# The Role Of Safe Margin Of Hypermetropia In Protection Against Post Lasik Regression In Myopic Patients

# Dr.Ali Jawad Al-Gidis (M.B.Ch.B, D.O., I.C.O.)\*

# Abstract

**Purpose:**To determine post lasik aim of refraction in young myopic patient that ensure slight over correction (safe margin of hypermetropiaSMH) which help in reducing possibility of regressionand post lasik myopic shift, however this SMH should be easily overcomedby accommodation, doesn't affect UCVA or induce eyestrain.

**Patients and methods:**400 patients (800 eyes) were taken in this study, all of them had myopia and myopic astigmatism with -1.0 to -6.0 D(spherical equivalent) during the period between 2008-2014 in Nassirya city, south of Iraq. Those patients were divided into 5 groups each group with 80 patients (160 eyes) and planned to have post lasik refraction of metrope, +0.5, +0.75, +1.0 and +1.25 D, for groups from no.1 to no.5 respectively and these groups followed up in term of UCVA, spherical equivalent, myopic shift, and asthenopia (eyestrain) for three years.

**Result:**Three yearsfollow up for the 5 groups showed that, in group no.1 (27.5%) of eyes had VA of 6/9 or worse, (33.125%) of eyes had myopic shift and(11.25%) of patients had eyestrain. In group no.2 (19.375%) of eyes had VA of 6/9 or worse (21.875%) of eyes had myopic shift and (8.75%) of patients had eyestrain. In group no.3 (11.25%)of eyes had VA of 6/9 or worse (9.375%) of eyes had myopic shift and (2.5%) of patients had eyestrain. In group no.4 (4.375%) of eyes had VA of 6/9 or worse, no myopic shift and (2.5%) of patients had eyestrain. In group no.5(12.5%) of eyes had VA of 6/9 or worse, no myopic shift and (15%) of patients had eyestrain.

Conclusion: In young myopic patients with low to moderate myopia, we can choose post lasik aim of refraction of +1.0 D, this safe margin of hypermetropia SMH can ensure best UCVA without eyestrain (asthenopia), help in reducing regression and myopic shift.

#### Key words: safe margin of hypermetropia, regression, asthenopia, myopia, Lasik.

\*Ophthalmologist Al-Habobi Teaching Hospital, Thi-Qar

# **Introduction**

as one of the bothering possible outcomes of lasik procedures for both doctor and patient and it is one of the most common reason for patient dissatisfaction.<sup>(4)</sup>Sometimes it is Lasik now is common procedure all over the world to correct different types of refractive errors, and myopia is at the top of the list of these refractive errors.<sup>(1-3)</sup>For long years regression is considered

٧.

#### Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

period between 2008-2014 in Nassirya city south of Iraq. Those 400 patients were divided into five groups, each group contains 80 patients (160 eyes). The age range in each group was 19- 30 year while their refraction vary between -1.0 to-6.0 D.(spherical equivalent) with VA of 6/12 or worse. All five groups were treated by lasik and followedup for three years in term of UCVA, spherical equivalent, myopic shift and asthenopia (eye strain).

In group no.1 the aim of post lasik refraction was to make patient emmitrope while the aim of post lasik refraction in group no.2, 3,4, and 5 was to make patient hypermetrope by +0.5, +0.75, +1.0 and +1.25D respectively (using autorefractometer, retinoscopy, VA, and Deuchrom test to asses refraction).

In this study it is not intended to have 5 groups of patients with different aim of post lasik refraction but it is a matter of accumulative knowledge in which we started lasik treatment in 2008 aiming to post lasikemmitrope and with time we notice that it is mandatory to render patients slightly hypermetropicto reduce percentage of regression and shift of refraction to myopic side.

embarrassing for doctor and his professional reputation(especially in developing countries) to tell patient that he has to repeat lasik procedure or what we call enhancement procedure which could be coasty for the patients. From patient point of view, patient may distrust his doctor and loose his compliance and this may end with dissatisfaction of patient regardless the results of visual acuity after enhancement procedure.<sup>(4,5)</sup> For that reason this study aimed to find out a best post lasik refraction goal that of give а safe margin low hypermetropia(SMH) which can be easily overcomed comfortable by accommodation without eyestrain giving best UCVA . <sup>(6)</sup> This safe margin of hypermetropia (SMH) can avoid the deterioration of best UCVA by effect of regression,<sup>(7)</sup> so that reducing the need to enhancement procedure, saving money and effort as well as keeping a good patient doctor relationship, trust and satisfaction.<sup>(8)</sup>

