

# On $iS^*$ - Separation Axioms In Topological Space

Hiba Omar Mousa Othman

University of Tikrit / Department Of Mathematical

College of education for Woman

## Abstract:

This paper discussed anew types of sets and how to deal with them .All these concepts depend on the concept of  $iS^*$ -open set. The most important of these concepts that presented in this paper are new types of separation axioms in topological space that called  $iS^*$ - separation axioms .Additionally we investigated the relationship between these types of separation axioms which presented in this paper.

**Keywords:**  $iS^*$ -open set ,  $iS^*$ -separation axioms ,  $iS^* T_0$  - space ,  $iS^* T_1$  - space ,  $iS^* T_2$  - space.

## في الفضاء التبولوجي $iS^*$ بديهيات الفصل من النمط

هبة عمر موسى عثمان

قسم الرياضيات /كلية التربية للبنات/جامعة تكريت

## الخلاصة:

يناقش البحث الحالي أنواع جديدة من المجموعات وكيفية التعامل معها . وكل هذه المفاهيم تعتمد على مفهوم المجموعة المفتوحة من النمط  $iS^*$  واهم هذه المفاهيم التي قدمت في هذا البحث هي أنواع جديدة من بديهيات الفصل في الفضاء التبولوجي سميت بديهيات الفصل من النمط  $iS^*$  بالاضافة الى ذلك تم دراسة العلاقة بين هذه الأنواع من بديهيات الفصل المطروحة في هذا البحث .

## 1. Introduction

"AL - Meklafi [4] generalized the concept of closed set to the  $S^*$ - closed , the complement of  $S^*$  - closed set is called  $S^*$ - open set , Askander[2] introduce the concept of  $i$ -open set . In this paper we introduce a new concept of open set namely  $iS^*$ - open set , and study their properties , also we introduce a new types of separation axioms namely  $iS^*$  separation axioms , and study the relations between ( standard separation axioms ,  $i$ -separation axioms ,  $S^*$  - separation axioms) with  $iS^*$ - separation axioms . we obtain the definition from standard separation properties by replacing open set by  $iS^*$  - open set in their definitions , moreover , we study the relation between this type of separation axioms."

## 2. Preliminaries

" Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(X, \tau_x)$  and  $(Y, \tau_y)$  mean topological spaces. For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the interior and closure of  $A$  are denoted by  $\text{int}(A)$  and  $\text{cl}(A)$  respectively. Now we recall the following definitions"

**Definition 2 . 1 [3] :** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau_x)$  is called a semi-open set ( $S$  - open for short) if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int} A)$ . The complement of a semi-open set is defined to be semi-closed set ( $S$  - closed for short).

**Definition 2 . 2 [4]:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau_x)$  is called  $S^*$ - open set If  $F \subseteq \text{int} A$  whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and  $F$  is semi - closed in  $(X, \tau_x)$ . The complement of a  $S^*$  - open set is defined to be  $S^*$ - closed set.

The class of all  $S^*$ - open set in  $(X, \tau_x)$  is denoted by  $S^*O(X, \tau_x)$  .

**Definition 2.3 [2]** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau_x)$  is called  $i$ - open set if there exists open set  $(O \neq X, \emptyset)$  such

that  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(A \cap O)$ . The complement of an  $i$  - open set is called  $i$  - closed set.

The class of all  $i$  - open set in  $(X, \tau_x)$  is denoted to be  $io(X, \tau_x)$ .

### 3. $iS^*$ - open set.

In this section we introduce a new concept namely  $iS^*$ - open set.

**Definition 3.1:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau_x)$  is called  $iS^*$ - open set if there exists  $S^*$ - open set  $(O \neq X, \emptyset)$  such that  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(A \cap O)$ .

The complement of an  $iS^*$ - open set is denoted by  $iS^*$ - closed set.

The class of all  $iS^*$ - open set in  $(X, \tau_x)$  is denoted to be  $iS^*o(X, \tau_x)$

**Definition 3.2 :** Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau_x)$  then :

1. The intersection of all  $iS^*$ - closed sets containing  $A$  is called  $iS^*$ - closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{cl } iS^*(A)$ .

2. The union of all  $iS^*$ - open sets of  $X$  containing in  $A$  is called the  $iS^*$ - interior denoted by  $\text{int } iS^*(A)$ .

### Remarks 3 . 3

(i) Every open (closed) set is an  $S^*$ - open ( $S^*$ - closed) set respectively [ 5 ].

