

# Zooplanktons and Their Seasonal Variations of Kufa River / Euphrates

## Al-Ameen, F.A.; Al-Haidarey\*, M.J. and Albushabaa, S.H.H

\* Faculty of Science, Uni. of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq.E-mail: alhaidarey@gmail.com, Cellphone #: 00964-7801797329

### **Summary:**

Four sites were selected in Kufa River for Zooplankton sampling, the sampling was monthly (November 2011 – December 2012), samples were taken by zooplankton net (50 cm in diameter). The net was pulled at medium speed in each sample at the volume of water which passed through the net was estimated to be  $0.24 \text{ m}^3$ .

Ninety nine taxa of forty six genera belonging to three groups of zooplankton were identified, the Rotifera was the predominant group among the zooplankton, which comprised (41 %) included 13families and 56 taxa, then Cladocera (36%) included 6families and 33taxa, finally Copepoda (23%) included 4families and 10taxa, some biodiversity indexes were calculated too.

KEY WORDS: Rotifera, Copepoda, Cladocera, zooplankton, freshwater, Kufa River

## Introduction

Freshwater zooplankton consist mainly from Cladocera, Copepoda and Rotifera (Forró *et al.*,2008).Most zooplankton are microscopic animals (water invertebrates) floating or drifting. Zooplankton are one of the most important biotic components influencing all the functional aspects of an aquatic ecosystem, such as food chains, food webs, energy flow and cycling of matter(Park and Shin, 2007). They provide a direct link between primary producers and higher trophic levels such as fish. Nearly all fish depend on zooplankton for food during their larval phases, and some fish continue to eat zooplankton in their entire lives (Madin *et al.*, 2001). The aim of this study comes to investigation a check list of zooplankton in Al-Kufa River because there was not study about in this river.

## The Study Area

In Iraq, Euphrates River is divided into two main channels, Shatt Al-Hindiya and Shatt Al-Hilla. At Al-Kifl city, Shatt Al-Hindiya is subdivided into two parts: Al-Abassia and Al-Kufa river, the last one extends from Al-Kifl city via Al-Najaf province to Al-Diwania city. The water level in this river undergoes large fluctuations, there are domestic, municipal wastewater and agriculture drainage discharged to the river; in addition to the industrial wastes that come from: the industrial region in Al-Najaf city, all of above have affecting on aquatic organisms. (Arab,2012). For the purpose of this study,(4) stations have been chosen (Figure 1).

## Methodology:

Sample were collected monthly (November 2011 to December 2012) during the morning hours(8-12). For zooplankton studies, plankton net of 50cm diameter and 55  $\mu$ m mesh size was used. The net was towed behind a boat for10min, at speed (10m/min).The samples were fixed immediately with 4% formalin. As much as possible, identification of zooplankton was depend on many references (Pontin, 1978; Jordi, 2000; Smith,2001; & Thorp and Covich, 2010).



#### **Results and Discussion**

Ninety nine taxa of zooplankton were identified (table:1), *Colurella adriatica, Polyarthra dolichoptera, Leacne luna, Keratella cochlearis*, belonging to (Rotifera); *Bosmina longirostris, Bosmina coregoni* belonging to (Cladocera); and *Cyclop* belonging to (Copepoda) recorded with high frequencies arrived stability degree in the environment of Euphrates River commensurate with the precedent Iraqi studies(Al-Lami, *et al.*,1999; and Rabee,2010), they recorded high frequencies for these species in Iraqi environment. The number of taxa recorded during the study period are different from those who recorded in many Iraqi studies of various waters, such as Al Namrawi(2002) recorded 69 taxa in Euphrates River at the Qadisiya Dam; Rabee(2010) recorded 52 taxa in AL-Tharthar-Euphrates canal and Al Doori(2012) recorded 22 taxa in the artificial lake of Madenat Al-Alaab at Baghdad.

The differences in the number of taxa due to differences in the taxonomic aspects of relating to non-diagnosis of some taxonomic levels of genera and species or differences in environmental conditions and the nature of the studied ecosystems. The size of the mesh of the net played a large role in determining the quality and quantity of zooplankton, as pointed (Salman *et al.*, 1990). In this study, Cladocera represents by 6 families, Bosminidae was mainly dominated. While Copepoda represents by 4 families, Cyclopidae was mainly dominated and Rotifera represents by 13 families, Synchaetidae was mainly dominated. It seems that, the carrying capacity of these families to different environmental conditions at the existing stations helped to sovereignty (figures 2, 3, and 4).

