Extent Of Depression Among Patients With Gastrointestinal Tract Symptoms In Outpatient Clinic Of Al-Hussein Teaching Hospital In Al-Nasiriyah At 2018

Lecturer Dr. Muslim Nahi Saeed
muslim-na@utq.edu.iq
Assistant Professor Dr. Ahmed Hassan Hussein

ahmed-hassan@utq.edu.iq
Dr. Abrar Ali Hassan

Abstract

Background: Depression is one of the most common mental health conditions in the general population as well as in clinical practice. In clinical studies, there is a strong relationship between gastrointestinal symptoms and depression and the gastrointestinal symptoms remain for longer time and are more serious than inpatients without depression.

Objective: To estimate extent of depression in gastrointestinal tract symptoms in medical outpatient clinic attendees.

Methods: The study was a cross-sectional analytical study for 154 adult population in the Al-Hussein teaching hospital in Nasiriyah city, conducted at first March 2018and completed at end of September 2018. Tools of method was questioner and for diagnosis of depression was used Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM- IV) criteria. refusal rate was zero and for statistic analysis was used SPSS version 22.

Result: The study showed that 43.5 % of subjects with gastrointestinal symptoms suffer from depression. depression found in 40.7% of females and 47.1% of males. 50.0% of depressed patients were in two age groups of 20 - 44 years and ≥ 65 years.

Most of depressed patients were suffering constipation (51.3%), change in appetite (51.3%) and change in weight (50.7%), where only change in appetite had significant association with depression, were p-value = 0.001. More of depressed subjects had GI symptoms with one month duration and ≥ 7 numbers of GIT symptoms.

Recommendation: Raising awareness of general population about the depression and relation of that with GIT symptoms.

Key words: depression, gastrointestinal symptoms, Prevalence, Al-Nasiriyah, 2018.

Introduction

In the majority of patients with gastrointestinal (GIT) symptoms(40–80%), no pathological cause for their symptoms can be found,[1] and some patients may suffer from several GIT symptoms that have been present for many years.[2] When these symptoms related to disturbance of motility, hypersensitivity of visceral, change in function of mucosal and immunity, altered gut micro biota and change in central nervous system(CNS) processing define as functional disorders.[3]

FGIDs are often associated with affective disorders, such as depression, anxiety, panic, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).[4] In patients affected by GIT diseases, depression represents a common recurrent and serious disorder which lead to diminished functioning and quality of life, medical morbidity, and mortality. [2,5,6]

Psychological factors commonly influence onset, severity, and outcome in the functional GIT disorders,[7] which cause the patients often have worse somatic symptoms, need more time for recovery and worse prognosis, so need to consume more medication.[8-10] Depression is the fourth leading cause of disease burden, accounting for 4.4% in the year 2000, and it causes the largest amount of non-fatal burden, for almost 12%of all total years lived with disability worldwide. [6] Previous studies (Chinese 14.39% and Italian 27% studies) showed that the prevalence of depressive disorders in patients with GIT disorders was high.[11,12] Sustained and acute life-threatening stressors play an important role in the onset and modulation of GI symptoms as well as in the development of affective disorders and PTSD. [4] Although GIT diseases are related to mood disorders like depression and anxiety, "40%-90% of patients with depressive disorders" do not receive suitable medical and health services and treatment because majority of patient cannot identified by gastroenterologists ,[13-15] so knowledge about the psychosocial aspects of the functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) is fundamental and critical to the understanding of the FGIDs and their effective treatment. [2]

Methodology

1. Study design

A hospital based cross sectional analytical study. The study was started in March 2018 and completed end of September 2018.

2. Population

The study included adult patient with gastrointestinal symptoms who attended to the GIT outpatient clinic in Al-Hussein teaching hospital in Al-Nasiriyah city, during the study period.

3. Inclusion criteria: participants with age ≥ 18, of either sex presenting with GIT symptoms as abdominal pain, heartburn, reflux, dyspepsia, dysphagia, constipation, diarrhea, vomiting and nausea in stable

state.   [1]

4. Exclusion criteria : patients who were under 18 years, patients with any cognitive or physical impairment , patients with history of any major systemic disorder (involving any system apart from GIT), Pregnancy, Malignancy, Drug dependency, Seizure disorders, patientwith severe GIT disease   and   in   instable   state.

