# **On Π-flat Modules**

Raida D.M.<sup>1</sup>, Akram S.M.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of mathematics, College of Computers Science and Mathematics, University of Mousl, Mousl, Iraq

<sup>2</sup> Department of mathematics, College of Computers Science and Mathematics, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq

(**Received:** / / 2010 ---- Accepted: 13 / 3 / 2012)

#### Abstract :

We investigate the strongly  $\Pi$ -regularity of rings whose simple singular right R-module are  $\Pi$ -flat. Next we give the following notion, a ring R is said to be right (left)  $\Pi$ F-ring, if for any maximal right (left) ideal M of R and any  $y \in M$ , R/yM (R/My) is  $\Pi$ -flat right (left) R-module. Conditions are given for each rings to be regular rings and right Kasch rings.

### **1-Introduction :**

Throughout this paper R denotes an associative ring with identity, and R-modules are unital. For a subset X of a ring R, the right annihilator of X in R is  $r_R(X) = \{r \in R : xr = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ . For any  $a \in R$ , we write  $r_R(a)$  for  $r_R(\{a\})$ . Some times , we simply write r(X) for  $r_R(X)$  and r(a) for  $r_R(a)$ . Left annihilators are defined analogously. We use J(R), Z(R)(Y(R)), for the Jacobson radical and the left (right) singular ideal of R, respectively.

Following [5] a ring R is called a right (left) SF-ring if all of its simple right (left) R-modules are flat .It is well known that a ring R is regular if and only if every right (left) R-module is flat [6] .As a generalization of this concept Mahmood and Mohammed [3] , defined right (left) simple  $\Pi$ -flat ring, where we call a ring R right (left) simple  $\Pi$ -flat if every simple right (left) R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat. An element k of a ring R is called left minimal if Rk is a minimal left ideal of R, and an idempotent e of R is said to be left minimal idempotent if e is a left minimal element of R, An idempotent element  $e \in R$  is said to be right semicentral element if ea = eae for all  $a \in R$  [9]. A ring R is called strongly right min-able if every right minimal idempotent element is left semicentral [9]. The ring R is said to be reduced if R has no nonzero nilpotent elements [13]. The ring R is called right (left) SXM [9], if for each  $0 \neq a \in R$ ,  $r(a) = r(a^n) [l(a) = l(a^n)]$  for all positive integer n satisfying  $a^n \neq 0$ . For example ,reduced rings are right (left)SXM ring .A ring R is called reversible [2] if for  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies ba = 0.A ring R is said to be regular (strongly regular) if  $a \in aRa$   $(a \in a^2R)$  for every  $a \in R$ , and R is called strongly  $\Pi$ -regular if  $a^n \in a^{2n}R$ , for some positive integer n [8], A ring R is said to be ERT ring if every essential right ideal is a two-sided ideal of R[12]. A ring R is called right MC2-ring if eRa=0 implies aRe=0, where a,  $e^2 = e$  $\in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{eR}$  is minimal right ideal of  $\mathbf{R}$ , or

equivalently if  $K \approx eR$  are minimal,  $e^2 = e \in R$ ; then K=gR for some  $g^2 = g \in R$  [11].

In section 2, we study first simple right R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat .Next, we show that, R is strongly  $\Pi$ regular if R is strongly right min-able ring and every simple right R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat.

In section 3, we introduce the notion of  $\Pi$ F-ring .Next, we show that if R is an ERT, SXM, fully right idempotent and  $\Pi$ F-ring, then R is regular.

## 2-П-Flat Modules

In this section develop meats of  $\Pi$ -flat modules with some of its and some basic properties are given. Also we give the connection between simple (simple singular)  $\Pi$ -flat modules and strongly regular rings. **Definition 2.1 : [3]** 

Let I be a right (left) ideal of R. Then R/I is a right (left) **II-flat** R-modules if and only if for each  $a \in I$ , there exist  $b \in I$  and a positive integer n such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and  $a^n = ba^n (a^n = a^n b)$ . The ring R is called right (left) simple **II-flat** if every simple right (left) R-module is **II-flat**. While [3] and [4] took the term **N** -flat for this notion. Following [3] let I be a right (left) ideal of R. Then R/I is a right (left) generalized flat module if and only

if for each  $a \in I$ , there exists  $b \in I$  and a positive integer n such that  $a^n = ba^n (a^n = a^n b)$ . The ring R is called right (left) generalized SF-ring if every simple right (left) R-module is right (left) generalized flat.