The results, also showed that Rotifera constituted a large proportion of zooplankton in the study area(Figure: 5 and 6 ) due to possess distinct attributes such as high ability to reproduce and repeat parthenogenesis to its members, small size and the great diversity of feeding (Sampaio *et al.*, 2002). However the increase in the density of rotifers in summer corresponds to decrease in water level that concentrated rotifers in shallow waters, high temperature and intensity of sunlight during summer are some of the limiting factors that have been correlated with the growth and abundance of rotifers (Schroder and Schutt2005), further, because of the rise in abundance of phytoplankton as noted Mohammed, (2012)in Kufa river, creates an ideal habitat for growth of the rotifers (Anna and Natalia 2009), Rotifera decline in winter during extreme environmental conditions of winter, the rotifers are known to undergo diapauses ,this results agreed with Al-Doori (2012).

Cladocera was second group in Kufa river, which comprised 36 % of zooplankton (Figure: 5 and 6). The highest densities of Cladocera recorded in the study were during winter months, and there was a significant decrease during summer. These changes in the density may be linked with difference occurring in water temperature during the months, as explained (Gordo *et al.*, 1994) the temperature affect significantly in embryos and the level of proliferation of Cladocera, and as explained by the study( Mangalo and Akbar, 1988 ) the number of Cladocera increase in the water with high concentrations of oxygen in winter. The results showed that Copepoda comprised 23% of zooplankton (figure: 5 and 6 ). The appearance of this group and its disappearance depends on many environmental factors which can adapt itself to different environmental conditions such as high or low temperature or lack of food, their ability to select prey, avoiding contaminated food (Gretchen *et al.*,2006), also copepodid stages and adults are to undergo diapauses and this also explains the disappearance in some areas or months. This is in agreement with the results Rabee,(2010) and Al-Doori,(2012).

Figure1: Map of represents study stations on Al-Kufa river.

(Source Google earth).



Shannon index represented that summer had diversity more than winter, and station three was highest diversity, while station two was lowest diversity in winter season (figure: 7). Station three was more richness than other stations especially during spring and summer, while station one recorded lowest richness during winter comparative with others (figure: 8)

URL: http://www.uokufa.edu.iq/journals/index.php/ajb/index http://iasj.net/iasj?func=issues&jld=129&uiLanguage=en Email: biomgzn.sci@uokufa.edu.iq

77



Table(1):Shows that the taxa of zooplankton detected at river stations during the study period.

Group	Family	Таха	Stations of study			
			St.1	St.2	St.3	St.4
	Bosminidae	Bosmina goregoni	+	+	+	+
		Bosmina longirostris	+	+	+	+
		Bosmina sp.	-	-	+	-
	Chydoridae	Alona costata	+	-	+	-
		Alona guttata	+	+	+	+
		Alona monocanthar	+	-	-	-
		Alona rectangular	+	+	+	+
		Alona sp.	+	+	-	-
		Camptocercus rectirostris	+	+	+	+
		Camptocercus sp.	-	+	-	-
		Chydorus sp.	+	-	-	+
		Chydorus sphaericus	+	+	+	+
		Graptoleberis	-	-	+	+
		testudinaria				
		Leydigia				
		acanthocercoides	-	-	+	-
		Pleuroxus sp.	+	-	+	+
Cladacama		Pleuroxus striatus	-	-	+	-
Clauocera	Daphniidae	Ceriodaphnia sp.	+	+	+	+
		Ceriodaphnia reticulata	+	+	+	+
		Daphnia	-	+	-	-
		Daphnia laevis	+	-	-	-
		Daphnia longiremis	-	-	+	-
		Daphnia longispina	-	-	-	+
		Daphnia pulex	+	+	-	+
		Daphnia similis	-	+	-	-
		Simocephalus	+	-	-	-
		Simocephalus expinosus	+	+	+	+
		Simocephalus vetulus	+	+	+	+
	Hyocryptidae	Hyocryptus sordidus	+	+	+	+
	Macrothricidae	Macrothrix hirsuticornis	-	-	+	-
	Sididae	Macrothrix laticornis	+	+	-	+
		Macrothrix rosea	+	-	+	-
		Diaphanosoma		<u>т</u>		
		brachyurum	+	+	+	+
		Latonopsis occidentalis	-	+	+	+
Copepoda	Canthocamptidae	Attbeyella sp.	+	+	+	+
		Bryocamptus sp.	-	-	-	+
	Centropagidae	Limnocalanus sp.	+	-	-	-
	Cyclopidae	Cyclops sp.	+	+	+	+
		Cyclops strenuous	+	+	+	+
		Eucyclops sp.	-	-	+	-
		Halicyclops	+	+	-	+
		Macrocyclops sp.	+	+	+	+
	Diaptomidae	Diaptomus sp.	+	+	+	+
		Harpacticoid genus	+	+	+	-
		Nauplius	+	+	+	-
		Copepodite	+	+	+	+



