

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

Abdulrahman H. Majeed

Department of Mathematics
College of Science
Baghdad University, Iraq
e-mail: ahmajeed6@yahoo.com

Mushreq I. Meften

Department of Mathematics
College of Science
Baghdad University, Iraq
e-mail: mushreq.meften@yahoo.com

Abstract : The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result : Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and $T : R \rightarrow R$ an additive mapping such that $2T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In this case T is left and right θ -centralizer, if one of the following statements hold (i) R semiprime ring has a commutator which is not a zero divisor. (ii) R is a non commutative prime ring. (iii) R is a commutative semiprime ring, where θ be surjective endomorphism of R

Keywords: prime ring, semiprime ring, derivation, Jordan derivation, left (right) centralizer, left (right) θ -centralizer, centralizer, θ -centralizer, Jordan centralizer, Jordan θ -centralizer.

1- Introduction : This research has been motivated by the work of Brešar [2], Zalar [6] and we [7]. Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with the center $Z(R)$. As usual we write $[x, y]$ for $xy - yx$ and use basic commutator $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$, $x, y, z \in R$. Recall that R is prime if $aRb = (0)$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and is semiprime if $aRa = (0)$ implies $a = 0$. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$ and is called Jordan derivation in case $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. A derivation D is inner if there exists $a \in R$ such that $D(x) = [a, x]$ holds for all $x \in R$. A classical result of Herstein [4] states that in case R is a prime ring of characteristic different from two, then every Jordan derivation is a derivation. A brief proof of Herstein's result can be found in [1]. Cusak [3] has generalized Herstein's result on 2-torsion free semiprime rings (see also [2] for an alternative proof). An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) centralizer in case $T(xy) = T(x)y$ ($T(xy) = xT(y)$) holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) θ -centralizer in case $T(xy) = T(x)\theta(y)$ ($T(xy) = \theta(x)T(y)$) holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$.

In case R has an identity element $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a left (right) centralizer iff T is of the form $T(x) = ax$ ($T(x) = xa$) for some fixed element $a \in R$. An additive mapping

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

$T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) Jordan centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ ($T(x^2) = xT(x)$) is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. Following ideas from [2], Zalar has proved in [6] that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer. Some results concerning centralizers in prime and semiprime rings can be found in [5] and [8].

An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a left (right) θ -centralizer iff T is of the form $T(x) = a\theta(x)$ ($T(x) = \theta(x)a$) for some fixed element $a \in R$. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) Jordan θ -centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x)$ ($T(x^2) = \theta(x)T(x)$) is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. In [7] that any left (right) Jordan θ -centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (right) θ -centralizer.

If the mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is both left and right Jordan centralizer, then obviously T satisfies the relation $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$, $x \in R$, in [9] J. Vukman prove the converse when R is 2-torsion free semiprime ring. In this paper we generalize this result to θ -centralizer.

The Results

Theorem 1

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be such an additive mapping that $2T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. then T is left and right Jordan θ -centralizer, where θ be a surjective endomorphism of R

Proof :

$$2T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x), \quad x \in R, \quad (1)$$

We intend to prove that T is commuting on R . In other words, it is our aim to prove that

$$[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0 \quad (2)$$

holds for all $x \in R$. In order to achieve this goal we shall first prove a weaker result that T satisfies the relation

$$[T(x), \theta(x^2)] = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (3)$$

Since the above relation can be written in the form $[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) + \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0$, it is obvious that T satisfies the relation (3) in case T is commuting on R .

Putting in the relation (1) $x + y$ for x one obtains

$$2T(xy + yx) = T(x)\theta(y) + \theta(x)T(y) + T(y)\theta(x) + \theta(y)T(x), \quad x, y \in R \quad (4)$$

Our next step is to prove the relation

$$8T(xyx) = T(x)(\theta(xy) + 3\theta(yx)) + (\theta(yx) + 3\theta(xy))T(x) + 2\theta(x)T(y)\theta(x) - \theta(x^2)T(y) - T(y)\theta(x^2), \quad x, y \in R \quad (5)$$