5. Response rate: No subject refused to participate in the study, so the response rate was 100%.

6. Sample size calculation

Size of sample was calculated according the sample   formula: [16]

"n= (z^2pq) /d²

n= sample size

z= z statistic for a level of significance

p= estimated prevalence rate in WHO which   was(3.7%)   in   Iraq.[17]

q= (1-p)

d= precision

$$N = \frac{(1.96)2*p(1-p)}{(0.04)2} *1.8"$$

N=153.9~154

7.   Sampling procedure: For collect the population of study I gone to clinic for 5 day per week and use systematically random way and was selected them as every 2 attendants to outpatient clinic and then take third one if had the inclusion criteria . We select the participants after diagnosis of symptoms was related to GIT system by the doctor. We gave the   questionnaires to them for self answering because there is Arabic version of CIDI questionnaire, but if there was illiterate, their relative or me read

the questionnaire without any difference for them.

Period of collection of data last for 2 months   due   to   low   number   of attendants   to   clinic.

8. The study tools:

The   data   collected   through   two questionnaire forms, the first one consist of two sections:

Section 1:

This includes questions about the name, age, sex, number of family members, number of children, occupation, marital status, monthly income, education level, and address.

Section 2:

It includes the GIT symptoms that participants suffer from , and duration of symptoms. It also includes history of psychiatric visit if present and drug history.

Second questionnaire

The second questionnaire is the depression modules of the CIDI to MDD. The CIDI interview includes three screening (known as   STEM)   questions   about sadness/depressed mood, feelings of discouragement, and loss of interest lasting several days or longer. Participants who select any of the three questions will give the depression module. Those who failed to endorse any of the three STEM questions will be skipped out of the depression modu**le".**   [18]

In accordance with DSM-IV criteria,[19] can diagnose depression with present of " five (or more) from following symptoms during the same 2-week period ; at least one of the key symptoms is either (1) Loss of interest or pleasure or (2) Depressed mood.

(1) Depressed mood indicated by either subjective report or observation made by

others most of the day, nearly every day.

(2) Markedly diminished pleasure or interest in all, or almost all, activities most of the day, nearly every day
(3) Significant weight change when not dieting (e.g., a change of more than 5% of body weight in a month), or change in appetite nearly every day.
(4) Hypersomnia or insomnia nearly every day
(5) Psychomotor retardation or agitation nearly every day
(6) Loss of energy or fatigue nearly every day
(7) Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt nearly every day
(8) Indecisiveness , or diminished ability to think or concentrate
(9) Recurrent thoughts of death , recurrent suicidal ideation , or a suicide plan or attempt for committing suicide
Severity of depressive episode [19]
Severity of depressive episode can be divided in to mild, moderate and severe **according to number of symptoms (criterion A and B) and functional impairment .**

A: Depressive mood
Loss of interest and enjoyment in activities decrease activities and reduced energy

B:
Reduce confidence and self esteem
Unworthiness and feel of guilt
Negative thought
Disturbed sleep
Diminished appetite
Ideas of self harm

Mild: 5-6 symptoms but mild in severity and functional impairment or >1 from column A plus 1-2 from column B.

Moderate: 7-8 symptoms but moderate functional impairment or >1 from A plus 2-3 from B.
Severe : All 3 from A plus >3 from B. or fewer symptoms but any of severe functional impairment, psychotic symptoms, recent suicide attempt, specific suicide plan."

In this study, the current MDD defined in the past 12 months and there was no organic causes and for diagnosis and detection of severity I take help from my supervisor.

9.Pilot study:
the pilot study was performed at first of March 2018 on fifteen participants to know time ,cost and feasibility that required for the final study and also to know the refusal rate . At end of this study results were discussed with the supervisor for modified and managed.

10.Definition of variables
Age: last birthday in years and all ≥18 years were involved in the study .
Sex (male or female)
Marital status: classified as:

Married
single (unmarried)
Widow
Divorced

Socioeconomic status:
It was measured depending on following scoring system [20]:
Living in owned or rented/illegal house scored as 1 or 0.
Having or not having a private car scored as 1 or 0.
The number of electrical appliances in the household are scored as:
presence of up to 2 electrical appliance scored as (1).
#presence of 3-5 electrical appliances scored as (2).
presence of 6 or more electrical appliances scored as (3).
The overall socioeconomic status was calculated for each subject as following:
#scoring of ≤ 2 was considered as low socioeconomic status,
#scoring of 3-4 was considered as moderate socioeconomic status,
#scoring of > 4 was considered as high socioeconomic status.
Education level measurement

 It was classified as: [21]

Illiterate which mean a person who is unable to read or write   .

