



## Fuzzy Almost Nearly Prime and Fuzzy Almost Nearly 2 Absorbing Submodules

Khudhayer O. Kadem

<sup>1</sup> Iraqi Ministry of Education, Babylon Education Directorate, Iraq

Article's Information	Abstract
Received: 06.04.2024	
Accepted: 02.06.2024	
Published: 03.10.2024	
<b>Keywords:</b> Fuzzy Almost Nearly prime Fuzzy Almost Nearly 2 Absorbing	We present in this work the concepts of fuzzy AlmostNearlyprime submodules and fuzzy AlmostNearly2Absorbiing submodules as popularizations of fuzzy Nearly prime submodules and fuzzy 2-Absorbing submodules. Numerous additional fundamental characteristics, attributes, and connections between these ideas and other ideas are provided.
<a href="http://doi.org/10.22401/ANJS.27.4.02">http://doi.org/10.22401/ANJS.27.4.02</a> *Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:Khudhayer1981@gmail.com">Khudhayer1981@gmail.com</a>	
This work is licensed under a <a href="#">Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</a>	

### 1. Introduction

In this work, R mean a reciprocal ring with unitary and M is an R-module. In this work, two definitions were studied fuzzy AlmostNearlyprime submodules and fuzzy AlmostNearly2Absorbiing submodules. These concepts are strong form of (prime and 2Absorbiing) submodules. Lotfi A. Zadeh, in 1965, proposed the idea of a fuzzy set to tackle such problems by giving each member of a given set a certain grade of membership [1]. This allowed vagueness to be mathematically described in all its abstractness. Algebra was one of the first fields of pure mathematics to put the idea of a fuzzy set among other branches. The notions of fuzzy sub groupoid and fuzzy subgroups were initially presented in A. Rosenfeld's 1971 publication, which was the first on fuzzy groups [2]. J.M. Anthony and H. Sherwood (1979) examined few Rosenfeld results under the new concept known as the triangular norm function by redefined fuzzy subgroup [3]. After that, many concepts in fuzzy algebra were clarified and studied, especially modules and sub modules. We denoted fuzzy set by Fu-set.

### 2. Results and Discussion (Basics of Research)

#### Definition (2.1)[1]:

If  $\Upsilon_a: B \rightarrow [0,1]$  be a Fu-set in B, where  $\Upsilon \in B$ ,  $a \in [0,1]$  defined by:  $\Upsilon_a(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \Upsilon = x \\ 0 & \text{if } \Upsilon \neq x \end{cases}$

$\forall x \in B$ ,  $\Upsilon_a$  is named to be a Fu-singleton or Fu-point in B.

#### Lemma (2.2) [4]:

If B be an essential Fu-submodule of M, if  $\Upsilon_a \subseteq M$ , then  $\exists$  a Fu-singleton  $\Upsilon_d$  of R, such that  $0_1 \neq \Upsilon_d \Upsilon_a \subseteq B$ .

#### Definition (2.3)[5]:

If B be a Fu-set in K, the set  $B_a = \{y \in K; B(y) \geq a\}$  is named to be a level subset of B, such that,  $B_a \subset K$  in the ordinary.

#### Definition (2.4)[6]:

If  $\Upsilon = 0$  &  $a = 1$ , then  $0_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$

#### Definition (2.5)[4]:

If M be a Fu-module, M is named to be faithful if  $\text{Fu-ann}M = 0_1$ . Where  $\text{Fu-ann}M = \{\Upsilon_v : \Upsilon_v \Upsilon_a = 0_1; \forall \Upsilon_a \subseteq M \& \Upsilon_v \text{ be a Fu-singleton of R}\}$ .

#### Proposition (2.6)[7]:

If M is a faithful multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then  $J(M) = J(R)M$ .

**Proposition (2.7)[8]**

A Fu-module M of R is multiplication iff every non-empty Fu-submodule B of M such that  $B = (B:_R M)$  M.