#### **Patients and methods**

In this study 400 patients (800 eyes) were taken, including 283 female and 127 male all of them hadlasik for correction of myopia or myopic astigmatism during the

### **Results**

Five groups of myopic patients each group consists of 80 patients (160 eyes) with spherical equivalent range between -1.0 to -6.0 D were treated by lasik procedure and followed up for 3 years in term of UCVA, spherical equivalent ,myopic shift, and asthenopia, we noticed the following:

In group no. 1 in which post lasik refraction aim was emmetrope we found that UCVA after 3 years was 6/6 in 116 eyes (72.5%) while 44 eyes (27.5%) had UCVA of 6/9 or worse (fig.1). The spherical equivalent was +0.25 to +0.5 D in 107 eyes (66.875%) while it was -0.25- 1.0 D in 53 eyes (33.125%) (fig.2). Regarding myopic shift we found that 53 eyes (33.125%) had myopic shift -0.25 to -1.0 D while 107 eyes (66.825%) was on hypermetropic side +0.25 D

Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

(fig.3). Interm of asthenopia 9 patients (11.25%) had asthenopia while 71 patients (88.75%) had no complain (fig.4).

In group no. 2 in which post lasik refraction aim was +0.5 D we found that after three years UCVA was 6/6 in 129 eyes (80.625%) while 31 eyes (19.375%) had UCVA of 6/9 or worse (fig.1). The spherical equivalent was +0.25 to +0.5 D in 125 eyes (78.125%) while it was -0.25 to -0.75 D in 35 eyes (21.875%) (fig.2). In term of myopic shift we found that 35 eyes (21.875%) had shifted to myopic side -0.25 to -0.75 D while 125 eyes (78.125%) was on hypermetropic side +0.25 to +0.5 D (fig.4). Regarding asthenopia we found that 7 patients (8.75%) hadasthenopia while 73 patients(91.25%) had no eyestrain symptoms (fig.5).

In group no. 3 in which post lasik refraction aim was +0.75 D we noticed that UCVA after three years was 6/6 in 142 eyes (88.75%) while 18 eyes (11.25%) had UCVA of 6/9 or worse (fig.1). The spherical equivalent was +0.25 to +0.75 D in 145 eyes (90.625%) while it was -0.25 to -0.5 D in 15 eyes (9.375%) (fig.2). Regarding myopic shift we found that 15 eyes (9.375%) were shifted to myopic side-0.25 to -0.5 D while 145 eyes (90.625%) was on hypermetropic side +0.25 to +0.75 D (fig.3). In term of asthenopiawe found that 2 patients (2.5%) hadasthenopia while 78 patients (97.5%) had no complain (fig.4).

In group no. 4 in which post lasikrefraction aim was +1.0 D we found that UCVA after three years was 6/6 in 153 eyes (95.625%) while 7 eyes (4.375%) had UCVA of 6/9 or worse (fig.1). The spherical equivalent was +0.5 to +0.75 D in 157 eyes(98.125%) while it was +1.0 D in 3 eyes (1.875%) (fig.2). There was no myopic shift and all the group was on hypermetropic side (fig.3). Regarding asthenopia 2 patients (2.5%) had asthenopia while 78 patients(97.5%) had no complain (fig.4).

In group no. 5 in which post lasik refraction aim was +1.25 D we found that UCVA after 3 years was 6/6 in 140 eyes (87.5%) while 20 eyes (12.5%) had UCVA of 6/9 or worse (fig.1). The spherical equivalent was +0.5 to +0.75 D in 143 eyes (89.375%) while it was +1.0 to +1.25 D in 17 eyes (10.625%) (fig.2). There was no myopic shift and all the group was on hypermetropic side (fig.3). Regarding asthenopia, 12 patients (15%) had asthenopia while 68 patients (85%) had no complain (fig.4).



Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq



 $X^2 = 25.26$  df = 4 *P*-value= 0.00 Figure (1): The relation between visual acuity and no. of eyes in studied groups.



Figure (2): The relation between spherical equivalent and no. of eyes in studied groups.

۷۳



Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq



Figure (3): Myopic shift in relation to no. of eyes in studied groups.



