	Methods of parenteral fluid, nutrition, &medication administration.	6.6%		
23	No difficulties in communication with sub staff	6%		
24	Writing concise, accurate and legible follow-up case notes.	4.6%		
25	Auscultation of abdomen	1.8%		
Madian: 11.0 Minimum: 1.8 Maximum: 42.60 Maan: 15.5 Parcentile: 6.8% The				

Median;-11.0, Minimum;-1.8, Maximum;-42.60,, Mean;-15.5, Percentile; 6.8%. The downgrade numbers are the difference between both $2010\{(+) \text{ and}(\pm)\}$ minus $2012\{(+) \text{ and}(\pm)\}$ responses.

- 3- Kerbala graduates compared to other colleges performance; as in (appendix1), in general Kerbala graduates apparently of lower performance score in emergency interventions like suturing, vascular assessment, venous cut down, and splint application, as well as in some general professions like dipstick urine analysis, and cervical Pap smear skills.
- 4- Gender and performance; table (3) represents the gender related performance of all graduating colleges, female graduates appeared having higher scores in communication and clerkship competencies, but males are more confident and better in psychomotor general and emergency management procedures .

Table (3); Gender related competencies				
no	Groups of competencies	Females	Males	
1	Communication skills group	more	less	
2	Physical examination and tests.	equal	equal	
3	Medications and prescription skills	less	more	
4	Investigations	less	more	
5	Clerkship and follow up	more	less	
6	Emergency assessment skills	less	more	
7	Emergency resuscitation skills	less	more	
8	General interventional procedures	less	more	
9	Self confidence	less	more	
NB. the relevant competencies are grouped together.				

5- Graduates Comments and Recommendations; (13,29%) of graduates wrote comments; Twelve of the commenter's were from Karbala college. The comments concise is shown in table (4).

	Table(4); Graduates comments and recommendations			
no	Comments and recommendations	Episodes		
1	Need active exposure and training at casualty and dealing with	5		
	urgent critical conditions			
2	Should focus on practical training instead of extensive theory.	3		
3	The theory knowledge practically is not applicable locally	2		
4	Curriculum should be more transparent	2		
5	The sequential graduate's feedback is useful.	2		
6	The training curriculum is confusing	1		
7	Should have equality in dealing with students.	1		
8	Do not embarrass students during training, it cause psychological	1		
	harm affects future career.			

Discussion

This self feedback is easily approached and inexpensive but is of moderate objective validity. It is applied anonymously there fore bias should be reduced (10, 14). Definitely the Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE), is more appropriate, but is rarely used because it is demanding and costly (11). On the other hand trainers` scoring of graduate's competency usually is higher than graduates self evaluation scorings, this fact leaves the question of tutors skills scoring validity unresolved (12,15).

This study size (n; 45) was obligatory for administrative reasons, even though small size relevant questioners have been published in recognizable educational journals (13, 17).

Although limited, this appraisal may declare the performance standard of graduates who learned on similar curricula and learning environments but formal national feedbacks which include graduates of all Iraqi medical faculties would be upscale.

The high response rate 98% may mark the participant's enthusiasm to attain excellence and awareness of own opinions significance.

Certainly student learned on traditional teaching method may not master many clinical methods and skills, but there is explicit high score performance regarding (19) clinical skills (Table 1) like

endotracheal intubation, respiratory assistance, venous cannulation, CVP, urinary catheterization, and nasogatric tube management (16,17). Graduates of 2010 had been in the residency job for two months, even though they denied acquiring some of the above mentioned emergency skills at time. Certainly tutor's efficiency is highly significant for this good news of success, but also most of these high scored skills (table 2) have been coached in the clinical skill lab of Kerbala as well as in the other two graduating colleges. This fact demonstrates the skill lab impact on self confidence and skills acquisition (3,18). In fact skill lab partially resolved the problems of competency and socio religious embarrassment of students training on other sex or similar sex patients. Clinical skill mastery is developmental and skill lab system is designed as a preclinical first stage process that doesn't totally cancel the great real patient turn of skill acquisition.

To be fully effective, skill lab should be scholarly managed, and well equipped with sufficient and efficient tutors, facilities and recourses. Ideally simulation training should be integrated with the core knowledge syllabus and the procedures should be standardized by all tutors and is similar by all learners. Certainly one skill lab session is insufficient for skill acquirement, it should be gradual process, starts early, and being progressive, thereafter skill is applied on real patient under close supervision and feedback processes (1,2,18,19).