**Definition (2.8) [9]:**

If M be a Fu-module of R, M is named to be cancellative if

$\Upsilon_v \Upsilon_a = \Upsilon_v \Upsilon_b$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b \subseteq M$  and  $\Upsilon_v$  be a Fuzzysingleton of R, then  $\Upsilon_a = \Upsilon_b$ .

**Definition (2.9)[10]:**

A non-zero submodule B of an R-module M is called an essential in M if  $B \cap C \neq (0)$  for each non-zero submodule C of M.

**3. (FANP and FAN2A) Submodules and Related Concepts.****Definition (3.1):**

A proper Fu-submodule B of an Fu-R-module M is named Fuzzy Almost Nearlyprime (simply FANP) submodule, if whenever  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq B$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a$  Fu-singleton of R,  $\Upsilon_b \subseteq M$ , implies that:

$$\Upsilon_b \subseteq B \cap F - J(M) \text{ or } \Upsilon_a \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M):_R M].$$

And an fuzzy ideal J of a fuzzy ring R is named (FANP) ideal of R if J is an FANP submodule of R.

**Proposition (3.2):**

If B be a proper Fu-submodule of a Fu-module M, therefore the following claims are equivalent:

- B is a FANP submodule of M.
- $(B:_J)$  is a FANP submodule of M,  $\forall$  Fu-ideal J of R.
- $(B:\Upsilon_a)$  is a FANP submodule of M,  $\forall$  Fu-singleton  $\Upsilon_1$  of R.

**Proof 1→2**

Assume that

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq (B:_J),$$

$\forall \Upsilon_1$  Fu-singleton of R,

$$\Upsilon_b \subseteq M$$

& J Fu-ideal of R from the above  $\Upsilon_c \Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq B$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_c$  Fu-singleton of J (but B is a FANP),

then

$$\Upsilon_c \Upsilon_b \subseteq B \cap J(M) \Rightarrow \Upsilon_b \subseteq (B \cap J(M):_J)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_c \Upsilon_a \subseteq B \cap J(M) \Rightarrow \Upsilon_a \subseteq (B \cap J(M):_J).$$

Since by theorem (3.3)[8] we have

$B \subseteq (B:_J)$ , it follows

$$\Upsilon_b \subseteq (B \cap J(M):_J) \subseteq ((B:_J) \cap J(M):_J)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_a \subseteq (B \cap J(M):_J) \subseteq ((B:_J) \cap J(M):_J),$$

thus

$$\Upsilon_b \subseteq (((B:_J) \cap J(M)):_J)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_b \subseteq (((B:_J) \cap J(M)):_J)$$

hence  $(B:_J)$  is FANP submodule of M.

**Proof 2→3** Evident.

**Proof 3→1**

It is simple to follow by taking  $\Upsilon = 1$ ,  $a = 1$ .

**Proposition (3.3):**

If B be a Fu-submodule of a Fu-module M, then the level  $B_v$  is an FANP submodule of  $M_v$  iff B is a FANP submodule of M.

**Proof:**

⇒ Assume that  $\Upsilon K \in B_v$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon \in R$ ,  $K \in M_v$  then

$$B(\Upsilon K) \geq v, \text{ so } (\Upsilon K)_v \subseteq B \text{ implies that } \Upsilon_a K_b \subseteq B$$

where  $v = \min\{a, b\}$ , since B is a FANP

then

$$K_b \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_a \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M):_R M],$$

we have

$$v = \min\{a, b\},$$

thus

$$K_b \subseteq B \cap F - J(M) \text{ or } \Upsilon_v \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M):_R M],$$

so that

$$K \in B_v \cap J(M_v)$$

or

$$\Upsilon \in [B_v \cap J(M_v):_R M_v]$$

where

$$[B \cap F - J(M):_R M]_v = [B_v \cap J(M_v):_R M_v].$$

Therefore  $B_v$  is an FANP submodule of  $M_v$ .

