Comparison of the Effects of Spinal Anaesthesia Vs General Anaesthesia on Apgar Score in Neonates Born after Elective Caesarean Section

Hassan Sarhan Haider, Faez Ahmed Mahdi, Mohammed Abbas Kadhim

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

Delivery of baby by caesarean section has become increasingly common, Caesarean section can be performed under general or regional anaesthesia like spinal or epidural technique, and both have advantages and disadvantages. It is important to clarify what type of anaesthesia is safest for the mother and baby.

OBJECTIVE:

To compare the effect of spinal anaesthesia versus general anaesthesia on Apgar score of neonates born by elective caesarean section in Baghdad teaching hospital.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

The study was carried out in Baghdad teaching hospital from February 2011 to July 2011 .this study was performed on 60 women presenting for Elective lower segment caesarean section .thirty mothers were given general anaesthesia and other 30 mothers received spinal anaesthesia. The Apgar score was recorded at1 minute and 5 minute interval after each delivery. **RESULTS:**

Out of 30 mothers, who received general anaesthesia, 25 patients (83.3%) give birth to neonates having Apgar score ≤ 6 at one minute after birth and the remaining 5 neonates (16.7%) had Apgar score of ≥ 7 .

On the other hand out of 30 mothers who received spinal anaesthesia only 10 mothers give birth to neonate having Apgar score ≤ 6 at one minute after birth, who improved at 5 minutes interval, and their Apgar score were ≥ 7 .

It had been found that those neonates who were born under G.A were ten folds more likely to have Apgar score less than or equal to 6 at first minute compared to those with spinal anaesthesia, the odds ratio=10 and 95% confidence interval of the odds ratio (2.94-34) and p=0.00024 which is highly significant, G.A had greater risk on infant at the first minute.

CONCLUSION:

There is a significant difference between the effects of general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia on Apgar score of neonate one minute after delivery of full term neonate by elective caesarean section, but there is no significant difference between the effect of general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia on Apgar score 5minutes after birth.

KEY WORDS: general anaesthesia, spinal anaesthesia, apgar score, elective caesarean section

INTRODUCTION:

Obstetric anaesthesia is a demanding but gratifying subspecialty of anaesthesiology and it requires special skills because two lives are involved. Although most patients undergoing caesarean section are young and healthy, they represent a high risk group of patients. Spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section was

Dep. of anaesthesia and I.C.U. Baghdad Teaching Hospital,Medical City Complex. introduced by Bier in 1898⁽¹⁾, Braun was also the first to add epinephrine to prolong the action o local anaesthesia ^{(1).}

The choice of anaesthesia for caesarean section depends upon indication for operation, its urgency ,patient and obstetricians preferences and skill of anaesthetist⁽¹⁾ Either of general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia is not ideal for caesarean section because each has advantage and risk to both mother and foetus^(2,3). However the aim of anaesthetist is to choose the method which

is safest and most comfortable for the mother, least depressant to the newborn and which provides optimal working conditions for

obstetrician ⁽⁴⁾ .outcome of anaesthesia either spinal or general depends upon the condition of the mother and more importantly effects on newborn. Apgar score is best parameter to assess the immediate condition of the baby ^(2,5); it is performed one and five minutes after delivery. Apgar score:

It's the first test done to the newborn birth in the delivery or birthing room. The test is simple and repeatable method to quickly and summarily assess the health of newborn physical condition immediately after delivery and to determine any immediate need for extra medical or emergency care. The Apgar score was developed in 1952 by anaesthesiologist named Virginia Apgar⁽⁶⁾

The Apgar test is usually done to the baby twice: once at one minute after birth, and again at 5 minutes after birth. Rarely ,if there are concerns about the baby condition and the first two scores are low, less than 7, the scoring is also performed at 10, 15and 20 minutes after delivery⁽⁷⁾

Five factors are used to evaluate the baby's condition and each factor is scored on a scale of 0 to 2, with 2 being the best score for each: Activity and muscle tone, Pulse, Grimace response, Appearance and Respiration.

Scores obtainable are between 0- 10, with 10 is highest possible score. There are many factors that affect Apgar sore including false positive and false negative score⁽⁸⁾

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

This study was carried out in Baghdad teaching hospital from February 2011 to July 2011, and was performed on 60 healthy full term mothers presenting for elective lower segment caesarean section ,thirty mothers were given general anaesthesia and other 30 mothers received Spinal anaesthesia, the Apgar scores were recorded at 1 minute and 5 minute interval after each delivery .Total 60 mothers were included and a written consent was taken from each patient.

