

Some Results on Epiform Modules.

Basil .A. AL-Hashimi*

Muna .A. Ahmed **

* University of Baghdad - College of Science,

** University of Baghdad - College Of Science for Women.



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 3 / 1 /2010
Accepted: 14 / 11 /2010
Available online: 14/6/2012
DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2010.15629

Keywords:

monoform modules,
rational submodules,
essential submodules
polyform modules,
copolyform modules,
hollow modules ry.

ABSTRACT

The concept of epiform modules is a dual of the notion of monoform modules. In this work we give some properties of this class of modules. Also, we give conditions under which every hollow (copolyform) module is epiform.

1. Introduction:

Let R be an associative ring with 1, and let M be a unitary (left) R -module. A non-zero module M is called monoform, if every non-zero homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$ with N a submodule of M , is a monomorphism [1]. In [2] we introduced the dual of this concept which we call epiform modules. In this paper we study some properties of this class of modules, and we give characterizations of this concept, we start by the following definition.

Definition (1.1): [2] A nonzero R - module M is called epiform module if every nonzero homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$ with K a proper submodule of M is an epimorphism.

Remark (1.2): If a module M is epiform, then every non zero endomorphism of M is an epimorphism.

Examples (1.3):

Every simple module is epiform.

Z_{p^∞} as Z - module is epiform. In fact, every almost finitely generated module is an epiform module, where an R - module M is called almost finitely generated if M is not finitely generated and every proper submodule of M is finitely generated [3], and the result follows from the following propositions [4, 1.4 and 1.7].

2. Basic results of epiform modules :

In this section we give some properties of epiform modules, and we give conditions under which every hollow module is epiform.

We prove in [2] that if $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism with M epiform module, then M' is epiform module.

Now we have the following direct consequence:

Remark (2.1): A direct summand of an epiform module is epiform.

Remark (2.2): The direct sum of epiform modules is not epiform module. In fact both of the modules Z_2 and Z_3 are epiform modules, but Z_6 which is isomorphic to $Z_2 \oplus Z_3$ is not.

A submodule N of an R -module M is called small submodule of M (denoted by $N \ll M$), if $N+L \neq M$ for every proper submodule L of M [5], and a nonzero module M is called a hollow module if every proper submodule of M is a small submodule of M [6].

Note that not every nonzero module has a submodule which is epiform module. For example, the Z -module Z does not contain an epiform module.

The following proposition deals with the existences of epiform modules in nonzero Artinian modules.

Proposition (2.3): Let M be a nonzero Artinian module, then M has a submodule which is an epiform.

Proof: Let N be a nonzero submodule of M . If N is epiform, then we are done. Otherwise there exists a proper submodule K_1 of N and a nonzero

* Corresponding author at: University of Baghdad - College Of Science for Women.Iraq.E-mail address: muna1965007@yahoo.com

homomorphism $f_1: N \rightarrow \frac{N}{K_1}$ with $f_1(N) = \frac{N_1}{K_1} \neq \frac{N}{K_1}$ for some proper submodule N_1 of N which contains K_1 properly. Now, if N_1 is epiform, we are through, otherwise there exists a proper submodule K_2 of K_1 and a nonzero homomorphism $f_2: N_1 \rightarrow \frac{N_1}{K_2}$ with $f_2(N_1) = \frac{N_2}{K_2} \neq \frac{N_1}{K_2}$ for some proper submodule N_2 of N_1 which contains K_2 properly. If we continue in this way we will arrive at an epiform submodule of M in a finite number of steps, for otherwise there exists an infinite descending chain:

$$N \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \dots$$

of submodules of M , contrary to our assumption.

Corollary (2.4): Let M be a nonzero Artinian module, then M has a submodule which is hollow.

It was shown in [2] that every epiform module is hollow module but the converse is not true, for example the Z -module Z_4 is a hollow module but it is not epiform module. However, the converse is true under certain conditions as the next two propositions shows. Before that, Let us recall that an R -module M is called noncosingular module if for any nonzero module N and for every nonzero homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Im } f$ is not a small submodule of N [7].

Proposition (2.5): Let M be a hollow noncosingular module, then M is epiform module.

Proof: Let M be a hollow noncosingular module. Let

$f: M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$ be a nonzero homomorphism with K a proper submodule of M . But M is noncosingular module thus $f(M)$ is not a small submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$.

Also since M is a hollow module, then $\frac{M}{K}$ is a hollow module [7], thus $f(M) = \frac{M}{K}$, and we are done.

An R -module M is called cosemisimple if $\text{Rad}(\frac{M}{K}) = 0$, for all submodules K of M [8].

Proposition (2.6): Every hollow cosemisimple module is epiform module.

Proof: Let M be a hollow cosemisimple module,

and let $f: M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$ be a nonzero homomorphism with K a proper submodule of M . If $f(M) \neq \frac{M}{K}$, then since $\frac{M}{K}$ is a hollow module, then $f(M)$ is a small

submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$, and hence $f(M) \subseteq \text{Rad}(\frac{M}{K})$. But M is cosemisimple module, this implies that $f(M) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore f is an epimorphism.

