

Some Convergence Theorems for the Fixed Point in Banach Spaces

Zena Hussein Mabeed

University of Baghdad - College of Education for pure Science.



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20 / 11 /2012
Accepted: 22 / 11 /2012
Available online: 16/2/2014
DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2013.85012

Keywords:

Convergence Theorems ,
Fixed Point ,
Banach Spaces.

ABSTRACT

Let X be a uniformly smooth Banach space, $T: X \rightarrow X$ be Φ -strongly quasi accretive (Φ -hemi contractive) mappings. It is shown under suitable conditions that the Ishikawa iteration sequence converges strongly to the unique solution of the equation $Tx = f$. Our main results is to improve and extend some results about Ishikawa iteration for type from contractive, announced by many others.

1. Introduction

Let X be an arbitrary Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the dual space X^* . The normalized duality mapping $J: X \rightarrow X^*$ is defined by $J(x) = \{f \in X^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\| \cdot \|f\|, \|f\| = \|x\|\}$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is known that if X is uniformly smooth, then J is single valued and is uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of X .

Let $T: D(T) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ be an operator, where $D(T)$ and $R(T)$ denote the domain and range of T , respectively, and I denote the identity mapping on X .

We recall the following two iterative processes to Ishikawa and Mann, [1], [2]:

i- Let K be a nonempty convex subset of X , and $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a mapping, for any given $x_0 \in K$ the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n$$

$$y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n \quad (n \geq 0)$$

is called Ishikawa iteration sequence, where $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$ and $\langle \beta_n \rangle$ are two real sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying some conditions.

ii- In particular, if $\beta_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ in (i), then $\langle x_n \rangle$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

is called the Mann iteration sequence.

Recently Liu [3] introduced the following iteration method which he called Ishikawa (Mann) iteration method with errors.

For a nonempty subset K of X and a mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$, the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ defined for arbitrary x_0 in K by $y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n + v_n$, $x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T y_n + u_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

where $\langle u_n \rangle$ and $\langle v_n \rangle$ are two summable sequences in X

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|v_n\| < \infty$$

(i.e., $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$ and $\langle \beta_n \rangle$ are two real sequences in $[0,1]$, satisfying suitable conditions, is called the Ishikawa iterates with errors. If $\beta_n = 0$ and $v_n = 0$ for all n , then the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ is called the Mann iterates with errors.

The purpose of this paper is to define the Ishikawa iterates with errors to fixed points and solutions of Φ -strongly quasi accretive and Φ -hemi-contractive operators equations. Our main results improve and extend the corresponding results recently obtained by [3] and [4]. Via replaced the assumption summable sequences by the assumption bounded sequences, T need not be Lipschitz and the assumption that T is strongly accretive mapping is replaced by assumption that T is Φ -strongly quasi accretive and Φ -hemi-contractive, and main results improve the corresponding results recently obtained by [5]. Via replaced the assumption quasi-strongly accretive and quasi-strongly pseudo-contractive mappings by the assumption Φ -strongly quasi accretive and Φ -hemi-contractive operators.

1.1 Definition: [5], [6], [7]
A mapping T with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in X is said to be strongly accretive if for any $x, y \in D(T)$, there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that $\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq k \|x - y\|^2$
The mapping T is called Φ -strongly accretive if there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that the inequality

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \Phi(\|x - y\|) \cdot \|x - y\|$$

* Corresponding author at: University of Baghdad - College of Education for pure Science. E-mail address:

holds for all $x, y \in D(T)$. It is well known that the class of strongly accretive mappings is a proper subclass of the class of Φ -strongly accretive mapping.

An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is quasi-strongly accretive if there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that for any $x, y \in D(T)$

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \Phi(\|x - y\|)$$

An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called Φ -strongly quasi-accretive if there exist a strictly increasing function $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that for all $x \in D(T)$, $p \in N(T)$ there exist $j(x - p) \in J(x - p)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Tp, j(x - p) \rangle \geq \Phi(\|x - p\|) \cdot \|x - p\|$$

where $N(T) = \{x \in D(T) : T(x) = 0\}$.

1.2 Remarks: [5], [6], [7]

1. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called strongly pseudo contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is strongly accretive.
2. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called Φ -strongly pseudo contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly accretive.
3. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called quasi-strongly pseudo contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is quasi-strongly accretive.
4. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called Φ -hemi-contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly quasi-accretive.