For this purpose we put in the relation (4) $2(xy + yx)$ for y . Then using (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4T(x(xy+yx)+(xy+yx)x) &= 2T(x)\theta(xy+yx)+2\theta(x)T(xy+yx)+2T(xy+yx)\theta(x) \\ &+ 2\theta(xy+yx)T(x) = 2T(x)\theta(xy+yx) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(y) + \theta(x^2)T(y) + \theta(x)T(y)\theta(x) \\ &+ \theta(xy)T(x) + T(x)\theta(yx) + \theta(x)T(y)x + T(y)\theta(x^2) + \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x) + 2\theta(xy+yx)T(x): \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

$$4T(x(xy+yx) + (xy+yx)x) = T(x)\theta(2xy+3yx) + \theta(3xy+2yx)T(x) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(y) + \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x) + 2\theta(x)T(y)\theta(x) + \theta(x^2)T(y) + T(y)\theta(x^2), x, y \in R \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, using (4) and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4T(x(xy+yx) + (xy+yx)x) &= 4T(x^2y+yx^2) + 8T(xy x) = 2T(x^2)\theta(y) + 2\theta(x^2)T(y) + \\ &2T(y)\theta(x^2) + 2\theta(y)T(x^2) + 8T(xy x) = T(x)\theta(xy) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(y) + 2\theta(x^2)T(y) \\ &+ 2T(y)\theta(x^2) + \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(yx)T(x) + 8T(xy x) \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

$$4T(x(xy+yx)+(xy+yx)x) = T(x)\theta(xy)+\theta(yx)T(x)+\theta(x)T(x)\theta(y)+ \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x)+ 2\theta(x^2)T(y) + 2T(y)\theta(x^2) + 8T(xy x), x, y \in R \quad (7)$$

By comparing (6) with (7) we arrive at (5). Let us prove the relation

$$T(x)\theta(xy x - 2yx^2 - 2x^2y) + \theta(xy x - 2x^2y - 2yx^2)T(x) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(xy + yx) + \theta(xy + yx)T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x^2)T(x)\theta(y) + \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x^2) = 0, x, y \in R \quad (8)$$

Putting in (4) $8xyx$ for y and using (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x^2yx+xyx^2) &= 8T(x)\theta(xy x)+8\theta(x)T(xy x)+8T(xy x)\theta(x)+8\theta(xy x)T(x)=8T(x)\theta(xy x) \\ &+ \theta(x)T(x)\theta(xy+3yx)+\theta(xy x+3x^2y)T(x)+2\theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x)-\theta(x^3)T(y)-\theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2)+ \\ &T(x)\theta(xy x+3yx^2) + \theta(yx+3xy)T(x)\theta(x) + 2\theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2) - \theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x) - T(y)\theta(x^3) \\ &+ 8\theta(xy x)T(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x^2yx + xyx^2) &= T(x)\theta(9xyx + 3yx^2) + \theta(9xyx + 3x^2y)T(x) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(xy + 3yx) + \\ &\theta(yx + 3xy)T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2) - T(y)\theta(x^3) - \\ &\theta(x^3)T(y) \end{aligned} \quad x, y \in R \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, we obtain first using(5) and then after collecting some terms using(4)

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x^2yx+xyx^2) &= 16T(x(xy)x) + 16T(x(yx)x) = 2T(x)\theta(x^2y+3xyx) + \\ &2\theta(xy x+3x^2y)T(x) + 4\theta(x)T(xy)\theta(x) - 2\theta(x^2)T(xy) - 2T(xy)\theta(x^2) + 2T(x)\theta(xy x + 3yx^2) \\ &+ 2\theta(yx^2+3xyx)T(x) + 4\theta(x)T(yx)\theta(x) - 2\theta(x^2)T(yx) - 2T(yx)\theta(x^2) = \\ &T(x)\theta(2x^2y+6yx^2+8xyx) + \theta(8xyx+2yx^2+6x^2y)T(x) + 4\theta(x)T(xy+yx)\theta(x) - \\ &2\theta(x^2)T(xy+yx) - 2T(xy+yx)\theta(x^2) = T(x)\theta(2x^2y+6yx^2+8xyx) + \theta(8xyx+2yx^2+ \\ &6x^2y)T(x) + 2\theta(x)T(x)\theta(yx) + 2\theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x) + 2\theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2) + 2\theta(xy)T(x)\theta(x) - \\ &\theta(x^2)T(x)\theta(y) - \theta(x^3)T(y) - \theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x) - \theta(x^2y)T(x) - T(x)\theta(yx^2) - \theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2) - \\ &T(y)\theta(x^3) - \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