#### **Examples and Remarks :**

1-Let  $Z_6$  be the ring of integers modulo 6 and

I={0,2,4}, J={0,3}. Then  $Z_6 / I$ , and  $Z_6 / J$  are  $\Pi$ -

flat .Therefore  $Z_6$  is  $\Pi$ -flat ring .

2- Every SF-ring is simple  $\Pi$ -flat ring.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{3-It}}$  is clear that in case of reduced rings , generalized flat modules

coincides with  $\Pi$ -flat.

4-Obviously right  $\Pi$ -flat modules are right generalized flat modules, but the converse is not true.

5-Let  $Z_9$  be the ring of integers modulo 9 and

K={0,3,6}. Then  $Z_9 / K$  is generalized flat which is not **II-flat**.

The following proposition gives the relation between right and left

 $\Pi\mbox{-flat}$  modules .

**Proposition 2.2 :** 

If R is reversible ring and I is any ideal of R , then R/I is a right

 $\Pi$  -flat R- module if and only if R/I is left  $\ \Pi$  -flat R- module.

**Proof:** 

Assume that R/I is a right  $\Pi$ -flat module, then for each  $a \in I$ , there exists  $b \in I$  and a positive integer n such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and  $a^n = ba^n$  implies that  $1-b \in l(a^n) = r(a^n)$  (*R* is reversible). So

 $a^n = a^n b$ . Therefore R/I is left **II**-flat R-modules. Similarly we prove the convers.

The following results are given in [4] and [11] respectively.

## Lemma 2.3 :

Let R be a strongly right min-able ring satisfy condition  $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$ , if every simple singular right R-module is **II-flat**. Then R is a semiprime ring.

## Lemma 2.4 :

If for any  $a \in R$  and right minimal idempotent  $e \in R$  with eaR = 0

implies  $a \operatorname{Re} = 0$ , then R is right  $MC2 \operatorname{ring}$ .

Recall that , a ring R is called fully left (right ) idempotent ring if every left (right) ideal of R is idempotent [12].

#### Theorem 2.5 :

Let R be a left SXM .Then The following conditions are equivalent :

1-R is a fully left idempotent ring .

2-R is ERT and R/N is  $\Pi\mbox{-}flat$  where N is essential right ideal .

#### Proof :

(1) $\rightarrow$ (2), let *E* be an essential right ideal of R which is an ideal of R. For any  $y \in E$  and there exists a positive integer n such that  $y^n \neq 0$  $Ry^n = (Ry^n)^2$  which implies that  $y^n = uy^n$  for some  $u \in Ry^n R \subseteq E$ . Therefore  $y^n \in Ey^n$  for each  $y^n \in E$ . This proves that R/E is right **II**-flat

For any  $a \in R$ . (2)  $\rightarrow (1)$ : Set T = RaR + l(RaR). Let K be a complement right ideal of T in R then  $T \oplus K$  is an essential right ideal of R.Now  $KRaR \subset KI RaR \subset KI T = 0$  implies that  $K \subset l(RaR)$ , whence  $K \subset K \mid T = 0$ . This show that T is essential right ideal of R, which is an ideal of R.By hypothesis R/T is right  $\Pi$ -flat.So for every  $a \in T$ , there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and  $a^n = da^n$  for some  $d \in T$ 

implies that 
$$(1-d) \in l(a^n) = l(a)$$
 (R is left SXM

) and so a = da. If d = u + u + u = R a R u = l(R a R) d

$$d = u + v, u \in RaR, v \in l(RaR)$$
, then

da = a = ua + va = ua, which implies that  $a \in (Ra)^2$  where  $Ra = (Ra)^2$ . Hence R is fully left idempotent ring.