⇐ If  $\Upsilon_a K_b \subseteq B$ ,  $\forall$  Fu-singleton  $\Upsilon_a$  of R,  $K_b \subseteq M$ , hence  $(\Upsilon K)_v \subseteq B$

where

$$v = \min\{a, b\}, \text{ so that } B(\Upsilon K) \geq v \text{ implies that}$$

$$\Upsilon K \in B_v \quad (B_v \text{ is an FANP}),$$

thus

$$K \in B_v \cap J(M_v) \text{ or } \Upsilon \in [B_v \cap J(M_v):_R M_v] \text{ but we have}$$

$$[B \cap F - J(M):_R M]_v = [B_v \cap J(M_v):_R M_v], \text{ then } \Upsilon \in [B \cap F - J(M):_R M]_v,$$

therefore

$$K_v \subseteq B \cap F - J(M) \text{ or } \Upsilon_v \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M):_R M] \text{ but } v = \min\{a, b\}, \text{ then}$$



$K_b \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M) :_R M]$ .  
Therefore  $B$  is a FANP submodule of  $M$ .

**Definition (3.4):**

A proper fuzzy submodule  $B$  of an fuzzy  $R$ -module  $M$  is named fuzzy almost nearly 2 absorbing (simply FAN2A) submodule, if  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq B$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ ,  $\Upsilon_v \subseteq M$ , implies that

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M) :_R M].$$

And an fuzzy ideal  $J$  of a fuzzy ring  $R$  is named (FAN2A) ideal of  $R$  if  $J$  is an FAN2A submodule of  $R$ .

**Proposition (3.5):**

Every FANP submodule of  $M$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $M$ . But not conversely.

**Proof:**

If  $\Upsilon_a(\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v) \subseteq B$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ ,  $\Upsilon_v \subseteq M$ , but we have  $B$  is FANP submodule of  $M$ , thus  $(\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v) \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M) :_R M]$ . Then  $B$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $M$ .

Generally, the opposite is true, for example:

$$\text{If } M : Z_{16} \rightarrow L \text{ such that } M(Y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y \in Z_{16} \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, that  $M$  is Fu-module of  $Z$ -module  $Z$ .

And  $B : Z_{16} \rightarrow L$  such that

$$B(Y) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } Y \in \langle \bar{4} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}, \quad \forall v \in L$$

It is obvious that  $B$  is a Fu-submodule. it is clear that  $B_v = \langle \bar{4} \rangle$  of  $M_v$  is a FAN2A submodule, as an explanation 2.2.  $\bar{1} \in B_v = \langle \bar{4} \rangle$ .

But

$$2 \cdot \bar{1} = 2 \notin \langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap F - J(M_v) = \langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{2} \rangle = \langle \bar{4} \rangle, \text{ but we get what we achieve } 2 \cdot \bar{2} = 4 \in [\langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap F - J(M_v) :_R Z_{16}] = [\langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{2} \rangle :_R Z_{16}] = [\langle \bar{4} \rangle :_R Z_{16}] = 4Z.$$

Now, It is obvious that  $B_v$  is not FANP, as an explanation 2.2.  $\bar{2} \in B_v = \langle \bar{4} \rangle$ , but

$$\bar{2} \notin \langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap F - J(M_v) = \langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{2} \rangle = \langle \bar{4} \rangle \text{ and } 2 \notin [\langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap F - J(M_v) :_R Z_{16}] = [\langle \bar{4} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{2} \rangle :_R Z_{16}] = [\langle \bar{4} \rangle :_R Z_{16}] = 4Z.$$

**Proposition (3.6):**

If  $B, C$  be two FAN2A submodules of  $M$  with  $F - J(M) \not\subseteq B$  and  $F - J(M) \not\subseteq C$ . Then  $B \cap C$  is a FAN2A submodules of  $M$ .

**Proof:**

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq B \cap C$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ ,  $\Upsilon_v \subseteq M$ , clear that  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq B$  &  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq C$ , but we have  $B$  is a FAN2A, then  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M) :_R M]$  and we know  $C$  is a FAN2A, then

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq C \cap F - J(M) \text{ or } \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq C \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [C \cap F - J(M) :_R M]$$

and we know

$F - J(M) \not\subseteq B$  and  $F - J(M) \not\subseteq C$ , then

$$F - J(M) \not\subseteq B \cap C,$$

from the above we conclude

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq (B \cap C) \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq (B \cap C) \cap F - J(M)$$

or

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [(B \cap C) \cap F - J(M) :_R M].$$

Therefore

$B \cap C$  is a FAN2A submodules of  $M$ .