On the contrary of the success there is evident reduction in performance of (25) clinical skills, table (2). Some of these skills are ward based competencies e.g. bowel sounds, and taking culture swab... etc. Some of these skills can be learned in skill lab as well, e.g. wound suturing and surgical knots, or learned on standardized patients or peer examination (3). Professions like communications, cervical Pap smear, shock management, or traumatic vascular assessment require a full health care environment with the attendance of sincere, genuine trainers who are able to exploit the proper patient in the proper time to demonstrate, to perform, and then to feedback. However no body warrants skills improvement of graduates with the current on job training.

The apparent differences of graduate's competence of the different colleges are unreliable because of the inequality of graduating scores of the groups.

There is evident gender difference in clinical competence of graduates, although both male and female students have attended same curriculum course, as is shown in tables (3), female graduates perform better than male in communications and clerkship professions and follow up, but males are superior in self confidence and in five essential psychomotor skills like emergency assessment and resuscitation or general interventional procedures, this fact is consistent with Zagreb University study (12).

Although skill lab training have been comprehensively performed on breast and genital examination skills, still there is a gander differences (appendix 2). Societal and self interest reasons might be incriminated (21). These differences should be concerned by curriculum planners, and health authorities noting that females are over 70% of medical graduates in Iraq and their average graduating scorings are higher.

Confidence; In fact some unconfident accent reappeared after 2010 appraisal table (4) and appendix (1, 2). It may be logical to consider this problem into two separate issues;

First, (33%) of graduates do not trust their own competency to confront responsibilities of first in charge doctor in the casualty or the wards (2). Frequent active students participation on the real hospital environment of casualty and inpatient care may ensure improvement. These are in excess to the early frequent organized preclinical skill simulations and the shift to a more clinical, and problem based curriculum on the expense of the dry needless instructions (1, 8).

The second issue is that (24%) of graduates are unconfident about their curricula table (4) and appendix (1, 2). Graduate appreciated the preclinical skill lab significance first (89%), the second is the bed side training (84%), but the third is the basic science teaching. Educators are invited to promote the most useful methods and to improve the others.

Graduates comments and recommendations; table (4) The contemporary curriculum is outcome based, this set of graduate comments are precious, genuine, and logical, do not need an extra comments but should never been ignored, in stead should be sincerely concerned when designing modern curriculum and education policy (1,5,22).

Conclusions

Most of the improved competencies are related to urgent interventional skills which were coached through skill lab training.

Most of the down grade performance competencies are bed side every day professions, which were not included in the skill lab program for some reason or another, or are not recommended as skill lab procedures.

Graduates valued skill lab training more than other teaching methods, skill lab facilitate clinical skill acquisition and raise graduates self confidence.

Gender has a strong impact on skill performance, males are superior to females in psychomotor urgent and interventional skills but females are better in communications and clerkship skills, this create a problem of health care planning.

One third of graduates are unconfident to confront duties and emergency conditions.

A quarter of graduates are unconvinced about their implemented curriculum.

Recommendations

Faculty's curricula should be turned into contemporary outcome and community based design and should offer more efforts on skill acquisition and professionalism.

Objective pre and post residency appraisals include graduates of all Iraqi medical faculties should be more factual and more informative.

Ministry of Health may be responsible to apprentice medical graduates through an eligible legislation including clinical skill competence and health care professions.