**Figure (4):** Asthenopia in relation to no. of patients in studied groups.

Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

#### **Discussion**

that most of eyes had spherical equivalent +0.5 to +0.75 D which was (98.175%) in group no.4 and (89.375%) in group no.5, while only (1.875%)had spherical 3eves equivalent +1.0 D in group no.4 in comparison with 17 eyes (10.625%)had spherical equivalent of +1.0 to +1.25 D in group no.5.The difference significant (p-value=0.00)was (fig.2). The regression in group no.1,2, and 3 can be explained as a direct result of the narrow margin of hypermetropia of post lasik aim of refraction which was emmetrope +0.5D and +0.75D respectively, while absence of regression in group no.4 and 5 is a direct result of a wide margin of hypermetropia of post lasik aim of refraction which was +1.0 D and +1.25 D respectively. If we compare group no.4 and 5 we notice that the margin of post lasik aim of refraction was more safe in group no. 4 and only (1.875%) had +1.0 D post lasik refraction while (98.125%) of eyes have +0.5 to +0.75 D post lasik which refraction is stable refraction,<sup>(11)</sup>easily overcomed by accommodationand I prefer to call this margin of hypermetropia (safe of hypermetropia margin SMH).Looking at group no.5, ( 10.625%) of eyes had +1.0 to +1.25 D post lasik refraction which is wide margin of hypermetropia not easily overcomed by accommodation hence safe margin it is not of hypermetropia.

When we look at myopic shift figure in 5 groups which reflect no. of eyes that had refraction on myopic side we When we compare the five groups that differ in the aim of post lasik refraction which is emmetrope for group no.1 and +0.5, +0.75, +1.0, +1.25 D for group no. 2,3,4, and 5 respectively. If we compare the 5 groups in term of UCVA after three years we noticed that, in group no.1(72.5%) of eyes had 6/6 UCVA increasing to (80.625%) in group no.2 and to (88.75%) in group no.3 reaching maximum (95.625%) in group no.4, while decline seen to (87.5%) in group no.5. The difference significant (p-value=0.00)was (fig.1). This can be explained by the regression  $^{(9,10)}$  that happened in eyes in groups no. 1,2,3 and the regression was more in group no.1 followed by no. 2 and 3 while UCVA was best in group no.4 because regression does not happened and eyes can accommodate easily with small degree of hypermetropia. In group no.5 the rate of UCVA 6/6 declines to (87.5%) due to overcorrection (where the aim of post lasik refraction was + 1.25 D) to which eyes cannot accommodate in about (12.5%) of cases.

Comparing the 5 groups in term of spherical equivalent we found that maximum myopic regression was seen in group no.1 both in number of eyes (53 eyes) and amount of spherical equivalent -0.25 to -1.0 D decreasing in group no.2 to 35 eyes (21.875%) with spherical equivalent -0.25 to -0.75 D and decreased more in group no.3 to 15 eyes (9.375%) with spherical equivalent -0.25 to -0.5 D. In group no.4 and 5 we noticed

(15% of patients) and this can be explained by the overcorrection that happened in post lasik refraction (10.625%)since had spherical equivalent of +1.0 to +1.25 D. Group no.1 is the second group in the rank (11.25%) followed by group no.2 (8.75%) while group no.3 and 4 had the minimum no. of patients(2.5%)that had complain of asthenopia and this can be due to the reasonable post lasik refraction of group no.3 and 4. The difference was significant (pvalue=0.005) (fig.4).

# **Conclusion**

of choosing safe margin of hypermetropia (SMH) can help dramatically to eliminate the need to enhancement procedure <sup>(12-14)</sup> and help to reach a good level of patient trust and satisfaction.

It is important to notify that I couldn't find a similar study to compare and evaluate the results therefor I recommend to do more studies that determine and evaluate a best post lasik aim of refraction which was +1.0 D. according to this study.

#### Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

found that myopic shift is maximum in group no.1 (33.125%) decreased to (21.875%) in group no.2 and to (9.375%) in group no.3 while it became (0%) in group no.4 and 5. The difference was significant (pvalue=0.00) (fig.3). Absence of regression again in group no. 4 and 5 is due to margin of hypermetropia intended in post lasik refraction which is safe in group no. 4 (SMH) and large (not safe) in group no.5.