# Theorem 2.6 :

Let R be left SXM ring. Then R is regular ring if and only if every cyclic singular right R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat.

## Proof :

Let  $b \in R$ . Then there exists a right ideal K such that  $L = bR \oplus K$  is essential in R. Now, the cyclic singular right R-module, R/L is  $\Pi$ -flat, then there exists a positive integer n such that  $b^n \neq 0$  and  $b^n = cb^n$ , with some  $c \in L$ . So b = cb (R is SXM) .Setting c = ba + k ( $a \in R, k \in K$ ) implies that b = cb = bab + kb we have  $b - bab = bk \in bRI$  K = 0. Therefore b = bab.

The converse direction is clear .

The following lemma is proved in [14].

Lemma 2.7 :

Let  $Y(R) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $0 \neq x \in Y(R)$ such that  $x^2 = 0$ .

A consequence of the Lemmas (2.4 and 2.7), we have the following theorem :

Theorem 2.8 :

Let R be a ring with every minimal idempotent element of R be a left semicenteral and  $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$  for every  $a \in R$ . If every simple singular right R-module is **II-flat**, then Z(R) = 0. **Proof**:

If eRa = 0 where  $a \in R$  and  $e \in R$  is right minimal idempotent .By hypothesis , e is left semicentral of R, thus  $a \operatorname{Re} = ea \operatorname{Re} = 0$ . By Lemma (2.4). R is right MC2 ring .Now suppose that  $Z(R) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $0 \neq a \in Z(R)$ , such  $a^2 = 0$ , Lemma (2.7) .We that claim that Z + r(a) = R. Otherewise there exists a maximal right ideal M such that  $Z + r(a) \subset M$ . If M is not essential, then M=r(e),  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Hence ea = 0, because  $a \in r(a) \subset r(e)$ . If  $eRa \neq 0$ , then eRaR = eR because eR is a minimal right ideal of R. Since  $a \in Z(R)$ ,  $eRaR \subseteq Z(R)$ , then  $e \in Z(R)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence eRa = 0 and so aRe = 0, (*R* is MC2 ring)  $e \in r(a) \subset r(e)$  which is a contradiction .Hence M is essential in R. Thus R/M is **\Pi-flat**, so there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and  $a^n = ba^n$ . Since  $a^2 = 0$ , then a = ba this implies  $(1-b) \in l(a) \subseteq r(a) \subseteq M$ , that so  $1 \in M$ , which is а contradiction .Hence Z + r(a) = R.Write 1 = x + y,  $x \in Z(R)$ ,  $y \in r(a)$ , then a=ax. Since  $x \in Z(R)$ and l(x) I l(1-x) = 0, l(1-x) = 0. Thus a = 0, because  $a \in l(1-x)$ , which is а contradiction. Therefore Z(R) = 0

Following [9], a right R-module M is called YJinjective if for any  $0 \neq a \in R$  there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and every right Rhomomorphism from  $a^n R$  to M extends to one from  $R_R$  to M; R is called right YJ-injective if the right R-module  $R_R$  is YJ-injective.

#### **Proposition 2.9 :**

Let R be a ring with every simple right R-module is either YJ-injective or  $\Pi$ -flat .Then J(R) = 0 if and only if J(R) is a reduced ideal of R.