The following lemma plays an important role in proving our main results.

1.1 Lemma: [1], [2]

Let X be a Banach space. Then for all $x, y \in X$ and $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$,

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle.$$

2. Main Results

Now, we state and prove the following theorems:

2.1 Theorem:

Let X be a uniformly smooth Banach space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a Φ -strongly quasi-accretive operator.

Let $x_0 \in K$ the Ishikawa iteration sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ with errors be defined by

$$y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n Sx_n + b_n v_n \quad \dots(1)$$

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n Sy_n + a_n u_n \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \dots(2)$$

where $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$, $\langle \beta_n \rangle$, $\langle a_n \rangle$ and $\langle b_n \rangle$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying

where $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$, $\langle \beta_n \rangle$, $\langle a_n \rangle$ and $\langle b_n \rangle$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0 \quad \dots(3)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \quad \dots(4)$$

$$a_n \leq \alpha_n^{1+c}, c > 0, b_n > \beta_n \quad \dots(5)$$

and $\langle u_n \rangle$ and $\langle v_n \rangle$ are two bounded sequence in X . Define $S: X \rightarrow X$ by $Sx = f + x - Tx$ for all $x \in X$, and suppose that $R(S)$ is bounded, then $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the equation $Tx = f$.

proof: Since T is Φ -strongly quasi-accretive, it follows that $N(T)$ is a singleton, say $\{w\}$.

Let $Tw = f$, it is easy to see that S has a unique fixed point w , it follows from definition of S that

$$\langle Sx - Sy, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - y\|) \cdot \|x - y\| \dots(6)$$

Setting $y = w$, we have

$$\langle Sx - Sw, j(x - w) \rangle \leq \|x - w\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - w\|) \cdot \|x - w\| \dots(7)$$

We prove that $\langle x_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ are bounded. Let

$$M_1 = \sup \{ \|Sx_n - w\| + \|Sy_n - w\| : n \geq 0 \} + \|x_0 - w\|$$

$$M_2 = \sup \{ \|u_n\| + \|v_n\| : n \geq 0 \}$$

$$= M_1 + M_2$$

From (2) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| + \alpha_n \|Sy_n - w\| + a_n \|u_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| + \alpha_n M_1 + \alpha_n M_2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|x_{n+1} - w\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| + \alpha_n M \quad \dots(8)$$

Now, from (1) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - w\| &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - w\| + \beta_n \|Sx_n - w\| + b_n \|v_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - w\| + \beta_n M_1 + \beta_n M_2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|y_n - w\| \leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - w\| + \beta_n M \quad \dots(9)$$

$$\|x_n - w\| \leq M \quad \dots(10)$$

Now, we show by induction that

for all $n \geq 0$. For $n = 0$ we have $\|x_0 - w\| \leq M_1 \leq M$, by definition of M_1 and M .

Assume now that $\|x_n - w\| \leq M$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then

by (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| + \alpha_n M \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) M + \alpha_n M = M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction we conclude that (10) holds substituting (10) into (9), we get

$$\|y_n - w\| \leq M$$

From (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - w\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2 \|x_n - w\|^2 + \\ &\quad 2\beta_n(1 - \beta_n)M \|x_n - w\| + \beta_n^2 M^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \beta_n \leq 1$ and $\|x_n - w\| \leq M$, we get

$$\|y_n - w\|^2 \leq \|x_n - w\|^2 + 2\beta_n M^2 \quad \dots(12)$$

Using lemma (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &\leq \|(1-\alpha_n)(x_n - w) + a_n u_n + \alpha_n (S y_n - w)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(1-\alpha_n)(x_n - w) + a_n u_n\|^2 + \\ &\quad 2\alpha_n \langle S y_n - w, j(x_{n+1} - w) \rangle \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - w\|^2 + \\ &\quad 2(1-\alpha_n)a_n \|x_n - w\| \|u_n\| + a_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 + \\ &\quad 2\alpha_n \langle S y_n - w, j(y_n - w) \rangle + \\ &\quad 2\alpha_n \langle S y_n - w, j(x_{n+1} - w) - j(y_n - w) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (6) and definition of M, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \|x_n - w\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - w\|^2 + \\ &\quad 2(1-\alpha_n)a_n M^2 + a_n^2 M^2 + 2\alpha_n \|y_n - w\|^2 - \\ &\quad 2\alpha_n \Phi(\|y_n - w\|) \cdot \|y_n - w\| + 2\alpha_n c_n \end{aligned}$$