$$16T(x^2yx + xyx^2) = T(x) \theta(2x^2y + 5yx^2 + 8xyx) + \theta(2yx^2+5x^2y+8xyx)T(x) + 2\theta(x)T(x)\theta(yx) + 2\theta(xy)T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x^2)T(y)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(y)\theta(x^2) - \theta(x^2)T(x)\theta(y) - \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x^2) - \theta(x^3)T(y) - T(y)\theta(x^3) \quad x, y \in R \quad (10)$$

By comparing (9) with (10) we obtain (8).

Replacing in (8) y by yx we obtain

$$T(x) \theta(xyx^2 - 2yx^3 - 2x^2yx) + \theta(xyx^2 - 2x^2yx - 2yx^3)T(x) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(xyx+yx^2) + \theta(xyx+yx^2) T(x) \theta(x) + \theta(x^2) T(x) \theta(yx) + \theta(yx) T(x) \theta(x^2) = 0 \quad x, y \in R \quad (11)$$

Right multiplication of (11) by $\theta(x)$ gives

$$T(x)\theta(xyx^2-2yx^3-2x^2yx) + \theta(xyx-2x^2y-2yx^2)T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x)\theta(xyx+yx^2) + \theta(xy+yx)T(x)\theta(x^2) + \theta(x^2)T(x)\theta(yx) + \theta(y)T(x)\theta(x^3) = 0 \quad x, y \in R \quad (12)$$

Subtracting (12) from (11) we obtain

$$\theta(xyx)[\theta(x), T(x)] + 2\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2\theta(yx^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + \theta(xy)[\theta(x), T(x)] + \theta(yx)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x) + \theta(y)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

Which reduces after collecting the first and the fourth term together to

$$\theta(xy)[\theta(x^2), T(x)] + 2\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2\theta(yx^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + \theta(yx)[\theta(x), T(x)] + \theta(y)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (13)$$

Substituting $T(x) \theta(y)$ for $\theta(y)$ in the above relation gives

$$\theta(x)T(x)\theta(y)[\theta(x^2), T(x)] + 2\theta(x^2)T(x)\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2T(x)\theta(yx^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + T(x)\theta(yx)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x) + T(x)\theta(y)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0 \quad x, y \in R \quad (14)$$

Left multiplication of (13) by $T(x)$ leads to

$$T(x) \theta(xy)[\theta(x^2), T(x)] + 2T(x)\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2T(x)\theta(yx^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + T(x)\theta(yx)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x) + T(x)\theta(y)[\theta(x), T(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0 \quad x, y \in R \quad (15)$$

Subtracting (15) from (14) we arrive at

$$[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x^2)] - 2[T(x), \theta(x^2)]\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

We set

$$a = [T(x), \theta(x)], \quad b = [T(x), \theta(x^2)], \quad c = -2[T(x), \theta(x^2)]$$

Then the above relation becomes

$$a\theta(y)b + c\theta(y)a = 0, \quad y \in R: \quad (16)$$

Putting in (19) $\theta(y)a\theta(z)$ for $\theta(y)$ we obtain

$$a\theta(y)a\theta(z)b + c\theta(y)a\theta(z)a = 0, \quad z, y \in R \quad (17)$$

Left multiplication of (16) by ay gives

$$a\theta(y)a\theta(z)b + a\theta(y)c\theta(z)a = 0, \quad z, y \in R \quad (18)$$