### **Proof**:

Suppose that J(R) is a non zero reduced ideal of R . If  $0 \neq b \in J$ , Set L = bR + r(b). If we suppose that L = R, then 1 = ba + c,  $a \in R$ ,  $c \in r(b)$ , which implies that  $b = b^2 a$ . since  $b \in J$ ,  $(b-bab)^2 = 0$  $(b-bab) \in J$  and vields b = bab. Therefore b = eb, where e = ba is idempotent, Since J can not contain a non zero idempotent, then b = 0. This proves that  $L \neq R$ . Let M be a maximal right ideal of R containing L. If R/M is YJ-injective, then there exists a positive integer n such that  $b^n \neq 0$  and any right Rhomomorphism define the map  $f: b^n R \to R/M$ by  $f(b^n a) = a + M$  for all  $a \in R$ . Then  $f(b^n) = cb^n + M$  for some  $c \in R$  and therefore  $1 + M = cb^n + M$ which implies that  $1-cb^n \in M$ . whence  $1 \in M$  (because  $R \neq M$ .If  $cb^n \in J/cM$ ), contradicting R/M is  $\Pi$ -flat .Since  $b \in M$  then there exists a positive integer n such that  $b^n \neq 0$  and  $b^n = db^n$ for some  $d \in M$ . Now  $1-d \in l(b^n) = r(b) \subset M$  (*J* reduced) which implies that  $1 \in M$ , again a contradiction .This proves that if J is reduced, then J = 0. Conversely : It is trivial.

#### Theorem 2.10 :

Let R be a ring with the following properties :

1- J(R) = 0

2- every maximal left ideal M of R is an ideal such that R/M is either a left or right  $\Pi$ -flat R-module . Then R is strongly regular.

## Proof :

Let  $a \in R$  such that  $a^2 = 0$ . Suppose there exists a maximal left ideal T not containing a. Then  $l(a) \not\subset T$  implies R = T + l(a) and if 1 = y + b,  $y \in T$ ,  $b \in l(a)$ , when  $a = ya \in T$  (an ideal of R) which is a contradiction. This proves that  $a \in J = 0$  which implies that R is reduced.

Now suppose that R is not strongly regular. Then there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $Rc + l(c) \neq R$ . Let M be a maximal left ideal containing Rc + l(c). If R/M is a left  $\Pi$ -flat ,Since  $c \in M$  then there exists a positive integer n such that  $c^n \neq 0$  and  $c^n = c^n d$  for some  $d \in M$  and since R is reduced, then c = dc ( $l(c^n = l(c))$ ). The same result holds if R/M is right  $\Pi$ -flat. Now  $(1-d) \in l(c) \subseteq M$  implies  $1 \in M$ , contradicting  $M \neq R$ . Therefore Rc + l(c) = R and R is strongly regular ring.

Now, we recall the following result which are due to Wei [9]

#### Lemma 2.11 :

Let R be a ring . Then R is a reduced if and only if R is semiprime reversible ring.

The next result is considered a necessary and sufficient condition for rings whose simple singular right R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat to be strongly ring.

#### Theorem 2.12:

Let R be a reversible ring . Then R is strongly  $\Pi$ -regular if R is strongly right min-able ring and every simple singular right R-module is  $\Pi$ -flat . Proof :

From Lemma (2.3 and 2.11) R is reduced . Next, we shall show that  $a^n R + r(a^n) = R$  for all  $a \in R$  and a positive integer n. Suppose that there exsits  $b \in R$  such that  $b^n R + r(b^n) \neq R$ . Then there exists a maximal right ideal M of R containing  $b^n R + r(b^n)$ . Observe that M must be an essential right ideal of R. If not, then M is a direct summand of R .So we can write M = r(e) $0 \neq e^2 = e \in R$ some and for hence  $eb^n = 0$ .Because eR is a minimal right ideal of R.Since R is a strongly right min-able ring,  $b^n e = eb^n e = 0$ .Thus  $e \in r(b^n) \subseteq r(e)$ . whence e = 0. This is a contradiction. Therefore M must be an essential right ideal of R. Thus

R/M is **II**-flat ,hence there exists a positive integer m such that  $(b^n)^m \neq 0$  and  $(b^n)^m = c(b^n)^m$  for some  $c \in M$  implies that  $(1-c) \in l(b^n)^m = r((b^n))$  (R is reduced) and so  $1 \in M$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $a^n R + r(a^n) = R$ . In

particular  $a^n x + y = 1, x \in R, y \in r(a^n)$ . Thus

 $a^{2n}x = a^n$ . Therefore R is strongly **II-regular**. **3. IIF- rings :** 

In this section we introduces the notion of  $\Pi F$ -ring . We study such

ring and give some of its basic properties . Also we give the connection  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$ 

of  $\Pi F$ -rings and other rings.