(ii) Every  $S^*$ - open ( $S^*$  - closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open ( $iS^*$ - closed) set respectively.

(iii) Every  $S$  - open ( $S$  - closed) set is an  $i$  - open ( $i$  - closed) set respectively.[1]

(iv) Every  $i$  - open ( $i$  - closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open ( $iS^*$ - closed) set respectively.

(v)  $S^*$  - open set and  $S^*$ - open set are independent [ 5 ].

(vi) The union of two  $iS^*$ - open sets are  $iS^*$ - open set.

(vii) The intersection of two  $iS^*$ - open set does not necessary  $iS^*$ - open set.

**Proof :** (ii) let  $A \neq X, \emptyset$  is, since  $A \subseteq \text{cl} A$  , then  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(A \cap A)$ , then  $A$  is an  $iS^*$ - open set .

**Proof:** (iv)) let  $A \neq X, \emptyset$  is an  $i$ - open set , then there exist  $B$  is open set such that

$A \subseteq \text{cl}(A \cap B)$  since every open set is an  $S^*$ -open set [5], then  $B$  is an  $S^*$ -open set, then  $A$  is an  $iS^*$ -open set.

The converse of (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are not true in general consider the following examples.

Example 3.4: Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ , Then  $SO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$

$iO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ ,  $S^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$

$iS^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$

Example 3.5: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$

$SO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$

$iO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$

$S^*O(X) = P(X)$ ,  $iS^*O(X) = P(X)$

Then we have

From example 3.4  $\{a\}, \{b\}$  are  $S^*$ -open sets but Not open sets.

From example 3.4  $\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$  are  $iS^*$ -open sets but Not  $S^*$ -open sets.

From example 3.4  $\{a\}, \{b\}$  is an  $i$ -open sets but Not  $S$ -open sets.

From example 3.5  $\{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}$  are  $iS^*$ -open sets but Not  $i$ -open sets.

From example 3.4  $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$  is an  $iS^*$ -open set.

From example 3.4  $\{a, c\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{c\}$  is not  $iS^*$ -open set.

Note: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the family of all  $iS^*O(X)$  are supra topology on  $X$  but Not topology on  $X$ .

The example 3.4 show that.

#### 4. $iS^*$ Separation Axiom

Definition 4.1: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space and denoted by  $(iS^*\tau_o)$  if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$  there is an  $iS^*$ -open set in  $X$  containing one of them but Not the other.

Example 4.2: Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}\}$

Then  $iS^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$

It clearly that  $(X, \tau_x)$  is  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space.

Since every open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ -open ( $iS^*$ -closed) set, then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3: Every  $\tau_o$ -space is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space.

Proof: It is obvious

{Considering the example (3.2) show that  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space but Not  $\tau_o$ -space.

Since every  $S^*$ -open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ -open ( $iS^*$ -closed) set then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4: Every  $S^*\tau_o$ -space is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space.

Proof: It is obvious

But the converse above theorem may not true in general consider the following example.

Example 4.5: Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$  then  $S^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$

$iS^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$

Then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space but Not  $S^*\tau_o$ -space.

Since every  $i$ -open ( $i$ -closed) set is an  $iS^*$ -open (closed) set then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6: Every  $i\tau_o$ -space is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space

Proof: It is obvious

The following example show that the converse of theorem 4.6 are not true in general.

Example 4.7: Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, X\}$ ,  $iO(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$ ,  $iS^*O(X) = P(X)$

Then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_o$ -space but Not

$i\mathcal{T}_0$  -space.

**Theorem 4 . 8 :** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$  - space if and only if for each pair of distance points  $x, y$  of  $X$  .  $Cl_{iS^*}\{x\} \neq Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$ .

**Proof :** For each  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$   $Cl_{iS^*}\{X\} \neq Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$ , let  $G \in X$  Such that  $G \in Cl_{iS^*}\{X\}$  but

$G \in Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$ . Assume that  $x \in Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$ , if  $x \in Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$  then  $Cl_{iS^*}\{x\} \subseteq Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$  this contradict that fact that  $G \notin Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$  consequently  $x \in Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}^c$  to which  $y$  does not belong. Necessity let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$ -space and  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ ,  $y \in iS^*$ - open set  $U$ .