Primary schools is typically the first stage of formal education, coming after preschool and before secondary education include 6-12 years.
Intermediate present or middle school is an educational stage which exists in some countries, providing education between primary school and secondary school.
Secondary schools is both an organization that provides secondary education and the building where this takes place. Some secondary schools can provide

both lower secondary education and upper secondary education (levels2 and 3 of the ISCED scale), but these can also be provided in separate schools ,as in the American middle school- high school system.

College is an educational institution or a constituent part of one.
Occupation level measurement:
classified as:
Employed (governmental)
Non-employed
Self-employed
House-wife
Student
Retired
Residency: urban, rural, semi urban

11. Ethical consideration
An ethical clearance was obtained from Al-Hussein teaching hospital management to perform the study. An inform consent also was taken from all participants .
12. Statistical analysis: SPSS version 23 was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistic, frequencies, percentages, association, testes of significance were used for analysis of categorical variables. Chi-square was used for categorical variables and Fishers exact test was used when more than 20% of expected variable was less than 5. P-value <0.05 was considered statistical significant.

Result

A total of one hundred and fifty four (154) participants included in this cross sectional study with mean age 37.74 ± 14 years with male to female ratio (0.7:1).More than half of the participants (55.9 % of males and 51.2 % of females)were falling within the age group 20-44 years old and minority of them (1.6 % of males and 3.5 % of females) were falling within the age group ≥ 65 years. Nearly2/3 of them (65.6%) lived in urban area and 11.7% lived in rural area. Regarding the occupation there was significant differences between the two sexes, nearly one third of males either employed(29.4 %) or self employed(39.7 %), majority of females (81.4 %) were housewives. The educational level shows significant differences between the two sexes, nearly third of the females either illiterate(34.9%) or primary(29.1 %), and nearly third of the males were primary level (30.9%).About ¾ of the participants (79.2%) at the moderate socioeconomic status and11.7% of them were at high socioeconomic status . More than 2/3 of them belong to family with more than6 members .Most of them were married (70.1 %) as show in table 1.

Table 2 shows distribution of the participants according to the depression state and sociodemographic characteristics .There was no significant association between the depression state and each of the following ; age, sex, residency, occupation ,educational level ,socioeconomic status, family member and marital status , where the p- value more than 0.05. It was observed two age   group   with high prevalence, 50.0% of depressed patient located at 20-44years, and 50.0% of ≥65 years . The 40.7% were from females and 47.1% from males , more than half(66.7%) were from rural area , 55.9% students , 56.5% from secondary education,50.0% from high social class , 43.3% of family with 4-6 members and 43.6% of family >6 members and 87.5% of widow participants .

Table 3 show relationship between symptoms of the studied population and depression. It was show association between prevalence of depression and change in appetite (p=0.001) , where p-value less than 0.05 ,but there was no significant association with each of the following abdominal pain, heart burn, dyspepsia, dysphagia, nausea or vomiting, abdominal distention, diarrhea, and constipation, where p-value more than 0.05. This table also show that more of half (57.1%) of depressed participants had history of psychological disease .

Table1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied participant according the gender.