#### **Definition 3.1 :**

A ring *R* is said to be right(left)  $\Pi$ F-ring , if for any maximal right (left) ideal *M* of *R* and any  $y \in M, R/yM$  (R/My) is  $\Pi$ -flat right (left) R-module.

#### Examples

1-Let  $Z_{10}$  be a ring of integer module 10 and  $M_1 = (2), M_2 = (5)$  are both maximal ideals of  $Z_{10}$ . Clearly for every  $a \in M_1$  and  $b \in M_2$ ,  $Z_{10}/aM_1$  and  $Z_{10}/bM_2$  are  $\Pi$ -flat. Therefore  $Z_{10}$  is  $\Pi$ F-ring.

2- Let  $Z_{12}$  be the ring of integers modulo 12 and  $M_1 = (2)$ ,  $M_2 = (3)$ , are maximal's ideals in  $Z_{12}$ . Clearly for every  $a \in M_1$ ,  $b \in M_2$ ,  $Z_{12}/aM_1$  and  $Z_{12}/bM_2$  are  $\Pi$ -flat .Therefore  $Z_{12}$  is  $\Pi$ F-ring. On the other hand  $Z_{12}$  is not  $\Pi$ -flat . $(Z_{12}/M_1$  is not  $\Pi$ -flat )

#### Theorem 3.2:

Let R be a right IIF-ring and M a maximal right ideal of R. Then for any  $a \in M$ ,  $R = M + l(a^{2n})$ , for some positive integer n.

# **Proof:**

Let M be a maximal right ideal of R and  $a \in M$ . Since R is IIF-ring, then R/aM is II-flat and there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^{2n} \neq 0$  and  $a^{2n} = aba^{2n}$  for some  $b \in M$ . This implies that  $1-ab \in l(a^{2n})$ . Now consider 1 = ab+1-ab. Therefore  $R = M + l(a^{2n})$ 

In [7] proved that :

Lemma 3.3 :

If  $0 \neq a \in Y(R)$ , then r(1-a) = 0.

Next , we shall give several basic properties of right  $\Pi F\text{-}\mathrm{rings}$  .

#### **Proposition 3.4 :**

Let R be a right  $\Pi F$ -ring .Then

1-Any non zero divisor of R is invertible .

 $2 - Z I Y \subseteq J(R)$ 

# .Proof : (1)

Let  $a \in R$  be a non zero divisor of R. Then there exists a positive integer n such that  $l(a^n) = 0$ . Suppose that  $aR \neq R$ . If M is maximal right ideal containing aR, then R/aM is  $\Pi$ -flat this implies that  $(a^{2n}) = axa^{2n}$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $a^{2n} \neq 0$ so  $(1-ax)a^{2n} = 0$ . Hence  $(1-ax) \in l(a^{2n})$ (because  $l(a^n) = 0$ ), thus ax = 1, which is a contradicts  $, aR \neq R$ . Therefore aR = R, and hence a is a right invertible. **Proof : (2)** 

Let  $0 \neq y \in Z(R)$  I Y(R) .For any  $a \in R$ , if  $c \in r(1 - ya)$ , then c = yac and cR I r(ya) = 0 implies c = 0 .Similarly, if  $d \in l(1 - ya)$ , since  $ya \in Z(R)$ , then d = 0.By (1), (1-ya)w=1, for some  $w \in R$ , so  $y \in J(R)$ .

Let *R* be  $\Pi$ F-ring. Then J(R) = 0.