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

Subtracting (18) from (17) we obtain

$$(a\theta(y)c - c\theta(y)a) \theta(z)a = 0, z, y \in R \quad (19)$$

Let in (19) $\theta(z)$ be $\theta(z)c\theta(y)$ we obtain

$$(a\theta(y)c - c\theta(y)a) \theta(z)c\theta(y)a = 0, z, y \in R \quad (20)$$

Right multiplication of (19) by $\theta(y)c$ gives

$$(a\theta(y)c - c\theta(y)a) \theta(z)a\theta(y) = 0, z, y \in R \quad (21)$$

Subtracting(20) from(21) we obtain $(a\theta(y)c - c\theta(y)a)\theta(z)(a\theta(y)c - c\theta(y)a) = 0, z, y \in R$, whence it follows

$$a\theta(y)c = c\theta(y)a, \quad y \in R \quad (22)$$

Combining (16) with (22) we arrive at

$$a\theta(y)(b + c) = 0, \quad y \in R$$

In other words

$$[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x^2)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (23)$$

From the above relation one obtains easily

$$([T(x), \theta(x)] \theta(x) + \theta(x) [T(x), \theta(x)]) \theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x^2)] = 0, x, y \in R$$

We have therefore

$$[T(x), \theta(x^2)]\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x^2)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

which implies

$$[T(x), \theta(x^2)] = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (24)$$

Substitution $x + y$ for x in (24) gives

$$[T(x), \theta(y^2)] + [T(y), \theta(x^2)] + [T(x), \theta(xy+yx)] + [T(y), \theta(xy+yx)] = 0, x, y \in R$$

Putting in the above relation $-x$ for x and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation we obtain, since we have assumed that R is 2-torsion free

$$[T(x), \theta(xy + yx)] + [T(y), \theta(x^2)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (25)$$

Putting in the above relation $2(xy+yx)$ for y we obtain according to (4) and (24)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2[T(x), \theta(x^2y + yx^2 + 2xyx)] + [T(x)\theta(y) + \theta(x)T(y) + T(y)\theta(x) + \theta(y)T(x), \theta(x^2)] \\ &= 2\theta(x^2) [T(x), \theta(y)] + 2 [T(x), \theta(y)] \theta(x^2) + 4 [T(x), \theta(xyx)] + T(x) [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] + \\ &\quad \theta(x)[T(y), \theta(x^2)] + [T(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x) + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]T(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)] + 2[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) + 4[T(x), \theta(xyx)] + T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] + \\ [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]T(x) + \theta(x)[T(y), \theta(x^2)] + [T(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

For $y = x$ the above relation reduces to

$$\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + [T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x^2) + 2[T(x), \theta(xx^2)] = 0,$$

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

which gives

$$\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 3[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0, \quad x \in R$$

According to the relation $[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) + \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0$ (see (24)) one can replace in the above relation $\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(x)]$ by $[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x^2)$, which gives

$$[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x^2) = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (27)$$

and

$$\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (28)$$

We have also

$$\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (29)$$

Because of (25) one can replace in (26) $[T(y), \theta(x^2)]$ by $-[T(x), \theta(xy+yx)]$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)] + 2[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) + 4[T(x), \theta(xy+yx)] + T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] + \\ &[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]T(x) - \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(xy+yx)] - [T(x), \theta(xy+yx)]\theta(x) = \\ &2\theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)] + 2[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) + 4[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) + \\ &4\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) + 4\theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)] + T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]T(x) \\ &- \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(y) - \theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)] - \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) - \theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)] \\ &- [T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) - \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) - [T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) - \theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)] + [T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) + 3[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) + \theta(3xy)[T(x), \theta(x)] + \\ 2\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) + T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]T(x) + \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(y) - \\ \theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

The substitution yx for y gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(yx)] + [T(x), \theta(yx)]\theta(x^2) + 3[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx^2) + 3\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] + \\ &2\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(yx)]\theta(x) + T(x)[\theta(yx), \theta(x^2)] + [\theta(yx), \theta(x^2)]T(x) + \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) - \\ &\theta(yx)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) = \theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) + \theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + [T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^3) + \\ &\theta(y)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x^2) + 3[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx^2) + 3\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) \\ &+ 2\theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) + T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x) + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x)T(x) + \theta(x) \\ &[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) - \theta(yx)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) \end{aligned}$$

which reduces because of (27) and (29) to

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^2)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x) + \theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + [T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^3) + 3[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx^2) + \\ 3\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(y)]\theta(x^2) + 2\theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) + \\ T(x)[\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x) + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)]\theta(x)T(x) + \theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx) = 0, \quad x, y \in R \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of (30) by $\theta(x)$ gives