Table(1) continued .

Group	Family	Таха	Stations of study			
			st.1	St.2	St.3	St.4
	Asplanchnidae	Asplanchna periodontal	+	-	-	-
		Brachionus angularis	+	-	-	+
	Brachionidae	Brachionus calyciflorus	+	+	+	+
		Brachionus falcatus	+	+	-	-
		Brachionus havanaensis	+	+	+	+
Rotifera		Brachionus plicatilis	+	+	+	+
		Brachionus qudridentata	-	+	-	-
		Brachionus sp.	+	-	+	+
		Kellicottia longispina	-	-	-	+
		keratella cochlaeris	+	+	+	+
		Keratella quadrata	+	+	+	-
		Keratella serrulata	-	+	-	+
		Keratella testudo	+	+	+	+
		Keratella tropica	-	-	+	-
		Keratella valga	+	+	+	+
		Manfredium eudactvtotum	-	-	+	+
		Notholca sauamula	+	-	+	-
		Platvias auadricornis	+	-	-	-
		Colurella adriactica	+	+	+	+
		Colurella obtuse	+	+	+	+
		Colurella uncinata	-	+	-	+
	Colurellidae	Lengdella astacicola	-	-	_	-
		Lepadella ovalis	т	-	-	-
		Lepadella patella	т -	-	-	-
		Euchlanis deflexa	+	Ŧ	+	+
		Euchianis deflexa	+	-	+	+
	E 11 11	Euchianis analala	+	+	+	-
	Euchlanidae	Euchlanis pyriformis	-	-	+	-
		Euchlanis sp.	-	-	-	+
		Euchlanis triquetra	-	+	-	-
	Filiniidae	Filinia longiseta	+	-	-	-
		Filinia passa	+	-	-	-
	Hexarthridae	Hexarthra mira	+	-	-	-
	Lecanidae	Lecane luna	+	-	-	+
		Monostyla bulla	+	+	+	+
		Monostyla closterocerca	+	+	+	+
		Monostyla lunaris	+	+	+	+
		Monostyla quadridentata	-	+	-	-
		Monostyla sp.	+	+	+	+
	Notommatidae	Cephalodella exigua	-	+	-	+
		Cephalodella gibba	+	+	+	-
		Cephalodella megalocephala	+	-	-	+
		Cephalodella sp.	+	+	+	+
		Monommata grandis	+	-	+	-
	Philodinidae	Philodina roseola	+	+	+	+
	Proalidae	Proales sp.	-	+	-	-
	Synchaetidae	Polyarthra dolichoptera	+	+	+	+
		Polyarthra vulgaris	+	+	+	+
		Synchaeta	+	+	+	+
		Synchaeta oblonga	-	-	+	-
	Trichocercidae	Trichocerca elongata	+	+	+	+
		Trichocerca longiseta	+	-	-	+
		Trichocerca porcellus	+	+	+	+
		Trichocerca pusilla	+	+	-	-
		Trichocerca rousseleti	-	-	+	-
	Trichotriidae	Macrochaetus subqadratus	+	-	-	-
		Trichotria tetractis	+	+	+	+
		rotifera unknown	-	+	+	-



Figure 2:Numberof individual/Lof each family in group of Cladocera



Figure 3: Number of individual /L of each family in group of Copepoda.







URL: http://www.uokufa.edu.iq/journals/index.php/ajb/index http://iasj.net/iasj?func=issues&jId=129&uiLanguage=en Email: biomgzn.sci@uokufa.edu.iq 80



Figure 5: Seasonal variation of total individual of each group of zooplankton

## . Figure 6:Percentage of zooplankton in period of study.







Figure 7: Shannon index in season of study

. Figure 8: Richness index in period of study.