Variables	Males No.%	Females No.%	Total No. (%)	x^2	P
Age *				4.740	.186
15-19 years	3(4.2)	12(13.9)	15(9.7)		
20 -44 years	38(55.9)	44(51.2)	82(53.2)		
45 -64 years	26(38.3)	27(31.4)	53(34.5)		
≥ 65 years	1(1.6)	3(3.5)	4(2.6)		
Residency				4.342	.114
Urban	46(67.5)	55(63.9)	101(65.6)		
Semi urban	18(26.6)	17(19.8)	35(22.7)		
rural	4(5.9)	14(16.3)	18(11.7)		
Occupation*				105.858	.000
employed	20(29.4)	7(8.1)	27(17.5)		
non-employed	7(10.3)	0(0.0)	7(4.5)		
self-employed	27(39.7)	0(0.0)	27(17.5)		
house-wife	0(0.0)	70(81.4)	70(45.5)		
student	9(13.2)	9(10.5)	18(11.7)		
retired	5(7.4)	0(0.0)	5(3.3)		
Educational level				13.458	.009
Illiterate	11(16.2)	30(34.9)	41(26.6)		
Primary	21(30.9)	25(29.1)	46(29.9)		
Elementary	8(11.8)	14(16.3)	22(14.3)		
Secondary	12(17.6)	11(12.8)	23(14.9)		
collage	16(23.5)	6(6.9)	22(14.3)		
Socioeconomic state				1.416	.493
Low	10(14.7)	8(9.3)	18(11.7)		
Medium	51(75.0)	71(82.6)	122(79.2)		
High	7(10.3)	7(8.1)	14(9.1)		
Family Member*				5.570	.065
<4	3(4.4)	4(4.7)	7(4.5)		
4-6	19(27.9)	11(12.8)	30(19.5)		
≥ 6	46(67.7)	71(82.5)	117(76.0)		
Marital state*				4.684	.182
Married	50(73.5)	58(67.4)	108(70.1)		
Single	16(23.5)	17(19.8)	33(21.4)		
Divorced	2(3.0)	3(3.5)	5(3.2)		
Widow	0(0.0)	8(9.3)	8(5.3)		
Total	68(100)	86(100)	154(100)		

Table 2: Distribution of socio-demographic characteristic of the studied population according to their depression state.

Variables	Depression no.%	No depression no.%	Total no. (%)	x^2	P
Age*				4.525	0.201
20 < years	7(46.7)	8(53.3)	15(100)		
20 -44 years	41(50.0)	41(50.0)	82(100)		
45 -64 years	17(32.1)	36(67.9)	53(100)		
≥ 65 years	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	4(100)		
Sex				.625	0.429
Male	32(47.1)	36(52.9)	68(100)		
Female	35(40.7)	51(59.3)	86(100)		
Residency				4.549	0.102
Urban	40(39.6)	61(60.4)	101(100)		
Semiurban	15(42.9)	20(57.1)	35(100)		
Rural	12(66.7)	6(33.3)	18(100)		
Occupation*				5.287	0.386
Employed	9(33.3)	18(66.7)	27(100)		
non-employed	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	7(100)		
self-employed	14(51.9)	13(48.1)	27(100)		
house-wife	30(42.9)	40(57.1)	70(100)		
Student	10(55.9)	8(44.4)	18(100)		
Retired	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	5(100)		
Educational level				2.524	0.640
Illiterate	16(39.0)	25(61.0)	41(100)		
Primary	21(45.7)	25(54.3)	46(100)		
Intermediate	9(40.9)	13(59.1)	22(100)		
Secondary	13(56.5)	10(43.5)	23(100)		
College	8(36.4)	14(63.6)	22(100)		
Socioeconomic state				1.026	0.599
Low	6(33.3)	12(66.7)	18(100)		
Medium	54(44.3)	68(55.7)	122(100)		
High	7(50.0)	7(8.0)	14(100)		
Family member*				0.089	0.999
<4	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	7(100)		
4-6	13(43.3)	17(56.7)	30(100)		
≥ 6	51(43.6)	66(56.4)	117(100)		
Marital state*				5.748	0.104
Married	48(44.4)	60(55.6)	108(100)		
Single	11(33.3)	22(66.7)	33(100)		
Divorced	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	5(100)		
Widow	7(87.5)	1(12.5)	8(100)		
Total	67(43.5)	87(56.5)	154(100)		

Exact fisher test.

Table 3: relationship between GIT symptoms of the studied population and depression .

Variables	Depression no.%	No depression no.%	Total no. (%)	x^2	P
Abdominal pain*				0.794	0.373
Yes	64(44.4)	80(55.6)	144(100)		
No	3(30.0)	7(70.0)	10(100)		
Heart burn				0.238	0.626
Yes	32(41.6)	45(54.8)	77(100)		
No	35(45.5)	42(54.5)	77(100)		
Dyspepsia				0.245	0.620
Yes	12(48.0)	13(52.0)	25(100)		
No	55(42.6)	74(57.4)	129(100)		
Dysphagia				0.618	0.432
Yes	7(53.8)	6(46.2)	13(100)		
No	60(42.6)	81(57.4)	141(100)		
Nausea or vomiting				0.638	0.424
Yes	45(45.9)	53(54.1)	98(100)		
No	22(39.3)	34(60.7)	56(100)		
Abdominal distention				2.722	0.099
Yes	50(48.1)	54(51.9)	104(100)		
No	17(34.0)	33(66.0)	50(100)		
Change of appetite				1.979	0.001
Yes	60(51.3)	57(48.7)	117(100)		
No	7(18.9)	30(81.1)	37(100)		
Change of weight				2.529	0.112
Yes	34(50.7)	33(49.3)	67(100)		
No	33(37.9)	56(62.1)	87(100)		
Diarrhea				0.000	0.993
Yes	27(43.5)	35(56.5)	62(100)		
No	40(43.5)	52(56.5)	92(100)		