APPENDICES

Numbers in lines 2 and 3;(first number; +, second; ±,third, lower;—) Numbers in lines 2 and 3;(first number; +, second; ±,third, lower;—) 10 Clinical skills Kerala (+, ±, —) Babel &Kuffa (+,±,—) 1 Auscultation of abdomen 20(62.5%), 11(34.4%), 11 (84%), 2(15%), 0 11 (84%), 2(15%), 0 2 Examination of the female and male breast and regional Lymph N 24(77.4%), 4(12.5%), 3 (23%), 2(15%) 8 (52%), 3(23%), 2(15%) 3 Communication with patient and proper history taking 0 10(67%), 3(23%) 10(67%), 3(23%) 4 Communication with patient and family history taking 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3(9.7%) 7(53%), 5(39%), 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 3(10%) 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 6(67%), 3 (23%), 6(67%), 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 7 Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5 (38%), 6(46%), 5 (16.1%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(4	ALLENDICES				
no Clinical skills Kerala (+, ±, —) Babel & Kuffa (+,±, —) 1 Auscultation of abdomen 20(62.5%), 11(34.4%), 11 (84%), 2(15%), 0 2 Examination of the female and male breast and regional Lymph N 24(77.4%), 4(12.5%), 3(23%), 2(15%) 3 Communication with patient and proper history taking 23(71.9), 9(28.1%) 10(67%), 3(23%) 0 4 Communication with patient and family stating 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3(9.7%) 7(53%), 5(39%), 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, 60, 7% 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 6(67%), 3 (23%), 6(67%), 123%) 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 6(67%), 123% 6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 7 Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5(16.1%) 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (15%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 11 Full respiratory examination.	Appendix (1); complete comparison table of performance between the graduating universities.				
Auscultation of abdomen 20(62.5%), 11(34.4%), 11 (84%), 2(15%), 1(3.1%) 0					
1(3.1%) 0					
2 Examination of the female and male breast and regional Lymph N 24(77.4%), 4(12.5%), 3(23%), 3(23%), 3(9.7%) 8 (52%), 3(23%), 3(23%), 3(9.7%) 3 Communication with patient and proper history taking 23(71.9), 9(28.1%) 10(67%), 3(23%) 4 Communication with patient and family history taking 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3(9.7%) 7(53%), 5(39%), 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 0. 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%),					
breast and regional Lymph N 3 (9.7%) 2(15%) Communication with patient and proper history taking 0 10(67%), 3(23%) 0 Communication with patient and family 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3 (9.7%) 1(7%) Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%), 6 (23%), 6 (24%),					
3 Communication with patient and proper history taking 23(71.9), 9(28.1%) 10(67%), 3(23%) 4 Communication with patient and family 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3 (9.7%) 7(53%), 5(39%), 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 10 (6					
history taking Communication with patient and family 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3 (9.7%) Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5(16.1%) Surface markings of the abdominal contents. Surface markings of the abdominal contents. Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%), 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 10 Full respiratory examination. Pill respiratory examination.					
4 Communication with patient and family 19(61.3%), 9(29%), 3 (9.7%) 7(53%), 5(39%), 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 3 (10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 10 (67%), 1	,				
3 (9.7%) 1(7%) 5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 0 6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 7 Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5 (16.1%) 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 3 (9.4%) 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6 (18.8%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
5 Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral, poplitealect 20 (66.7%), 7(23%). 10 (67%), 3 (23%), 0 6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 7 Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 2 (15%) 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%) 0 (46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
femoral, poplitealect 6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 7 Communication with senior doctors 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 10 Communication with staff 11 Full respiratory examination. 11 (35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5(16.1%) 2 (15%) 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 3 (9.4%) 13 (43.8%), 12(37.5%) 4 (43.8%), 12(37.5%) 5 (46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 10 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
6 Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias and masses. 17(54.8%), 14(45.2%) 0, 8 (61%), 5 (39%) 7 Communication with senior doctors 5(16.1%) 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5 (16.1%) 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 3(9.4%) 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 10 Communication with staff 4(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),	,				
hernias and masses. Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 5(16.1%) Surface markings of the abdominal contents. Examination of the cranial nerves Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10(80%), 6(46%) 11(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24(75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
7 Communication with senior doctors 11(35.5%), 15(48.4%), 5(38%), 6(46%), 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) (42%) (42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves (15(46.9%), 14(43.8%)) (10 (80%), 6(46%)) (10	,				
5(16.1%) 2 (15%) 8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 3(9.4%) 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%) 10 Communication with staff 4(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
8 Surface markings of the abdominal contents. 12 (40%), 10(33, 3%) 7 (42%) 6(42%), 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 3(9.4%) 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%) 0 10 Communication with staff 4(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
contents. 8(26.7%) 2 (16%) 9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%) 3(9.4%) 0 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
9 Examination of the cranial nerves 15(46.9%), 14(43.8%) 10 (80%), 6(46%) 3(9.4%) 0 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
3(9.4%) 0 10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
10 Communication with staff 14(43.8%), 12(37.5%) 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(46%), 6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),	,				
6(18.8%) 1(7%) 11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
11 Full respiratory examination. 24 (75%), 7(21.9%), 9(70%), 4(33%),					
1(3.1%)					
12 Assessment of the peripheral sensory 10(31.2%), 19(59.4%) 7(54%), 6(46%),					
examination and reflexes 3(9.4%) 0					
13 Performing simple suturing 4(12.9%), 9(29. %), 3(23%), 7(54%),					
18(58.1%) 3(23%)					
14 Assessment of the vascular supply to a 7(24.1%), 11(37.9%), 4(30%), 7(54%),					
limb after trauma or surgery 11(37.9%) 2(15%)					
15 Assessment of visual acuity, color vision 13(43.3%), 12(40%), 5 (4.8%), 4(33, 3%)					