Method for General anaesthesia: history was taken from all patients in the suite which include age, parity, duration of pregnancy and any complicating maternal health history, anaesthesia related obstetric history, blood pressure measurement, and airway assessment. An18gauge intravenous catheter is employed. All women managed with intravenous fluid (usually lactated ringer), blood was prepared for all patents, and patient was placed in supine position with wedge under right hip for left uterine displacement .Monitoring was for pulse, N.I.B.P., oxygen saturation and all patients were considered to have empty stomach and to be at risk of pulmonary aspiration. Patients was pre oxygenated for 3-5minutes, induction was done with Inj.thiopentone 4mg/kg body weight

iv,inj.Succinyl choline 1mg/kg ioody weight iv,inj.Succinyl choline 1mg/kg iv. After endotracheal intubation.100%oxygen and0.5%halothane inhalation was given each time. General anaesthesia was maintained with non-depolarizing muscle (atracuruim). Patients were selected from age range 18 to 37years, with full term live single pregnancy. Their informed written consent and anaesthesia fitness report was also taken for inclusion.

Exclusion criteria: the following patients were excluded: Premature pregnancy <37weeks of gestation, Liver, heart or kidney failure associated with pregnancy, Uncontrolled metabolic disorder(diabetes Mellitus, hypertension, thyrotoxicosis), And Multiple foetus pregnancy.

The study population was full term hospitalized pregnant women registered for caesarean section. Spinal anaesthesia:

Like in group one, history was taken from patients specially about back surgery, bleeding tendency, valvular heart disease , pre-existing neurological deficits, blood pressure, pulse rate, examination was done and back chest examination for any deformity or back surgery at site o injection, two 18 gauge intravenous catheters employed, 500-1000ml are of crystalloid solution was preloaded then patient was placed in sitting position and space between 3rd and 4th lumbar spine was identified and marked. After taking all aseptic measure lumbar puncture was done with 22 gauge size spinal needle and hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5%. 2.5ml(12.5mg) was administered. Immediately after injection of Bupivacaine, patient was placed in supine position with wedge under right hip for left uterine displacement. Monitoring was for pulse, N.I.B.P., oxygen saturation. The following was recorded during every caesarean section under general or spinal anaesthesia: time of induction, time of incision to skin, time of incision to uterus, time of delivering the baby. We consider that every foetus should deliver with

SPINAL ANAESTHESIA VS GENERAL ANAESTHESIA

less than 10 minutes after induction of GA.

Recording of Apgar score: In this study, Apgar score of all 60 neonates were recorded at 1minute and 5 minutes after delivery. Birth weight of each baby was recorded, Apgar score of each baby was compared with standard Apgar score chart.

Statistical analysis: By using SPSS(statistical package for social sciences) software for window V.18.US, data of all cases were entered and

analyzed; descriptive analytic statistic were performed using appropriate statistical tests. Age and weight were expressed as (Mean \pm SD). Student (t) test was used to compare these continuous variables and the mean of both groups. All data were presented as tables, graphs or paragraph. In all statistical procedures and tests P value set at ≤ 0.05 to be consider as significant.

RESULTS:

For all studied 60 mothers, the mean age of the mothers was (29.03 ± 4.8) , and the mean birth weight of neonate was (3.1 ± 0.16) . There was no significant difference in age of the mothers nor had the birth weight of neonates been found in between two groups.(*P. value* >0.05), table(1)

Variables		anaesthesia		All cases	P .value
		GA group	Spinal group		
mother age	Mean±SD(year)	29.03±4.8	28.33±4.8	28.7±4.75	0.59
	Ranger (year)	19-37	18-37	18-37	
Neonates'	Mean± SD(kg)	3.1±0.16	30.7 ± 0.18	3.06± 0.17	0.57
Bitti weight	Ranges (kg)	2.8-3.5	2.8-3.5	2.8-3.5	

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of studied group.

Out of 30 patients who received general anaesthesia, 25 patient (83.3%) give birth to neonate having apgar score ≤ 6 at one minute after birth and the remaining 5 neonates (16.7%) had apgar score of \geq 7. At 5 minutes, twenty five neonates with low Apgar score at one minute were improved after resuscitation and showed Apgar score of \geq 7.

On the other hand out of 30 patients who received spinal anaesthesia, only 10 patients give birth to neonate having Apgar score ≤ 6 at one minute after birth, who improved at 5 minute interval, and their apgar score were ≥ 7 . The Apgar score at 5 minutes of all 30 neonates, in spinal anaesthesia group, was ≥ 7 .