3. Small cover of epiform modules :

We prove in [2] that a homomorphic image of epiform module is epiform module. In this section we give conditions under which the converse of this statement is true.

Definition (3.1): [9] A module M is called a small cover for a module N , if there exists an epimorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\ker \phi$ is small submodule of M .

Proposition (3.2): Let M a small cover of N . If N is a hollow module and M is cosemisimple module then M is epiform module.

Proof: Let $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ be a small cover of N , then By the first isomorphism theorem, $\frac{M}{\ker \phi} \cong N$.

Since N is a hollow module then $\frac{M}{\ker \phi}$ is hollow module. On the other hand $\ker \phi \ll M$ implies that M is hollow module [6]. But M is cosemisimple module, so by (2.6), we get the result.

Corollary (3.3): Let M be cosemisimple small cover of N . Then M is epiform module if and only if N is epiform module.

Theorem (3.4): Let M be a noncosingular small cover of a hollow module N , then M is an epiform module.

Proof: Since M is a small cover of N , then there exists an epimorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ with $\ker f \ll M$. By

the first isomorphism theorem, $\frac{M}{\ker f} \cong N$.

Since N is a hollow module then $\frac{M}{\ker f}$ is hollow module. On the other hand $\ker f \ll M$ implies that M is hollow module [6]. But M is noncosingular module, so by (2.5), we get the result.

Corollary (3.5): Let M be a noncosingular small cover of a module N . Then M is epiform if and only if N is epiform module.

4 Epiform modules and copolyform module :

In this section we give conditions under which a copolyform module is epiform. We start by the definition of copolyform modules.

Definition (4.1): [6] An R-module M is called copolyform if $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{N}{\kappa}) = 0$ for all submodule N of M with $K \subseteq N \ll M$.

We prove in [2] that every epiform module is copolyform. The converse is false, to see this, just take Z as Z - module which is a copolyform module, but it is not epiform, since the homomorphism $f : Z \rightarrow \frac{Z}{6Z}$ defined by $f(n) = 3n + 6Z$ for all $n \in Z$ is not epimorphism. In the following proposition we give a condition under which the converse of this statement is true.

Proposition (4.2): Every hollow copolyform module is epiform module.

Proof: Let N be a proper submodule of M. Since M is hollow module, then N is a small submodule of M. But M is copolyform module, thus $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{N}{\kappa}) = 0$ for all $K \leq N$, and hence for every proper submodule N of M we have $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{N}{\kappa}) = 0$. This implies that any nonzero homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow \frac{M}{L}$ where L is a proper submodule of M must be an epimorphism. Thus M is an epiform module.

As a corollary of (4.2) we have the following.

Corollary (4.3): Let M be a copolyform module such that every nonzero factor module of M is indecomposable. Then M is epiform module.

Proof: Since every nonzero factor module of M

is indecomposable then M is a hollow module [10]. But M is copolyform module, so by (4.2), M is epiform module

References

- [1]. Storrer, H.H. (1972), On Goldman's primary decomposition, in lecture note in math., Vol.246, P.617-661, Springer-Verlag. Berlin-Heidelberg, new York.
- [2] Ahmed, M. (2006), Copolyform modules, Ph.D thesis, College of science, University of Baghdad.
- [3]. Weakley, W.D. (1983), Modules whose proper submodules are finitely generated, J. Algebra, 84,189-219.
- [4]. Abdulrazak B. (1993). Almost finitely generated modules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad
- [5]. Kasch F. (1982), Modules and rings, Academic Press Inc. London.
- [6]. Fleury, P.P. (1974), Hollow modules and local endomorphism rings, Pacific J.Math., 53, 379-385.
- [7]. Lomp, C. (1996), On dual Goldie dimension, Diplomarbeit (M.Sc. Thesis), University of Düsseldorf, Germany.
- [8]. Anderson F.W. and Fuller K.R. (1992), Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [9]. Lomp C.(1999), On semi local modules and rings, Comm. Algebra. 27, 1921-1935.
- [10]. Wisbauer R. (1991), Foundations of modules and rings theory, Gordan and Breach Reading.

بعض النتائج حول مقاسات الصيغة الشاملة

منى عباس احمد

باسل عطا الهاشمي

E-mail: muna1965007@yahoo.com

الخلاصة

إن مقاسات الصيغة الشاملة هي رديف لمقاسات الصيغة المتباينة. في هذا البحث سندرس بعض خواص هذا النوع من المقاسات ونعطي شروطاً بموجبها تكون المقاسات المجوفة (المقاسات المتعددة الصيغ المضادة) هي من مقاسات الصيغة الشاملة. الكلمات المفتاحية: المقاسات التشاكلية المتباينة، المقاسات الجزئية الجوهرية، المقاسات الجزئية النسبية، المقاسات المتعددة الصيغ، المقاسات المضادة والمقاسات المجوفة.