Constipation				1.285	0.257
Yes	20(51.3)	19(48.7)	39(100)		
No	47(40.9)	68(59.1)	115(100)		
Psychological disease*				0.555	0.456
Yes	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	7(100)		
No	63(42.9)	84(57.1)	147(100)		
Total	67(43.5)	87(56.5)	154(100)		

Exact fisher test.

Discussion

A hospital based observational, cross-sectional study extended over 7 months to include (154) attendants to gastrointestinal outpatient clinic in Al-Hussein teaching hospital in Al-Nasiriyah city to study the prevalence of depression in subjects suffering from gastrointestinal symptoms**.** The current study showed that the high prevalence of depression distributed in participants was 43.5%, like the Indian studies [22,23] were 43.1 %, 37.1 %. The factors that caused high prevalence in our study were may be due to methods or tools, population , setting of population, and season. The unstable condition of Iraq, where included successive wars in the previous years and absence of security in recent years, also may affected the people psychologically, and the state of unemployment and loss of suitable services had left people desperate , that also can

play important role for appear of MDD.

Regarding severity of depression, participates with mild (30(44.8%) members) severity had higher prevalence than other degrees, followed by moderate (19(28.4.4%) members) and sever (18 (26.8 %) members) . This differences in severity, may be returns to going of patient who with sever degree to specialist and subjects which suffers from mild degree come to clinic due to mild symptoms or

not aware from their depressed condition.

In current study 50.0% of participants with age 20-44 and ≥65 had depression ,that this high prevalence is similar to

Korean study [24] 57% for 18-44 and Indian study [22] that were 52.8% for age 20-29.

Regarding the sex, 47.1% of males had depression in the current study that is similar to Indian study (47.2%), [16] but regarding the females 40.7% and 52.9%were results for our study and Indian[22] study respectively, that are no similar.

The current study show no significant association between occupational state and depression and the highest percentage was found with those who were students (55.9%), while in Iranian study,[25] participants that has job were include more

prevalent of depression. And regarding the educational state, were secondary school level (56.5%) had high percentage, where was similar to Pakistan study (57.0%), [26] where the secondary school level. Regarding the socioeconomic state, percentage of depression were higher in participants with high socioeconomic level (50.0 %) were not similar finding reported from Indian study (low level= (47.1).[22]

In current study seen the prevalence of depression were high in widow participants (87.5%), but there was no significant association, like to other study which the Widow (66.7%) was more prevalent . [22]

The distribution of depression in rural area were (66.7%) in current study ,where in Indian study [22] also the rural area had higher level (44.4%).[22]

In present study , most frequent GIT

symptoms were abdominal pain (95.5 %),change in appetite (89.6 %), abdominal distention (74.6%), nausea and vomiting(67.2 %) and change in weight (50.7%) , while the more frequent symptoms in depressive participants were constipation (51.3%), change in appetite (51.3%),change in weight (50.7%) and abdominal distention (48.7%), and there was

significant association among depression and change in appetite, where p-value =0.001. In other study [27].

Regarding the GIT symptoms, more of depressive participants were suffer from ≥7symptoms (55.9%) like Saudi study [27] that (43.9Z%) from participants were suffer from multiple complaints.
In this study (63.3%) of participants had 1month duration of symptoms, while in

Saudi study [96] 51.7% of subjects had suffer from complaints for 6-30 years.

Conclusion   :

1.The study findings revealed that depression were high in Al-Nasiriyah population   .
2. Most of the participants with depression were in two age groups 20-44 years and ≥ 65 years.
3.In this population study, more of depressive participants had more than 7 GIT symptoms   .
4.In this study 57.1% of participants were with history of psychiatric disease, that this result show importance of psychological history for incidence of depression.