It had been found that those infants who were born under GA were ten folds more likely to have Apgar score less than or equal to 6 at one minute compared to those with spinal anaesthesia, the odds ratio=10 and 95% confidence interval of the odds ratio (2.94-34) and p=0.00024 which is highly significant, G.A had greater risk on infant at the one minute, table (2)

Table 2: Apgar score at one minute and type of a	anaesthesia.
--	--------------

		Apgar score at 1min				D 1
Anaesthesia		≤6	≥7	Total	Odd ratio	P. value
GA	Count	25	5	30	10	0.00024
	% within anaesthesia	83.3%	16.7%	100%		
Spinal	Count	10	20	30		
	% within anaesthesia	33.3%	66.7%	100%		
Total	Count	35	25	60		
	% within anaesthesia	58.3%	41.7%	100%		



Figure 1 Apgar score and anaesthesia type distribution.

DISCUSSION:

The Apgar score is a practical method of systemically assessing newborn infants immediately after birth to help identify those requiring resuscitation and to predict survival in neonatal period. The 1 min. Apgar score may signal the need for immediate resuscitation, and the 5, 10, 15 and 20min.score may indicate the probability of successfully resuscitating an infant. A low score may be due to a number of factors, including drugs given to the mother during labour, caesarean section under general anaesthesia and immaturity⁽⁸⁾.

Delivery of baby by caesarean section has become increasingly common, and both general and spinal anaesthesia have certain advantages and disadvantages, but regional anaesthesia has become the preferred technique because general anaesthesia associated with higher maternal mortality and foetal depression⁽¹⁾. Death associated with general anaesthesia are generally related to airway problem, such as inability to intubate , inability to ventilate or aspiration pneumonitis, large population studies in Great Britain and in the United State have shown that regional anaesthesia for caesarean section is associated with less maternal morbidity and mortality than general anaesthesia⁽¹⁾, whereas death associated with regional anaesthesia are generally related to excessively high neural blockade or local anaesthetic toxicity(¹⁾.however no technique is ideal for caesarean sections and both general and spinal anaesthesia have certain advantages and disadvantages⁽²⁾ therefore opinion remain divided whether regional block offers any real advantage over general anaesthesia to both

mother and baby during elective section delivery.

Apgars⁽⁹⁾ was amongst the first to report that babies delivered by Caesarean section under spinal block were, in general, more vigorous at birth than those whose mothers had cyclopropane. Several workers report a marginal improvement in one-minute Apgar scores in infants delivered by Caesarean section under epidural block ^(10,11,12), but others have found no difference^{.(13,14)}

A Study done by Aftab Imtiaz and others at Abbasi Shaheed Hospital from March 2009 to July 2009, conclude that There is no significant difference between the affects of general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia on Apgar score of neonates at 5 minutes interval, born after full term elective caesarean section of healthy patients Present anaesthetic techniques, however limit the dose of intravenous agents such that fetal depression is usually not clinically significant with general anaesthesia and recommended that spinal anaesthesia is safe for caesarean section of healthy patients⁽¹⁵⁾ The result of this study was corresponding to our result i.e. there is significant difference only at one minute after delivery

Other study was done by Therese K. Abboud, MD,*et al*,2005, Los Angeles, California. The result of this study was that the Neonates delivered with general anaesthesia had scored significantly lower on some of the test items than neonates delivered by spinal anaesthesia at one minute after delivery ⁽¹⁶⁾.

Lalitha Krishnan et al studied two groups of

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAI 485

SPINAL ANAESTHESIA VS GENERAL ANAESTHESIA

patients, one received general anaesthesia and other spinal anaesthesia and found that no significant difference was seen in the mean 1 minute Apgar scores in the two groups, however more neonates of the general anaesthesia group appeared depressed soon after birth, needing free flow of oxygen and bag and mask ventilation.⁽¹²⁾

There are different opinions about the ideal time at which the fetus should be delivered after induction of anaesthesia. Barter was the first to emphasize that parturient woman should be prepped and draped before induction of general anaesthesia. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Many workers have recommended that delivery is best completed 6-8 minutes after induction of general anaesthesia as nitrous oxide could cause neonatal depression by diffusion through the placenta. ^(18, 19)

Datta et al observed that in absence of hypotension there is no change in Apgar scores or acid base status with prolonged induction to delivery interval in spinal anaesthesia.