	and pupillary reflexes	5(16.7%)	4 (30%)
16	No difficulties in communication with	10(32.3%), 15(48.4%),	2(15.3%), 4(31%),
	sub staff	6(19.4%)	6 (46%)
17	Venous cut-down.	3(9.7%),0,	1(7%), 3(23%),
		28(90.3%),	9(69%)
18	Respiratory assistance, oropharyngeal	2(6.2%), 2(6.2%),	2 (15.3%), 5(38%),
	airway, emergency tracheostomy /	28(87.5%)	6 (46%)
	cricothyroidotomy.		
19	Endotracheal intubation.	0, 12(37.5%),	4(31%), 4(31%),
		20(62. %)5,	5 (38%)
20	Central venous pressure (CVP)	4(12.5%) 2(6.2%)	2 (1%) 4. (31%)
	measurement and central vein	26(81.2%)	7, (54%)
	cannulation.		
21	Standard dipsticks to analyze samples of	1(3.1%), 3(9.4%),	3(23%),2(1%)5,
	urine.	28 (87.5%)	8(62%)
22	Plaster of Paris application.	1(3.1%), 2(6.2%),	4(30%), 3(23%),
		29(90.6%)	6 (46%)
23	Cervical pap smear	1 (3.1%), 9 (28.1%)	2 (15, %) 3(33%),
		, 22(68.8%)	, 6 (46%)
24	Taking an arterial blood sample (radial	5(15.6%), 4(12.5%),	4(31%), 4(31%),
	and femoral).	23 (71.9%)	5 (38%)
25	Splints application.	1(3.2%), 6(19.4%),	4 (31, %) 8(62%),
		24(77.4%)	1(7%)
26	Taking swabs for bacterial examination	2(6.2%), 11(34%).	1(7%), 9(69%),
	from lesion or blood (aerobic and	19(59.4%)	3(23%)
	anaerobic).		
27	Drug dose and recording outcome	3(9.1%), 16(48.5%)	0, 5(39%),
	accurately	, 14(42.4%)	7(60%),
28	Practicing joint immobilization.	1(3.2%), 7(22.6%),	0, 5(38%),
		23 (74.2%)	8(62%)
29	The indications and application of	2(6.1%), 13(39.4%),	1(7%), 11(84%),
	different % of oxygen therapy.	18(54.5%)	1(7%)
30	Diagnoses of common infectious skin	5(15.2%), 23(69.72%)	4(30%), 23(54%),
	lesions.	5(15.2%)	2(15%)
31	Methods of parenteral fluid, nutrition,	5(15.6%), 16(50%).	4(30%), 8(62%),
	&medication administration.	11(34.4%)	1 (7%)
32	Appropriate Male and Female urinary	9(27.3%), 14(42.4%),	4 (30%), 7(54%),
22	catheterization.	10 (30.3%)	2(15%)
33	Intravenous injection, cannulation,	13(39.4%), 15 (45.5%),	6 (46%), 4(31%),
2.4	venous blood sampling.	1(5.2%)	3(23%)
34	Nasogastric tube insertion and	5(15.2%), 15 (45.5%),	5(38%), 3(23%),
25	management.	13(39.4%)	5(38%)
35	Proper application of Glasgow Coma Score.	12(36.4%), 14(42.4%), 7(21.2%)	3(23%), 7(54%),
36	Examination of the external genitalia of	6(18.2%), 11(33. %)	3(23%) 1(7%), 6(46%),
30	Examination of the external gentana of $(3\& 9)$.		6 (46%)
37	Prescription, setting up, and operating a	3, (16%) 3(9.7%), 9(29%).	2(15%), 4(34%),
31	nebulizer correctly.	19(61.3%)	7(54%)
38	Proper shock management.	2(6.1%), 24(72.7%),	1(7%), 10(78%),
50	1 Toper Shock management.	7 (21.2%)	2 (15%)
39	Pregnant and fetal assessment.	11(34.4%),18(56. %)2	5(38%), 6(46%),
37	1 regnant and retar assessificilt.	3 (9.4%)	2(15%)
40	Principles and practice of proper wound	5(15.2%), 25(75%),	3(23%), 8(62%),
+∪	care.	3(13.2%), 23(73%), 3(9.1%)	2(15%)
41	Writing concise, accurate and legible	6(18.8%), 18(56.2%),	5(39%),3(31),
41	withing concise, accurate and legible	0(10.070), 10(30.270),	J(J270],J(J1),