References:

1.Holtmann G, Kutscher S-U, Haag S, et al. Clinical presentation and personality factors are predictors of the response to treatment in patients with functional dyspepsia. Dig Dis Sci 2004; 49: 672–9.

2. Levy RL, Olden KW, Naliboff BD, Bradley LA, Francisconi C, Drossman DA, et al. Psychosocial aspects of the functional gastrointestinal disorders. Gastroenterology. 2006;130:1447–1458. [PubMed]

3. Drossman DA. Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: History, Pathophysiology, Clinical Features and Rome IV.Gastroenterology. 2016 Feb 19. pii: S0016-5085(16)00223-7. doi: 10.1053.

4. Mayer EA, Craske M, Naliboff BD. Depression, anxiety, and the gastrointestinal system. J Clin Psychiatry. 2001;62 Suppl 8:28-36; discussion 37.

5.Spijker J, Graaf R, Bijl RV, Beekman AT, Ormel J, Nolen WA. Functional disability and depression in the general population. Results from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS) .Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 2004;110:208–214. [PubMed]

6.Üstün TB, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Chatterji S, Mathers C, Murray CJ. Global burden of depressive disorders in the year 2000. Br. J. Psychiatry. 2004;184:386–392 [PubMed]

7. Sadock, B.J. , Sadock, V.A and Ruiz,P. (copy right 2015) Kaplan and Sadock's Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry. 11th Edition, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.57

8.Löwe B, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Mussell M, Schellberg D, Kroenke K. Depression, anxiety and somatization in primary care: syndrome overlap and functional impairment. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2008; 30: 191-199 [PMID: 18433651DOI:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2008.01.001]

9.Haag S, Senf W, Häuser W, Tagay S, Grandt D, Heuft G, et al. Impairment ofhealth-related quality of life in functional dyspepsia and chronic liver disease: the influence of depression and anxiety. Aliment PharmacolTher2008; 27:561-571 [PMID: 18208571 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03619.x].

10.Katon W, Lin EH, Kroenke K. The association of depression and anxiety with medical symptom burden in patients with chronic medical illness. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2007; 29: 147-155 [PMID: 17336664 DOI: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2006.11.005]

11. Addolorato G, Mirijello A, D'Angelo C, Leggio L, Ferrulli A, Abenavoli L,et al. State and trait anxiety and depression in patients affected by gastrointestinal diseases: psychometric evaluation of 1641 patients referred to an internal medicine outpatient setting. Int J ClinPract. 2008;62:1063–1069.   [PubMed]

12. Li XJ, He YL, Ma H, Liu ZN, Jia FJ, Zhang L, et al. Prevalence of depressive and anxiety disorders in Chinese gastroenterological outpatients. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18:2561–2568. [PMC free article] [PubMed]

13.Cepoiu M, McCusker J, Cole MG, Sewitch M, Belzile E, Ciampi A. Recognition of depression by non-psychiatric physicians-
-a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2008; 23: 25-36 [PMID: 17968628 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-007-0428-5]58

14.Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Löwe B. Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. Ann Intern Med 2007; 146: 317-325 [PMID: 17339617]

15.Keefer L, Sayuk G, Bratten J, Rahimi R, Jones MP. Multicenter study of gastroenterologists' ability to identify anxiety and depression in a new patient encounter and its impact on diagnosis. J Clin Gastroenterol2008; 42: 667-671 [PMID: 18496395 DOI:10.1097/MCG.0b013e31815e84ff]

16. Dobson A J, Gebski VJ. Sample sizes for comparing two independent proportions using the continuity-corrected are sine transformation. The Statistician. 1986; 35, 51-53.

17. WHO. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders. Available from :apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2- eng.pdf.

18.Kessler RC, Ustun TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2004;13(2):93–121. [Pub Med]

19. American Psychiatric Association (2000a). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (Fourth Edition, Text Revision: DSM-IV ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc**.** ISBN 978-0-89042-025-6

20. Saadon AA, Al-Asadi JN. Prevalence and sociodemographic determinants of hypertension in Thi-Qar governorate: A household survey. American Journal of Advanced Drug Delivery. 2014; 2(6):802-15.