#### Proof :

Let  $0 \neq u \in J(R) \subseteq M$ . Since R/uM is  $\Pi$ -flat , then there exists a positive integer n such that  $u^{2n} \neq 0$ , and  $u^{2n} = ubu^{2n}$ , for some  $b \in M$ . So  $(1-ub)u^{2n} = 0$  and this implies that either (1-ub) = 0 or  $u^{2n} = 0$ . But  $u^{2n} \neq 0$  then 1-ub = 0, and hence  $1 = ub \in J(R)$ , a contradiction. Whence J(R) = 0

#### Theorem 3.6 :

Let R is a left SXM and M is a left maximal ideal of R with the following properties :

a- R/M is a left flat and

b- For any  $a \in M$ , aM is a right ideal such that R/aM is a right II-flat .Then J(R) = 0**Proof :** 

If  $b \in J \subseteq M$ , then R/bM is a right  $\Pi$ -flat , and for any  $c \in M$ ,  $bc \in bM$  and there exists a positive integer n such that  $(bc)^n \neq 0$  implies that  $(bc)^n = bd(bc)^n$  for some  $d \in M$ . So  $(1-bd) \in l(bc)^n = l(bc)$  (*R* is a left *SXM*) and bc = bdbc. Since w(1-bd) = 1 for some  $w \in R$  (because  $bd \in J$ ), then bc = w(1-bd)bc = w(bc-bdbc) = 0, which yields bM = 0. Now R/M is left flat which implies that b = bx for some  $x \in M$ . Therefore  $b = bx \in bM = 0$ . Whence J(R) = 0.

Now , we give the relation between  $\Pi$ F-ring and regular rings .

# Theorem 3.7:

Let R be  $\Pi$ F-ring and  $, l(a^2) \subseteq r(a)$  for every  $a \in R$ . Then every maximal ideal of R is  $\Pi$ -regular ring.

#### **Proof**:

Let M be a maximal right ideal of R. Since R is  $\Pi$ F-ring then for every  $a \in M, R/aM$  is  $\Pi$ -flat right R-module .So there exists a positive integer n and  $a^{2n} \neq 0$  such that  $(a)^{2n} = aba^{2n}$  for some  $b \in M$  implies that

 $(1-ab) \in l(a^{2n}) \subseteq r(a^n)$ . Therefore

 $a^n = a^{n+1}b$  and so M is  $\Pi$ -regular ring.

A ring R is called right (left) Kasch ring if every maximal right (left) ideal of R is a right (left) annihilator [13].

# Example 3 :

Let  $Z_3$  be a ring of integer module 3 , and let

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} z_3 & z_3 \\ 0 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then *R* is right Kasch ring

As a parallel result to [1,Th 2.4.5], the following result was obtained:

# Theorem 3.8 :

Let R a left SXM, right IIF-ring and  $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$  for every  $a \in R$ . Then R is right Kasch ring.

## Proof :

Let *M* be a maximal right ideal in *R*. Suppose that Y(R) is singular right ideal in *R*, if *M* I Y(R) = 0, then for all  $y \in Y(R), y \notin M$  and this implies that r(y) right essential in *R*. From Lemma [3.3] we get r(1-y) = 0. So l(1-y) = 0 ( $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$ ). From Proposition (3.4), (1-y) is invertible in *R*. Hence

 $y \in J(R) \subset M$ , which is a contradiction. So  $M I Y(R) \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $0 \neq a \in M \mid Y(R)$ , then  $a \in M$  and R/aM is  $\Pi$  -flat right module and there exists a positive integer n such that for any  $b \in M$   $(ab)^n \neq 0$ , and  $(a \ b)^n = ac(a \ b)^n$  for some  $c \in M$  this implies that ab = acab (left SXM) .We claim that r(ac) I (ab)R = 0.If not ,suppose that  $d \in r(ac) I (ab)R$ d = (ab)r,then and acd = 0 where  $r \in R$ , thus ac(ab)r = 0, this implies (ab)r = 0 hence d = 0, then r(ac) I (ab)R = (0). Since r(ac) is essential right ideal then (ab)R = 0 thus (ab) = 0 and this implies that  $b \in r(a)$ , hence M = r(a) Therefore R is right Kasch ring

Theorem 3.9:

Let R be an ERT, SXM, fully right idempotent and  $\Pi$ F-ring. Then

R is regular.