here

$$c_n = \langle S y_n - w, j(x_{n+1} - w) - j(y_n - w) \rangle \quad \dots(13)$$

By (10) and (12) and using that $a_n \leq \alpha_n \alpha_n^c$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \|x_n - w\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 M^2 + \\ &\quad 2\alpha_n \alpha_n^c M^2 + 2\alpha_n \|x_n - w\|^2 + \quad \text{and} \\ &\quad 4\alpha_n \beta_n M^2 - 2\alpha_n k_x \|y_n - w\|^2 + 2\alpha_n c_n \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \Phi(\|y_n - w\|) \cdot \|y_n - w\| + \\ &\quad \alpha_n \lambda_n \end{aligned} \quad \dots(14)$$

where $\lambda_n = (\alpha_n + 2\alpha_n^c + 4\beta_n)M^2 + 2c_n$.

First we show that $c_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, observe that from (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq \|(\beta_n - \alpha_n)(x_n - w) + \alpha_n (S y_n - w) - \\ &\quad \beta_n (S x_n - w) + a_n u_n - b_n v_n\| \quad \text{and hence, by} \\ &\leq (\beta_n - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| + \alpha_n \|S y_n - w\| + \\ &\quad \beta_n \|S x_n - w\| + \alpha_n \|u_n\| + \beta_n \|v_n\| \end{aligned}$$

(10) and definition of M.

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq (3\beta_n + \alpha_n)M \quad \dots(15)$$

Therefore $\|x_{n+1} - w - (y_n - w)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\langle x_{n+1} - w \rangle$, $\langle y_n - w \rangle$ and $\langle S y_n - w \rangle$ are bounded and j is uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of X, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(x_{n+1} - w) - j(y_n - w) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ c_n = \langle S x_n - w, j(x_{n+1} - w) - j(y_n - w) \rangle &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$,

$$\inf\{\|y_n - w\| : n \geq 0\} = S \geq 0.$$

We prove that $S = 0$. Assume the contrary, i.e., $S > 0$. Then

$$\|y_n - w\| \geq S > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\Phi(\|y_n - w\|) \geq \Phi(S) > 0.$$

Thus from (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - \alpha_n \Phi(S) \cdot S - \\ &\quad \alpha_n [\Phi(S) \cdot S - \lambda_n] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(16)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$, there exists a positive

integer n_0 such that $\lambda_n \leq \Phi(S) \cdot S$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Therefore, from (16), we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 \leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - \alpha_n \Phi(S) \cdot S,$$

or

$$\alpha_n \Phi(S) \cdot S \leq \|x_n - w\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(S) \cdot S \cdot \sum_{j=n_0}^n \alpha_j &= \|x_{n_0} - w\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_{n_0} - w\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$, contradicting (4). Therefore, S

$= 0$.

From definition of S, there exists a subsequence of

$\langle \|y_n - w\| \rangle$, which we will denote by $\langle \|y_i - w\| \rangle$,

such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_j - w\| = 0 \quad \dots(17)$$

Observe that from (1) for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - w\| &\leq \|y_n - w\| + \beta_n \|x_n - w\| - \\ &\quad \beta_n \|S x_n - w\| + b_n \|v_n\| \\ &\leq \|y_n - w\| + \beta_n \|x_n - w\| + \\ &\quad \beta_n \|S x_n - w\| + b_n \|v_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_n \leq \beta_n$, by definition of A, B and M we get

$$\|x_n - w\| \leq \|y_n - w\| + 3\beta_n M, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \quad \dots(18)$$

Thus by (3), (17) and (18), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j - w\| = 0 \quad \dots(19)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$, there exists a positive integer N_0 such that

$$\alpha_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}, \beta_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}, \lambda_n \leq \Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for all } n \geq$$

N_0 .

From (19), there exists $k \geq N_0$ such that

$$\|x_k - w\| < \varepsilon \quad \dots(20)$$

We prove by induction that

$$\|x_{k+n} - w\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \quad \dots(21)$$

For $n = 0$ we see that (21) holds by (20).