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(x^2) [T(x), \theta(y)] \theta(x) + [T(x), \theta(y)] \theta(x^3) + 3 [T(x), \theta(x)] \theta(yx^2) + \theta(3xy) [T(x), \\ & \theta(x)] \theta(x) + 2\theta(x) [T(x), \theta(y)] \theta(x^2) + T(x) [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] \theta(x) + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] T(x) \theta(x) \\ & + \theta(x) [T(x), \theta(x)] \theta(yx) = 0, \quad x, y \in R \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Subtracting (32) from (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 3\theta(xy)[\theta(x), [T(x), \theta(x)]] + 2\theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) + [\theta(y), \theta(x^2)] \\ & [\theta(x), T(x)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces because of (28) to

$$2\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 3\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] - \theta(xy)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

Replacing in the above relation $-[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(x)$ by $\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]$ we obtain

$$\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] + 2\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

Because of (24), (27), (28) and (29) the relation (13) reduces to $\theta(x^2y)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0$, $x, y \in R$, which gives together with the relation above $\theta(xyx)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0$, $x, y \in R$, whence it follows

$$\theta(x)[T(x), \theta(x)]\theta(yx)[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R$$

Thus we have

$$\theta(x) [T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (33)$$

Of course we have also

$$[T(x), \theta(x)] \theta(x) = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (34)$$

From (33) one obtains (see the proof of (25))

$$\theta(y) [T(x), \theta(x)] + \theta(x) [T(x), \theta(y)] + \theta(x) [T(y), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R:$$

Left multiplication of the above relation by $[T(x), \theta(x)]$ gives because of (34)

$$[T(x), \theta(x)] \theta(y) [T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in R,$$

whence it follows

$$[T(x), \theta(x)] = 0, \quad x \in R \quad (35)$$

Combining (35) with (1) we obtain

$$T(x^2) = T(x) \theta(x), \quad x \in R$$

and also

$$T(x^2) = \theta(x) T(x), \quad x \in R,$$

which means that T is left and also right Jordan θ -centralizer.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

Corollary 1

Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be such an additive mapping that $2T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In this case T is left and right θ -centralizer, if one of the following statements hold

- (i) R semiprime ring has a commutator which is not a zero divisor .
- (ii) R is a non commutative prime ring .
- (iii) R is a commutative semiprime ring .

Where θ be surjective endomorphism of R

Proof :

By Theorem 1 we get T is left and also right Jordan θ -centralizer.

By Theorem (1.3) in [7] we get T is both left and also right θ -centralizer.

The proof of the Corollary is complete.

Corollary 2

Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be such an additive mapping that $2T(x^2) = T(x)\theta(x) + \theta(x)T(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In this case T is left and right θ -centralizer, where θ be surjective endomorphism of R .

Corollary 3

Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be such an additive mapping that $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In this case T is left and right centralizer, if one of the following statements hold

- (i) R semiprime ring has a commutator which is not a zero divisor .
- (ii) R is a non commutative prime ring .
- (iii) R is a commutative semiprime ring .

Corollary 4

Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be such an additive mapping that $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In this case T is left and right θ -centralizer

References

- [1] Brešar M., Vukman J.: Jordan derivations on prime rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 37 (1988), 321-323.
- [2] Brešar M.: Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 1003-1006.
- [3] Cusak J.: Jordan derivations on rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), 321-324.
- [4] Herstein I.N.: Jordan derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104-1110.
- [5] A.H. Majeed, M. I. Meften : On Jordan θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings . (preprint).

On θ -Centralizers of Prime and Semiprime Rings

- [6] A.H.Majeed , H.A.Shaker : Some Results on Centralizers , Dirasat, Pure Sciences , Volume 35,No.1.2008 , 23-26
- [7] Vukman J., An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 40 (1999), 447-456.
- [8] Vukman J.: Centralizers in prime and semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 38 (1997), 231-240.
- 9- J Vukman.: Centralizers on semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 42 (2001), 237-245
- [10] Zalar B.: On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32 (1991),609-614.
- .