Morgan describe long skin incision to –delivery time more than 8 minutes and uterine-incision-to delivery time more than 180 seconds have been associated with foetal hypoxia and acidosis regardless of the type of anaesthesia. In another study observed that Apgar scores of neonates whose mothers received general anaesthesia were lower than neonates whose mothers received spinal anaesthesia. ⁽²⁰⁾

So widely believed that spinal anaesthesia is safest anaesthesia for newborn and mother, the reasons behind this is less neonatal exposure to depressant drugs, a decrease risk of maternal pulmonary aspiration, an awake mother at birth time, the option of using spinal opioid for postoperative pain relief and it is easy to perform, rapid with more intense block⁽¹⁾.

CONCLUSION:

There is significant difference between the effects of general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia on Apgar score of neonates at one minute after delivery of full term neonate by elective caesarean section, but there is no significant difference between the effect of general and spinal anaesthesia on Apgar score of neonates at 5minute interval.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

From our study it is recommended that spinal anaesthesia is safe for caesarean section of healthy patient and more safe for newborn delivery than general anaesthesia at one minute assessment of Apgar score & more comfortable for the mother. It is preferable to do caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia, to avoid intravenous agents which cause foetal depression at one minute after delivery. **REFERENCES:**

1. G.Edward Morgan, J.R.,Maged S.Mikhail. spinal Epidural &caudal block: clinical Anaesthesiology, 2nd edition, alang medical book 1996 :902:300:291:301.

- **2.** Wu CL. Analysis on doula parturition method in clinical practice field. Henan J Prev Med 2006-05.
- **3.** Kattwinkel J, editor. Textbook of Neonatal resuscitation.4th ed. USA: American Association and American Academy of Pediatrics; 2000.
- **4.** Drowning JW, Houlton PC, Baeclay A. Extra dural anaesthesia for cesarean section: a comparison with general anaesthesia. Br Anaesth 1997;51:390-94.
- 5. Dutta S, Ostheimer GW, Weiss JB. Neonatal effect of prolonged induction for cesarean section. Obstet Gynecol 1981;58:331-35.
- **6.** Apgar V. Proposal for new method of evaluation of newborn infant. Anesth Analg 1953;32:260-67
- 7. Brian A.Hall , Robert C. Chantigian. Anaesthesia , A comprehensive review: 4th edition: 223.
- **8.** Klieyman Behrman ,Jenson Stanton .Nelson Text book of paediatrics : 18 edtion,2007;94:679.
- **9.** Apgar V, Holadady A, Jamesl S, Prince CE, Weisbrot IM .Comparison of regional and general anesthesia in obstetrics. With special reference to transmission of cyclopropane across the placenta. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1957; 165 2155
- **10.** Shniders M, Levinson *G* . *Anaesthesia for obstetrics.* Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1979.
- **11.** Sykes *GS*, Molloy PM, Johnson P, Gu W, Ashworth F, Stirrat GM, Turnbull AC. Do Apgar scores indicate asphyxia? *Lancet* 1982;1:494-96.
- **12.** Downing JW , Houlton PC , Barclay A . Extradural analgesia for caesarean section: a comparison with general anaesthesia. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 1979;51:367-73.

SPINAL ANAESTHESIA VS GENERAL ANAESTHESIA

- **13.** Fisher J T, Mortola JP, Smith B, Fox GS, Weeks SK. Neonatal pattern of breathing following caesarean section: epidural versus general anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1983; 59:385-89.
- **14.** Fox GS, Smith JB, Namba Y, Johnson RC. Anaesthesia for caesarean section: further studies. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecoiogy* 1979;133:15-19.
- **15.** Aftab Imtiaz, Sultan Mustafa .et al ,2009.origional article effect of spinal and general anaesthesia on Apgar score in neonates born after elective caesarean section. JLUMHS september-december 2010;9:151-54.
- 16. AnaesthesiaandAnalgesiawww.Anaesthesia-analgesia.org1.Aand AOctober 1985 vol 64no.10 996-1000.
- **17.** American Academy of Pediatrics, American Heart Association. Textbook of neonatal resuscitation.4th. ed. Elk Grove Village, American Academy of Pediatrics, 2000
- **18.** Ehrenkranz RA, Wright LL, editors. Highlights from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Semin Perinatol 2003;23:335-48.
- **19.** Niermeyer S, Keenan W. Resuscitation of the newborn infant. In: Klaus MH, Fanaroff AA, editorss. Care of high-risk neonate. 5th ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2001:45-61.
- **20.** Sultana A. Effect of Type of Anaesthesia on neonatal outcome. Annals 2004;9:552-56.