Suppose that (21) holds for some $n \geq 0$ and that

$$\|x_{k+n+1} - w\| \geq \varepsilon. \quad \text{Then by (15), we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \|x_{k+n+1} - w\| = \|y_{k+n} - w + x_{k+n+1} - y_{k+n}\| \\ &\leq \|y_{k+n} - w\| + \|x_{k+n+1} - y_{k+n}\| \quad \text{Hence} \\ &\leq \|y_{k+n} - w\| + (\alpha_{k+n} + 3\beta_{k+n})M \\ &\leq \|y_{k+n} - w\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|y_{k+n} - w\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

From (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 &\leq \|x_{k+n+1} - w\|^2 \leq \|x_{k+n} - w\|^2 - 2\alpha_{k+n}\Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \\ &\quad \alpha_{k+n}\Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &\leq \|x_{k+n} - w\|^2 < \varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we proved (21). Since ε is arbitrary, from (21), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\| = 0$. ■

2.1 Remark:

If in theorem (2.1), $\beta_n = 0$, $b_n = 0$, then we obtain a result that deals with the Mann iterative process with errors.

Now, we state the Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for the Φ -hemi contractive operators.

2.2 Theorem:

Let X be a uniformly smooth Banach space, let K be a non empty bounded closed convex subset of X and $T:K \rightarrow K$ be a Φ -hemi-contractive operator. Let w be a fixed point of T and let for $x_0 \in K$ the Ishikawa iteration sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \bar{\beta}_n x_n + \beta_n T x_n + b_n v_n \\ x_{n+1} &= \bar{\alpha}_n x_n + \alpha_n T y_n + a_n u_n, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle u_n \rangle, \langle v_n \rangle \subset K$, $\langle \alpha_n \rangle, \langle \beta_n \rangle, \langle a_n \rangle, \langle b_n \rangle$ are sequences as in theorem (2.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_n &= 1 - \alpha_n - a_n, \\ \bar{\beta}_n &= 1 - \beta_n - b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges strongly to the unique fixed point of T .

proof: Obviously $\langle x_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ are both contained in K and therefore, bounded. Since T is Φ -hemi-contractive, then $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly quasi accretive.

The rest of the proof is identical the proof of theorem 2.1 with $y = w$ and $T = S$, and is therefore omitted. ■

2.2 Remark:

If in theorem (2.2), $\beta_n = 0$ and $b_n = 0$, then we obtain the corresponding result for the Mann iteration process with errors.

References

1. XU.Y., (2005). Iterative Processes with Random Errors for Fixed Point of ϕ -Pseudo Contractive Operators, *J. Math. Kolloq* 59:87-97.
2. L.Z., U.J.S., and Kang, J.M., (2005). Strongly Convergence and Pseudo Stability for Operators of the ϕ -Accretive Type in Uniformly Smooth Banach Space, *J.Math. Kolloq* 59: 29-40.
3. L.L.S., (1995), Ishikawa and Mann Iterative Process with Errors for Non Linear Strongly Accretive Mapping in Banach Spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 194: 114-125.
4. XU.Y., (1998). Ishikawa and Mann Iterative Process with Errors for Non Linear Strongly Accretive Operator Equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 224: 91-101.
5. C.L.B. and U.J.S., (2004). Ishikawa Iterative Processes with Errors for Approximations of Zeros of Strongly Accretive Operator Equations, *Polonia LVIII* :27-36.
6. Z.XU and Z.W., (2006). Ishikawa Iterative Sequence for the Generalized Lipschitzian and Φ -Strongly Accretive Mapping in Banach Spaces, *J. Math.* 29: 203-213.
7. R.A., Acu, A.M., and A.M., (2008). Steepest Descent Approximations in Banach Space, *General Math.* 16: 133-143

بعض نظريات التقارب للنقطة الصامدة في فضاءات بناخ

زينة حسين معبيد

الخلاصة :

ليكن X فضاء بناخ املس منتظم، $T: X \rightarrow X$ تطبيقات Φ -القوية شبه المتزايدة (Φ -نصف انكماشية). برهن تحت شروط مدروسة إنه متتابعة التكرار اشيكواوا تقترب بقوة الى الحل الوحيد للمعادلة $Tx = f$. إحدى نتائجنا هي لتحسين وتوسيع بعض النتائج حول تكرار اشيكواوا لانواع من الانكماشية المعلنة لدى آخرين كثيرين.