	follow-up case notes.	8(25%)	5 (38%)
42	Recognizing drugs involved with common medical conditions	2(6.1%), 26(78.8%), 5(15.2%)	3(23%), 7(56, %) 3(23%)
43	Requesting and filling investigations formats accurately	8(24.2%), 16(48.5%), 9(27.3%)	3(23%), 7(56%), 3(23%)
44	Obtaining and testing midstream urine.	3 (9.4%), 9(28.1%), 20 (62.5%)	2(15%), 4(31%), 7(54%)
45	The basic science knowledge is consistent with the present job	2 (6.2%), 23(71.9%), 7 (21.9%)	2 (15%), 8(62%) 3 (46%)
46	Your learned clinical skills are sufficient for the intern job.	3 (9.7%), 13(41.9%), 15 (48.4%)	0, 7 (54%), 6 (46 %%)
47	You are unembarrassed and confident when facing urgent critical clinical conditions.	4(12,1%), 20 (60,6%), 9 (27.3%)	2 (15%), 6(46%), 5 (38%)
48	You are unembarrassed and confident when facing urgent critical clinical conditions.	3 (6.2%), 20(63.6%), 9 (27.3%)	2(15%), 5(38%), 6 (46%)
49	The preclinical skill lab training sessions were useful (if implemented in your faculty).	14 (42.4%), 15(45.5%), 3 (9.3%)	4 (30%), 7(54%), 2 (15%)
50	The bed side clinical training sessions were useful and consistent with this intern job.	9(28%), 18(56.2%), 5 (15.6%)	4 (30%), 7(54%), 2 (15%)