**Proposition (3.7):**

If  $B$  be an essential Fu-submodule of a Fu-module  $M$  such that

$Fu\text{-}ann\Upsilon_v = Fu\text{-}ann\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_v \subseteq M$  for a Fu-singleton  $\Upsilon_d$  of  $R$  such that

$$\Upsilon_d \not\subseteq F\text{-}ann\Upsilon_v, \text{ & } 0_1 \subseteq F - J(M).$$

Then  $M$  is a FAN2A module iff  $B$  is a FAN2A module.

**Proof:**

$\Rightarrow$  Assume that  $B$  is a FAN2A module.

Now, If  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq 0_1$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ ,  $\Upsilon_v \subseteq M$ . Since  $B$  is an essential Fu-submodule, thus by (2.2),  $\exists$  Fu-singleton  $\Upsilon_d$  of  $R$  such that  $0_1 \neq \Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v \subseteq B$ , that is  $\Upsilon_d \not\subseteq Fu\text{-}ann\Upsilon_v$ . hence

$$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b (\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v) \subseteq 0_1.$$

But  $B$  is a FAN2A module, We observed a Fu-module  $M$  is a FAN2A module, then any Fu-submodule of  $M$  is a FAN2A Fu-module, thus  $0_1$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $B$ , then  $\Upsilon_a (\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v) \subseteq 0_1 \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_b (\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v) \subseteq 0_1 \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [0_1 \cap F - J(M) :_R M]$ , we have  $0_1 \subseteq F - J(M)$ , thus  $\Upsilon_a \subseteq Fu\text{-}ann(\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v)$  or  $\Upsilon_b \subseteq Fu\text{-}ann(\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v)$ , but  $Fu\text{-}ann\Upsilon_v = Fu\text{-}ann\Upsilon_d \Upsilon_v$ , then  $\Upsilon_a \subseteq Fu\text{-}ann(\Upsilon_v)$  or  $\Upsilon_b \subseteq Fu\text{-}ann(\Upsilon_v)$  therefore  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq 0_1 \cap F - J(M)$  or  $\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq 0_1 \cap F - J(M)$ .

Thus  $M$  is a FAN2A module.

$\Leftarrow$  Directly

**Proposition (3.8):**

If  $M$  be a Fu-finitely generated faithful multiplication module. Let  $I$  be a FAN2A ideal. Then  $IM$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $M$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq IM$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ ,  $\Upsilon_v \subseteq M$  and  $a, b, v \in [0,1]$ . Since  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b < k_v \geq IM$ . Now,  $M$  is multiplication then,  $\exists$  Fu-ideal  $J$  such that  $k_v = JM$ , thus  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b JM \subseteq IM$  so  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b J \subseteq I + Fu - ann(M)$ , but we have  $I$  be a FAN2A ideal of  $R$ , then  $\Upsilon_a J \subseteq I \cap F - J(R)$  or  $\Upsilon_b J \subseteq I \cap F - J(R)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq I \cap F - J(R)$ , by multiplying both sides with  $M$ .

Therefore

$\Upsilon_a JM \subseteq (I \cap F - J(R))M$  or  $\Upsilon_b JM \subseteq (I \cap F - J(R))M$  or  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq (I \cap F - J(R))M$ , so  $\Upsilon_a JM \subseteq IM \cap F - J(R)M$

or

$\Upsilon_b JM \subseteq IM \cap F - J(R)M$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq IM \cap F - J(R)M$ .

But

$F - J(R)M = F - J(M)$ ,

thus  $\Upsilon_a JM \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_b JM \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$ , implies that

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq IM \cap F - J(M)$ .

From the above we have proven that  $IM$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $M$ .

**Corollary (3.9):**

If  $B$  be a Fu-submodule of a Fu-module  $M$ , Then  $B$  is a FAN2A submodule of  $M$  if Fu-submodule  $D$  of  $M$  & every  $\Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$  with  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b D \subseteq B$ , implies that

$\Upsilon_a D \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_b D \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M)]_R M$ .