## References

- Al Namrawi, A. M. (2002).Effect of Qadisiya Dam on Some Ecological Factors in Euphrates River with Reference to Zooplankton and Benthic Invertebrates. M.SC. Thesis, Science Collage, Baghdad University. (in Arabic).

URL: http://www.uokufa.edu.iq/journals/index.php/ajb/index http://iasj.net/iasj?func=issues&jld=129&uiLanguage=en Email: biomgzn.sci@uokufa.edu.iq 82



- Al-Doori, M.L. (2012). Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Zooplankton Community in Madinat Al-Alab Lake at Baghdad. *J.* Ibn Al-Haitham. For Pure & Appl. Sci. 25(3):45-61p.
- Al-Lami, A. A. Mangalo, H. H. Abdul -Kareem, T. K. and Abbas, E.K.(1999).Zooplankton occurrence in Euphrates river, Sci. J. I.A.E.C.,1:74-82.
- Anna, B. and Natalia K. (2009). Biomedical and life sciences. J. Biologia. 1100-1107.
- Arab, K.A. (2012). Evaluation of Some Chemical , Physical Properties and Some Metallic Contents of Drinking Water in Kufa City Center. M.SC. Thesis. Univ. of Kufa.
- Forró, L. Korovchinsky, N. M. Kotov, A. A. and Petrusek, A. (2008). Global diversity of Cladocerans(Cladocera; Crustacea) in freshwater, Hydrobiologia, 595:177-184.
- Gordo, T.; Lubián, L.M. and Cańavate, J.P. (1994). Influence of temperature on growth reproduction of growth longivity of Moina salina Dady, 1988 (cladocera, Moinidae). J. Plankton Res., 16(11): 1513-1523
- Gretchen K. B., Martin G. & Kevin V. B. (2006). Toxicity of silver, zinc, copper, and nickel to the copepod Acartia tonsa exposed via a phytoplankton diet .J. Environmental Science & Technology, 40 (6): 2063–2068.
- Jordi d. M. B.(2000). The Rotifers of Spanish reservoir: Ecological, systematical and zoogeographical Remarks. Limnetica, 19:91-167.
- Madin, L. P. Horgan, E. F. and Steinberg, D. K. (2001). Zooplankton at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) station: diel, seasonal and interannual variation in biomass, 1994-1998. Deep-Sea Res. 48(8-9): 2063-2082.
- Mangalo, H.H. and Akbar, M.M. (1988). Correlation between Physico-chemical factors and population density of cladocera in the Tigris and Diyala River at Baghdad- Iraq. J. Environ. Sci. Health A., 19(1): 117-128.
- Mohammed , Z. A.(2012). Ecological study Of Phytoplankton In Al-Kufa River/Euphrates. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education for Girls ,University of Al-Kufa. (In Arabic).
- Park, K.S. and Shin, H.W. (2007). Studies on phyto-and-zooplankton composition and its relation to fish productivity in a west coast fish pond ecosystem. J. Environ. Biol., 28: 415-422.
- Pontin, R. M.(1978). A Key to the Freshwater Planktonic and Semiplanktonic Rotifera of the British Isles. Freshwater biological association scientific publication No.38.
- Rabee, A.M. (2010). The effect of Al-Tharthar-Euphratescancl on the quantitative and qualitative composition of zooplankton in Euphrates river. J. Al-Nahrain University, 13 (3): 120-128.
- Salman,S.D.; Marina, B.A. and Ali, M.H. (1990). The zooplankton of Khor Abdullah, North-West Arabian Gulf. Marina Mesopotomica,5(1):1126.



- Sampaio, E.V.; Rocha, O.; Matsumura-Tunddisi, T. and Tundisi, J.G. (2002). Composition and abundance of zooplankton in the limnetic zone of seven reservoirs of the paranapanema River, Brazil. Brazil. J. Biol., 62(3). 21 p.
- Schroder, T. and Schutt, F.(2005). Analytische Plankton studien. Lipsius and Tischer, Hydrobiologia, 546: 291-306.
- Smith .D. G. (2001).Pennaks' freshwater Invertebrates of the United States. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Porifera to crustacean.
- Thorp, J and Covich, A. (2010). Ecology and Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates.3<sup>ed</sup>ed. Elsevier, New York.