Such that  $x \in u$  or  $y \in U$  then  $U^c$  is an  $iS^*$ - closed set such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in U^c$ , since  $Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$  is the Smallest  $iS^*$ - closed set containing  $y$   $Cl_{iS^*}\{y\} \subseteq U^c$  and there for  $x \notin Cl_{iS^*}\{y\}$ . Hence  $Cl_{iS^*}\{y\} \neq Cl_{iS^*}\{x\}$ .

**"Theorem 4 .9 :** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  be any  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$  \_ space then every relative topological space is  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$

**"Proof:** let  $(y, \mathcal{T}_y)$  be relative topological space. To show that  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_y)$  is  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$  \_ space let  $y_1, y_2 \in y$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$  then  $y_1, y_2 \in x$  . since  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*$  \_  $\mathcal{T}_0$  then there exists  $iS^*$  \_ open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $U$  containing one of  $y_1, y_2$  but not both.

Now , if  $y_1 \in U$  then  $y_1 \in y \cap U = U^*$  if  $y_2 \in U$  then  $y_2 \in y \cap U = U^*$  therefore  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_y)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$  \_ space."

**Definition 4.10:** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  \_ space and denoted by  $(iS^*\mathcal{T}_1)$  if

for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$  there exists two  $iS^*$  \_ open sets  $U, V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  ,  $y \notin U$  and  $y \notin V$  ,  $x \notin V$ .

**Example 4 . 11 :** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  ,  $\neq x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$

Then  $iS^*O(X) = P(X)$

It is clearly that  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

Since every open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open (closed) set, than we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4 . 12 :** Every  $\mathcal{T}_0$  - space is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_0$  - space.

**Proof:** It is obvious.

But the converse of above theorem may not be true in general.

Consider the example 4.13 show that  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space but Not  $\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

**Example 4 . 13 :** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  ,

$\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}\}$

,  $iS^*O(X) = P(X)$

Then  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space but Not  $\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

Since every  $S^*$ - open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open (closed) set then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4 . 14 :** Every  $S^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

**Proof:** It is obvious

But the converse above theorem may not be true in general.

Consider example 4.15 which shows that  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space but not  $S^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

**Example 4 . 15 :** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, \}$  ,  $\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$

$S^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$

$iS^*O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$

Then  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space but Not  $S^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space.

Since every  $i$  - open ( closed ) set is an  $iS^*$  - open ( closed ) set then we have the following theorem

**Theorem 4.16:** Every  $i\mathcal{T}_1$  - space is an  $iS^*\mathcal{T}_1$  - space

**Proof:** It is obvious.

The following example show that the converse of theorem 4.16 are not true in general.

Example 4 . 17 : Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ ,  
 $\tau_x = \{ \emptyset, X \}$  ,  $iO(X) = \{ \emptyset, X \}$

$$iS^*O(X) = P(X)$$

Then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space but Not  $i\tau_1$  - space.

Theorem 4 . 18 : A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  if every singleton is an  $iS^*$ -closed $(X, \tau)$

is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any two distinct points of  $(X, \tau)$

Proof: suppose that every singleton is an  $iS^*$ - closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , to prove  $(X, \tau)$ . Assume that  $U = X \setminus \{x\}$  and  $V = X \setminus \{y\}$  since  $\{x\}$  and  $\{y\}$  are  $iS^*$ - closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  then  $U$  and  $V$  are  $iS^*$ - open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , since  $y \in U$ ,  $x \notin U$  and  $x \in V$ ,  $y \notin V$  then  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space.

Theorem 4 . 19 : Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space. Then every relative topological space  $(Y, \tau_y)$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$

Proof: Since a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  . Let  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , such that  $y_1 \neq y_2$ , then  $y_1, y_2 \in X$ , hence there exists two  $iS^*$ - open sets  $U, V$  such that  $y_1 \in U$  and  $y_1 \in Y$  then  $y_1 \in Y \cap U = U^*$  and  $y_2 \in V$  and  $y_2 \in Y$  then  $y_2 \in Y \cap V = V^*$  then  $U^*, V^*$  are  $iS^*$ - open sets from definition (2.4) then  $(Y, \tau_y)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space.

Theorem 4 . 20 : Every  $iS^*\tau_1$  -space is an  $iS^*\tau_0$  - space.

Proof: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space and let  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ .

Then there exists two  $iS^*$ - open sets  $U, V$  such that

$$x \in U \text{ and } y \notin U, y \in V \text{ and } x \notin V$$

This mean there exists  $iS^*$ - open set contain one point and not contain another, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_0$  - space.