	Appendix (2); complete table of gender perfor	mance variables	(F. female M. m	ale)
no	Clinical skills	Perfect(+)	Equivocal (±)	Cannot(-)
1	Auscultation of abdomen	F20, 62.5%.	F11. 34.4%.	F1, 3.1%.
1	rascattation of accomen	M11, 84.6%.	M2, 15.4%.	M2, 15.4%.
2	Examination of the female and male breast and	F24, 77.4%.	F4, 12.9%.	F3, 9.7%
	regional Lymph N	M8, 61.5%.	M3, 23.1%.	M2, 15.4%
3	Communication with patient and proper history	F23,71.9%	F9,28.1%	F0
	taking	M10,76.9%	M3,23.1%	M0
4	Communication with patient and family	F10, 61.3%	F9, 29%	F3, 9.6
-	Communication with patient and family	M7,53.8%	M5, 35.5%	M1, 7.7%
5	Normal / abnormal Pulses; radial, femoral,	F20, 66.7%	F7, 23.3%	F3, 10%
3	poplitealect	M10, 76.9	M3, 23.1%	M0.
6	Examination of liver, spleen, kidneys, hernias	F17, 54.8%	F14, 45.2%	F0
0	and masses.	M8,61.5%	M5, 38.5%	M0
7	Communication with senior doctors			
/	Communication with semor doctors	F11, 35.5%	F15, 48.4%	F5, 16.1%
0	Confirmation of the ship wind contact	M5, 38.5%	M6, 46.2%	M2, 15.4%
8	Surface markings of the abdominal contents.	F12, 40%	F10, 33.3%	F8, 26.7%
	Empiredian of A 1.1	M7, 53.8%	M4,30.8%	M2,15.4%
9	Examination of the cranial nerves	F15,46.9%	F14, 43.8%	F3, 9.4%
1.0	C : .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .: .	M7. 53.8%	M6, 46.2%	M0
10	Communication with staff	F14, 43%	F12 ,43.8%	F6, 18.8%
1.1	T 11	M6, 46.2%	M6, 46.2%	M1, 7.7
11	Full respiratory examination.	F24, 75%	F7,21.9%	F1, 3.1%
- 10		M9, 69.2%	M4, 30.8%	M0.
12	Assessment of the peripheral sensory	F10, 31.2%	F19, 59.4%	F3, 9.4%
	examination and reflexes	M, 53.8%	M6, 46.2%	M0
13	Performing simple suturing	F4, 12.9%	F9,29%	F18, 53.%
		M4, 30.8%	M7,53.8%	M3, 23.1%
14	Assessment of the vascular supply to a limb	F7, 24%	F1, 37.9%	F11, 37.9%
	after trauma or surgery	M4, 30.8%	M7,53.8%	M2, 15.4%
15	Assessment of visual acuity, color vision and	F13, 43.3%	F12, 40%	F5, 16.6%
	pupillary reflexes	M5, 41.7%	M4,33.3%	M3, 25%
16	No difficulties in communication with sub staff	F10,32.3%	15, 48.3%	F6,19.4%
		M2,16.7%	M4, 33.3%	M6,50%
17	Venous cut-down.	F3,9.7%	F0,	F28, 90%
		M1,7.7%	M3,23.1%	M9, 69.1%
18	Respiratory assistance, oropharyngeal airway,	F2,6.2%	F2,6.2%	F28,87.5%
	emergency tracheostomy / cricothyroidotomy.	M2,15.4%	M5, 38.5%	M6,46.2%
19	Endotracheal intubation.	F0	F12, 375%	F20, 62.5%
		M4, 30.8%	M4, 30.8%	M5,38.5%
20	Central venous pressure (CVP) measurement	F4,12.5%	F2,6.2%	F26, 81.2%
	and central vein cannulation.	M2 ,15.4%	M4,30.8%	M7,53.8%
21	Standard dipsticks to analyze samples of urine.	F1,3.1,3%	F3,9.4%	F8,7.5%
		M4,23.1%	M2, 15. 4%	M8,61.5%
22	Plaster of Paris application.	F1,3.1%	F2,6.2%	F29,90.6%
L		M4,30.8%	M3,23.1%	M6, 46.2%
23	Cervical pap smear	F1, 3.1%	F9,28.1%	F22,68.8%
		M2, 15.4%	M4, 30.8%	M7,53.8%
24	Taking an arterial blood sample (radial and	F5,15.6%	F4,12.5%	F23,71.9%
	femoral).	M4, 30.8%	M4,30.8%	M5, 38.5%
25	Splints application.	F1,3.2 %	F6,19.4%	F24, 77%.4
	* **	M1,7.7%	M8,61.5%	M4,30.8%
26	Taking swabs for bacterial examination from	F2, 6.2%	F11, 34.4%	F19, 59.4%
	lesion or blood (aerobic and anaerobic).	M1, 7.7%	M9, 69.2%	M3, 23.1%
27	Drug dose and recording outcome accurately	F2, 6.5%	F14, 45.2%	F15, 48.4%
		•		