Proof :

Suppose there exists  $b \in R$  such that bR is not a direct summand of R.

If *K* is a complement right ideal such that  $bR \oplus K$ is an essential right ideal .Let *M* be a maximal right ideal containing bR + K.Since *R* is fully right idempotent and *M* is an ideal of *R*, then R/M is a left flat which implies b = bd for some  $d \in M$ .Now, R/bM is  $\Pi$ -flat then there exists a positive integer n such that  $b^{2n} \neq 0$  and  $b^{2n} = bcb^{2n}$  for some  $c \in M$ , this implies that  $b = bcb, (l(b^{2n}) = l(b))$ , which proves that bR is generated by the idempotent bc, contradicting our hypothesis.

The next result will consider some conditions for  $\Pi$ F-rings to be a regular ring .

## **Reference :**

1-Ahmed ,S.H. (2001), On Generalized Simple flat rings , M.SC. Thesis , Mousl University .

2-Cohn ,P.M.(1999), Reversible ring , Bull. London Math. Soc., 31, P.P 641-648 .

3-Mahmood ,R.D.and Mohammed,H.Q. (2011),On N-flat rings, AL-Rafidaen J.of Computer Science and Math. Vol. 8 ,No.1,pp.71-77 .

4-Mahmood ,R.D. and Basheer ,D.A. (2011) , On simple singular N-flat modules, Rafidaen J. of Computer Science and Math. To appear .

5-Ramamurthi ,V.S. (1975) ; On injectivity and flatness of certain cyclic module , Proc. Amer. Math. .Soc., 48,P.P.21-25.

6-Reye ,M.B. (1986); On Von Neumann regular rings and SF-ring ,Math. Japoinca ,31(6),P.P.927-936.

7-Shuker ,N.H. and Younis ,A.S. (2005) ; A note on non-Singular rings ,Al-Rafiden J. of Computer and Math. 2(2), P.P. 21-26 .

8-Von Neumann J.(1936) ; On regular rings , Proc. Nat. Sci. U.S.A. 22, p.p.707-713 .

9-Wei ,J.C.(2007); On simple singular YJ-injective modules, Sou. Asian Bull. of Math. ,31.P.P. 1-10.

10- Wei ,J.C.(2008) ; Certain rings whose simple singular modules are nil-injective, Turk J.Math.32, P.P.393-408.

11- Wei ,J.C., (2008); MC2-rings, Kyungpook Math., J.48,651-663.

12- Yue chi Ming ,R. (1983) ;Maximal ideals in regular rings ,H.Math. J. Vol.12 ,P.P.119-128 .

13- Yue chi Ming ,R.(1986); On semiprime and reduced rings, Riv. Math.Univ. Parma (4) 12,167-175.

14- Yue chi Ming ,R. (1978) ; On Von Neumann regular rings III , Montash. Math. 86, P.P.251-257 .

# فى المقاسات المسطحة من النمط-∏

رائدة داؤد محمود ، أكرم سالم محمد

<sup>1</sup> قسم الرياضيات ، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات ، جامعة الموصل ، الموصل ، العراق

فسم الرياضيات ، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

(تاريخ الاستلام: ٩ / ١٠ / ٢٠١١ ---- تاريخ القبول: ١٣ / ٣ / ٢٠١٢ )

### الملخص

نحن نختبر الحلقات المنتظمة بقوة من النمط- Π عندما يكون فيها كل مقاس بسيط منفرد ايمن مسطح من النمط – Π . كذلك أعطينا صنف من الحلقات تسمى الحلقات من النمط – Π اليمنى (اليسرى)، لكل مثالي أعظمي أيمن (أيسر) M من R و R ( R/My)R/yM ،  $y \in M$  مقاس مسطح من النمط Π أيمن (أيسر) . كذلك أعطينا الشروط لهذه الحلقات لكي تكون حلقات منتظمة وحلقات كاش .