21. college UNESCO institute for statistics. ISCED: international standard classification of education. Accessed at 22-6-2016. Available at: http://www.uis.unesco.org/Educatio/Pages/international-standard-classification-ofeducation.aspx

22. Arifuddin A, Rajini T, Faheemuddin N, Ramakrishna P.A study of psychiatricmorbidity in patient attending the medical gastroenterology unit in a teaching hospital.

23. Kabra N, Nadkarni A. Prevalence of depression and anxiety in irritable bowel syndrome: A clinic based study from India. 2013 Jan;55(1):77-80. doi:10.4103/0019-5545.105520.[Pub Med]

24. Seon CP, Sang W H,Tae Y H, Jae MK, Tae YJ, Min S L, et al. Does Age at Onset of First Major Depressive Episode Indicate the Subtype of Major Depressive Disorder?: The Clinical Research Center for Depression Study. 2014 Oct8. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2014.55.6.1712. PMID: 25323911.

25. Mohamad-Jafar M , Fariborz M-G , Ali I, Seyed-Alireza M-M, Massih S-R, Mahmoud Y-M, et al. Anxiety-depressive disorders among irritable bowel syndrome patients in Guilan, Iran. BMC Res Notes. 2012; 5: 112.doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-112

26. Amin A. M G and Gerry M. Prevalence of Depression among Households in Three Capital Cities of Pakistan: Need to Revise the Mental Health Policy. 2007;2(2): e209.**69**

27.Fahad D. A, Omar Al-s, Qusay A, Ibrahim A and Sulaiman A. Association ofHelp-seeking Behavior with Depression and Anxiety Disorders among Gastroenterological Patients in Saudi Arabia. The Saudi journal of gastroenterology. 2014 Jul-Aug; 20(4): 233–240. PMID: 25038209.

مدى انتشار مرض الكابة لدى الأشخاص الذين يعانون من أعراض الجهاز الهضمي في أستشارية مستشفى الحسين التعليمي في الناصرية عام ٢٠١٨

م. د. مسلم ناهي سعيد
أ. د. أحمد حسن حسين
د. أبرار علي حسن

الخلاصة:

واحد من أكثر حالات الصحة العقلية شيوعا في الممارسة السريرية وفي عموم السكان هو الاكتئاب. فيالدراسات السريرية ، هناك علاقة قوية بين أعراض الجهاز الهضمي والاكتئاب وتبقى الأعراض المعويةلفترة أطول وأكثر خطورة في المرضى الذين يعانون من الاكتئاب. الهدف: دراسة مدى انتشار الاكتئاب لدى مراجعي عيادة الجهاز الهضمي. المواد والأساليب: كانت الدراسة دراسة مقطعية للبالغين في مستشفى الحسين التعليمي في مدينة الناصرية ،أجريت في أول مارس 2018وانتهت في نهاية سبتمبر عام 2018.كانت جمع البيانات من خلال استخداماستبانة معدة لهذا الغرض وذلك بإجراء مقابلة مع المراجعين الموافقين على المشاركة بالدراسة حسبمعايير DSM-IV الذي أجريت على 154مشارك. كان معدل الرفض صفر ولأغراض التحليل الإحصائيتم استخدام SPSSالإصدار ٢٢. النتيجة :أظهرت الدراسة أن ٤٣.٥ % من الأشخاص الذين يعانون من أعراض الجهاز الهضمي يعانون منالاكتئاب. الاكتئاب وجد في ٤٠.٧ % من الإناث و ٤٧.١ % من الذكور .٥٠.٠ % من المرضى المصابونبالاكتئاب كانوا من الفئتين العمريتين 20-44سنة و65 ≥ سنة. معظم المرضى المصابين بالاكتئاب يعانونمن الإمساك) ، (٥١.٣ %وتغير في الشهية) ، (٥١.٣ %وتغير في الوزن) ، (٥٠.٧ %حيث كان التغيير فيالشهية يرتبط ارتباطا كبيرا مع الاكتئاب ، كانت قيمة 0.001 = p.معظم الأشخاص المكتئبين يعانون من المشاكل في الجهاز الهضمي لمدة شهرا واحدا و كانت لديهم أكثر من 7أعراض. توصية: رفع الوعي العام حول الاكتئاب وعلاقة ذلك مع أعراض الجهاز الهضمي. الكلمات المفتاحية: الاكتئاب ، أعراض الجهاز الهضمي ، الانتشار ، الناصرية ، ٢٠١٨