		M1, 7.7%	M7, 53.8%	M5, 38.5%
28	Practicing joint immobilization.	F0.	F5, 16.7%	F25, 83.3%
		M1, 7.7%	M8, 61.5%	M4,30.8%
29	The indications and application of different % of	F2, 6.2%	F15, 46.9%	F15, 46.9%
	oxygen therapy.	M1, 7.7%	M9, 69.2%	M3,23.1%
30	Diagnoses of common infectious skin lesions.	F8,25%	F20,62.5%	F4, 12.5%
	-	M1,7.7%	M10,76.9%	M2, 15.4%
31	Methods of parenteral fluid, nutrition,	F4, 13.3%	F18, 60% M6,	F8, 26.7%
	&medication administration.	M4, 30.8%	46.2%	M3, 15.4%
32	Appropriate Male and Female urinary	F9, 29%	F14, 45.2%	F8, 25.8%
	catheterization.	M4, 30.8%	M7, 53.8%	M2, 15.4%
33	Intravenous injection, cannulation, venous blood	F13, 41.9%	F16,51.6%	F2, 6.2%
	sampling.	M6, 50%	M3, 25%	M3, 25%
34	Nasogastric tube insertion and management.	F5,15.6%	F16,50%	F11, 34.4%
		M5,38.5%	M2, 15.4%	M6, 46.2%
35	Proper application of Glasgow Coma Score.	F10, 31.2%	F16, 50%	F6,18.8%
		M5, 38.5%	M5, 38.5%	M3, 23.1%
36	Examination of the external genitalia of $($	F5,16.1%	F10,32.3%	F16,51.6%
		M2,15.4%	M 7,53.8%	M4,30.8%
37	Prescription, setting up, and operating a	F2,6.7%	F9,30%	F19,63.3%
	nebulizer correctly.	M3,23.1%	M4,30.8	M 6,46.2
38	Proper shock management.	F2, 6.2%	F24,75%	F6,18.8%
		M1,7.7%	M10,76.9%	M2, 15.4%
39	Pregnant and fetal assessment.	F12, 38.7%	F16,51.6%	F3, 9.7%
		M4, 30.8%	M8,61.5%	M1, 7.7%
40	Principles and practice of proper wound care.	F12, 38.7%	F16,51.6%	F3,9.7%
		M2, 15.4%	M9,69.2%	M2,15.4%
41	Writing concise, accurate and legible follow-up	F6,19.4%	F19, 61.3%	F6, 19.4%
	case notes.	M5,41.7%	M2, 16.7%	M5, 41.7%
42	Recognizing drugs involved with common	F3,9.4%	F25, 78.5%	F4,12.5%
	medical conditions	M2, 15.4%	M8,61.5%	M3,23.1%
43	Requesting and filling investigations formats	F7, 21.9%	F18,56.9%	F7,21.9%
	accurately	M5, 38.5%	M5,38.5%	M3, 23.1%
44	Obtaining and testing midstream urine.	F2,6.5%	F7, 22.6%	F22, 71%
		M1,8.3%	M6, 50%	M5, 41.7%
45	The basic science knowledge is consistent with	F0	F24, 75%	F17, 53 %
	the present job	M2, 15.4%	M8, 61.5%	M3, 23.1%
46	Your learned clinical skills are sufficient for the	F1, 3.6%	F14, 50%	F13,46.4%
	intern job.	M2, 15.4%	M7,53.8%	M,4,30.8%
47	You are unembarrassed and confident when	F2,6.2%	F21, 65.6%	F9,28.1%
	facing urgent critical clinical conditions.	M4, 33.3%,	M5, 41.7%	M3,25%
48	You are unembarrassed but confident when	F1, 3.1%	F19, 59%	F12, 37.5%
<u> </u>	dealing with emergency case	M4, 33.3%	M7,58.3%	M1, 7.6%
49	The preclinical skill lab training sessions were	F15, 47%	F15, 47%	F2, 6%
	useful (if implemented in your faculty).	M 8, 61.5 %	M8, 61.3%	M1,7.7%
50	The bed side clinical training sessions were	F10, 31.2%	F18, 56.5%	F4, 12.5%
	useful and consistent with this intern job.	M3, 25%	M7,53.3%	M2, 16.7%
F; female, M; male, %; valid percentage				