**Proposition (3.10):**

If  $M$  be a faithful multiplication Fu-module, then a proper Fu-submodule  $B$  is FAN2A submodule of iff  $[B :_R M]$  is FAN2A ideal of  $R$ .

**Proof:**

$\Rightarrow$  Let  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq [B :_R M]$ ,  $\forall \Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b$  and  $\Upsilon_v$  Fu-singletons of  $R$ , and  $a, b, v \in [0,1]$ .

It follows that

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b (\Upsilon_v M) \subseteq B$ .

Now,  $B$  is FAN2A, thus  $\Upsilon_a (\Upsilon_v M) \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_b (\Upsilon_v M) \subseteq B \cap F - J(M)$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B \cap F - J(M)]_R M$ .

With these basics

$B = [B :_R M] M$  and  $F - J(M) = F - J(R) M$ ,

we get

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v M \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$

or

$\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v M \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$ , implies that  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_v \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$

or

$\Upsilon_b \Upsilon_v \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$ .

Therefore

$[B :_R M]$  is FAN2A ideal of  $R$ .

$\Leftarrow$  If  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b D \subseteq B$

where  $\Upsilon_a, \Upsilon_b$  Fu-singletons of  $R$  and  $D$  Fu-submodule of  $M$ . AFu-module  $M$  is multiplication, thus

$D = JM$  for some ideal  $J$  of  $R$ .

From the above we have  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b JM \subseteq B$ , then

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b J \subseteq [B :_R M]$ .

We know  $[B :_R M]$  is FAN2A, get by above corollary  $\Upsilon_a J \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$  or  $\Upsilon_b J \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$  or  $\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b \subseteq [B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)$ , by multiplying both sides with  $M$ , therefore

$\Upsilon_a JM \subseteq ([B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)) M$

or

$\Upsilon_b JM \subseteq ([B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)) M$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq ([B :_R M] \cap F - J(R)) M$ ,

Subsequently

$\Upsilon_a JM \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$

or

$\Upsilon_b JM \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$

or

$\Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b M \subseteq [B :_R M] M \cap F - J(R) M$ , With these basics  $B = [B :_R M] M$  &  $F - J(M) = F - J(R) M$ , we get  $B$  is FAN2A submodule.



**Al-Nahrain Journal of Science**  
ANJS, Vol.27 (4), Special Issue – October, 2024, pp. 7 – 11



**References**

- [1] Zadeh, L.A.; “Fuzzy Sets”. Inf. Control, 8(3): 338-353, 1965.
- [2] Rosenfeld, A.; “Fuzzy groups”. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35(3): 512-517, 1971.
- [3] Anthony, J.; Sherwood, H.; “Fuzzy group redefined”. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69(1): 124-130, 1979.
- [4] Rabi, H.J.; “prime Fuzzy submodules and prime Fuzzy modules”. M.Sc, Thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Education, Ibn-AL-Haithem, 2001.
- [5] Martinez, L.; “Fuzzy Modules Over Fuzzy Rings in Connection with Fuzzy Ideals of Rings”. J. Fuzzy Math., 4(1): 843-857, 1996.
- [6] Mohammed, M.; AL-Shamir, A.; Radman, A.; “On Fuzzy Semiprime Submodules”. Int. J. Innovation Appl. Stud., 13(4): 929-934, 2015.
- [7] Nuha, H.H.; “The Radical of Modules”. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 1996.
- [8] Hadi, G.; Rashed, R.; “Fully Cancellation Fuzzy Modules and Some Generalizations”. M.Sc, Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2017.
- [9] Wafaa, H.; Hanoon, H.; Hatam, Y.; “T-Absorbing Primary Fuzzy Submodules”. Ibn Al-Haitham J. Pure Appl. Sci., 32(1): 110-131, 2019.
- [10] Goodearl, K.R.; “Ring Theory”. Nonsingular Rings and Modules, Marcel Dekker, INC: New York and Basel, 156-181 1976.