The following example show that the converse of theorem (4.20) are not true in general .

Example 4.21 : let  $X = \{a, b\}$  ,  $\tau_x = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\} \}$

$iS^*O(X) = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\} \}$  , then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_0$  - space but not  $iS^*\tau_1$  - space .

Definition 4.22: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space if for any distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  there exists two disjoint  $iS^*$ - open sets  $U, V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .

Example 4 . 23 : Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  ,  $\tau_x = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \}$

$$iS^*O(X) = P(X)$$

then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space.

Since every open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open (closed) set, then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.24: Every  $\tau_2$  - space is an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space.

Proof: It is obvious.

The converse of theorem 4.24 may not be true in general.

The following example shows that

Example 4 . 25 : Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  ,  $\tau_x = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \}$

$iS^*O(X) = P(X)$  , Then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space but Not  $\tau_2$  - space.

Since every  $S^*$ - open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open (closed) set then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4 . 26 : Every  $S^*\tau_2$  - space is an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space

Proof : It is obvious

The following example show that the converse of theorem (4.26) are not true in general .

Example 4 . 27 : Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  ,  $\tau_x = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a, b\} \}$

$$S^*O(X) = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\} \}$$

$$iS^*O(X) = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\} \}$$

Then  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $iS^*\tau_2$  - space but Not  $S^*\tau_2$  - space.

Since every  $i$  - open (closed) set is an  $iS^*$ - open (closed) set then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.28 : Every  $i\tau_2$  - space is an

$iS^*T_2$  - space.

**Proof:** It is obvious.

The following example show that the converse of theorem 4.28 are not true in general.

**Example 4.29 :** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  ,  $T_x = \{ \emptyset, X \}$  ,  $iO(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$  ,  $iS^*O(X) = P(X)$

then  $(X, T_x)$  is an  $iS^*T_2$  - space but Not  $iT_2$  - space.

**Theorem 4.30** Let  $(X, T_x)$  be any  $iS^*T_2$  - space then every relative topological space  $(Y, T_y)$  of  $(X, T)$  is an  $iS^*T_2$  .

**Proof:** Let  $(Y, T_y)$  be relative topological space of  $(X, T_x)$  and let  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  such that  $y_1 \neq y_2$  . since  $Y \subseteq X$  so  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  .

But  $(X, T_x)$  is an  $iS^*T_2$  -space , then there exists tow disjoint  $iS^*$  - open set  $U, V$  in  $X$ , such that  $y_1 \in U$  and  $y_2 \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  then  $y_1 \in Y \cap U = U^*$  and  $y_2 \in Y \cap V = V^*$  and  $U^* \cap V^* = \emptyset$  then  $(Y, T_y)$  is an  $iS^*$ - space.

**Theorem 4.31** Every  $iS^*T_2$  - space is an  $iS^*T_1$ - space.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, T)$  be an  $iS^*T_2$  - space and let  $x, y$  be two distinct points in  $X$  since  $(X, T)$  is an  $iS^*T_2$  - space then there exists two  $iS^*$  - open sets  $U, V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$  then  $(X, T)$  is an  $iS^*T_1$  - space.

The following example show that the converse of theorem 4.31 are not true in general.

**Example 4. 32** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $T_x = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a, b\} \}$

Then  $iS^*O(X) = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\} \}$

Then  $(X, T_x)$  is an  $iS^*T_1$ - space but not  $iS^*T_2$  - space.

## Rferences

1. Amir , A . Mohammed , and omar , y . kahtab . 2012 . on  $i\alpha$  - opan sets . Al - Rafidain Journal of computer Sciences and Mathematics , vol . 9, No. 2 , PP . 219 - 228
2. Askander , S . w , 2011 . The property of Extended and non - Extended , Topological for semi - open ,  $\alpha$  -open and  $i$ - open sets with a pplication , M . Sc . Thesis , college Education , university of Mosul .
3. Levine .1963 . Semi - open sets and semi - continuity in topological spaces. Amer - math . monthly , 70: 36 - 41 .
4. AL-Meklaifi, s. 2002 , on new types of separation axioms . M . Sc . Thesis Department of mathematics , college of Education, AL - mustanSiriya University , PP : 34 - 58 .
5. Mahmood , I . S and Ibraheem , M . A . 2010 .  $S^*$  - Separation axioms . Iraqi Journal of Science , vol . 51 , No . 1 , PP . 145 - 153 .