References

- 1- Recommendations for Clinical Skills Curricula for Undergraduate; Medical Education Association of American Medical Colleges, 2005).
- 2- The Iragi low of medical graduation no.159/1980. 1980 ف 123 التعديل 123 التعديل 123 التعديل 123 التعديل 123 التعديل 123 التعديل 1980 عنون التدرج الطبي العراقي 159 التعديل 123 ف
- 3- Alan Bleakley; Pre-registration house of®cers and ward-based learning University of Plymouth Postgraduate Medical School/Postgraduate; Education Centre, Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro, UK; Correspondence: E-mail: alan.bleakley@rcht.swest.nhs.uk; MEDICAL EDUCATION 2002;36:9±15 9 www.health.nsw.gov.au
- 4- Board P, Mercer M. A survey of the basic practical skills of final-year medical students in one UK medical school. Med Teach. 1998;20:104–8
- 5- Ministry of higher education and scientific research; Quality Assurance and Accreditation Dep., no.1 in 10.1.2011; Draft recommendation and guidelines on minimum standards for establishing accrediting medical school, 1.1Goals, republic of Iraq2010.,
- 6- Adman Al Helli; Evaluation of Medical Colleges` Graduates in Iraq Kerbala Journal of Medicine i ISSN: 19905483: 2010: 3 no.3: 7 p: 919-926: Kerbala University
- 7- Dr. Khalid F. Al-Karalla Bulletin of the Kuwait institute for medical specialization; clinical skills performed by PRHO in Kuwait; 2006; 5; 40-41. www.kims.org.kw/research%20abstracts.htm
- 8- Michael J Goldacre ,professor (michael.goldacre@dphpc.ox.ac.uk), Trevor Lambert, statistician, Julie Evans, social scientist, Gill Turner, research officer; Preregistration house officers' views on whether their experience at medical school prepared them well for their jobs: national questionnaire survey; BMJ 2003; 326 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7397.1011 (Published 10 May 2003)
- 9- Joe Wilton, general practitioner Preregistration house officers in general practice; eBMJ 1995; 310 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.310.6976.369 (Published 11 February 1995
- 10-Miriam Friedman Ben-David and David Snadden, Dundee, Escocia.
 - Work Funded by the Scottish Council for Postgraduate Medical and Dental Education (SCPMDE) Linking Appraisal of On-the-Job Professional; Competencies with Education; ; Educación Médica, versión impresa ISSN 1575-1813, Educ. méd. v.6 n.3 Barcelona jul.sep. 2003; http://dx.doi.org/10.4321/S1575-18132003000300002
- 11-Stillman PL, Regan MB, Swanson DB, Case S, McCahan J, Feinblatt J, et al. An assessment of the clinical skills of fourth-year students at four New England medical schools. Acad Med. 1990;65:320–6. [PubMed
- 12-Mario Sičaja, Dominik Romić, and Željko Prka; Medical Students' Clinical Skills Do Not Match Their Teachers' Expectations: Survey at Zagreb University School of Medicine, Croatia
- 13-Joe Wilton, general practitioner; Preregistration house officers in general practice BMJ 1995; 310 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.310.6976.369 (Published 11 February 1995)
- 14- Mohsen Adib-Hajbaghery, Khatere Karbasi-Valashani, Asieh Heidari-Haratmeh; Correlation of Clinical Skills Self-Assessment of Nursing Internship Trainees With Their Teachers' EvaluationNursing and Midwifery Studies. 2012 December; 1(2): 94-9. Published Online 2012 December 20 | DOI: 10.5812/nms.8188
- 15-Jones A, McArdle PJ, O'Neill PAHow well prepared are graduates for the role of pre-registration house officer? A comparison of the perceptions of new graduates and educational supervisors. Med Educ. 2001 Jun;35(6):578-84.
- 16-Anne Mette Moercke & Berit Eika; What are the clinical skills levels of newly graduated physicians? Self-assessment study of an intended curriculum identified by a Delphi process; Unit of Medical Education, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Aarhus, Denmark; Medical Education 2002;36:472–478
- 17-G Peeraer1, AJJA Scherpbier2, R Remmen1, BY De winter1, K Hendrickx1, P van Petegem1, J Weyler1,L Bossaert1;Universiteit Antwerpen, Wilrijk, Belgie, Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, NlClinical Skills Training in a Skills LabCompared with Skills
- 18-Training in Internships:Comparison of Skills Development Curricula; Education for Health, Volume 20, Issue 3, 2007

- 19-Clair du Boulay, Christine Medway; The clinical skills resource: a review of current practice; Article first published online: 4 JAN 2002 Medical Education Volume 33, Issue 3, pages 185–191, March 1999
- 20-Awad Mohamed Ahmed MD,Professor of Medicine, University of Bahr Elghazal, P.O.Box: 102, Khartoum, Sudan, Tel: +249912344936, e-mail: awad.sd@gmail.com; Role of clinical skills centers in maintaining and promoting clinical teaching
- 21-The Effect of Student Gender on the Obstetrics and Gynecology Clerkship, M.D
- 22-Simon Watmough*, Helen O'Sullivan and David Taylor; Graduates from a traditional medical curriculum evaluate the effectiveness of their medical curriculum through interviews Address: Centre for Excellence in Developing Professionalism, School of Medical Education University of Liverpool, Cedar House, Ashton Street, Liverpool, L69 3GE. UK; BMC Medical Education.