If we compare the 5 groups in term of asthenopia we will find that asthenopia is maximum in group no.5

In a young myopic patient with low to moderate myopia, regression can be avoided to a good extent and safely by using a post lasik aim of refraction of +1.0 D. From this study it is obvious that this safe margin of hypermetropia (SMH) considered safe because it is easily overcomed by accommodation so that doesn't impair UCVA, not cause significant eyestrain (asthenopia), play a good role in decreasing regression and preventing shift of refraction to myopic side. This simple maneuver

Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

#### **References**

- 1- Sutton Gl, Kim P. Laser in situ keratomileusis in 2010- a review. ClinExpOpthalmol 2010 Mar; 38(2):192-210.
- 2- O.J. Leuhumann, D.H. Verity, et al: Clinical optics and refraction, 1998: 60-61.
- 3- James F. Vander, MD: Ophthalmology secrets, second edition 2008: 123-132.
- **4-** Kerry D. Solomon, Luis E. Fernandez de Castro, et al., Quality of life and patient satisfaction. American Academy of ophthalmology, Ophthalmology 2009 Apr; 116(4): 691-707.
- **5-** McGhee CN, Craig JP, Sachdev N, Weed KH, Brown AD. Functional, psychological and satisfaction outcomes of laser in situ keratomileusis for high myopia. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2000;26:497-509.
- **6-** James E. Sheedy, John Hayes, Jon Engle. Is all asthenopia the same. American academy of optometry, 2003; 80(11): 732-739.
- 7- Sugar A., Rapuano C.J., Culbertson W.W.,et. al., Laser in situ keratomileusis for myopia and astigmatism: Safety and Efficacy. A report by American Academy of Ophthalmology. Ophthalmology 2002; 109: 175-187.
- **8-** Bailey MD, Mitchell GL, Dhaliwal DK, et. al. Patient satisfaction and visual symptoms after laser in situ keratomileusis. Ophthalmology 2003; 110: 1371-1378.
- 9- Takano M, Yoshida T, Omori K, Ogasawara K, Onodera T. Factors affecting the regression after laser in situ keratomileusis for myopia. Jap Orthopt J. 2005; 34:121-126.
- **10-** Kim G, Christiansen SM, Moshirfar M. Change in keratometry after myopic laser in situ keratomileusis and photorefractive keratectomy. J Cataract Refractive surg. 2014 Apr; 40(4): 564-574.
- **11-** Dirani M, Couper T, Yau J, et al. Long-term refractive outcomes and stability after excimer laser surgery for myopia. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010 Oct; 36(10):1709-1717.
- 12- Perlman EM, Reinert SE. Factors influencing the need for enhancement after laser in situ keratomileusis. JCataract Refract Surg. 2004 Nov-Dec;20(6):783-789.
- **13-** Pietila J, Huhtala A, Makineu P, Uusitalo H. Laser in situ keratomileusisenhancements with the Ziemer FEMTO LDV femtosecond laser following previous LASIK treatment. Graefes Arch ClinExp Ophthalmol.2013 Feb; 251(2):597-602.
- 14- Miyata K, Tokunaga T, Nakahara M, OhtaniS,Nejima R, et al., Residual bed thickness and corneal forward shift after laser in situ keratomileusis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2004 May; 30(5):1067-1072.

Email:utjmed@utq.edu.iq

إضافة درجة بؤرية موجبة واحدة في تصحيح البصر للحد من تراجع انكسار العين باتجاه القصر بعد عملية تصحيح البصر

د. على جواد الكدس

الخلاصة

أجريت هذه الدراسة لغرض إستكشاف طريقة آمنة للحد من ظاهرة تراجع إنكسار العين باتجاه قصر البصر للمرضى الذين أجريت لهم عملية تصحيح البصر. وقد تم اخذ عينة من ٨٠٠ عين في مدينة الناصرية، تم تقسيمهم الى خمس مجاميع كل مجموعة تحتوي ١٦٠ عين تم تصحيح البصر لكل مجموعة وفق بعد بؤري موجب معين. وقمنا بمراقبة الحالات على مدى ثلاث سنوات لمتابعة حدة البصر وإنكسار العين والميل الى القصر والشد حول العين. وقد لاحظنا ان المجموعة التي تم اختيار بعد بؤري درجة واحدة موجبة لتكون الهدف لانكسار العين بعد تصحيح البصر هي المجموعة الأكثر استقرارا من حيث حدة البصر والابعد عن التراجع باتجاه قصر البصر.